

# Working Principles Of Proof Assistant

And Formalization Of Some Proofs In Agda

---

**Ashwot Acharya, Bishesh Bohora,**  
**Supreme Chaudhary**

Kathmandu University



**Supervisor:** Mr K.B Manandhar

# Proof Assistants

---

# What are proof assistant



## Proof Assistants

What are proof assistant

Why digital verification is needed?

Logical foundation

Architecture of proof assistant

Comparative Study

Formalization Of Some proofs

proof assistant, are software more specifically a type of programming language that allows us to formalize mathematical proofs in computer for digital verification.

# Need of digital verification



## Proof Assistants

What are proof  
assistant

Why digital  
verification is  
needed?

Logical  
foundation

Architecture of  
proof assistant

Comparative  
Study

Formalization Of  
Some proofs

- ◇ faster computation for complex problems
- ◇ many exceptional cases can be explored which would take mathematicians long time  
ex: The Kepler Conjecture's proof , which was so complex that verifying it manually would take 20 person-years, but proof assistants made this verification feasible and fast.

# Logical foundation

---

- ◇ Based on logic (natural deduction, intuitionistic logic),  $\lambda$ -calculus, and type theory.
- ◇ Curry–Howard Correspondence:

Propositions  $\leftrightarrow$  Types

Proofs  $\leftrightarrow$  Programs

- ◇ Dependently Typed Languages: Types can depend on values, enabling encoding of properties and proofs.

# Natural Deduction



Proof Assistants

Logical  
foundation

Natural deduction  
Ins

$\lambda$ -Calculus

Architecture of  
proof assistant

Comparative  
Study

Formalization Of  
Some proofs

- ◇ **Natural Deduction** is a rule-based system for deriving conclusions from assumptions in logic.
- ◇ Instead of using exhaustive truth tables, proofs are built step-by-step using inference rules.
- ◇ Example: Proving from  $A \wedge (A \rightarrow \perp)$  that  $\perp$  (contradiction) can be derived.
- ◇ Basis for how proof assistants check the logical structure of proofs.



Proof Assistants

Logical  
foundation

Natural deduction  
Ins

$\lambda$ -Calculus

Architecture of  
proof assistant

Comparative  
Study

Formalization Of  
Some proofs

- ◇ **Intuitionistic Logic** formalizes constructive mathematics, where a statement is only true if a proof can be constructed.
- ◇ Omits some classical logic principles, such as the Law of Excluded Middle.
- ◇ Stronger requirement: to prove existence, a method or algorithm must be given.
- ◇ Proof assistants leverage this constructive approach for digital verification.





- ◇  **$\lambda$ -Calculus:** A foundational system for defining and applying functions using abstraction and application.
- ◇ **Type Theory:** Assigns types to every term; ensures correctness of operations.
- ◇ **Curry–Howard Correspondence:**

Propositions  $\leftrightarrow$  Types

Proofs  $\leftrightarrow$  Programs

- ◇ *Dependent types* allow types to depend on values, expressing complex logical properties.

# Architecture of proof assistant

---

# Architecture of a Proof Assistant



Proof Assistants

Logical  
foundation

Architecture of  
proof assistant

Kernel  
Tactic Engine  
Language  
Libraries  
User Interface

Comparative  
Study

Formalization Of  
Some proofs

- ◇ **Kernel:** Minimal, trustworthy codebase enforcing logical rules and validating proofs.
- ◇ **Tactic Engine:** Helps build and automate proofs step by step.
- ◇ **Formal Proof Language:** Rigorously expresses definitions, statements, and proofs.
- ◇ **Libraries:** Collections of verified mathematical foundations for reuse.
- ◇ **User Interface:** IDEs and plugins for interactive, efficient proof development.

# Kernel: The Trusted Core



Proof Assistants

Logical  
foundation

Architecture of  
proof assistant

Kernel  
Tactic Engine  
Language  
Libraries  
User Interface

Comparative  
Study

Formalization Of  
Some proofs

- ◇ The **kernel** is the minimal and most critical part of a proof assistant.
- ◇ It enforces the logical rules of the underlying formal system (e.g., type theory).
- ◇ Responsible for **validating every proof step** to guarantee correctness.
- ◇ Ensures **soundness and trustworthiness**; the rest of the system depends on its integrity.
- ◇ Typically very small and rigorously tested or formally verified to avoid bugs.
- ◇ Example: Agda's kernel is written in Haskell and integrates normalization to check definitional equality.

