Linear statistical models Random vectors

Notes by Yao-ban Chan and Owen Jones

Random vectors

We must still do some more groundwork in order to analyse our linear models. Once we have done this, the theoretical results come out quite easily!

Normally we think of matrices and vectors as a bunch of numbers. However, they can also be a bunch of random variables.

We can then extend the traditional concepts of expectation, variance, etc. to random vectors.

Expectation

Traditionally random variables are denoted with capital letters. However we will denote them by lowercase according to linear algebra notation.

We define the expectation of a random vector \mathbf{y} as follows:

If
$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_k \end{bmatrix}$$
, then $E[\mathbf{y}] = \begin{bmatrix} E[y_1] \\ E[y_2] \\ \vdots \\ E[y_k] \end{bmatrix}$.

Expectation properties

- ▶ If \mathbf{a} is a vector of constants, then $E[\mathbf{a}] = \mathbf{a}$.
- ▶ If \mathbf{a} is a vector of constants, then $E[\mathbf{a}^T\mathbf{y}] = \mathbf{a}^T E[\mathbf{y}]$.
- ▶ If A is a matrix of constants, then E[Ay] = AE[y].

Expectation properties

Example. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and assume that $E[y_1] = 10$ and $E[y_2] = 20$.

Then

$$AE[\mathbf{y}] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 20 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 80 \\ 90 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Expectation properties

On the other hand,

$$E[A\mathbf{y}] = E\begin{bmatrix} 2y_1 + 3y_2 \\ y_1 + 4y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} E[2y_1 + 3y_2] \\ E[y_1 + 4y_2] \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 2E[y_1] + 3E[y_2] \\ E[y_1] + 4E[y_2] \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 80 \\ 90 \end{bmatrix} = AE[\mathbf{y}].$$

Defining the variance of a random vector is slightly trickier. We want to not just include the variance of the variables themselves, but also how the variables affect each other.

Recall that the variance of a random variable Y with mean μ is defined to be $E[(Y - \mu)^2]$.

We define the variance (or *covariance matrix*) of the random vector \mathbf{y} to be

$$\operatorname{var} \mathbf{y} = E[(\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T]$$

where $\mu = E[y]$.

Variance

The diagonal elements of the covariance matrix are the variances of the elements of y:

$$[\text{var } \mathbf{y}]_{ii} = \text{var } y_i, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

The off-diagonal elements are the covariances of the elements:

$$[\text{var } \mathbf{y}]_{ij} = \text{cov}(y_i, y_j) = E[(y_i - \mu_i)(y_j - \mu_j)].$$

This means that all covariance matrices are symmetric.

Variance properties

Suppose that y is a random vector with var y = V. Then:

- If a is a vector of constants, then var $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{a}^T V \mathbf{a}$.
- ▶ If A is a matrix of constants, then var $Ay = AVA^T$.
- V is positive semidefinite.

Variance properties

Example. Let

$$\mathbf{y} = \left[\begin{array}{c} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{array} \right]$$

be a random vector with var $y_i = \sigma^2$ for all i, and the elements of y are independent.

Then the covariance matrix of y is

$$\operatorname{var} \mathbf{y} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma^2 \end{array} \right] = \sigma^2 I.$$

Variance properties

Example. Assume that X is a matrix of full rank (with more rows than columns), which implies that X^TX is nonsingular. Let

$$\mathbf{z} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{y}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var } \mathbf{z} &= AVA^T = [(X^TX)^{-1}X^T]\sigma^2 I[(X^TX)^{-1}X^T]^T \\ &= (X^TX)^{-1}X^T(X^T)^T[(X^TX)^{-1}]^T\sigma^2 \\ &= (X^TX)^{-1}X^TX[(X^TX)^T]^{-1}\sigma^2 \\ &= (X^TX)^{-1}\sigma^2. \end{aligned}$$

We will be using this quite a bit later on!

Matrix square root

The square root of a matrix A is a matrix B such that $B^2=A$. In general the square root is not unique.

If A is symmetric and positive semidefinite, there is a unique symmetric positive semidefinite square root, called the principal root, denoted $A^{1/2}$.

