

Working Principles of Proof Assistants and Formalization of Some Proofs in Agda

Ashwot Acharya, Bishesh Bohora, Supreme Chaudary

Kathmandu University

June 1, 2025

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Glance At Theoretical Foundations
- 3 Methodology and Tool
- 4 Work Plan
- 5 Significance and Expected Outcomes
- 6 References

Problem Statement

As the title suggests, our project will revolve around exploration of theoretical foundations behind Proof Assistants and practice them.

Motivation

- Strong interest in mathematics and formal reasoning.
- Discovered type theory through internet memes on category theory.
- Fascinated by the Four Colour Theorem and its computer-assisted proof.
- The rise of AI raised the question: *"How do computers understand reasoning?"*
- Drawn to functional programming, which closely mirrors mathematical logic and structure.

Proof Assistants

In computer science and mathematical logic, a proof assistant or interactive theorem prover is a software tool to assist with the development of formal proofs by human–machine collaboration. [Wikipedia, 2025]

Examples:

- Coq
- LEAN
- Agda

History

- **Gödel's Incompleteness Theorems (1930s)**: Revealed limitations of formal systems; sparked interest in formal logic and verification.
- **Computability Theory (1940s–50s)**: Turing machines and λ -calculus laid the groundwork for mechanized reasoning.
- **Logic Theorist (1954)**: First automated theorem prover by Newell and Simon, capable of proving theorems in propositional logic.
- **LISP (1960)**: A symbolic programming language created by John McCarthy; became essential for early theorem proving systems.
- **Automath (1967)**: First system to check mathematical proofs using dependent types.

- **LCF & ML (1970s)**: Introduced tactic-based proofs and the ML programming language; foundational to later systems.
- **Coq (1986)**: A proof assistant based on constructive type theory, supporting verified programming and formal proofs.
- **Isabelle (1989)**: Generic theorem prover with support for multiple logics and strong automation tools.
- **Four-Color Theorem (1996)**: First major mathematical theorem re-verified by proof assistants (Coq and HOL).
- **Feit-Thompson Theorem (2012)**: Large-scale group theory proof formalized in Coq, showcasing proof assistant capability.
- **Lean (2015-2023)**: Modern proof assistant combining type theory with performance and usability; popular in formal math via `mathlib`.

Type Theory

- Type theory is a formal system that classifies expressions by their "types."
- Originally developed as an alternative to set theory for foundations of mathematics.
- Predecessor to Dependent Type Theory, Martin L f Type Theory which form basis for various proof assistants.
- Types prevent logical paradoxes and provide a basis for constructive reasoning.

Curry–Howard Correspondence

- A deep analogy between **logic and computation**:
 - Propositions \leftrightarrow Types
 - Proofs \leftrightarrow Programs
- A proof of a proposition is a program of a corresponding type.
- Enables writing code that is **correct-by-construction**.
- Fundamental to systems like Coq, where proving a theorem is like writing a program.

λ -Calculus and Functional Programming

- **Lambda Calculus:** A minimal formal system for function definition and application; the foundation of computation theory.
- **Functional Programming:** Directly inspired by lambda calculus; treats computation as evaluation of mathematical functions.
- In proof assistants, Core logic is based on typed lambda calculus.
- Tools like Coq and Agda embed functional languages with type theory.

Methodology

Agda

Agda is a functional programming language with dependent types. It is based on Martin L f Type Theory. And most importantly it is a proof assistants. [Bove et al., 2009]

Why Agda?

Work Plan

Week	Work plan
1	Understanding (Dependent) Type Theory and Proof
2	Understanding the implementation of type theory models in digi
3	Understanding Purely functional Programming paradigm and
4	Working Principles of Agda and its core implement
5	Formalization of some proofs in Agda

Significance

- Writing proofs in a formal language introduces more rigor and reduces ambiguity, and makes it executable allowing computer verification.
- Simplifies verification process and makes it error free.
- Formalizing some proofs can be difficult, this creates a challenge which gives rise to novel ideas.
- Opportunity to delve into Constructivism.
- Can use same theory to check correctness of computer programs/software which helps in writing secure code.

Expected Outcomes

- Improved understanding of proofs, logic and programming.
- Experience of Using A Proof Assistant and incorporating them in regular studies.
- New Formalization of Proofs of various fields in Agda
- Proofs for Various Algorithms
- (Re)Discovery of limitations of such proof assistants and formal language.
- Future prospects and discussion on possibility of integration of formal language in Machine Learning,

References



Bove, A., Dybjer, P., and Norell, U. (2009).

A brief overview of agda – a functional language with dependent types.



Wikipedia (2025).

Proof assistant — wikipedia, the free encyclopedia.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Proof_assistant.