Introduction Please comply with the following rules: - Remain polite, courteous, respectful and constructive throughout the evaluation process. The well-being of the community depends on it.

problems that may have been identified.

Guidelines - Only grade the work that was turned in the Git repository of the evaluated student or group. - Double-check that the Git repository belongs to the student(s). Ensure that

done seriously.

empty folder.

the project is the one expected. Also, check that 'git clone' is used in an evaluate something that is not the content of the official repository.

- Identify with the student or group whose work is evaluated the possible

- You must consider that there might be some differences in how your peers

functionalities. Always keep an open mind and grade them as honestly as

possible. The pedagogy is useful only and only if the peer-evaluation is

dysfunctions in their project. Take the time to discuss and debate the

might have understood the project's instructions and the scope of its

- Check carefully that no malicious aliases was used to fool you and make you - To avoid any surprises and if applicable, review together any scripts used to facilitate the grading (scripts for testing or automation).

- If you have not completed the assignment you are going to evaluate, you have to read the entire subject prior to starting the evaluation process. - Use the available flags to report an empty repository, a non-functioning program, a Norm error, cheating, and so forth.

In these cases, the evaluation process ends and the final grade is 0, or -42 in case of cheating. However, except for cheating, student are

strongly encouraged to review together the work that was turned in, in order to identify any mistakes that shouldn't be repeated in the future.

- You should never have to edit any file except the configuration file if it exists. If you want to edit a file, take the time to explicit the reasons with the evaluated student and make sure both of you are okay with this. - You must also verify the absence of memory leaks. Any memory allocated on

You are allowed to use any of the different tools available on the computer,

A function is implemented in a header file (except for template functions).

• Use of "using namespace <ns_name>" or the "friend" keyword.

✓ Yes

The goal of this exercise is to understand how to allocate memory in C++.

✓ Yes

✓ Yes

The zombie is deleted correctly before the end of the program.

✓ Yes

There is a Makefile that compiles using the appropriate flags.

There is at least a main to test the exercise.

A Makefile compiles without the required flags and/or another compiler than c++.

If cheating is suspected, the evaluation stops here. Use the "Cheat" flag to report it. Take this decision calmly, wisely, and please, use

 \times No

 \times No

×N₀

 \times No

 \times No

 \times No

 \times No

 \times No

 \times No

 \times No

such as leaks, valgrind, or e_fence. In case of memory leaks, tick the

the heap must be properly freed before the end of execution.

Attachments subject.pdf

Preliminary tests

The code must compile with c++ and the flags -Wall -Wextra -Werror Don't forget this project has to follow the C++98 standard. Thus, C++11 (and later) functions or containers are NOT expected. Any of these means you must not grade the exercise in question:

Prerequisites

this button with caution.

appropriate flag.

Any of these means that you must flag the project with "Forbidden Function": Use of a "C" function (*alloc, *printf, free). · Use of a function not allowed in the exercise guidelines.

• Use of an external library, or features from versions other than C++98. Exercise 00: BraiiiiiinnnzzzZ

Makefile and tests

Zombie Class There is a Zombie Class. It has a private name attribute.

It has at least a constructor. It has a member function announce(void) that prints: "<name>: BraiiiiiiinnnzzzZ..." The destructor prints a debug message that includes the name of the zombie.

newZombie There is a newZombie() function prototyped as: [Zombie* newZombie(std::string name);] It should allocate a Zombie on the heap and return it. Ideally, it should call the constructor that takes a string and initializes the name. The exercise should be marked as correct if the Zombie can announce itself with the name passed to the function. There are tests to prove everything works.

randomChump explicitly deleted. The student must justify their choices.

The goal of this exercise is to allocate a number of objects at the same time using new[], initialize them, and to properly delete them. Makefile and tests There is a Makefile that compiles using the appropriate flags. There is at least a main to test the exercise.

It allocates N zombies on the heap explicitly using new[].

zombieHorde

HITHIS IS BRAIN There is a string containing "HI THIS IS BRAIN". stringPTR is a pointer to the string. stringREF is a reference to the string. The address of the string is displayed using the string variable, the stringPTR and the stringREF. The variable content is displayed using the stringPTR and the stringREF.