Suppose that P diagonalises A, that is $P^TAP = \Lambda$. Then

$$A = P\Lambda P^{T}$$

$$= P\Lambda^{1/2}\Lambda^{1/2}P^{T}$$

$$= P\Lambda^{1/2}P^{T}P\Lambda^{1/2}P^{T}$$

$$A^{1/2} = P\Lambda^{1/2}P^{T}.$$

Definition 3.1

Let ${\bf z}$ be a $k \times 1$ vector of independent standard normal random variables, A an $n \times k$ matrix, and ${\bf b}$ an $n \times 1$ vector. We say that

$$\mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{b}$$

has (an n-dimensional) multivariate normal distribution, with mean $\mu = \mathbf{b}$ and covariance matrix $\Sigma = AA^T$.

We write $\mathbf{x} \sim MVN(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ or just $\mathbf{x} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$.

For any μ and any symmetric positive semidefinite matrix Σ , let ${\bf z}$ be a vector of independent standard normals. Then

$$\mu + \Sigma^{1/2} \mathbf{z} \sim MVN(\mu, \Sigma).$$

If $\mathbf{x} \sim MVN(\pmb{\mu}, \Sigma)$ and Σ is $k \times k$ positive definite, then \mathbf{x} has the density

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{k/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})}.$$

Compare this with the univariate normal density

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}\sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2}}.$$

Any linear combination of multivariate normals results in another multivariate normal: if $\mathbf{x} \sim MVN(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ is $k \times 1$, A is $n \times k$, and \mathbf{b} is $n \times 1$, then

$$\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} \sim MVN(A\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{b}, A\Sigma A^T).$$

To see why, put
$$\mathbf{x}=\Sigma^{1/2}\mathbf{z}+\boldsymbol{\mu}$$
, then
$$\mathbf{v}=A\Sigma^{1/2}\mathbf{z}+A\boldsymbol{\mu}+\mathbf{b}.$$

If $\mathbf{z}=(z_1,z_2)^T$ is multivariate normal, then z_1 and z_2 are independent if and only if they are uncorrelated.


In general, if z_1 and z_2 are normal random variables, $\mathbf{z}=(z_1,z_2)^T$ does not have to be multivariate normal. Moreover, z_1 and z_2 can be uncorrelated but not independent.

For example, suppose that $z_1 \sim N(0,1)$ and $u \sim U(-1,1)$, then $z_2 = z_1 \mathrm{sign}(u) \sim N(0,1)$, but $\mathbf{z} = (z_1,z_2)^T$ is not multivariate normal. (Consider its support.) Moreover z_1 and z_2 are uncorrelated, but clearly dependent.

To generate a sample of size 100 with distribution

$$MVN\left(\left[\begin{array}{c} 3\\1 \end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0.8\\0.8 & 1 \end{array}\right]\right)$$


```
> library(MASS)
> a <- matrix(c(3, 1), 2, 1)
> V <- matrix(c(1, .8, .8, 1), 2, 2)
> y <- mvrnorm(100, mu = a, Sigma = V)
> plot(y[,1], y[,2])
```



Alternatively, starting with standard normals:

```
> P <- eigen(V)$vectors
> sqrtV <- P %*% diag(sqrt(eigen(V)$values)) %*% t(P)
> z <- matrix(rnorm(200), 2, 100)
> y_new <- sqrtV %*% z + rep(a, 100)</pre>
```

> points(y_new[1,], y_new[2,], col = "red")



We have seen that a matrix induces a quadratic form (multivariate function).

What happens when the variables in a quadratic form are random variables?

The form becomes a scalar function of random variables, so it is itself a random variable.

Random quadratic forms will pop up regularly in our theory of linear models. To analyse our models, we want to know their distributions.

