



THE UNIVERSITY OF  
MELBOURNE

Semester 2 Final Exam Solution, 2016

School of Mathematics and Statistics

**MAST20005 Statistics**

Writing time:

Reading time:

This is NOT an open book exam

This paper consists of 4 pages (including this page)

**Question 1 (12 marks)**

- (a) Let  $x_{(1)} = \min_i x_i$ . The likelihood is

$$L(\theta, \lambda) = \prod_i \theta e^{-\theta(x_i - \lambda)} I(x_i \geq \lambda) = \theta^n e^{-\theta \sum_i (x_i - \lambda)} I(x_{(1)} \geq \lambda) \quad (1)$$

- (b) First consider maximising  $L(\theta, \lambda)$  in  $\lambda$  for given  $\theta$ . Since  $L(\theta, \lambda)$  is nondecreasing in  $\lambda$  for  $\lambda \leq x_{(1)}$ , the MLE for lambda is  $\hat{\lambda} = x_{(1)}$ . Second set  $\lambda = \hat{\lambda}$  and solve

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} L(\theta, \hat{\lambda}) = \frac{n}{\theta} - \sum_i (x_i - x_{(1)}). \quad (2)$$

Therefore the MLE of  $\theta$  is  $\hat{\theta} = \sum_i (x_i - x_{(1)})/n$ .

- (c) The likelihood function can be written as

$$L(\theta, \lambda) = \theta^n e^{-\theta n \bar{x} + \theta \lambda} I(x_{(1)} \geq \lambda) = \phi(\bar{x}, x_{(1)}, \theta, \lambda) h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (3)$$

with  $h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$ . Thus the factorisation theorem implies that  $(\bar{X}, x_{(1)})$  is sufficient for  $(\theta, \lambda)$ .

- (d) Note that  $\partial^2 \log L(\theta, \lambda) / \partial \theta^2 = -1/\theta^2$ . Thus the CR-LB is  $\theta^2/n$ .
- (e) The maximum likelihood estimate is  $\hat{\theta} = 4.94$  and an approximate 99% confidence interval is  $\hat{\theta} \pm 2.57 \hat{\theta} / \sqrt{n}$  or  $(0.92, 8.96)$ .

**Question 2 (10 marks)**

- (a) Note that

$$EY = \int_0^\theta y n y^{n-1} \theta^{-n} dy = \frac{n}{n+1} y^{n+1} \Big|_0^\theta = \frac{n}{n+1} \theta$$

Thus,  $(n+1)Y/n$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\theta$ .

- (b) Note that for  $c < \theta$  we have

$$P(Y < c) = \int_0^c \theta n y^{n-1} \theta^{-n} dy = \frac{y^n}{\theta^n} \Big|_0^c = (c/\theta)^n.$$

This implies

$$P(\theta \alpha^{1/n} < Y < \theta) = P(Y < \theta) - P(Y < \theta \alpha^{1/n}) = 1 - \alpha.$$

The above probability statement is equivalent to  $1 - \alpha = P(Y < \theta < Y/\alpha^{1/n})$ . Hence, a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence interval for  $\theta$  is  $(y, y/\alpha^{1/n})$ .

- (c) Since  $y = 9.4$  a 95% confidence interval is  $(y, y/0.05^{1/5}) = (9.4, 17.1)$ .

**Question 3 (12 marks)** The following data are the lead concentration ( $\mu\text{g/l}$ ) in eight samples:

17.0 21.4 30.6 5.0 12.2 11.8 17.3 18.8

corresponding to sample mean  $\bar{x} = 16.76$  and sample standard deviation  $s = 7.57$ .

(a)  $\hat{m} = \hat{\pi}_{0.5} = (17.3 + 17)/2 = 17.15$ .

(b) There are 3 negative differences  $x_i - 15$ :

```
> x-15
[1] 2.0 6.4 15.6 -10.0 -2.8 -3.2 2.3 3.8
```

meaning that 5 out of 8 observations provide evidence against  $H_0$ . Let  $Y \sim \text{Binomial}(n = 8, p = 0.5)$  pvalue is then computed as  $P(Y \geq 5) = 1 - P(Y \leq 4) = 1 - 0.64 = 0.36 > 0.05$ . Therefore, we cannot reject  $H_0$  at the 0.05 level of significance.

(c) Note that

$$P(Y_2 < m < Y_7) = \sum_{k=2}^6 \binom{8}{k} (1/2)^k (1/2)^{8-k} = 0.96 - 0.04 = 0.92$$

Therefore a 92% confidence interval for  $m$  is (11.8, 21.4).

(d) A 95% CI is  $\bar{x} \pm 1.96s/\sqrt{n}$ , i.e. (10.43, 23.09), which is quite close but larger than the nonparametric confidence interval. This additional uncertainty may be due to the slight skewness of the empirical distribution of the observations.

