

## MATB24 TUTORIAL PROBLEMS 7, SOLUTIONS

KEY WORDS: inner product, inner product space, dot product, orthogonal complement, orthogonal projection

RELEVANT SECTIONS IN THE TEXTBOOK: Sec 3.5, 6.1, 6.2

WARM-UP:

- (1) Write down a complete definition or a complete mathematical characterization for the following terms.
  - An inner product on a vector space  $V$
  - An inner product space
  - Orthogonal complement of a subspace  $W$  of an inner product space  $V$
  - Orthogonal decomposition of a vector  $\vec{v}$  in an inner product space  $V$
  - An orthogonal set of vectors
  - An orthogonal basis for a vector space  $W$
  - An orthonormal basis for a vector space  $W$
- (2) Give an example of the described object or explain why such an example does not exist.
  - An inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  other than the dot product.
  - An inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  other than the dot product.
  - Two vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that are orthogonal with respect to dot product but not with respect to your example of inner product.
  - A vector in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with length one with respect to dot product and a different length with respect to your example of inner product.
  - Two different orthogonal bases of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
  - A vector in an inner product space  $V$  that is orthogonal to every other vector.
  - 4 mutually orthogonal vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

A:

- (1) Let  $V_1$  be the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  given by

$$x_1 - x_2 - 2x_3 = 0,$$

$$x_2 + x_3 - 2x_4 = 0.$$

Find an orthonormal basis of  $V_1$ .

- (2) Let  $V_2$  be the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  given by

$$x_1 + x_2 - x_3 - 2x_4 = 0.$$

Find an orthonormal basis of  $V_2$ .

- (3) Let  $\vec{w}$  be the vector

$$\vec{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^4.$$

Find the orthogonal projections of  $\vec{w}$  onto the subspaces  $V_1, V_2$ .

- (4) Show that any subspace  $V$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has an orthonormal basis.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>Hint: start with any basis, then try to modify it to make it orthonormal.

**Solution.**

- (1) Writing the equations as rows yields the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Letting the last two columns be the free variables  $r, s$ , the nullspace is given by  $[(-s + 2r) + 2s, -s + 2r, s, r]$ , which is spanned by the following two vectors:

$$(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

These are already orthogonal, so normalizing gives the orthonormal basis  $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\vec{u}, \frac{1}{3}\vec{v})$ .

- (2) By inspection,  $\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  are orthogonal vectors in  $V_2$ , and  $\vec{z} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in V_2$  is independent of  $\vec{u}$  and  $\vec{v}$  (e.g. the zeros in components do not match) and orthogonal to  $\vec{u}$ . So

$$(\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{z} - \text{proj}_{\vec{v}}(\vec{z})) = \left( \vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{z} - \frac{\vec{z} \cdot \vec{v}}{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{v}} \vec{v} \right) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -\frac{2}{5} \\ -1 \\ \frac{4}{5} \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

is an orthogonal set in  $V_2$  (e.g.  $\vec{z}_{\vec{v}^\perp} = \vec{z} - \text{proj}_{\vec{v}}(\vec{z})$ , so this vector is orthogonal to  $\vec{v}$ , and since  $\text{proj}_{\vec{v}}(\vec{z})$  is parallel to  $\vec{v}$  which is orthogonal to  $\vec{u}$ , and since  $\vec{z}$  is orthogonal to  $\vec{u}$ , therefore  $\vec{z}_{\vec{v}^\perp} \cdot \vec{u} = \vec{z} \cdot \vec{u} - \text{proj}_{\vec{v}}(\vec{z}) \cdot \vec{u} = 0 - 0 = 0$ ), and by normalizing we get an orthonormal basis of  $V_2$ .

- (3) Letting  $\vec{u}, \vec{v}$  be as in the solution to Problem 1, we have

$$\text{proj}_{V_1}(\vec{w}) = \left( \vec{w} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\vec{u} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\vec{u} + \left( \vec{w} \cdot \frac{1}{3}\vec{v} \right) \frac{1}{3}\vec{v} = \frac{1}{9} \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 22 \\ -6 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By a similar computation using Problem (2),

$$\text{proj}_{V_2}(\vec{w}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (4) This is the Gram-Schmidt process. Convert the basis  $(\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_r)$  of  $V$  into the orthonormal basis  $(\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_r)$  of  $V$  by inductively defining  $\vec{u}_k$  to be the normalization of

$$\vec{b}_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\vec{b}_k \cdot \vec{u}_i}{\vec{u}_i \cdot \vec{u}_i} \vec{u}_i.$$

B: Let  $V$  be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . We proved in class that for every  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  there is a unique vector  $\text{proj}_V(\vec{x}) \in V$  such that  $\vec{x} - \text{proj}_V(\vec{x})$  is orthogonal to  $V$ . The vector  $\text{proj}_V(\vec{x})$  is called the *orthogonal projection* of  $\vec{x}$  onto  $V$ , and we found a formula for it: if  $\mathcal{U} = \{\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V$ , then

$$\text{proj}_V(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{u}_i) \vec{u}_i.$$

- (1) Prove that the transformation  $\text{proj}_V : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is linear.
- (2) What is the kernel of  $\text{proj}_V$ ?
- (3) Write the rank nullity equation for this transformation. Is it familiar?
- (4) Since the orthogonal projection  $\text{proj}_V : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  onto  $V$  is linear, there is an  $m \times m$  matrix  $P$  such that  $\text{proj}_V(\vec{x}) = P\vec{x}$  for all  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Can you find that matrix?<sup>2</sup>

