



We chose many more story points in comparison to the last sprint, (10 compared to 55) because we initially thought the sprint was longer and we had gained enough experience to start pumping out major features.

Since many of us don't typically use an agile management tool like Jira, most of us forget to update what we have finished over time, causing the cliffside near the end of the sprint. Also since sub-tasks don't count for points on completion and the features usually required them to be done in sequence gave the illusion of doing most of the work at the end of the sprint.

For example, the integration piece was harder than anticipated. The profile component had been written using react-navigation routing library but we had decided to use react routing native library going forward. Refactoring the existing code with the new routing library was a major challenge. Another challenge was the unforeseen work that comes with integrating the components we had from sprint 1. The profile API was a missed story that we did not have in sprint 1 which was needed for the integration of all the components.

The chart clearly outlines our ambition but also our overconfidence for sprint 2.