Theorem 3.2

Let y be a random vector with $E[y] = \mu$ and var y = V, and let A be a matrix of constants. Then

$$E[\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{y}] = tr(AV) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^T A \boldsymbol{\mu}.$$

Proof. We denote the (i,j)th element of the matrix V by σ_{ij} . For $i \neq j$,

$$\sigma_{ij} = \operatorname{cov}(y_i, y_j) = E[y_i y_j] - \mu_i \mu_j.$$

Also

$$\sigma_{ii} = \text{var } y_i = E[y_i^2] - \mu_i^2.$$

$$E[\mathbf{y}^{T} A \mathbf{y}] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{ij} y_{i} y_{j}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{ij} E[y_{i} y_{j}]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{ij} (\sigma_{ij} + \mu_{i} \mu_{j})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{ij} \sigma_{ji} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu_{i} a_{ij} \mu_{j}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} [AV]_{ii} + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{T} A \boldsymbol{\mu}$$

$$= tr(AV) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{T} A \boldsymbol{\mu}.$$

Example. Let y be a 2×1 random vector with

$$\mu = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{array} \right].$$

Consider the quadratic form

$$\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{y} = 4y_1^2 + 2y_1 y_2 + 2y_2^2.$$

The expectation of this form is

$$E[\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{y}] = 4E[y_1^2] + 2E[y_1 y_2] + 2E[y_2^2].$$

From the given covariance matrix,

2 = var
$$y_1 = E[y_1^2] - E[y_1]^2 = E[y_1^2] - 1$$

5 = var $y_2 = E[y_2^2] - E[y_2]^2 = E[y_2^2] - 9$

so
$$E[y_1^2] = 3$$
 and $E[y_2^2] = 14$. Also

$$1 = \mathsf{cov}(y_1, y_2) = E[y_1 y_2] - E[y_1]E[y_2] = E[y_1 y_2] - 3$$

so
$$E[y_1y_2] = 4$$
.

This gives

$$E[\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{y}] = 4 \times 3 + 2 \times 4 + 2 \times 14 = 48.$$

But from Theorem 3.2.

$$E[\mathbf{y}^{T}A\mathbf{y}] = tr(AV) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{T}A\boldsymbol{\mu}$$

$$= tr\left(\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}\right) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= tr\left(\begin{bmatrix} 9 & 9 \\ 4 & 11 \end{bmatrix}\right) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= 9 + 11 + 7 + 21 = 48.$$

```
> mu < -c(1.3)
> V \leftarrow matrix(c(2,1,1,5),2,2)
> y <- t(mvrnorm(100, mu = mu, Sigma = V))
> A \leftarrow matrix(c(4,1,1,2),2,2)
> sum(diag(A%*%V)) + t(mu)%*%A%*%mu
     [.1]
[1,] 48
> quadform <- function(y, A) t(y) %*% A %*% y</pre>
> mean(apply(y, 2, quadform, A = A))
[1] 48.30091
```

Definition 3.3

Let $\mathbf{y} \sim MVN(\boldsymbol{\mu}, I)$ be a $k \times 1$ random vector. Then

$$x = \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^k y_i^2$$

has a noncentral χ^2 distribution with k degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter $\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \mu^T \mu$. We write $x \sim \chi^2_{k,\lambda}$.

Warning: some authors (including R!) define λ to be $\mu^T \mu$.

Note that the distribution of x depends on μ only through λ .

Example. Let

$$\mathbf{y} \sim MVN\left(\left[egin{array}{c} 4 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{array}
ight], I_3
ight).$$

Then $y_1^2+y_2^2+y_3^2$ has a noncentral χ^2 distribution with 3 degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = 12.$$

Suppose $\mathbf{y} \sim MVN(\boldsymbol{\mu}, I_k)$ and $x = \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} \sim \chi_{k,\lambda}^2$. Then from Theorem 3.2,

$$E[x] = tr(I_k) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\mu} = k + 2\lambda.$$

The noncentrality parameter $\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \mu^T \mu$ is zero if and only if $\mu = \mathbf{0}$, in which case x is just the sum of k independent standard normals.

In this case, we already know that x has an ordinary (central) χ^2 distribution with k degrees of freedom.

The density of the noncentral χ^2 distribution is complicated; one expression is


$$f(x; k, \lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{i}}{i!} g(x; k+2i)$$

where q is the density of the χ^2 distribution

$$g(x;k) = \frac{1}{2^{k/2}\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} x^{k/2-1} e^{-x/2}.$$

It can also be shown that if $x \sim \chi^2_{k \lambda}$, then

var
$$x = 2k + 8\lambda$$
.




