



F/SOP/FYDP 02/10/00

NED University of Engineering & Technology
Department of Computer and Information Systems Engineering
CS-406 Computer Engineering Project

Grading of Semester 2 (8th/Spring Semester) Progress (Weightage - 24%)

Project ID: _____

Project Title: _____

S. No	Student Name	Seat No.	I Intellectual Contribution (16)	II Attendance (8)	III Coherence with group (8)	IV Response to Questions (8)	V Timely Report Submission (8)	Weighted Average Score (48)
			PLO-2	PLO-8	PLO-9	PLO-10	PLO-11	
1								
2								
3								
4								

Use Rubric FYDP-OBE-04 for each student.

Average percentage score from the rubrics filled by supervisor and examiner shall be placed in the above table.

Weighted Average Score = $[PLO-2 (\%)*16 + PLO-8 (\%)*8 + PLO-9 (\%)*8 + PLO-10 (\%)*8 + PLO-11 (\%)*8]/100$

FYDP Supervisor

FYDP Coordinator

NED University of Engineering & Technology
Department of Computer and Information Systems Engineering
CS-406 Computer Engineering Project

Rubric for Semester 2 (8th/Spring Semester) Progress (Weightage - 24%)

Project ID: _____

Student Name: _____

Seat No.: _____

	Levels of Achievement (%)				
	Unacceptable (0)	Just acceptable (25%)	Basic (50%)	Good (75%)	Excellent (100%)
I Intellectual Contribution	Doesn't contribute to the project work and does not show understanding of the different project attributes.	Barely participates in the project activities and shows some understanding of the different project attributes.	Contributes in some activities of the project and shows reasonable understanding of the different project attributes.	Contributes effectively in majority of the project activities and has good understanding of the complex project attributes.	Enthusiastic and contributes in all activities of the project and demonstrates very good understanding of the complex project
II Attendance	To be taken from Attendance Proforma maintained by the Supervisor				
III Coherence with group	Non-cooperative.	Rarely contributes in group discussions and not a good team member.	Sometimes contributes useful ideas in group discussions and a satisfactory group member.	Usually provides useful ideas in group discussions and a good group member who tries hard.	Routinely provides useful ideas in group discussions and a definite leader who contributes a lot of effort.
IV Response to Questions	Neither understands the question, nor could reply.	Adequate understanding of the question and reply.	Seemed to understand the main points of the question and replied to those with ease.	Clearly understood the question and replied with ease.	Understood the question in-depth and replied confidently.
V Timely Report Submission	Not Submitted in time.				Timely Submitted.

FYDP Supervisor

NED University of Engineering & Technology
Department of Computer and Information Systems Engineering
CS-406 Computer Engineering Project

Rubric for Semester 2 (8th/Spring Semester) Progress (Weightage - 24%)

Project ID: _____

Student Name: _____

Seat No.: _____

	Levels of Achievement (%)				
	Unacceptable (0)	Just acceptable (25%)	Basic (50%)	Good (75%)	Excellent (100%)
I Intellectual Contribution	Doesn't contribute to the project work and does not show understanding of the different project attributes.	Barely participates in the project activities and shows some understanding of the different project attributes.	Contributes in some activities of the project and shows reasonable understanding of the different project attributes.	Contributes effectively in majority of the project activities and has good understanding of the complex project attributes.	Enthusiastic and contributes in all activities of the project and demonstrates very good understanding of the complex project
II Attendance	To be taken from Attendance Proforma maintained by the Supervisor				
III Coherence with group	Non-cooperative.	Rarely contributes in group discussions and not a good team member.	Sometimes contributes useful ideas in group discussions and a satisfactory group member.	Usually provides useful ideas in group discussions and a good group member who tries hard.	Routinely provides useful ideas in group discussions and a definite leader who contributes a lot of effort.
IV Response to Questions	Neither understands the question, nor could reply.	Adequate understanding of the question and reply.	Seemed to understand the main points of the question and replied to those with ease.	Clearly understood the question and replied with ease.	Understood the question in-depth and replied confidently.
V Timely Report Submission	Not Submitted in time.				Timely Submitted.

FYDP Supervisor

NED University of Engineering & Technology
Department of Computer and Information Systems Engineering
CS-406 Computer Engineering Project

Rubric for Semester 2 (8th/Spring Semester) Progress (Weightage - 24%)

Project ID: _____

Student Name: _____

Seat No.: _____

	Levels of Achievement (%)				
	Unacceptable (0)	Just acceptable (25%)	Basic (50%)	Good (75%)	Excellent (100%)
I Intellectual Contribution	Doesn't contribute to the project work and does not show understanding of the different project attributes.	Barely participates in the project activities and shows some understanding of the different project attributes.	Contributes in some activities of the project and shows reasonable understanding of the different project attributes.	Contributes effectively in majority of the project activities and has good understanding of the complex project attributes.	Enthusiastic and contributes in all activities of the project and demonstrates very good understanding of the complex project
II Attendance	To be taken from Attendance Proforma maintained by the Supervisor				
III Coherence with group	Non-cooperative.	Rarely contributes in group discussions and not a good team member.	Sometimes contributes useful ideas in group discussions and a satisfactory group member.	Usually provides useful ideas in group discussions and a good group member who tries hard.	Routinely provides useful ideas in group discussions and a definite leader who contributes a lot of effort.
IV Response to Questions	Neither understands the question, nor could reply.	Adequate understanding of the question and reply.	Seemed to understand the main points of the question and replied to those with ease.	Clearly understood the question and replied with ease.	Understood the question in-depth and replied confidently.
V Timely Report Submission	Not Submitted in time.				Timely Submitted.

FYDP Supervisor

NED University of Engineering & Technology
Department of Computer and Information Systems Engineering
CS-406 Computer Engineering Project

Rubric for Semester 2 (8th/Spring Semester) Progress (Weightage - 24%)

Project ID: _____

Student Name: _____

Seat No.: _____

	Levels of Achievement (%)				
	Unacceptable (0)	Just acceptable (25%)	Basic (50%)	Good (75%)	Excellent (100%)
I Intellectual Contribution	Doesn't contribute to the project work and does not show understanding of the different project attributes.	Barely participates in the project activities and shows some understanding of the different project attributes.	Contributes in some activities of the project and shows reasonable understanding of the different project attributes.	Contributes effectively in majority of the project activities and has good understanding of the complex project attributes.	Enthusiastic and contributes in all activities of the project and demonstrates very good understanding of the complex project
II Attendance	To be taken from Attendance Proforma maintained by the Supervisor				
III Coherence with group	Non-cooperative.	Rarely contributes in group discussions and not a good team member.	Sometimes contributes useful ideas in group discussions and a satisfactory group member.	Usually provides useful ideas in group discussions and a good group member who tries hard.	Routinely provides useful ideas in group discussions and a definite leader who contributes a lot of effort.
IV Response to Questions	Neither understands the question, nor could reply.	Adequate understanding of the question and reply.	Seemed to understand the main points of the question and replied to those with ease.	Clearly understood the question and replied with ease.	Understood the question in-depth and replied confidently.
V Timely Report Submission	Not Submitted in time.				Timely Submitted.

FYDP Supervisor