## Tutorial 2 Solutions

## Arhaan Ahmad

## November 2022

- 1. (a) This is false. Take  $f(x) = x^2$  and c = 0, and  $g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x^2} & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$ . We have  $f(x)g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$ . So  $\lim_{x \to 0} f(x)g(x) = 1 \neq 0$ 
  - (b) If g(x) is bounded, let  $\max |g(x)| = M$ . Since  $\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = 0$ , we have that

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \text{ such that } |f(x) - 0| < \epsilon \text{ when } 0 < |x - c| < \delta$$

For a particular epsilon  $\epsilon_0$ , let  $\delta_0$ , be the delta that is such that  $|f(x) - 0| < \frac{\epsilon_0}{M}$  when  $0 < |x - c| < \delta_0$ Now, whenever  $0 < |x - c| < \delta_0$ ,

$$|f(x)g(x) - 0| = |f(x)g(x)| \le |f(x)| \times M < \frac{\epsilon_0}{M} \times M = \epsilon_0$$

Hence, the limit of  $\lim_{x\to c} f(x)g(x)$  exists and is equal to 0.1

(c) Let  $\lim_{x\to c} g(x)$  be L. We have that

$$\begin{split} \exists \delta_0 > 0 \text{ such that } |g(x) - L| < |L| \text{ when } 0 < |x - c| < \delta_0 \\ \Longrightarrow |g(x)| - |L| < |L| \implies |g(x)| < 2|L| \text{ when } 0 < |x - c| < \delta_0 \end{split}$$

For a particular epsilon  $\epsilon$ , let  $\delta_1$ , be the delta that is such that  $|f(x) - 0| < \frac{\epsilon}{2|L|}$  when  $0 < |x - c| < \delta_1$ Now,  $\delta_2 = \min(\delta_0, \delta_1)$  is such that whenever  $0 < |x - c| < \delta_2$ ,

$$|f(x)g(x) - 0| = |f(x)g(x)| \le |f(x)| \times 2|L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2|L|} \times 2|L| = \epsilon$$

Hence,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta_2 > 0 \text{ such that } |f(x)g(x) - 0| < \epsilon \text{ when } 0 < |x - c| < \delta$$

which means that the limit exists and is equal to 0, as we wanted to prove.

2. Let  $\lim_{x\to\alpha} f(x) = L$ 

$$|f(\alpha + h) - f(\alpha - h) - 0| = |f(\alpha + h) - L - (f(\alpha - h) - L)| < |f(\alpha + h) - L| + |f(\alpha - h) - L|$$

Since  $\lim_{x\to\alpha} f(x) = L \ \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  such that  $|f(x) - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  when  $0 < |x - \alpha| < \delta$ Rewriting  $h = x - \alpha$ , we get

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta_0 > 0 \text{ such that } |f(\alpha + h) - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ when } 0 < |h| < \delta_0$$

Again rewriting with  $h = \alpha - x$ , we get

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta_1 > 0 \text{ such that } |f(\alpha - h) - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ when } 0 < |h| < \delta_1$$

From these two statements, we get that

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \text{ such that } |f(\alpha - h) - L| + |f(\alpha - h) - L| < \epsilon \text{ when } 0 < |h| < \delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$$

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ This remains true if instead ofbeing bounded in the entire domain, g is given to be bounded in a finite punctured neighborhood of c, which serves as a nice motivation for the next subpart

$$\implies \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \text{ such that } |f(\alpha - h) - f(\alpha - h)| < \epsilon \text{ when } 0 < |h| < \delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$$

Thus the limit exists.<sup>2</sup>

The converse of the result would be that if  $\lim_{h\to 0} f(\alpha+h) - f(\alpha-h) = 0$ , then  $\lim_{x\to \alpha} f(x)$  exists. A counterexample to this is

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x^2} & \text{for } x \neq 0\\ 0 & \text{for } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Take  $\alpha = 0$ , f(0+h) - f(0-h) = 0 for all h, since this is an even function, but  $\lim_{x \to 0} f(x)$  does not exist.

3. (a)

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \sin\frac{1}{x} & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

In the domain  $(0, \infty)$ , both  $\sin(x)$  and  $\frac{1}{x}$  are continuous, hence so is their composition. Same for the domain  $(-\infty, 0)$ . The only problem is at x = 0. The function, in fact, is not continuous at x = 0. To show this, we'll use the sequential definition of a limit:

The limit of a function f at a exists and is equal to L if and only if for every sequence  $x_n \to a$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) = L$ 

Take  $x_n = \frac{1}{2n\pi}$  and  $y_n = \frac{1}{(2n+\frac{1}{2})\pi}$ . We have that  $\sin x_n = 0$  and  $\sin y_n = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  Thus, we have two sequences tending to 0, such that  $f(x_n)$  and  $f(y_n)$  tend to different values, hence the limit of  $\sin \frac{1}{x}$  does not exist at x = 0

(b)

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x \sin \frac{1}{x} & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Here again it is easy to argue continuity in the domains  $(0, \infty)$  and  $(-\infty, 0)$ , using the fact that the composition and products of continuous functions is continuous. At x = 0, we need to find the limit and show that it is equal to f(0) = 0.