Generating a noncentral χ^2

```
> y \leftarrow t(mvrnorm(100, mu = c(1,2,1,0), Sigma = diag(4)))
```

- > hist(apply(y,2,quadform,A=diag(4)), freq=FALSE)
- > curve(dchisq(x,4,6), add=TRUE, col="red")

Generating a noncentral χ^2

Histogram of apply(y, 2, quadform, A = diag(4))



Adding noncentral χ^2 s

Theorem 3.4

Let $X_{k_1,\lambda_1}^2,\ldots,X_{k_n,\lambda_n}^2$ be a collection of n independent noncentral χ^2 random variables, with $X_{k_i,\lambda_i}^2\sim\chi_{k_i,\lambda_i}^2$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{k_i,\lambda_i}^2$$

has a noncentral χ^2 distribution with $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i$ degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$.


If we set $\lambda_i=0$ for all i, we get the result that the sum of independent χ^2 variables is another χ^2 variable.

Adding noncentral χ^2 s

```
> x1 <- rchisq(100,3,0)
> x2 <- rchisq(100,6,2)
> x3 <- rchisq(100,5,5)
> hist(x1+x2+x3,freq=FALSE)
> curve(dchisq(x,14,7),add=TRUE,col='red')
```

Adding noncentral χ^2 s

Histogram of x1 + x2 + x3



Theorem 3.5

Let $\mathbf{y} \sim MVN(\boldsymbol{\mu}, I)$ be a $n \times 1$ random vector and let A be a $n \times n$ symmetric matrix. Then $\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{y}$ has a noncentral χ^2 distribution with k degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter $\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}^T A \boldsymbol{\mu}$ if and only if A is idempotent and has rank k.

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Assume that A is idempotent and has rank k. Because it is symmetric, it can be diagonalised. Let the (orthogonal) diagonalising matrix be P.

Since A is symmetric and idempotent, all its eigenvalues are either 0 or 1. Moreover, we know that the sum of the eigenvalues is

$$tr(A) = r(A) = k.$$

Therefore, A must have k eigenvalues of 1 and n-k eigenvalues of 0.

Now arrange the columns of P so that all the 1 eigenvalues are first. Then we can partition the diagonalisation of A as

$$P^T A P = \begin{bmatrix} I_k & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now define the random vector $\mathbf{z} = P^T \mathbf{y} \sim MVN(P^T \boldsymbol{\mu}, I_n)$. Partition the vectors/matrices as

$$\mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_1 \\ \mathbf{z}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 \mid P_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where \mathbf{z}_1 is $k \times 1$ and P_1 is $n \times k$.

Then
$$\mathbf{z}_1 = P_1^T \mathbf{y} \sim MVN(P_1^T \boldsymbol{\mu}, I_k)$$
 and
$$\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{y} = (P \mathbf{z})^T A (P \mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{z}^T P^T A P \mathbf{z}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_1^T \mid \mathbf{z}_2^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{I_k \mid 0}{0 \mid 0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_1 \\ \mathbf{z}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_1^T \mid \mathbf{z}_2^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{z}_1}{0} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \mathbf{z}_1^T \mathbf{z}_1.$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z}_1^T \mathbf{z}_1$ has a noncentral χ^2 distribution with k degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}^T P_1 P_1^T \boldsymbol{\mu}.$$

Since

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} P_1 & P_2 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} I & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} P_1^T \\ \hline P_2^T \end{array} \right]$$
$$= \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} P_1 & P_2 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} P_1^T \\ \hline 0 \end{array} \right]$$
$$= P_1 P_1^T,$$

we have

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}^T A \boldsymbol{\mu}.$$

Corollary 3.6

Let $\mathbf{y} \sim MVN(\mathbf{0}, I_n)$ be a $n \times 1$ random vector and let A be a $n \times n$ symmetric matrix. Then $\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{y}$ has a (ordinary) χ^2 distribution with k degrees of freedom if and only if A is idempotent and has rank k.

Corollary 3.7

Let $\mathbf{y} \sim MVN(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2 I_n)$ be a $n \times 1$ random vector and let A be a $n \times n$ symmetric matrix. Then $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\mathbf{y}^TA\mathbf{y}$ has a noncentral χ^2 distribution with k degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter $\lambda = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\boldsymbol{\mu}^TA\boldsymbol{\mu}$ if and only if A is idempotent and has rank k.