**Solution.**

- (1) Using the formula  $\text{proj}_V(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{u}_i) \vec{u}_i$ , this follows from the linearity of the dot product.
- (2)  $V^\perp$ . For example, if  $\text{proj}_V(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}$ , then  $\vec{x} = \vec{x} - \text{proj}_V(\vec{x})$  is orthogonal to  $V$ , i.e.  $\vec{x} \in V^\perp$ .
- (3) Since  $V = \text{Im}(\text{proj}_V)$  and  $V^\perp = \ker(\text{proj}_V)$ , the rank-nullity theorem says  $\dim(V) + \dim(V^\perp) = m$ .
- (4) If  $A$  is the  $m \times n$  matrix with columns  $\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n$ , then  $\text{proj}_V(\vec{x}) = A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \vec{x}$ . The following is a brief explanation. Since  $\text{proj}_V(\vec{x}) \in V$ , and the column space of  $A$  is precisely  $V$ , therefore  $\text{proj}_V(\vec{x}) = A\vec{r}$  for some  $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, since  $\vec{x} - A\vec{r}$  is orthogonal to  $V$ , therefore for any  $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $(\vec{x} - A\vec{r}) \cdot A\vec{y} = 0$ , i.e.  $(A\vec{y})^T (\vec{x} - A\vec{r}) = \vec{y}^T (A^T \vec{x} - A^T A \vec{r}) = 0$ . Since this holds for all such  $\vec{y}$ , it follows that  $A^T \vec{x} - A^T A \vec{r} = \vec{0}$ . Since  $A$  is invertible due to its columns being independent, therefore  $A^T A$  is invertible, and hence  $\vec{r} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \vec{x}$ . Then,  $\text{proj}_V(\vec{x}) = A\vec{r} = A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \vec{x}$ .

C: **Theorem 1:** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix. Then for all  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , we have an equality

$$A\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} = \vec{x} \cdot A^T \vec{y}.$$

**Theorem 2:** If  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix, then  $\ker A^T = (\text{Im } A)^\perp$ . Also,  $(\ker A^T)^\perp = (\text{Im } A)$ .

- (1) Verify Theorem 1 for the matrix  $A = I_n$  and any  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (2) Verify Theorem 1 for the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$  and any  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (3) Prove Theorem 1<sup>3</sup>
- (4) Prove theorem 2 using theorem 1.

**Solution.**

- (1)  $I_n \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} = \vec{x} \cdot I_n \vec{y}$  since  $I_n^T = I_n$ .
- (2)  $A\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} = [x_1 + 2x_2, -x_2] \cdot y = x_1 y_1 + 2x_2 y_1 - x_2 y_2 = \vec{x} \cdot [y_1, 2y_1 - y_2]$ .

<sup>2</sup>we will do this in class

<sup>3</sup>by interpreting the dot product of two vectors  $\vec{w}$  and  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as a matrix product  $\vec{w}^T \vec{v}$ .

$$(3) \vec{A}x \cdot \vec{y} = (A\vec{x})^T \vec{y} = (\vec{x}^T) A^T \vec{y} = \vec{x}^T (A^T \vec{y}) = \vec{x} \cdot A^T \vec{y}.$$

- (4) Take  $x \in \ker A^T$ . We need  $y \cdot x = 0$  for all  $y \in \text{Im} A$ . We need  $Az \cdot x = 0$  for all  $z$ . By Theorem 1, this is the same as  $z \cdot A^T x = 0$  for all  $z$ . Of course, this is true because  $A^T x = 0$  (def of kernel). For the reverse inclusion: say  $y \in (\text{Im } A)^\perp$ . This means  $Ax \cdot y = 0$  for all  $x$ . This is the same as  $x \cdot A^T y = 0$  for all  $x$ . This means  $A^T y = 0$ , so  $y \in \ker A^T$ . The second statement holds by "perping" both sides.

D:

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be subspaces of coordinate vector spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , and suppose that  $T : V \rightarrow W$  is an isomorphism.

- (1) If  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_r\}$  is a basis of  $V$ , is the set  $\{T(\vec{b}_1), \dots, T(\vec{b}_r)\}$  necessarily a basis of  $W$ ?
- (2) If  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_r\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V$ , is the set  $\{T(\vec{b}_1), \dots, T(\vec{b}_r)\}$  necessarily an orthonormal basis of  $W$ ?
- (3) Which  $n \times n$  matrices  $A$  have the property that for every orthonormal basis  $\{\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the set  $\{A\vec{u}_1, \dots, A\vec{u}_n\}$  is also an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ? Can you come up with a few examples that works and a few that doesn't?

### Solution.

- (1) Yes!
- (2) No! For instance, dilating  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by a factor of 2 (i.e.  $T(\vec{x}) = 2\vec{x}$ ) converts the orthonormal basis  $(\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  into the non-orthonormal basis  $(2\vec{e}_1, 2\vec{e}_2)$ .
- (3) By taking  $(\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n)$  to be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we see that the columns of  $A$  must form an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In fact, this is also a sufficient condition, since a product of orthogonal  $n \times n$  matrices is itself an orthogonal matrix. We will be better equipped to understand this after defining *orthogonal* transformations and matrices on the next week.

Exercises from the book: 1,3,5,7,9,11,19,20,24,25,26-28,33