# Comp2007 - Assignment 1

## Ashwin Ramesh

August 5, 2012

## 1 Problem 1

#### 1.1 Overview of Problem 1

Polynomial calculation can be a very expensive computation to run and therefore efficiency is integral when creating algorithms to solve this problem. This problem introduces two algorithms. Algorithm 1 uses a naive approach, recalculating the new power of x on every iteration. On the other hand, Algorithm 2 uses Horner's rule by calculating the new power by using the previous power of x. Below is the asymptotically tight analysis of both algorithms.

## 1.2 Algorithm Analysis

**Upper Bounds:** T(n) is O(f(n)) if there exists constants c > 0 and  $n_0 \ge 0$  such that for all  $n \ge n_0$ , we have  $T(n) \le c * f(n)$ .

**Lower Bounds:** T(n) is  $\Omega(f(n))$  if there exists constants c > 0 and  $n_0 \ge 0$  such that for all  $n \ge n_0$ , we have  $T(n) \ge c * f(n)$ .

**Tight Bounds:** T(n) is  $\Theta(f(n))$  if T(n) is both O(f(n)) and  $\Omega(f(n))$ .

# 1.3 Algorithm 1 - NAIVE(x,A) Analysis

- Line 3: O(n) time as it is an iteration from 0 to n
- Line 4: O(1) time
- Line 5: O(n) time as i varies from 1 to n
- Line 6/7: O(1) time

Upper Bound Time Complexity of Naive Approach:  $O(n) * O(n) = O(n^2)$ 

Lower Bound Time Complexity of Naive Approach: similarly the lower bound will be  $\Omega(n^2)$ 

This means that the **Tight Bound Complexity** will be  $\Theta(n^2)$ 

## 1.4 Algorithm 2 - HORNER(x,A) Analysis

- Line 3: O(n) time as it is an iteration from 0 to n
- Line 4: O(1) as it uses the result from above to calculate addition

Upper Bound Time Complexity of Naive Approach: O(n) \* O(1) = O(n)

Lower Bound Time Complexity of Naive Approach: similarly the lower bound will be  $\Omega(n)$ 

This means that the **Tight Bound Complexity** will be  $\Theta(n)$