

### Answer to Question 3

**i. False**

**Explanation:** The Leftmost outermost is a normalizing term, meaning, it can find the normal form if it exists, in cases where leftmost innermost may fail to find it. Consider the following example.

Given input:  $(\lambda y. \lambda z. z (\lambda x. (x \ x) \ \lambda x. (x \ x)))$

- If we try to normalize it using leftmost outermost, we get  
Step 1:  $\lambda z. z$

This happens because since  $y$  does not appear in the function's body, the argument of the function  $(\lambda x. (x \ x) \ \lambda x. (x \ x))$  is copied into  $y$  and then discarded.

- On the other hand, if we try to normalize the above example with leftmost innermost (Lila), we get the innermost redex,  $(\lambda x. (x \ x) \ \lambda x. (x \ x))$  to output itself, hence it is a non-terminating case.
- So we see that Lola can be terminating on some term where Lila is non-terminating.

**ii. True**

**Explanation:** We know leftmost outermost is a normalizing reduction technique and it will always find the normal form if there exists a normal form. Hence if Lola cannot find the normal form and if it yields a non-terminating form, we can conclude that Lila will also yield a non-terminating form.

**iii. False**

**Explanation:** Lila is call by value. We know call by value diverges more as stated in [1]. So Lila will not always find a normal form in lesser steps than Lola. So the assumption is false. If we consider the following computation:  
 $(\lambda x. \lambda y. y (\lambda x. (x \ x) (\lambda x. x \ y)))$

By doing Lola, we get,

Given Input:  $(\lambda x. \lambda y. y (\lambda x. (x \ x) (\lambda x. x \ y)))$

Step 1:  $\lambda y1. y1 [x \leftarrow (\lambda x. (x \ x) (\lambda x. x \ y))]$

So Lola takes only 1 step for this computation. We have verified the output using lambda calculus calculator.

By doing Lila, we get,

Given Input:  $(\lambda x. \lambda y. y (\lambda x. (x \ x) (\lambda x. x \ y)))$

Step 1:  $(\lambda x. \lambda y. y (\lambda x. (x \ x) \ y))$

Step 2:  $(\lambda x. \lambda y. y (y y))$

Step 3:  $\lambda y1. y1 [x <- (y y) ]$

So Lila takes 2 steps more for this computation.

iv. **False**

**Explanation:** This is not always true. Consider the following redex:

$(\lambda x. (x x) (\lambda x. x y))$

- If we normalize it using Lola, then it yields:

→  $(\lambda x. (x x) (\lambda x. x y))$

→  $((\lambda x. x y) (\lambda x. x y))$

→  $(y (\lambda x. x y))$

→  $(y y)$

- On the other hand, if we use Lila, then it yields:

→  $(\lambda x. (x x) (\lambda x. x y))$

→  $(\lambda x. (x x) y)$

→  $(y y)$

Hence we see that Lola takes 2 steps more than Lila for the chosen example.