**Question 4 (10 marks)**

(a) The posterior distribution of  $\theta$  is proportional to

$$\frac{\alpha x_0^\alpha}{\theta^{\alpha+1}} I(\theta \geq x_0) \prod_i \frac{1}{\theta} I(0 \leq x_i \leq \theta) = \frac{\alpha x_0^\alpha}{\theta^{\alpha+1}} I(\theta \geq x_0) \prod_i \frac{1}{\theta} I(M \leq \theta) = \frac{\alpha x_0^\alpha}{\theta^{n+\alpha+1}} I(\theta > \max\{x_0, M\})$$

(b) Note that the above expression has the same form of the prior distribution  $h(\theta)$  with new parameters  $\alpha^* = \alpha + 1$  and  $x_0^* = \max\{M, x_0\}$  up to a constant not depending on  $\theta$ . Thus the posterior pdf must take the form

$$\frac{(\alpha + n)x_0^{*(\alpha+n)}}{\theta^{n+\alpha+1}}, \theta \geq x_0^*.$$

(c) The posterior mean is

$$E[\theta|x_1, \dots, x_n] = (\alpha + n) \max\{x_0, M\} / (\alpha + n - 1)$$

(d)  $E[\theta|x_1, \dots, x_n] = (5 + 15) \max\{20, 30\} / (5 + 15 - 1) = 20(30)/19 = 31.58$ .

**Question 5 (5 marks)**

- (a)  $n > 2^2 1.96^2 p(1-p)/(0.1)^2$ . So if  $p = 1/2$ , the lecturer needs at least  $n = 384.16 \approx 385$  observations.
- (b) If  $p < 3/4$ ,  $n > 2^2 1.96^2 (3/4)(1/4)/(0.1)^2 = 288.12$  or  $n \geq 289$ .

**Question 6 (7 marks)** Suppose that among males aged 50-59 the Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) follows a  $N(\mu, 1)$  distribution (i.e.  $\sigma = 1$  is assumed known). Consider a test for the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \mu = 4$  rejecting  $H_0$  when  $|Z| = \sqrt{n}|\bar{X} - 4| > 1.645$ .

- (a)  $\alpha = P(|Z| > 1.645) = P(-1.645 \leq N(0, 1) \leq 1.645) = 0.90$ .

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 - P(|Z| > 1.645 | \mu = 5) &= 1 - P(4 - 1.645/3 < \bar{X} < 4 + 1.645/3) \\
 &= 1 - P(-1 - 1.645/3 < \bar{X} - 5 < -1 + 1.645/3) \\
 &= 1 - (P(N(0, 1) < -1 + 1.645/3) - P(N(0, 1) < -1 - 1.645/3)) \\
 &= 1 - 0.3257 + 0.06077 = 0.7350
 \end{aligned}$$

**Question 7 (8 marks)**

- (a) Recall that  $S_X^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(n-1)$  and  $S_Y^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(m-1)$ . The hint implies  $E[S_X^2] = \sigma^2$  and  $E[S_Y^2] = \sigma^2$ . Then

$$E[S_{\text{pool}}^2] = \frac{(n-1)E[S_X^2] + (m-1)E[S_Y^2]}{n+m-2} = \frac{(n-1)\sigma^2 + (m-1)\sigma^2}{n+m-2}$$

- (b) Note that the variance function  $\phi(\alpha) = \text{Var}[\alpha S_X^2 + (1-\alpha)S_Y^2] = \alpha^2 \text{Var}(S_X^2) + (1-\alpha)^2 \text{Var}(S_Y^2)$  is minimized at

$$\alpha = \frac{1/\text{Var}(S_X^2)}{1/\text{Var}(S_X^2) + 1/\text{Var}(S_Y^2)}$$

By the hint note that  $\text{Var}[S_X^2\sigma^2] = 2(n-1)$  or  $\text{Var}[S_X^2] = 2(n-1)\sigma^4$ . Similarly,  $\text{Var}[S_Y^2] = 2(m-1)\sigma^4$ . Thus,  $\alpha = (n-1)/(n+m-2)$  and  $1-\alpha = (m-1)/(n+m-2)$ .

**Question 8 (6 marks)** The first moment equation is  $\bar{X} = E(X) = \frac{r(1-p)}{p}$ . The second moment equation is

$$E(X^2) = \frac{r(1-p)}{p^2} - \frac{r^2(1-p)^2}{p^2} = \frac{r(1-p)}{p} \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{r(1-p)}{p} \right) = \bar{X}(1/p - \bar{X}).$$

Solving in  $p$  gives

$$\tilde{p} = \frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{X}^2 - (\bar{X})^2}$$

where  $\bar{X}^2 = \sum_i X_i^2/n$ . Replace  $\tilde{p}$  in the first equation and get

$$\tilde{r} = \frac{p}{(1-p)} \bar{X} = \frac{\bar{X}^2}{\bar{X}^2 - (\bar{X})^2 - \bar{X}}.$$