

FATE P01, P02

# Data Anonymization

Ashwin S they/them

[ashwin.singh01@estudiant.upf.edu](mailto:ashwin.singh01@estudiant.upf.edu)



Universitat  
Pompeu Fabra  
*Barcelona*

Fairness, Accountability, Transparency  
and Ethics of Data Processing (FATE)

# Programming Sessions



1. **6 Labs** = 3 Modules x 2 Sessions

2. **Grading Policy**

M1: Data Anonymization	(40%)	Submit by 11:59 PM, Feb 03, 2025
------------------------	-------	----------------------------------

M2: Algorithmic Fairness I	(20%)	Submit by 11:59 PM, Feb 20, 2025
----------------------------	-------	----------------------------------

M3: Algorithmic Fairness II	(40%)	Submit by 11:59 PM, Mar 07, 2025
-----------------------------	-------	----------------------------------

**You must attend at least one session of each module to be eligible for grading.**

3. **Queries / Discussion**

Please post on the Aula Global Forum for everyone's benefit.

4. **Solved Practices:** To be available after each deadline.

# Overview



1. Attributes in Microdata
2.  $k$ -Anonymity
3. Methods for  $k$ -Anonymity
  - a. Global Recoding (Generalization)
  - b. Micro-Aggregation
4.  $\ell$ -Diversity [ New! ]
5. Local Suppression for  $\ell$ -Diversity

# Attributes In Microdata



## 1. Identifiers

Can unambiguously identify a person ( passport, DNI/NIE, email address, etc. )

## 2. Quasi-Identifiers

Can sometimes identify the person when combined with other quasi-identifiers.

( **gender** <-> zip-code <-> age )

## 3. Confidential Attributes

Sensitive information about a person ( salary, ethnicity, **gender**, etc. )

## 4. Non-Confidential Attributes

Whatever remains...

# k-Anonymity

**D1:**  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  belong to the same **equivalence class** if  $\forall$  quasi-identifiers  $q \in Q, x_1(q) = x_2(q)$ .

**D2:**  $X$  satisfies **k-anonymity** when there exist at least  $k$  elements in each **equivalence class**.

Gender	Zip-Code	Salary	Equivalence Class
man	08010	<5000€	C1
man	08010	<5000€	C1
woman	08022	>5000€	C2
woman	08022	>5000€	C2

# Global Recoding (Generalization)

Gender	Zip-Code	Generalized Zip-Code	Salary	Equivalence Class
man	08011	0801*	<5000€	C1
man	08012	0801*	<5000€	C1
woman	08020	0802*	>5000€	C2
woman	08022	0802*	>5000€	C2

## Remember

All instances in the database are modified during generalization.

( which is why it is **global** )

It is non-perturbative. Why ?

Quasi-Identifiers	Confidential Attribute
-------------------	------------------------

# Micro-Aggregation

Company	Workers	Aggregated Workers	Profit	Equivalence Class
A	44	41	+1000€	C1
B	25	27	+500€	C2
C	39	41	+750€	C1
D	30	27	+300€	C2

## Discuss

Why do we take the **mean**?

Is it **perturbative** OR **non-perturbative**?


Identifier	Quasi-Identifiers	Confidential Attribute
------------	-------------------	------------------------

# Micro-Aggregation ► Intuition

## Univariate Case

Sort data by the continuous quasi-identifier

Assign first  $k$  items class 1, next  $k$  items class 2, and so on... ( \*not always optimal )







## Multivariate Case

$k$ -Partition Problem with Minimal Information Loss [ NP-Hard ]



# Micro-Aggregation ► Loss of Information



Plots generated across different runs of **Gaussian Mixture Model** to cluster and micro-aggregate data to achieve k-anonymity.

## Loss of Information

$$SSE = \sum_{c \in C} \sum_{q \in Q_c} (q - \bar{q}_c)'(q - \bar{q}_c)$$

C is the set of equivalence classes

$Q_c$  is the set of quasi-identifier vectors in equivalence class c

A dataset  $X$  satisfies **ℓ-diversity** when there exist at least  $\ell$  distinct values of the **confidential attribute** in each **equivalence class**.

Zip-Code	Profession	Equivalence Class
0801*	Software Engineer	C1
0801*	Software Engineer	C1
0802*	Architect	C2
0802*	Doctor	C2

What is the problem here?

# $\ell$ -Diversity ► Local Suppression

A dataset  $X$  satisfies  **$\ell$ -diversity** when there exist at least  $\ell$  distinct values of the **confidential attribute** in each **equivalence class**.

Zip-Code	Profession	Equivalence Class
0801*	Software Engineer	C1
0801*	Engineer	C1
0802*	Architect	C2
0802*	Doctor	C2

What is the problem now?