## **ASHWIN KAMBHAMPATI**

ashwinkambhampati.com akambh@sas.upenn.edu

#### UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

Placement Director: Guillermo Ordonez

ORDONEZ@ECON.UPENN.EDU

215-898-1875

Placement Director: David Dillenberger

DDILL@ECON.UPENN.EDU

215-898-1503

Graduate Student Coordinator: Gina Conway

GNC@SAS.UPENN.EDU

215-898-5691

#### **Contact Information**

Department of Economics University of Pennsylvania 133 South 36<sup>th</sup> Street, Office 548 Philadelphia, PA 19104 908-461-5681

## **Personal Information:**

Citizenship: United States Language: English (native)

#### **<u>Undergraduate Studies</u>**:

B.A., Economics (High Honors) and Mathematics, Oberlin College, Sept 2010-May 2014

#### **Masters Level Work:**

A.M., Economics, University of Pennsylvania, Sept 2014-May 2015 and Sept 2016-May 2018

#### **Graduate Studies:**

University of Pennsylvania, May 2018- Present <u>Thesis Title</u>: *Incentives and Information for Teams* 

Expected Completion Date: May 2021

#### Thesis Committee and References:

Professor George J. Mailath (Advisor) Department of Economics University of Pennsylvania 113 South 36<sup>th</sup> Street, Office 522 Philadelphia, PA 19104 215-898-7908

gmailath@econ.upenn.edu

Professor Steven A. Matthews Department of Economics University of Pennsylvania 113 South 36<sup>th</sup> Street, Office 618 Philadelphia, PA 19104 215-898-7749

stevenma@econ.upenn.edu

Professor J. Aislinn Bohren Department of Economics University of Pennsylvania 113 South 36<sup>th</sup> Street, Office 502 Philadelphia, PA 19104 215-573-6233

abohren@sas.upenn.edu

Professor Juuso Toikka Wharton Business Economics and Public Policy Department and Department of Economics University of Pennsylvania 3733 Spruce Street, 330 Vance Hall Philadelphia, PA 19104 215-898-8920

toikka@wharton.upenn.edu

Fields: Microeconomic Theory, Industrial Organization, Matching and Market Design

# **Teaching:**

Sole Instructor Fall 2017 ECON 101: Intermediate Microeconomics, University of Pennsylvania Avg. Evaluation: 3.25 (versus course average of 2.31) on a 0-4 scale. TA (Graduate) Spring 2020 ECON 682: Game Theory, University of Pennsylvania

Instructor: J. Aislinn Bohren Avg. Evaluation: 3.54 on a 0-4 scale.

TA (Undergraduate)

Fall 2019 ECON 013: Strategic Reasoning, University of Pennsylvania Instructor: David Dillenberger Avg. Evaluation: 3.29 on a 0-4 scale. ECON 235: Industrial Organization, University of Pennsylvania Spring 2018 Instructor: J. Aislinn Bohren Avg. Evaluation: 3.40 on a 0-4 scale. ECON 211: Social Choice, University of Pennsylvania Spring 2017 Avg. Evaluation: 3.79 on a 0-4 scale. Instructor: SangMok Lee Fall 2016 ECON 001: Intro Microeconomics, University of Pennsylvania

Instructor: Rebecca Stein Avg. Evaluation: 2.16 on a 0-4 scale.

Fall 2012/2013 ECON 255: Intro Econometrics, Oberlin College

Instructor: Barbara Craig

## **Teaching Awards and Training:**

Spring 2018	Joel Popkin Graduate Student Teaching Prize in Economics
Fall 2017	Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL) Teaching Certificate

## **Research Assistance:**

2020-present	University of Pennsylvania, George J. Mailath and Rakesh V. Vohra
Spring 2019	University of Pennsylvania, Rohit Lamba
2018-2019	University of Pennsylvania, George J. Mailath and Andrew Postlewaite
Summer 2017	University of Pennsylvania, Camilo Garcia-Jimeno
Summer 2013	Boston University, Laurence J. Kotlikoff
Spring 2012	Oberlin College, Ron Cheung

