

1. Prove that 7 is the only prime number that precedes a perfect cube. A perfect cube is a number $x \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x = n^3$. Rewrite the statement using an implication and prove the statement's correctness.

Let $P(x)$ be x is prime.

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (P(x) \wedge (x = n^3 - 1)) \rightarrow (x = 7)$$

$$x = n^3 - 1 = (n - 1)(n^2 + n + 1)$$

For x to be prime, one of the factors must be equal to 1:

Let $n - 1 = 1$, therefore $n = 2$

$$\text{If } n = 2, (n^2 + n + 1) = 7$$

Therefore $x = 7$ ■

2. A Test for Primality is the following:

Given an integer $n > 1$, to test whether n is prime check to see if it is divisible by a prime number less than or equal to its square root. If it is not divisible by any of these numbers then it is prime.

We will show that this is a valid test.

$$\text{a) } \forall n, r, s \in \mathbb{N}^+, rs \leq n \rightarrow (r \leq \sqrt{n} \vee s \leq \sqrt{n})$$

Contrapositive: $\forall n, r, s \in \mathbb{N}^+, (r > \sqrt{n} \wedge s > \sqrt{n}) \rightarrow rs > n$

$$(r > \sqrt{n} \wedge s > \sqrt{n}) \rightarrow rs > \sqrt{n}\sqrt{n} = n$$

$$\text{b) } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^{>1}, n \text{ is not prime} \rightarrow \exists p \in \mathbb{N}, (p \text{ prime} \wedge (p \leq \sqrt{n}) \wedge p \mid n)$$

By the fundamental theorem of arithmetic, all positive non-prime integers can be expressed as a product of primes: this satisfies p is prime and $p \mid n$.

Let $n = xy$, where x and y are integer factors of n .

Let $x \leq y$:

Suppose $x > \sqrt{n}$:

$$\text{Then: } y \geq x > \sqrt{n} \text{ and } y > \sqrt{n}$$

$$\text{Which means } yx > \sqrt{n}\sqrt{n} = n$$

This contradicts $n = xy$

$$\text{Therefore } x \leq \sqrt{n}$$
 ■

- c) State the contrapositive of the statement of part (b).

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{N}, (p \text{ is not prime} \vee (p > \sqrt{n}) \vee p \nmid n) \rightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N}^+, n \text{ prime}$$

3. Prove that for all natural numbers n , n is either a perfect square or the square root of n is irrational.

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (\sqrt{n} \in \mathbb{N}) \vee (\sqrt{n} \notin \mathbb{Q})$$

Assume to the contrary that $\sqrt{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$, where n is not a perfect square:

$$\sqrt{n} = \frac{p}{q} \quad p, q \in \mathbb{N}, q \neq 0, \text{ where } \frac{p}{q} \text{ is in its most simplified form.}$$

$$n = \frac{p^2}{q^2}$$

q also cannot be equal to one, as that would make \sqrt{n} an integer, which means it is a perfect square.

Because q^2 cannot be equal to 1, and $\frac{p}{q}$ is in its lowest form, n is not an integer.

This contradicts that n is an element of natural numbers.

Therefore $\sqrt{n} \notin Q$ ■

4. The greatest common divisor c , of a and b , denoted as $c = \gcd(a, b)$, is the largest number that divides both a and b . One way to write c is as a linear combination of a and b . Then c is the smallest natural number such that $c = ax + by$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say that a and b are relatively prime iff $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Prove that a and n are relatively prime if and only if there exists integer s such that $sa \equiv_n 1$. We call s the inverse of a modulo n .

$$(sa) \bmod n = 1 \bmod n$$

$$sa = nq_1 + r$$

$$1 = nq_2 + r$$

$$sa - nq_1 = 1 - nq_2$$

$$\text{Prove } \gcd(a, n) = 1 \leftrightarrow sa \equiv_n 1$$

$$\text{Prove: } \gcd(a, n) = 1 \rightarrow sa \equiv_n 1$$

$$\text{Contrapositive: } sa \not\equiv_n 1 \rightarrow \gcd(a, n) \neq 1$$

$$\gcd(a, n) \neq 1 \leftrightarrow 1 \neq ax + ny, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$(sa) \bmod n = 1 \bmod n$$

$$sa = nq_1 + r$$

$$1 = nq_2 + r \quad s, q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$sa - nq_1 \neq 1 - nq_2$$

$$1 \neq sa + nq_2 - nq_1$$

$1 \neq sa + n(q_2 - q_1)$ Let $s = x$ and $(q_2 - q_1) = y$, because the difference between arbitrary integers is an integer.

$$1 \neq ax + ny$$

$$\text{Prove: } sa \equiv_n 1 \rightarrow \gcd(a, n) = 1$$

$$\gcd(a, n) = 1 \leftrightarrow 1 = ax + ny, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$(sa) \bmod n = 1 \bmod n$$

$$sa = nq_1 + r$$

$$1 = nq_2 + r \quad s, q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$sa - nq_1 = 1 - nq_2$$

$$1 = sa + nq_2 - nq_1$$

$1 = sa + n(q_2 - q_1)$ Let $s = x$ and $(q_2 - q_1) = y$, because the difference between arbitrary integers is an integer.

$$1 = ax + ny$$

■

5. Use simple induction to prove that for all $n \geq 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^n i(i!) = (n + 1)! - 1$.

Base case, $n = 1$:

$$(1+1)! - 1 = 1$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^1 i(i!) = 1(1!) = 1$$

Assume $S(k)$ is true for some $k \geq 1$

$$S(k): \sum_{i=1}^k i(i!) = (k+1)! - 1$$

Induction step, prove: $S(k+1) = (k+2)! - 1$

$$S(k+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i(i!)$$

$$S(k+1) = (k+1)(k+1)! + \sum_{i=1}^k i(i!)$$

$$S(k+1) = (k+1)(k+1)! + (k+1)! - 1$$

$$S(k+1) = (k+1)!(k+1+1) - 1$$

$$S(k+1) = (k+1)!(k+2) - 1$$

$$S(k+1) = (k+2)! - 1$$

■

6. Suppose that n girls and n boys are distributed around the outside of a circular table. Use mathematical induction to prove that for any integer $n \geq 1$, given any such seating plan, it is always possible to find a starting point so that if travel around the table in a clockwise direction the number of girls you pass is never less than the number of boys you have passed. For example, in the diagram below, we use g to denote a girl and b to denote a boy, you should start at the girl in red.

Base case, $n = 1$:

The only possible orders are gb or bg , in which gb satisfies that the number of g 's will always be greater than or equal to the number of b 's passed.

Hypothesis:

Let $S(k)$ be that there is starting point which the number of g 's is always greater than or equal to the number of b 's for some $k \geq 1$ for which there are k number of b 's and k number of g 's.

Induction:

Prove $S(k+1)$

This means there is one more g and b in the circle. Because you need to pass all b 's and g 's in the circle, there must be, at some point, a g preceding a b . If we take these out, we have the case of $S(k)$ which is true because of the induction hypothesis. If you add back the g preceding a b , then $S(k+1)$ is true, as no matter what $S(k)$ is, adding a g preceding a b will not change the starting point as the increase in the number of b 's passed is the same as the number g 's passed.

■