Notice that  $|x \sin \frac{1}{x}| = |x| |\sin \frac{1}{x}| < |x|$ , since the range of sin is [-1, 1] Hence,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta, \text{ such that } |x \sin \frac{1}{x} - 0| < |x| < \epsilon \text{ when } 0 < |x - 0| < \delta$$

namely, one such delta would be  $\delta = \epsilon$  always. We get that  $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x) = 0 = f(0)$ . Hence the function is continuous for all reals.

- (c) Note that the pieces of the function in (1,2) and (2,3) are continuous. So there can only be a discontinuity at x=2 The right hand and left hand limits at x=2 can both be easily calculated to be 2, however, f(2)=1. Hence, the function is not continuous at x=2
- 4. We are given that f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y). Functions with this property are also known as additive functions.<sup>3</sup>

Set 
$$x = y = 0$$
 to get  $f(0) = 2f(0) \implies f(0) = 0$ 

$$f(c+h) = f(c) + f(h) \implies f(c+h) - f(c) = f(h) = f(h) - f(0)$$

Since f is continuous at 0

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \text{ such that } |f(h) - 0| < \epsilon \text{ when } 0 < h < \delta$$

$$\implies \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \text{ such that } |f(c+h) - f(c)| = |f(h)| < \epsilon \text{ when } 0 < h < \delta$$

 $\implies$  f is continous for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ 

5. For  $x \neq 0$ ,  $\frac{1}{x}$  is differentiable while  $\sin x$  and x are differentiable at all points. Hence, a function formed by their compositions and products would also be differentiable at  $x \neq 0$ . At x = 0, we'll need to work by first principles. We need to see if  $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{f(x)-f(0)}{x} = \lim_{x\to 0} \frac{f(x)}{x} = \lim_{x\to 0} x \sin\frac{1}{x}$  exists. Deja vu to q3 ii), the limit exists and is equal to 0. Hence f is differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>One could have also argued the same using algebra of limits on functions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>For more information about this, see this wikipedia entry

However, the derivative is not continuous. Using chain rule for  $x \neq 0$ , we get that

$$f'(x) = \begin{cases} 2x \sin\frac{1}{x} + \cos\frac{1}{x} & \text{when } x \neq 0\\ 0 & \text{when } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

We can show that  $\lim_{x\to 0} f'(x)$  does not exist, using a method similar to q3 i)

6. We have that  $\forall x, x + h \in (a, b)$ 

$$\frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|}{|h|} < C|h|^{\alpha - 1}$$

$$\implies -C|h|^{\alpha-1} < \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} < C|h|^{\alpha-1}$$

Since  $\alpha - 1 > 0$ ,  $\lim_{h \to 0} C|h|^{\alpha - 1} = \lim_{h \to 0} - C|h|^{\alpha - 1} = 0$ 

Hence, by sandwich theorem we can conclude that  $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}$  exists and equals 0. By definition, this means that f is differentiable over (a,b) and f'(x)=0  $\forall x\in (a,b)$ 

7.

$$\lim_{h \to 0^{+}} \frac{f(c+h) - f(c-h)}{2h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{+}} \frac{\frac{f(c+h) - f(c)}{h} + \frac{f(c) - f(c-h)}{h}}{2}$$
$$= \frac{\lim_{h \to 0^{+}} \frac{f(c+h) - f(c)}{h} + \lim_{h \to 0^{+}} \frac{f(c) - f(c-h)}{h}}{2}$$

Since f is differentiable,  $\lim_{h\to 0^+} \frac{f(c+h)-f(c)}{h} = \text{Right hand derivative} = \text{Left hand derivative} = \lim_{h\to 0^+} \frac{f(c)-f(c-h)}{h} = f'(c)$  and hence, we are done.

The converse is false, consider f(x) = |x| and c = 0. Then  $\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{f(c+h) - f(c-h)}{2h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{h - h}{2} = 0$ , but the function is not differentiable at 0.

8. First, note that if  $\exists c$  such that f(c) = 0, then  $f(x) = f(x - c + c) = f(x - c)f(c) = 0 \,\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ . In this case f is obviously differentiable, with  $f'(c) = 0 \,\forall c = f'(0)f(c)$  (trivially).

The only other possibility is that f is always non-zero. Set x = y = 0 to get

$$f(0) = f(0)^2 \implies f(0) = 0 \text{ or } 1$$

. If f is not identically 0 then f(0) = 1.

$$\frac{f(c+h) - f(c)}{h} = \frac{f(c)f(h) - f(c)}{h} = f(c) \times \frac{f(h) - 1}{h}$$

Since  $f'(0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(h)-1}{h}$  exists, we have that  $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(c+h)-f(c)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} f(c) \times \frac{f(h)-1}{h}$  exists and is equal to  $f(c) \times \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(h)-f(0)}{h} = f(c)f'(0)$ . Thus, by definition, f is differentiable at every  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and f'(c) = f(c)f'(0)

9. Calculating derivative of inverse of a function. Let  $f:(a,b)\to\mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable and invertible function. Let the inverse be denoted by g(x), which means that

$$f(q(x)) = x$$

Differentiating f(g(x)) using the chain rule, we get that

$$f'(g(x)) \times g'(x) = \frac{d}{dx}x = 1 \implies g'(x) = \frac{1}{f'(g(x))}$$

Using this both the parts can be solved, solving is left to the reader.

10. Apply chain rule and simplify. Left to the reader.