# **Other Employment:**

Summer 2018	Copy Editor for "Modeling Strategic Behavior" by George J. Mailath
2013-2014	Oberlin College, Academic Ambassador (Peer Advisor)
Spring 2013	White House Council of Economic Advisers, Intern
Summer 2012	United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, Intern

# **External Presentations**

2021	6 <sup>th</sup> World Congress of the Game Theory Society (scheduled)
2021	Not the 2021 Theory Job Market (online)
2020	12 <sup>th</sup> World Congress of the Econometric Society
2018	29 <sup>th</sup> Stony Brook International Conference on Game Theory
2018	Pennsylvania Economic Theory Conference (poster)
2017	28 <sup>th</sup> Jerusalem School in Economic Theory (poster)

# **Scholarships and Honorary Societies:**

2014-2019	University Fellowship, University of Pennsylvania
2014	Phi Beta Kappa, Oberlin College
2014	Omicron Delta Epsilon, Oberlin College

# **Research Papers:**

# **Robust Performance Evaluation** (Job Market Paper)

I consider a moral hazard in teams model in which a principal knows that the agents she compensates are identical and independent, but does not know all of the actions they can take. I show that any worst-case optimal contract exhibits *joint performance evaluation* and is *nonlinear* in team output. Hence, when robustness is a concern, nonlinear team-based incentive schemes—such as team bonuses and employee stock options—are justified, even if tasks are completed individually and individual performances are uncorrelated. This result contrasts with the classical theory of incentives, which finds *independent performance evaluation* to be Bayesian optimal, and with the recent literature on robust contracting with unbounded uncertainty, which finds *linear* incentive schemes to be worst-case optimal. Moreover, it reveals a new channel leading to the optimality of joint performance evaluation and formalizes a longstanding idea that interdependent incentive schemes are advantageous due to their flexibility.

## The Optimal Assortativity of Teams Inside the Firm (with Carlos Segura-Rodriguez)

How does a profit-maximizing manager form teams and compensate workers in the presence of both adverse selection and moral hazard? Under complete information, it is well known that any complementarity in characteristics implies that positive assortative matching is productively efficient. But, under asymmetric information, we uncover the problem of *disassortative incentives*: incentive costs may increase in assortativity. Profit maximization thus prescribes either random or negative assortative matching, both productively inefficient, when complementarities are weak and effort costs are high enough. When this is the case, the manager may instead prefer to delegate matching, allowing workers to sort themselves into teams. Our results shed light on recent empirical work documenting patterns of non-assortative matching inside of firms.

# Matching to Produce Information: A Model of Self-Organized Research Teams (with Carlos Segura-Rodriguez and Peng Shao)

In recent decades, research organizations have brought the "market inside the firm" by allowing workers to sort themselves into teams. How do research teams form absent a central authority? We introduce a model of team formation in which workers first match and then non-cooperatively produce correlated signals about an unknown state. We uncover a novel form of moral hazard: an efficient team of workers producing complementary signals may be disrupted if one of its members can form an inefficient team in which she exerts less effort. This inefficiency rationalizes targeted management interventions which designate specific workers as "project leaders" with more assumed responsibilities.

#### Payoff Continuity in Games of Incomplete Information: An Equivalence Result

Monderer and Samet (1996) and Kajii and Morris (1998) define notions of proximity for countable, common prior information structures that preserve equilibrium payoff continuity. Monderer and Samet (1996) fix a common prior and perturb lists of partitions, while Kajii and Morris (1998) fix a type space and perturb common priors. Due to these differences, the precise relationship between the two papers has remained an open question. We establish an equivalence between them by mapping pairs of partition lists to pairs of common priors, and vice-versa. The key condition of the mapping ensures that belief types are changed independently of payoff types in the Kajii and Morris (1998) perturbation.

#### **Research Papers in Progress:**

Search Committees with Disparate Costs (with S. Nageeb Ali and J. Aislinn Bohren)