Assignment_3

Ashvitha Mothakani

10/18/2022

#Assignment 3->MODULE 6

#THE TRANSPORTATION MODEL

#solving transportation problem in R

library(lpSolve)

Warning: package 'lpSolve' was built under R version 4.1.3

library(tinytex)

Warning: package 'lpSolve' was built under R version 4.1.3

```
#set the matrix
```

```
##
                    Warehouse1 Warehouse2 Warehouse3 Production cost
## Plant A
                                14
                                                         600
## Plant B
                                20
                                             24
                                                         625
                    16
## Monthly Demand 80
                                             70
##
                    Production Capacity
## Plant A
                    100
## Plant B
                    120
## Monthly Demand -
```

Objective Function:

The transportation problem can be formulated in the LP format as:

 $\frac{A2} + 641 x_{B1} + 645 x_{B2} + 649 x_{B3}$

Subject to:

Supply constraints

$$x_{A1} + x_{A2} + x_{A3} \le 100$$

 $x_{B1} + x_{B2} + x_{B3} \le 120$

Demand Constraints:

 $x_{A1} + x_{B1} \ge 80$ $x_{A2} + x_{B2} \ge 60$ $x_{A3} + x_{B3} \ge 70$

Non-negativity of the variables:

$$x_{ij} \geq 0$$

Xij

- where represents the number of AEDs shipped from plant i to warehouse j.

i = A, B

and

$$j = 1, 2, 3$$

I have used R programming language to solve the above transpiration cost minimization problem. This transportation problem is unbalanced one (demand is not equal to supply), that is demand is less than supply by 10, so I create a dummy variable in column 4 with transportation cost zero and demand 10.

```
#Set up AEDs_Costs matrix

AEDs_Costs<-matrix(c(622,614,630,0,
641,645,649,0),ncol =4,byrow=TRUE)

## Set the names of the rows (constraints) and columns (decision variables)

colnames(AEDs_Costs)<-c("Warehouse1","Warehouse2","Warehouse3","Dummy")

rownames(AEDs_Costs)<-c("Plant A","Plant B")

AEDs_Costs
```

```
## Warehouse1 Warehouse2 Warehouse3 Dummy
## Plant A 622 614 630 0
## Plant B 641 645 649 0
```

```
#setting up constraint signs and right-hand sides(supply side)
row.signs<-rep("<=",2)
row.rhs<-c(100,120)
#Supply function cannot be greater than the specified units

#Demand side constraints
col.signs<-rep(">=",4)
```

col.rhs<-c(80,60,70,10)

#Demand function can be greater than the specified units

```
#solve the model

Iptrans<-lp.transport(AEDs_Costs,"min", row.signs, row.rhs, col.signs, col.rhs)
```

Following that, we will return the decision variable values to determine how many units should be produced and transported from each plant.

Get the optimum decision variables (6)values lptrans\$solution

```
## [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]
## [1,] 0 60 40 0
## [2,] 80 0 30 10
```

Plant A Units Shipped to Warehouse 1: 0 units Plant A Units Shipped to Warehouse 2: 60 units Plant A Units Shipped to Warehouse 3: 40 units Plant B Units Shipped to Warehouse 1: 80 units Plant B Units Shipped to Warehouse 2: 0 units Plant B Units Shipped to Warehouse 3: 30 units and "10" shows up in the 4th variable it is a "throw-away variable" (dummy).

The function below will give the minimum value for the objective function

Iptrans\$objval

```
## [1] 132790
```

The minimum combined shipping and production costs will be 132,790 dollars based on the given information and constraints.

Iptrans\$duals

```
## [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]
## [1,] 0 0 0 0 0
## [2,] 0 0 0 0
```

2) Formulating the dual of the transportation problem

In primal, the number of variables equals the number of constants in dual. First, we must discover the LP's primal . We will take the minimization from the primal and maximize it in the dual. With the variables m and n, the dual issue can be solved.

```
AEDs_2<-matrix(c(622,614,630,100,"m1", 641,645,649,120,"m2", 80,60,70,220,"-", "n1","n2","n3","-","-"),ncol=5,nrow=4,byrow=TRUE) colnames(AEDs_2)<-c("Warehouse1","Warehouse2","Warehouse3","Production Capacity","Supply (Dual)") rownames(AEDs_2)<-c("PlantA","PlantB","Monthly Demand","Demand (Dual)") AEDs_2<-as.table(AEDs_2) AEDs_2
```

##	Warehou	ise1 Warehous	se2 Warehous	e3 Production (Capacity
## PlantA	622	614	630	100	
## PlantB	641	645	649	120	

```
## Monthly Demand
                                 60
                                             70
                                                          220
                    80
## Demand (Dual)
                    n1
                                 n2
                                             n3
##
                    Supply
                              (Dual)
## PlantA
                    m1
## PlantB
                    m2
## Monthly Demand
## Demand (Dual)
```