Example. Let y_1 and y_2 be independent normal random variables with means 3 and -2 respectively and variance 1. Let

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

It is easy to verify that A is symmetric and idempotent, and has rank 1.


Therefore

$$\mathbf{y}^{T} A \mathbf{y} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 & y_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} y_1^2 + y_1 y_2 + \frac{1}{2} y_2^2$$

has a noncentral χ^2 distribution with 1 degree of freedom and noncentrality parameter

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{4} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 3 & -2 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} 3 \\ -2 \end{array} \right] = \frac{1}{4}.$$

```
> y1 <- rnorm(100,3,1)
> y2 <- rnorm(100,-2,1)
> x <- y1^2/2+y1*y2+y2^2/2
> hist(x,freq=FALSE)
> curve(dchisq(x,1,1/2),add=T,col='red')
```



What happens if y does not have variance I?

Theorem 3.8

Let $\mathbf{y} \sim MVN(\boldsymbol{\mu}, V)$ be a $n \times 1$ random vector, and let A be a $n \times n$ symmetric matrix. Then $\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{y}$ has a noncentral χ^2 distribution with k degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter $\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}^T A \boldsymbol{\mu}$ if and only if AV is idempotent and has rank k.

Corollary 3.9

Let $\mathbf{y} \sim MVN(\mathbf{0}, V)$ be a $n \times 1$ random vector and let A be a $n \times n$ symmetric matrix. Then $\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{y}$ has a (ordinary) χ^2 distribution with k degrees of freedom if and only if AV is idempotent and has rank k.

Corollary 3.10


Let $\mathbf{y} \sim MVN(\boldsymbol{\mu}, V)$ be a $n \times 1$ random vector where the variance V is nonsingular. Then $\mathbf{y}^T V^{-1} \mathbf{y}$ has a noncentral χ^2 distribution with n degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter $\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}^T V^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}$.

R example: noncentral χ^2

```
> y <- t(mvrnorm(100, mu=a, Sigma=V))
> x \leftarrow apply(y, 2, quadform, A = solve(V))
> (lambda <- t(a) %*% solve(V) %*% a / 2)</pre>
          [,1]
[1,] 2.277778
> mean(x)
[1] 6.082663
> 2 + 2*lambda
          \lceil .1 \rceil
[1,] 6.555556
> hist(x, freq=F)
> curve(dchisq(x, 2, 2*lambda), add = TRUE, col='red')
```

R example: noncentral χ^2

Histogram of x



Sometimes we will want to know when two quadratic forms are independent. The next theorem tells us when this happens.

Theorem 3.11

Let $\mathbf{y} \sim MVN(\boldsymbol{\mu}, V)$ be a $n \times 1$ random vector with nonsingular variance V, and let A and B be symmetric $n \times n$ matrices. Then $\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{y}^T B \mathbf{y}$ are independent if and only if

$$AVB = 0.$$

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Suppose that AVB = 0. Since V is symmetric and positive definite we have $V = C^2$ for some C, thus ACCB = 0.

Let

$$R = CAC$$
, $S = CBC$,

then

$$RS = CACCBC = 0.$$

Because A,B, and C are symmetric, R and S are also symmetric. Thus

$$SR = S^T R^T = (RS)^T = 0 = RS.$$

By Theorem 2.4, we can find an orthogonal matrix P which diagonalises R and S simultaneously.

Since C is nonsingular, r(R) = r(CAC) = r(A).

Thus

$$P^T R P = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where D_1 has dimension $r(A) \times r(A)$.

Because RS = 0, it can be shown that

$$P^T S P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & D_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where the partition has the same dimensions.

Now define

$$\mathbf{z} = P^T C^{-1} \mathbf{y}.$$

Then z is multivariate normal with

$$E[\mathbf{z}] = P^T C^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}$$

and

var
$$\mathbf{z} = P^T C^{-1} V C^{-1} P = P^T P = I$$
.

Thus the elements of z are independent.

Partition z into

$$\mathbf{z} = \left[\frac{\mathbf{z}_1}{\mathbf{z}_2} \right]$$

where \mathbf{z}_1 has dimension $r(A) \times 1$.