# Tactic Engine: Proof Construction Assistant



Proof Assistants

Logical  
foundation

Architecture of  
proof assistant

Kernel  
Tactic Engine  
Language  
Libraries  
User Interface

Comparative  
Study

Formalization Of  
Some proofs

- ◇ The **tactic engine** supports users in constructing proofs interactively.
- ◇ It breaks complex proof goals into simpler subgoals using **proof strategies** called tactics.
- ◇ Provides **automation** for common proof patterns, speeding up proof development.
- ◇ Enables both **forward** and **backward** reasoning approaches.
- ◇ Even fully automated tactics rely on the kernel for final verification.
- ◇ Varies among assistants (Agda has minimal/no tactics, Coq and Lean have powerful tactic systems).



- ◇ This language allows expressing **definitions, propositions, and proofs** rigorously.
- ◇ Typically a **dependently typed language** so logical properties can be encoded as types.
- ◇ Provides **syntax and semantics** suitable for formal reasoning and machine checking.
- ◇ Enables users to write **human-readable yet unambiguous** formal proofs.
- ◇ Integrates smoothly with tactics and type checker to maintain correctness.
- ◇ Example languages: Agda's core language, Coq's Gallina, Lean's dependent type language.

# Libraries: Reusable Verified Foundations



- ◇ Extensive collections of **formalized mathematics and algorithms** supporting new developments.
- ◇ Include **basic theories** such as arithmetic, algebra, logic, and set theory.
- ◇ Enable users to **build on existing verified results** without re-proving foundations.
- ◇ Libraries evolve and grow, fostering **collaboration and community sharing**.
- ◇ Well-maintained libraries reduce duplication and improve proof assistant adoption.
- ◇ Examples include Coq's Standard Library, Agda Standard Library, Lean's mathlib.

Proof Assistants

Logical  
foundation

Architecture of  
proof assistant

Kernel  
Tactic Engine  
Language  
**Libraries**  
User Interface

Comparative  
Study

Formalization Of  
Some proofs

# User Interface: Proof Development Environment



Proof Assistants

Logical  
foundation

Architecture of  
proof assistant

Kernel  
Tactic Engine  
Language  
Libraries  
User Interface

Comparative  
Study

Formalization Of  
Some proofs

- ◇ Provides **interactive tools** like IDEs, editor plugins, or command line interfaces.
- ◇ Features include **syntax highlighting, error reporting, real-time proof state visualization, and auto-completion.**
- ◇ Enhances **usability and productivity** for proof authors.
- ◇ Supports **integration with tactics and proof language** for seamless workflow.
- ◇ Examples: CoqIDE, Proof General, Emacs-mode for Agda, VS Code extensions.
- ◇ A good interface lowers the learning curve and makes formalization more accessible.



# Comparative Study

---

# Comparative Table: Agda, Rocq (Coq), and Lean



Component	Agda	Rocq (Coq)	Lean
<b>Proof Style</b>	Explicit term-based, manual proof writing	Tactic-based, automated backward reasoning	Both tactic-based and term-style
<b>Kernel</b>	Minimal, written in Haskell, tight integration with normalization	Based on Calculus of Inductive Constructions (CIC), written in Coq (extracted to OCaml)	CIC-based, written in C++/C
<b>Type Checking</b>	Bidirectional, transparent, normalization by evaluation	Bidirectional, heavy conversion, strong automation	Bidirectional, smart elaboration (coercion, backtracking, overloading)
<b>Automation</b>	Limited (no tactics, minimal automation)	Extensive tactic engine and proof search	Advanced, seamless tactic/term mixing, smart elaborator
<b>Use Cases</b>	Foundations, education, dependently typed programming	Large/complex formalizations, industrial-scale proofs	Research, education, combinatorial/mathematical formalizations

Proof Assistants

Logical  
foundation

Architecture of  
proof assistant

Comparative  
Study

Formalization Of  
Some proofs

# Formalization Of Some proofs

---

# Eg: Defining Natural Numbers



Proof Assistants

Logical  
foundation

Architecture of  
proof assistant

Comparative  
Study

Formalization Of  
Some proofs

Defining Natural  
Numbers

```
data N : Set where
  Zero : N
  suc  : N -> N
```

**Thank you!**