 $\text{Max } Z = 100m_1 + 120m_2 + 80n_1 + 60n_2 + 70n_3$

Subject to the following constraints

$$m_1 + n_1 \le 622$$

 $m_1 + n_2 \le 614$
 $m_1 + n_3 \le 630$
 $m_2 + n_1 \le 641$

 $m_2 + n_2 \le 645$ $m_2 + n_3 \le 649$

```
Where n1 = Warehouse_1
n2 = Warehouse_2
n3 = Warehouse_3 m1
= Plant_1
m2 = Plant_2
```

These constants are provided by transposed matrix of the Primal of Linear Programming function. you can double-check your work by transposing f.con into the matrix and comparing it to the constants listed above. where

 m_k, n_l

where m= 1,2 and n=1,2,3

"<=",
"<=")

f.rhs<-c(622,614,630,641,645,649)

lp("max",f.obj,f.con,f.dir,f.rhs)

Success: the objective function is 139120

lp("max",f.obj,f.con,f.dir,f.rhs)\$solution

[1] 614 633 8 0 16

So Z=139,120 dollars and variables are:

 $m_1 = 614$

which represents Plant A

 $m_2 = 633$

which represents Plant B which

 $n_1 = 8$

represents Warehouse 1 which

 $n_3 = 16$

represents Warehouse 3

3)Economic Interpretation of the dual

Based on the information and limits provided, the maximum combined shipping and production costs will be 139,120 dollars. There is a minimum value of Z=132790 (Primal) and a maximum value of Z=139120 (Dual).

The goal of this challenge is to discover a maximum and a minimum.

As a result, we realized that we shouldn't ship from Plant(A/B) to all three warehouses at the same time. We should be able to ship from:

 $60x_{12}$

which is 60 Units from Plant A to Warehouse 2.

 $40x_{13}$

which is 40 Units from Plant A to Warehouse 3.

 $80x_{21}$

which is 80 Units from Plant B to Warehouse 1.

 $30x_{23}$

which is 30 Units from Plant B to Warehouse 3. We will Max the profit from each distribution to the respective capacity.

We have the following:

$$m_1^0 - n_1^0 \le 622$$

then we subtract

 n_1^0

to the other side to get

$$m_1^0 \le 622 - \eta_1^0$$

To compute it would be \$614 <= (-8+622) which is correct. we would continue to evaluate these equations:

$$m_1 \le 622 - n_1 => 614 \le 622 - 8 = 614 => correct$$

 $m_1 \le 614 - n_2 => 614 \le 614 - 0 = 614 => correct$ $m_1 \le 630 - n_3 => 614 \le 630 - 16 = 614 => correct$ $m_2 \le 641 - n_1 => 633 \le 614 - 8 = 633 => correct$ $m_2 \le 645 - n_2 => 633 \le 645 - 0 = 645 => Incorrect$ $m_2 \le 649 - n_3 => 633$
 $\le 649 - 16 = 633 => correct$

Using the Duality-and-Sensitivity, we may test for the shadow price by updating each of the columns. In our LP Transportation problem, we modify 100 to 101 and 120 to 121. R. can be seen here.

```
row.rhs1<-c(101,120)
row.signs1<-rep("<=",2)
col.rhs1<-c(80,60,70,10)
col.signs1<-rep(">=",4)
row.rhs2<-c(100,121)
row.signs2<-rep("<=",2)
col.rhs2<-c(80,60,70,10)
col.signs2<-rep(">=",4)

lp.transport(AEDs_Costs,"min",row.signs,row.rhs,col.signs,col.rhs)
```

Success: the objective function is 132790

```
lp.transport(AEDs Costs,"min",row.signs1,row.rhs1,col.signs1,col.rhs1)
```

Success: the objective function is 132771

```
lp.transport(AEDs_Costs,"min",row.signs2,row.rhs2,col.signs2,col.rhs2)
```

Success: the objective function is 132790

By taking the minimum of this specific function, seeing the number go down by 19 means the shadow price is 19, which was calculated by adding 1 to every plant. The Plant B does not have a shadow price. We also

From the dual variable

 n_2

where Marginal Revenue <= Marginal Cost. The equation was

$$m_2 \le 645 - n_2 => 633 \le 645 - 0 = 645 => Incorrect$$

and this was found by using

$$m_1^0 - n_1^0 \le 622$$

then we subtract

$$n_1^0$$

to the other side to get

$$m_1^0 \le 622 - \eta_1^0$$

lp("max", f.obj,f.con, f.dir,f.rhs)\$solution

[1] 614 633

8 0 16

 $n_2 = 0$

•

The interpretation from above: from the primal:

 $60x_{12}$

which is 60 Units from Plant A to Warehouse 2.

40*x*₁₃

which is 40 Units from Plant A to Warehouse 3.

 $80x_{21}$

which is 80 Units from Plant B to Warehouse 1.

 $30x_{23}$

which is 60 Units from Plant B to Warehouse 3.

Due to the dual. Our goal is to get MR=MC.

MR=MC in five of the six examples.

Only Plant B to Warehouse 2 do not meet this criteria.

We can see from the primal that no AED gadgets will be transported there.