By rewriting our equations, we see that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{y} & = & CP\mathbf{z} \\ A & = & C^{-1}RC^{-1} \\ B & = & C^{-1}SC^{-1} \end{array}$$

So

$$\mathbf{y}^{T} A \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z}^{T} P^{T} C C^{-1} R C^{-1} C P \mathbf{z}$$

$$= \mathbf{z}^{T} P^{T} R P \mathbf{z}$$

$$= \left[\mathbf{z}_{1}^{T} \mid \mathbf{z}_{2}^{T} \right] \left[\frac{D_{1} \mid 0}{0 \mid 0} \right] \left[\frac{\mathbf{z}_{1}}{\mathbf{z}_{2}} \right]$$

$$= \mathbf{z}_{1}^{T} D_{1} \mathbf{z}_{1}$$

and similarly

$$\mathbf{y}^T B \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z}_2^T D_2 \mathbf{z}_2.$$

But \mathbf{z}_1 and \mathbf{z}_2 are mutually independent of each other, since all elements of \mathbf{z} are independent. Therefore $\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{y}^T B \mathbf{y}$ are independent.

Example. Let y_1 and y_2 follow a multivariate normal distribution with covariance matrix

$$V = \left[\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ b & c \end{array} \right].$$

Consider the symmetric matrices

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right], \quad B = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Then

$$\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{y} = y_1^2, \quad \mathbf{y}^T B \mathbf{y} = y_2^2.$$

These quadratic forms will be independent if and only if

$$AVB = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is the 0 matrix.

But this means b=0, i.e. y_1 and y_2 have zero covariance.

We've just "shown" that for multivariate random normals, uncorrelatedness is independence.


Corollary 3.12

Let $\mathbf{y} \sim MVN(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2 I)$ be a random vector and let A and B be symmetric matrices. Then $\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{y}^T B \mathbf{y}$ are independent if and only if AB = 0.

Independence example

```
> A <- matrix(1, 2, 2)
> B \leftarrow matrix(c(1,-1,-1,1), 2, 2)
> A %*% B
     [,1] [,2]
[1.] 0
[2,] 0
> y \leftarrow t(mvrnorm(200, c(0, 0), diag(2)))
> x1 \leftarrow apply(y, 2, quadform, A = A)
> x2 \leftarrow apply(y, 2, quadform, A = B)
> cor(x1, x2)
[1] 0.123936
> plot(x1, x2)
```

Independence example



Next we consider when a quadratic form is independent of a random vector. Firstly, we define a random variable to be independent of a random vector if and only if it is independent of all elements of that vector.

Theorem 3.13

Let $\mathbf{y} \sim MVN(\boldsymbol{\mu}, V)$ be a $n \times 1$ random vector, and let A be a $n \times n$ symmetric matrix and B a $m \times n$ matrix. Then $\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{y}$ and $B \mathbf{y}$ are independent if and only if BVA = 0.

Lastly, we can combine several of the theorems we have seen before to tell when a group of quadratic forms (more than two) are independent.

Theorem 3.14

Let $\mathbf{y} \sim MVN(\boldsymbol{\mu}, I)$ be a random vector, and let A_1, \ldots, A_m be a set of symmetric matrices. If any two of the following statements are true:

- All A_i are idempotent;
- $ightharpoonup \sum_{i=1}^m A_i$ is idempotent;
- $A_i A_j = 0$ for all $i \neq j$;

then so is the third, and

- For all i, $\mathbf{y}^T A_i \mathbf{y}$ has a noncentral χ^2 distribution with $r(A_i)$ d.f. and noncentrality parameter $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}^T A_i \boldsymbol{\mu}$;
- ▶ $\mathbf{y}^T A_i \mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{y}^T A_j \mathbf{y}$ are independent for $i \neq j$; and

When $\sum_i A_i = I$, the previous result is related to the following theorem (which we will not prove):

Theorem 3.15 (Cochran-Fisher Theorem)

Let $\mathbf{y} \sim MVN(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2 I)$ be a $n \times 1$ random vector. Decompose the sum of squares of \mathbf{y}/σ into the quadratic forms

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{y}^T A_i \mathbf{y}.$$

Then the quadratic forms are independent and have noncentral χ^2 distributions with parameters $r(A_i)$ and $\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\mu^TA_i\mu$, respectively, if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} r(A_i) = n.$$