

### Question 1

[10 marks]

Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Recall that  $fl(x), fl(y) \in \mathbb{R}_b(t, s)$  denote the floating-point representations of  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively, where  $fl(x) = x(1 - \delta_x)$ ,  $fl(y) = y(1 - \delta_y)$ , and  $\delta_x, \delta_y$  quantify the relative roundoff errors in the respective representations.

In lecture, we showed that a typical computer estimates the product of  $x$  and  $y$  as

$$fl(fl(x) \cdot fl(y)) = (x \cdot y)(1 - \delta_*)$$

where  $|\delta_*| \leq 3 \text{ eps}$ . Using similar techniques, derive a tight error bound for computer division.

$$\begin{aligned} fl[fl(x)/fl(y)] &= [x(1-\delta_1)/y(1-\delta_2)](1-\delta_3) \\ &= \frac{x(1-\delta_1)(1-\delta_3)}{y(1-\delta_2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{x(1-\delta_1)(1-\delta_3)(1+\delta_2)}{(1-\delta_2^2)}$$

$$\approx x(1-\delta_1-\delta_3+\delta_2)$$

$\delta_*$

$$= x(1-\delta_*)$$

$$|\delta_*| \leq 3 \cdot \text{eps}$$

CONTINUED ...

## Question 2

[15 marks]

Consider calculating the  $LU$ -factorization of  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{5 \times 5}$ , using Gaussian Elimination with partial pivoting. After stage 4 of the elimination we have

$$\mathcal{L}_4 \mathcal{P}_4 \mathcal{L}_3 \mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{P}_1 A = U \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{L}_i$  are, respectively, the permutation and Gauss transform used in the  $i$ -th stage of the elimination, and  $U$  is the upper-triangular factor of the factorization.

The final form of the factorization is

$$PA = LU$$

where

$$P = \mathcal{P}_4 \mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{P}_1$$

and

$$L = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3^{-1} \mathcal{L}_4^{-1}$$

- a. Express  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1^{-1}$  in terms of the original  $\mathcal{P}_i$  and  $\mathcal{L}_i$  appearing in (1). Show all of your work.

$$\mathcal{L}_4 \mathcal{P}_4 \mathcal{L}_3 \mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{P}_1 A = \mathcal{L}_4^{-1} U$$

$$\mathcal{P}_4 \mathcal{L}_3 \mathcal{P}_4 \mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{P}_1 A = \mathcal{L}_4^{-1} U$$

$$\mathcal{P}_4 \mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{P}_1 A = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3^{-1} \mathcal{L}_4^{-1} U$$

$$\mathcal{P}_4 \mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{P}_4 \mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{P}_1 A = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3^{-1} \mathcal{L}_4^{-1} U$$

$$\mathcal{P}_4 \mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{P}_1 A = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3^{-1} \mathcal{L}_4^{-1} U$$

$$\mathcal{P}_4 \mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{P}_4 \mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{P}_1 A = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3^{-1} \mathcal{L}_4^{-1} U$$

$$\mathcal{P}_4 \mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{P}_1 A = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3^{-1} \mathcal{L}_4^{-1} U$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1^{-1} = \mathcal{P}_4 \mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{P}_4$$

CONTINUED ...

b. Given that

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{21} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{31} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{41} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ m_{51} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_1 \equiv \mathcal{P}_{14}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_2 \equiv \mathcal{P}_{25}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_3 \equiv \mathcal{P}_{34}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_4 \equiv \mathcal{P}_{45}$$

( $\mathcal{P}_{ij}$  interchanges rows  $i$  and  $j$  for  $j > i$ ), and considering your answer in part (a), write out the matrix representation of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1^{-1}$  showing precisely the sign and position of the four multipliers  $m_{i1}$ .

$$\mathcal{P}_4 \mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{P}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_4 \mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{L}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{51} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ m_{41} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ m_{21} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{31} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_4 \mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{P}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{51} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{41} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ m_{21} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ m_{31} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}_3 \mathcal{P}_4$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{51} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{41} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{21} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ m_{31} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}_4$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{51} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{41} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{21} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ m_{31} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -m_{51} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -m_{41} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -m_{21} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -m_{31} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

CONTINUED...



### Question 3

[15 marks]

Consider the linear system  $Ax = b$  where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 5 & 9 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 1 & 5 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 40 \\ 24 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- a. Compute the  $PA = LU$  factorization of  $A$ . Use exact arithmetic. Show all intermediate calculations, including Gauss transforms and permutation matrices.