Weapon There is a Weapon class that has a type string, a getType() and a setType().

HumanA and HumanB

Makefile and tests

Exercise 04

subject.

Our beloved Harl There is a class Harl with at least the 5 functions required in the subject.

Now that you are experienced coders, you should use new instruction types, statements, loops, etc. The goal of this last exercise is to make you discover the switch statement. Makefile and tests There is a Makefile that compiles using the appropriate flags. There is at least a main to test the exercise. ✓ Yes

The program harlFilter takes as argument any of the log levels ("DEBUG", "INFO", "WARNING" or "ERROR"). It should then display just the messages that are at the same level or above (DEBUG < INFO < WARNING < ERROR). This must be implemented using a switch statement with a default case. Once again, no if/elseif/else anymore please. ✓ Yes

There is a randomChump() function prototyped as: [void randomChump(std::string name);] It should create a Zombie on the stack, and make it announce itself. Ideally the zombie should be allocated on the stack (so implicitly deleted at the end of the function). It can also be allocated on the heap and then There are tests to prove everything works. ✓ Yes **Exercise 01: Moar brainz!**

There is a zombieHorde() function prototyped as: [Zombie* zombieHorde(int N, std::string name);]

Demystify references! Demystify references! Demystify references! Demystify references! Demystify references!

Demystify references! Demystify references! Demystify references! Demystify references! Demystify references!

The objective of this exercise is to understand that pointers and references present some small differences that make them less or more

After the allocation, there is an initialization of the objects to set their name. It returns a pointer to the first zombie. There are enough tests in the main to prove the previous points. Like: calling announce() on all the zombies. Last, all the zombies should be deleted at the same time in the main. ✓ Yes

Exercise 02: HI THIS IS BRAIN

The Zombie Class has a default constructor.

✓ Yes

Makefile and tests There is a Makefile that compiles using the appropriate flags. There is at least a main to test the exercise. ✓ Yes

Makefile and tests There is a Makefile that compiles using the appropriate flags. There is at least a main to test the exercise. ✓ Yes

The getType() function returns a const reference to the type string.

HumanA can have a reference or a pointer to the Weapon.

from creation until destruction, and never changes.

creation time, and the weapon can be NULL.

✓ Yes

HumanB must have a pointer to a Weapon since the field is not set at

✓ Yes

✓ Yes

appropriate depending on the use and the lifecycle of the object used.

Exercise 03: Unnecessary violence

Exercise 04: Sed is for losers Thanks to this exercise, the student should have gotten familiar with ifstream and ofstream. Makefile and tests

There is a Makefile that compiles using the appropriate flags.

✓ Yes

There is a function replace (or other name) that works as specified in the

The error management is efficient: try to pass a file that does not exist,

If you can find an error that isn't handled, and isn't completely esoteric,

The program must read from the file using an ifstream or equivalent,

There is at least a main to test the exercise.

change the permissions, pass it empty, etc.

and write using an ofstream or equivalent.

Exercise 05: Harl 2.0

There is at least a main to test the exercise.

There is a Makefile that compiles using the appropriate flags.

✓ Yes

no points for this exercise.

The implementation of the function should be done using functions from std::string, no by reading the string character by character. This is not C anymore! ✓ Yes

The goal of this exercise is to use pointers to class member functions. Also, this is the opportunity to discover the different log levels.

The function complain() executes the other functions using a pointer to them. Ideally, the student should have implemented a way of matching the different strings corresponding to the log level to the pointers of the corresponding member function. If the implementation is different but the exercise works, you should mark it as valid. The only thing that is not allowed is using a ugly if/elseif/else. The student could have chosen to change the message Harl displays or to

display the examples given in the subject, both are valid.

✓ Yes

Switching Harl Off

 \times No

 \times No Ideally, it should be implemented as a reference, since the Weapon exists

 \times No

 \times No

×N₀

 \times No

 \times No Exercise 06: Harl filter

 \times No