Working Principles Of Proof Assistant



And Formalization Of Some Proofs In Agda

Ashwot Acharya, Bishesh Bohora, Supervisor: Mr K.B Manandhar Supreme Chaudhary

Kathmandu University

Proof Assistants

What are proof assistant

Proof Assistants
What are proof
assistant
Why digital
verification is
needed?

Logical foundation

Architecture of proof assistant

Comparativ Study

Formalization Of Some proofs

proof assistant, are software more specifically a type of programming language thats allows us to formalize mathematical proofs in computer for digital verification.



Proof Assistants What are proof assistant Why digital verification is needed?

Logical foundation

Architecture of proof assistant

Comparative Study

Formalization Of Some proofs

Need of digital verification



- faster computation for complex problems
- many exceptional cases can be explored which would take mathematicians long time

ex: The Kepler Conjecture's proof , which was so complex that verifying it manually would take 20 person-years, but proof assistants made this verification feasible and fast.

Logical foundation

Proof Assistants

Logical foundation

Naturl deduction Ins λ -Calculus

Architecture of proof assistant

Comparative Study

Formalization Of Some proofs

- \diamond Based on logic (natural deduction, intuitionistic logic), λ -calculus, and type theory.
- ⋄ Curry–Howard Correspondence:

Propositions \leftrightarrow Types Proofs \leftrightarrow Programs

 Dependently Typed Languages: Types can depend on values, enabling encoding of properties and proofs.

Natural Deduction

Proof Assistants
Logical

foundation
Naturl deduction

Ins λ -Calculus

Architecture of proof assistant

Comparative Study

- ♦ **Natural Deduction** is a rule-based system for deriving conclusions from assumptions in logic.
- Instead of using exhaustive truth tables, proofs are built step-by-step using inference rules.
- \diamond Example: Proving from $A \land (A \rightarrow \bot)$ that \bot (contradiction) can be derived.
- Basis for how proof assistants check the logical structure of proofs.



Intuitionistic Logic

Proof Assistants

Logical foundation Naturl deduction Ins

Architecture of proof assistant

Comparative Study

- Intuitionistic Logic formalizes constructive mathematics, where a statement is only true if a proof can be constructed.
- Omits some classical logic principles, such as the Law of Excluded Middle.
- Stronger requirement: to prove existence, a method or algorithm must be given.
- Proof assistants leverage this constructive approach for digital verification.



λ -Calculus and Type Theory

Proof Assistants

Logical foundation Naturl deduction Ins

 λ -Calculus

Architecture of proof assistant

Study

Formalization Of Some proofs

- \diamond λ -Calculus: A foundational system for defining and applying functions using abstraction and application.
- ♦ Type Theory: Assigns types to every term; ensures correctness of operations.
- **⋄ Curry–Howard Correspondence**:

Propositions \leftrightarrow Types Proofs \leftrightarrow Programs

 Dependent types allow types to depend on values, expressing complex logical properties.



Architecture of proof assistant

Architecture of a Proof Assistant



foundation

Architecture of proof assistant

Proof Assistants

Kernel
Tactic Engine
Language
Libraries
User Interfac

Comparative Study

- ♦ **Kernel**: Minimal, trustworthy codebase enforcing logical rules and validating proofs.
- ⋄ Tactic Engine: Helps build and automate proofs step by step.
- Formal Proof Language: Rigorously expresses definitions, statements, and proofs.
- Libraries: Collections of verified mathematical foundations for reuse.
- User Interface: IDEs and plugins for interactive, efficient proof development.

Kernel: The Trusted Core

Proof Assistants

Logical foundation

Architecture of proof assistant Kernel Tactic Engine Language Libraries

Comparative Study

- ♦ The **kernel** is the minimal and most critical part of a proof assistant.
- ♦ It enforces the logical rules of the underlying formal system (e.g., type theory).
- Responsible for validating every proof step to guarantee correctness.
- ♦ Ensures **soundness and trustworthiness**; the rest of the system depends on its integrity.
- ⋄ Typically very small and rigorously tested or formally verified to avoid bugs.
- Example: Agda's kernel is written in Haskell and integrates normalization to check definitional equality.



Proof Assistants

Logical foundation

Architecture of proof assistant
Kernel

Tactic Engine
Language
Libraries
User Interface

Comparative Study

Formalization Of Some proofs

Tactic Engine: Proof Construction Assistant



- The tactic engine supports users in constructing proofs interactively.
- It breaks complex proof goals into simpler subgoals using proof strategies called tactics.
- Provides automation for common proof patterns, speeding up proof development.
- ⋄ Enables both forward and backward reasoning approaches.
- ⋄ Even fully automated tactics rely on the kernel for final verification.
- Varies among assistants (Agda has minimal/no tactics, Coq and Lean have powerful tactic systems).

Formal Proof Language: Expressing Proofs Precisely



Logical foundation

proof assistant
Kernel
Tactic Engine
Language
Libraries
User Interface

Comparative Study

- This language allows expressing definitions, propositions, and proofs rigorously.
 - Typically a dependently typed language so logical properties can be encoded as types.
- Provides syntax and semantics suitable for formal reasoning and machine checking.
- ⋄ Enables users to write human-readable yet unambiguous formal proofs.
- Integrates smoothly with tactics and type checker to maintain correctness.
- ♦ Example languages: Agda's core language, Coq's Gallina, Lean's dependent type language.

Libraries: Reusable Verified Foundations

Proof Assistants

Logical foundation

Architecture of proof assistant Kernel Tactic Engine Language Libraries User Interface

Comparative Study

- Extensive collections of formalized mathematics and algorithms supporting new developments.
 - Include basic theories such as arithmetic, algebra, logic, and set theory.
 - Enable users to build on existing verified results without re-proving foundations.
- ♦ Libraries evolve and grow, fostering collaboration and community sharing.
- Well-maintained libraries reduce duplication and improve proof assistant adoption.
- Examples include Coq's Standard Library, Agda Standard Library, Lean's mathlib.

User Interface: Proof Development Environment



foundation

Architecture of proof assistant

Kernel

Tactic Engine

Proof Assistants
Logical

User Interface
Comparative
Study

- Provides interactive tools like IDEs, editor plugins, or command line interfaces
- ⋄ Features include syntax highlighting, error reporting, real-time proof state visualization, and auto-completion.
- ⋄ Enhances usability and productivity for proof authors.
- Supports integration with tactics and proof language for seamless workflow.
- ⋄ Examples: CoqIDE, Proof General, Emacs-mode for Agda, VS Code extensions.
- A good interface lowers the learning curve and makes formalization more accessible.

Comparative Study

Comparative Table: Agda, Rocq (Coq), and Lean

Proof Assistants

Logical foundation

Architecture of proof assistant

Comparative Study

Component	Agda	Rocq (Coq)	Lean
Proof Style	Explicit term-based, man- ual proof writing	Tactic-based, automated backward reasoning	Both tactic-based and term-style
Kernel	Minimal, written in Haskell, tight integra- tion with normalization	Based on Calculus of Inductive Constructions (CIC), written in Coq (extracted to OCaml)	CIC-based, written in $C++/C$
Type Checking	Bidirectional, transparent, normalization by evaluation	Bidirectional, heavy conversion, strong automation	Bidirectional, smart elaboration (coercion, backtracking, overload- ing)
Automation	Limited (no tactics, minimal automation)	Extensive tactic engine and proof search	Advanced, seamless tactic/term mixing, smart elaborator
Use Cases	Foundations, education, dependently typed programming	Large/complex for- malizations, industrial- scale proofs	Research, education, combinatorial/mathematical formalizations

Thank you!