This burndown chart represents our progress throughout sprint 3. We were once again ambitious during this sprint, choosing 77 story points. Being more comfortable with the development process led us to be more ambitious when choosing stories.

Since many of us don't typically use an agile management tool like Jira, most of us forget to update what we have finished over time, causing the cliffside near the end of the sprint. Also since sub-tasks don't count for points on completion and the features usually required them to be done in sequence gave the illusion of doing most of the work at the end of the sprint.

For example, the integration piece took a significant amount of time throughout sprints 2 and 3. We prioritized it once again in sprint 3, because we determined that functionality is more important to a user than non-integrated features. We focused a lot on styling the web app and making it more web native, which meant spending time with CSS properties in react-native. Usability was also a focus, and we created a landing page to make it more understandable for the user when they initially navigate to our site. The creating a question and comment thread story also took longer than expected to finish, as we were missing prerequisite requirements to test.

This chart outlines our group's ambition, but also a few overestimates during sprint 3.