

#### 14. TOPIC 3 — LECTURE 13

Slides: pgs. 148–163. Exercises: Topic 3, Q.83–86

Exercise What geometrical property must hold true if the vectors  $(1, 1)$  and  $(1, -1)$  were to be linearly dependent?

Lines and planes passing through the origin have some special properties which together are said to define a subspace. The defining properties for a subset  $S$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to be a subspace are that

- (0)  $S$  is nonempty
- (1) closure under vector addition
- (2) closure under scalar multiplication.

Example Check that the line  $\mathbf{x} = t(1, -1, 1)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  defines a subspace

Solution The three defining properties have to be checked.

(0) Since  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  is a point on the line, it is nonempty.

(1) Let  $\mathbf{x}_1 = t_1(1, -1, 1)$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2 = t_2(1, -1, 1)$  be two points on the line, and thus that the set is closed under vector addition. Our task is to show that  $\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2$  is also a point on the line. We have  $\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 = (t_1 + t_2)(1, -1, 1)$ . Setting  $t_1 + t_2 = s$ , and noting  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we thus see that  $\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2$  is a point on the line.

(2) Let  $\mathbf{x}_0 = t_0(1, -1, 1)$  be a point on the line, and let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  be a scalar. Our task is to show that  $\alpha\mathbf{x}_0$  is a point on the line, and thus that the set is closed under scalar multiplication. We have  $\alpha\mathbf{x}_0 = (\alpha t_0)(1, -1, 1)$ . Setting  $\alpha t_0 = s$ , and noting  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we thus see that  $\alpha\mathbf{x}_0$  is a point on the line.

Example Show that the set  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$  is not a subspace.

Solution To prove that the set is not a subspace, it suffices to find just one example which contradicts one of the axioms. Thus consider the point  $(1/2, 1/2)$  in the set, and suppose we multiply this by the scalar 4. This gives the point  $(2, 2)$ . But this point is no longer in the set, so the set is not closed under scalar multiplication, and is therefore not a subspace.

## 15. TOPIC 3 — LECTURE 14

Slides: pgs. 148–163. Exercises: Topic 3, Q.83–86 (Continued)

Exercise Show that the line  $y = -2x + 1$  does not define a subspace.

Exercise Show that the plane  $2x + y + z = 0$  does define a subspace.

Cataloguing subspaces in  $\mathbb{R}^2$

- (i)  $\{\mathbf{0}\}$  — the zero vector.
- (ii) Lines through the origin.
- (iii)  $\mathbb{R}^2$  itself.

Cataloguing subspaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$

- (i)  $\{\mathbf{0}\}$  — the zero vector.
- (ii) Lines through the origin.
- (iii) Planes through the origin.
- (iv)  $\mathbb{R}^3$  itself.

## 16. TOPIC 3 — LECTURE 15

Slides: pgs. 164–174. Exercises: Topic 3, Q.87–90

The span of a set of vectors is the set of linear combinations of those vectors. A little thought shows that all spans are subspaces, and similarly that all subspaces are spans.

Example Give a geometrical description of  $\text{Span}\{(1, 0, -1), (\frac{1}{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2})\}$ .

Solution The two vectors are linearly dependent:  $(1, 0, -1) = 2(\frac{1}{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2})$ . Hence the span gives vectors of the form  $\mathbf{x} = t(1, 0, -1)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . This is a line through the origin in the direction of  $(1, 0, -1)$ .

The word span can also be used in another sense. We say that set a given set of vectors spans a subspace  $S$  if the set of all linear combination of those vectors equals  $S$ .

Example Can the vectors  $(1, 0, -1)$  and  $(1, 1, 1)$  span  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ?

Solution Here we are asking if, for general  $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , we can find scalars  $\alpha, \beta$  such that

$$\alpha(1, 0, -1) + \beta(1, 1, 1) = (x, y, z).$$

Since

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ -1 & 1 & z \end{array} \right] \sim \left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & x - 2y + z \end{array} \right]$$

This illustrates the fact that the span of two vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  can never equal  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

More generally, the span of  $k$  vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $k < n$  can never give  $\mathbb{R}^n$

Example  $\text{Span}\{(1, 1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 0), (1, 1, 0, 0)\} \neq \mathbb{R}^4$ .

Question How can we check if 3 or more vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  span  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . For example, does  $\text{Span}\{(1, 0, -1), (1, 1, 1), (1, 0, 0)\} = \mathbb{R}^3$ .

Answer Here we are asking if, for general  $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , we can find scalars  $\alpha, \beta$  such that

$$\alpha(1, 0, -1) + \beta(1, 1, 1) = (x, y, z).$$

For the augmented matrix system we have

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & y \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & z \end{array} \right] \sim \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & x - 2y + z \end{array} \right]$$

Here the system is consistent, and there are 3 leading entries for three unknowns.

We recognise this as the criterion for the 3 vectors to be linearly independent.