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad P_1 A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 5 & 9 \\ 1 & 5 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad L_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{4} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad L_1 P_1 A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 2 & 6 \\ 0 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad P_2 L_1 P_1 A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \quad L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad L_2 P_2 L_1 P_1 A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L_2 P_2 L_1 P_1 A = U$$

$$P_2 L_1 P_1 A = L_2^{-1} U \quad L_2^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underbrace{P_2 L_1 P_1 P_2 P_1 A}_{\tilde{L}_1} = L_2^{-1} U$$

$$\tilde{L}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{4} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \tilde{L}_1^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{3}{4} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P_2 P_1 A = \underbrace{\tilde{L}_1^{-1}}_P \underbrace{L_2^{-1}}_L U$$

$$PA = LU$$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

CONTINUED...

b. Use the factorization computed in part (a) to solve the system.

$$Ax = b$$

$$b = \begin{bmatrix} 40 \\ 24 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$PAx = Pb \\ = \hat{b}$$

$$\hat{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 24 \\ 26 \\ 40 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$LUx = \hat{b}$$

$$Ld = \hat{b}$$

$$Ux = d$$

Solve  $Ld = \hat{b}$  first

$$\textcircled{1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} d = \begin{bmatrix} 24 \\ 26 \\ 40 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$d_1 = 24$$

$$d_2 + 6 = 26 \Rightarrow d_2 = 20$$

$$12 + 10 + d_3 = 40 \Rightarrow d_3 = 18$$

$$d = \begin{bmatrix} 24 \\ 20 \\ 18 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\textcircled{2} Ux = d$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} 24 \\ 20 \\ 18 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$4x_3 = 18$$

$$x_3 = \frac{18}{4}$$

$$4x_2 + 18 = 20$$

$$x_2 = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$4x_1 + 2 + 18 = 24$$

$$4x_1 = 4$$

$$x_1 = 1$$

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{9}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

c. Why is Gaussian Elimination usually implemented as in this question (i.e.,  $PA = LU$  is computed separately, and then the factorization is used to solve  $Ax = b$ )?

Rather than do GE to create an upper triangular matrix and then back sub. to solve  $x$ . We do LU factorization because if we wanted to solve multiple systems like  $Ay=c$ ,  $Az=d$ , etc we use the LU to back and forward solve which is  $O(n^2)$  whereas the GE steps take  $O(n^3)$ . This saves us time solving other systems.

We use pivoting to deal with scenarios where we get a 0 on our diagonal so we don't divide by 0 and for better roundoff properties. The pivoting cost is essentially free as well.

CONTINUED...

#### Question 4

[10 marks]

In lecture we saw that Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting usually, but not always, leads to a stable factorization of  $A \in \mathcal{R}^{n \times n}$ . A stable factorization is guaranteed if we use *full* pivoting, which employs both row and column interchanges before the  $k$ -th stage of the elimination to ensure that the largest element in magnitude in the  $(n-k) \times (n-k)$  submatrix finds its way to the pivot position.

Full pivoting leads to a  $PAQ = LU$  factorization, where  $P$  and  $Q$  are permutation matrices. Show how this factorization can be used to solve  $Ax = b$ .

$$\begin{aligned} Ax &= b \\ PAx &= Pb \\ PAQx &= \hat{b} \end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} Pb &= \hat{b} \quad \text{multiplying } b \text{ by } P \text{ is fine since} \\ &\quad \text{it is a column vector} \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} LUQx &= \hat{b} \\ \underbrace{LU}_d Qx &= \hat{b} \\ Ld &= \hat{b} \\ \text{forward solve for } d \end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} QQ &= I \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} UQx &= d \\ \underbrace{UQ}_f &= d \\ Uf &= d \\ \text{back solve for } f \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} Qx &= f \\ Q^T Qx &= Q^T f \\ Qx &= Q^T f \\ x &= Q^T f \end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} \therefore Q &\text{ is a permutation matrix} \\ Q &= Q^T \end{aligned}$$

Solve  $x$  with matrix-vector multiplication

CONTINUED ...



**Question 5**

[10 marks]

Explain exactly how row pivoting controls the growth of roundoff error during the  $LU$  factorization process. Use a small example and picture as was done in lecture.

If we are not using pivoting to get the largest absolute value in the column we could use a very small number that will make the values in the submatrix have bad roundoff.

Here is an example.

$$\begin{array}{l} \textcircled{1} \\ \textcircled{2} \\ \textcircled{3} \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 10^{-20} & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Here we use the value of  $10^{-20}$  to eliminate the values in the column below that pivot.

We do it by multiplying row  $\textcircled{2}$  by  $\frac{a_{23}}{a_{22}}$  and subtracting from row  $\textcircled{3}$ .

This gets us the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 10^{-20} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & x \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So the value } x &= 4 - \frac{1 \times 1}{10^{-20}} \\ &= 4 - 10^{20} \end{aligned}$$

You can see here that the number  $x$  blows up in its absolute value and we lose a lot of precision with larger absolute values as seen from assignment 1. We may not be even able to store this  $x$  in our floating point system from overflow.

Pivoting to use the largest number minimizes the error and it is more likely the number we subtract to another row will be a fraction which is more accurately represented.

CONTINUED ...

