## Exemplar

\*Required

1.	Authors (e.g, name1 surname1, name2 surname2) *
2.	Affiliations (e.g., University 1, University 2) *
3.	Emails (e.g., <u>author1@mail.com</u> , <u>author2@mail.com</u> ) *
4.	Name of the exemplar (e.g., Vital Signs Monitoring)

5.	Description (the textual description of the robotic mission)
Ex	kemplar Information
5.	Source
	Tick all that apply.
	Academia
	Industry
	Other:

7.	Domain

8.

Tick all that apply.
Medical
Emergency
Logistics
Education
Household
Food supply
Other:
Multirobot
Tick all that apply.
Single-robot
Multi-robot swarms (all the robots exhibit the same
behaviour)
Multi-robot teams (some of the robots have distinct
behaviours)

9.	Robot	<b>Features</b>
J.	NODUL	i catules

	Tick all that apply.
	Parameter adaptability (whether the robots can adapt their behaviour by changing some parameters, e.g., their speed)
	Component adaptability (whether the robots can adapt their behaviour by adding or removing components)
	Mechatronics configurability (whether the robots can adapt their behaviour by changing their Mechatronics configuration)
	Other:
10.	If any robot feature was checked, please explain why it was checked.

## 11. Technical Capabilities

12.

Tick all that apply.
Robot capabilities (e.g., perception and interpretive, robot task abilities, actions and envisioning capabilities)
Interaction capabilities (e.g., physical interaction with the environment, social interaction, cognitive interaction with other information systems)
Intelligence (e.g., physical morphological intelligence - visual-spatial skills, cognitive intelligence - such as learning or logical-mathematical skills, social intelligence, such as emotional behaviour skills or musical skills, collective intelligence, such as collaboration or cooperative skills.
If any technical capability was checked, please explain why it was checked.

## 13. Operational Capabilities

Tick all that apply.
Cost (whether the robotic mission contains some ``notion" of cost such that the energy consumed by robots)
Duration (whether the robotic mission contains some ``notion" of time, e.g., the robots should complete a task within two minutes)
Safety (whether the mission specifies that the robot should prevent collisions, crushing, and injuries from mechanical parts or other safety properties)
Security (whether the robots are employed to secure areas, buildings, or other sensible assets)
Testing (whether the robots are employed to testing other devices)
Training (whether the robots are trained during or before their operation)
Acceptance (whether user acceptance is a primary requirement of the mission)
Usability (whether usability or usability requirements are specified within the mission)
Re-usability (whether robots should be reusable to perform different missions, e.g., depending on the context)
Reliability (whether reliability, i.e., the ability of the robot to function without failure, is part of the mission)
Versatility (whether the versatility of the robotic application, i.e., the ability the robots to reprogram them-self for the necessary tasks, is part of the mission)
Robustness (the ability of the robotic application to cope with errors during the mission execution)
Other:

14.	If you check any operational capability please explain
	why it was checked

Model or State Uncertainties. The representation of information or knowledge that the robot employs may be incomplete, contradictory, overly complex or incorrect; a typical example is a discrepancy between the cyber-physical environment and its perceived model by the robot.

15. Abstraction (Caused by omitting certain details and information from models).

16.	Incompleteness (Caused by lack of knowledge about parts of the internal robot or external environment state).
17.	Model Drift (Caused by discrepancy between the state of models maintained by the robot and the actual represented cyber-physical system).

18.	Different Sources of information (Caused by differences between representations of information provided by different sources (e.g., camera vs presence sensor)).	
19.	Complex Models (Caused by complexity of runtime models representing the managed cyber-physical system).	

20.	Sensing (Cau imperfect).	sed by robotic sensors which are inherently	
Fu	Actuation (Caused by robotic actuators of which the effects may not be completely deterministic).		
	daptation Inctions Incertainties.	Those capture uncertainties inherent in the variability space, decision making and coordination functions that adaptation must handle.	

22.	Variability Space (Caused by the size of the variability space that adaption functions need to handle).
23.	Automatic learning (Caused by machine learning techniques employed of which the effects may not be completely predictable).

24.	making by rol	ion & Coordination (Caused by decision bots in teams or swarms, of which the not be predictable).
	ssion certainties.	The intended behavior of the robotic application may be not adequately specified, may change, or become outdated.
25.	•	ification (Caused by potential changes in nat could not be completely anticipated).

26.		on Changes (Caused by lack of deterministic specification of mission).	
27.	OutdatedMission (Caused by overlooking that the mission is outdated).		
	vironment certainties.	The variability of the overall context where the robot operates at runtime, including unpredicable interaction with humans.	

28.	unpredictab	ontext (Caused by the inherent illity of execution contexts, exacerbated by tity of the cyber-physical environment).
29.		e Loop (Caused by the inherent
	unpredictab robot).	ility of human behavior interacting with the
	apabilities ncertainties	Robots have individual features that they employ to achieve their mission, including technical and operational capabilities. However those are not static; they may be changed, removed or new ones may be available to the robot.

30.	New or defunct capabilities (Caused by new availability or no longer existing or functioning robotic capabilities).
31.	Changing capabilities (Caused by dynamicity of capabilities in the robotic system).

Adaptation

## 32. Types of adaptation (self\* properties)

Tick all that apply.
self-management (if the system has at least one self* property)
self-stabilization (starting from an arbitrary initial configuration it recovers to a legal configuration, and then remains in that configuration)
self-healing (given a set of actions, the occurrence of one of these actions causes a violation of a property)
self-organization (if it maintains, improves or restores a safety property following certain actions)
self-protection (if it continuously maintains a safety property)
self-optimization (if starting from an initial configuration it improves the value of an objective function)
self-configuration (if it is able to change its configuration to restore or improve some property)
self-scaling (if it maintains or improves a property during the occurrence of a set of actions)
Other:

33.	If you check any adaptation type please explain why it was checked
34.	Adaptation concerns, constraints and other factors
	Tick all that apply.
	Timing constraints
	Performance
	Utility
	Cost
	Trade-offs
	Other:

35.	If you check any adaptation concern, constraint, or other factors please explain why it was checked
36.	Source and further resources (e.g., hyperlinks, relevant papers, technical reports)

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms