

COMP30026 Models of Computation

Finite-State Automata

Harald Søndergaard


Lecture 13

Semester 2, 2017

An Example Automaton

Imagine a vending machine selling tea or coffee for \$2. It accepts 1- and 2-dollar coins.


If we let '1' ('2') stand for the event that a 1-dollar (2-dollar) coin enters the coin slot, and C (T) stand for the push of button 'C' ('T') and subsequent delivery of a cup of coffee (tea), then the following automaton describes the acceptable event sequences:



That's "acceptable" from a greedy vending machine owner's point of view, for example, $2T11C22C$ is accepted, but $111C1T$ is not.

Example 2

Here is an automaton for recognising unsigned number literals in some programming language:



d is an abbreviation for 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 (that is, the digits).

Formal Definition


A **finite automaton** is a 5-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, where

- Q is a finite set of **states**,
- Σ is a finite **alphabet**,
- $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$ is the **transition function**,
- $q_0 \in Q$ is the **start state**, and
- $F \subseteq Q$ are the **accept states**.

Here δ is a **total** function, that is, δ must be defined for all possible inputs.

Back to Example 2

To make it clear that the transition function is total, we should add:



and similar arcs to state 8 from states 2, 3 and 4. We left these out, as they will just clutter the diagram.

Strings and Languages

An **alphabet** Σ can be any non-empty finite set.

The elements of Σ are the **symbols** of the alphabet. Usually we choose symbols such as a, b, c, 1, 2, 3,

A **string** over Σ is a **finite** sequence of symbols from Σ .


We write the **concatenation** of a string y to a string x as xy .

The **empty string** is denoted by ϵ (JFLAP uses λ).

A **language** (over alphabet Σ) is a (finite or infinite) set of finite strings over Σ .

Σ^* denotes the set of **all finite strings** over Σ .

Example 3



The automaton M_1 (above) can be described precisely as

$$M_1 = (\{q_1, q_2, q_3\}, \{0, 1\}, \delta, q_1, \{q_2\}) \quad \text{with}$$


δ	0	1
q_1	q_1	q_2
q_2	q_3	q_2
q_3	q_2	q_2

$$L(M_1) = \left\{ w \mid \begin{array}{l} w \text{ contains at least one 1, and an} \\ \text{even number of 0s follow the last 1} \end{array} \right\}$$

is the language **recognised** by M_1 .

Example 4

Which language is recognised by this machine?




Exercise

Consider the alphabet $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$. We can interpret strings in Σ^* as numbers in binary representation.

Construct an automaton over Σ to recognise exactly those numbers that are multiples of 5.

Hint: Consider five states:



Acceptance and Recognition, Formally

What does it mean for an automaton to accept a string?

Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ and let $w = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n$ be a string from Σ^* .

M **accepts** w iff there is a sequence of states r_0, r_1, \dots, r_n , with each $r_i \in Q$, such that

- ① $r_0 = q_0$
- ② $\delta(r_i, v_{i+1}) = r_{i+1}$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$
- ③ $r_n \in F$

M **recognises** language A iff $A = \{w \mid M \text{ accepts } w\}$.

Regular Languages

A language is **regular** iff there is a finite automaton that recognises it.

We shall soon see that there are languages which are not regular.

Regular Operations

Remember that to us, a language is simply a set of strings.

Let A and B be languages. The **regular operations** are:

- **Union:** $A \cup B$
- **Concatenation:** $A \circ B = \{xy \mid x \in A, y \in B\}$
- **Kleene star:** $A^* = \{x_1x_2 \cdots x_k \mid k \geq 0, \text{ each } x_i \in A\}$

Note that the empty string, ϵ , is always in A^* .

Regular Operations: Example

Let $A = \{aa, abba\}$ and $B = \{a, ba, bba, bbba, \dots\}$.

$A \cup B = \{a, aa, abba, ba, bba, bbba, \dots\}$.

$A \circ B = \{aaa, abbaa, aaba, abbaba, aabba, abbabba, \dots\}$.

$A^* = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \epsilon, aa, abba, aaaa, aaabba, abbaaa, abbaabba, \\ aaaaaa, aaaaabba, aaabbbaa, aaabbaabba, \dots \end{array} \right\}$.

The regular languages are closed under the regular operations.

It will be easier to show this after we have considered
non-deterministic automata.


Nondeterminism

The type of machine we have seen so far is called a **deterministic** finite automaton, or **DFA**.

We now turn to non-deterministic finite automata, or **NFAs**.

Here is an NFA that recognises the language


$$\left\{ w \mid w \in \{0, 1\}^* \text{ has length 3 or more, and the third last symbol in } w \text{ is } 1 \right\}$$



Note: **No** transitions from q_4 , and **two** possible transitions when we meet a 1 in state q_1 .

Nondeterminism

The NFA is more intelligible than a DFA for the same language:




This is the simplest DFA that will do the job!

Epsilon Transitions

NFAs may also be allowed to move from one state to another without consuming input.

Such a transition is an ϵ transition (JFLAP calls it a λ -transition).

Amongst other things, this is useful for constructing a machine to recognise the **union** $A \cup B$ of two languages:



where M_A and M_B are recognisers for A and B , respectively.

Formal Definition

For any alphabet Σ let Σ_ϵ denote $\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$.

An **NFA** is a 5-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, where

- Q is a finite set of **states**,
- Σ is a finite **alphabet**,
- $\delta : Q \times \Sigma_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q)$ is the **transition function**,
- $q_0 \in Q$ is the **start state**, and
- $F \subseteq Q$ are the **accept states**.

NFA Acceptance and Recognition, Formally

The definition of what it means for an NFA N to accept a string says that it has to be **possible** to make the necessary transitions.

Let $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ be an NFA and let $w = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n$ where each v_i is a member of Σ_ϵ .

N **accepts** w iff there is a sequence of states r_0, r_1, \dots, r_n , with each $r_i \in Q$, such that

- ① $r_0 = q_0$
- ② $r_{i+1} \in \delta(r_i, v_{i+1})$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$
- ③ $r_n \in F$

N **recognises** language A iff $A = \{w \mid N \text{ accepts } w\}$.

Next Lecture: Being Regular

More regular language theory in the next lecture.

In particular we shall see that NFAs are no more powerful than DFAs (albeit more convenient in many cases).