A brief introduction to kernel classifiers

Mark Johnson Brown University

October 2009

Introduction

Linear and nonlinear classifiers

Kernels and classifiers

The kernelized perceptron learner

Features and kernels are duals

- A *kernel K* is a kind of similarity function
 - $K(x_1, x_2) > 0$ is the "similarity" of $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}$
- A feature representation **f** defines a kernel
 - ► $\mathbf{f}(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x))$ is feature vector

$$K(x_1, x_2) = \mathbf{f}(x_1) \cdot \mathbf{f}(x_2) = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j(x_1) f_j(x_2)$$

 Mercer's theorem: For every continuous symmetric positive semi-definite kernel *K* there is a feature vector function **f** such that

$$K(x_1, x_2) = \mathbf{f}(x_1) \cdot \mathbf{f}(x_2)$$

- ▶ **f** may have *infinitely many dimensions*
- ⇒ Feature-based approaches and kernel-based approaches are often mathematically interchangable
 - ► Feature and kernel representations are *duals*

Learning algorithms and kernels

- Feature representations and kernel representations are duals
- ⇒ Many learning algorithms can use either features or kernels
 - feature version maps examples into feature space and learns feature statistics
 - kernel version uses "similarity" between this example and other examples, and learns example statistics
 - Both versions *learn same classification function*
 - Computational complexity of feature vs kernel algorithms can vary dramatically
 - ▶ few features, many training examples
 ⇒ feature version may be more efficient
 - ▶ few training examples, many features
 ⇒ kernel version may be more efficient

Introduction

Linear and nonlinear classifiers

Kernels and classifiers

The kernelized perceptron learner

Linear classifiers

- A *classifier* is a function c that maps an example $x \in \mathcal{X}$ to a binary class $c(x) \in \{-1,1\}$
- A linear classifier uses:
 - feature functions $\mathbf{f}(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x))$ and
 - feature weights $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$

to assign $x \in \mathcal{X}$ to class $c(x) = \operatorname{sign}(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{f}(x))$

- ► sign(y) = +1 if y > 0 and -1 if y < 0
- Learn a linear classifier from *labeled training examples* $\mathcal{D} = ((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n))$ where $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y_i \in \{-1, +1\}$

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} f_1(x_i) & f_2(x_i) & y_i \\ \hline -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & +1 & +1 \\ +1 & -1 & +1 \\ +1 & +1 & -1 \end{array}$$

Nonlinear classifiers from linear learners

- Linear classifiers are straight-forward but not expressive
- Idea: apply a nonlinear transform to original features

$$\mathbf{h}(x) = (g_1(\mathbf{f}(x)), g_2(\mathbf{f}(x)), \dots, g_n(\mathbf{f}(x)))$$

and learn a linear classifier based on $\mathbf{h}(x_i)$

- A linear decision boundary in h(x) may correspond to a non-linear boundary in f(x)
- Example: $h_1(x) = f_1(x), h_2(x) = f_2(x), h_3(x) = f_1(x)f_2(x)$

Introduction

Linear and nonlinear classifiers

Kernels and classifiers

The kernelized perceptron learner

Linear classifiers using kernels

• Linear classifier decision rule: Given feature functions **f** and weights **w**, assign $x \in \mathcal{X}$ to class

$$c(x) = \operatorname{sign}(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{f}(x))$$

• Linear kernel using features **f**: for all $u, v \in \mathcal{X}$

$$K(u,v) = \mathbf{f}(u) \cdot \mathbf{f}(v)$$

• The *kernel trick*: Assume $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} s_k \mathbf{f}(x_k)$, i.e., the feature weights \mathbf{w} are *represented implicitly* by examples (x_1, \ldots, x_n) . Then:

$$c(x) = \operatorname{sign}(\sum_{k=1}^{n} s_k \mathbf{f}(x_k) \cdot \mathbf{f}(x))$$

$$= \operatorname{sign}(\sum_{k=1}^{n} s_k K(x_k, x))$$

Kernels can implicitly transform features

• *Linear kernel:* For all objects $u, v \in \mathcal{X}$

$$K(u,v) = \mathbf{f}(u) \cdot \mathbf{f}(v) = f_1(u)f_1(v) + f_2(u)f_2(v)$$

• Polynomial kernel: (of degree 2)

$$K(u,v) = (\mathbf{f}(u) \cdot \mathbf{f}(v))^{2}$$

$$= f_{1}(u)^{2} f_{1}(v)^{2} + 2f_{1}(u) f_{1}(v) f_{2}(u) f_{2}(v) + f_{2}(u)^{2} f_{2}(v)^{2}$$

$$= (f_{1}(u)^{2}, \sqrt{2} f_{1}(u) f_{2}(u), f_{2}(u)^{2})$$

$$\cdot (f_{1}(v)^{2}, \sqrt{2} f_{1}(v) f_{2}(v), f_{2}(v)^{2})$$

 So a degree 2 polynomial kernel is equivalent to a linear kernel with transformed features:

$$\mathbf{h}(x) = (f_1(x)^2, \sqrt{2}f_1(x)f_2(x), f_2(x)^2)$$

Kernelized classifier using polynomial kernel

• Polynomial kernel: (of degree 2)

$$K(u,v) = (\mathbf{f}(u) \cdot \mathbf{f}(v))^{2}$$

$$= \mathbf{h}(u) \cdot \mathbf{h}(v), \text{ where:}$$

$$\mathbf{h}(x) = (f_{1}(x)^{2}, \sqrt{2}f_{1}(x)f_{2}(x), f_{2}(x)^{2})$$

$f_1(x_i)$	$f_2(x_i)$	y_i	$h_1(x_i)$	$h_2(x_i)$	$h_3(x_i)$	s_i
-1	-1	-1	+1	$\sqrt{2}$	+1	-1
-1	+1	+1	+1	$-\sqrt{2}$	+1	+1
+1	-1	+1	+1	$-\sqrt{2}$	+1	+1
+1	+1	-1	+1	$\sqrt{2}$	+1	-1
Feature weights			0	$-2\sqrt{2}$	0	

Gaussian kernels and other kernels

• A "Gaussian kernel" is based on the distance $||\mathbf{f}(u) - \mathbf{f}(v)||$ between feature vectors $\mathbf{f}(u)$ and $\mathbf{f}(v)$

$$K(u,v) = \exp(-||\mathbf{f}(u) - \mathbf{f}(v)||^2)$$

- This is equivalent to a linear kernel in an infinite-dimensional feature space, but still easy to compute
- ⇒ Kernels make it possible to easily compute over enormous (even infinite) feature spaces
 - There's a little industry designing specialized kernels for specialized kinds of objects

Mercer's theorem

- Mercer's theorem: every continuous symmetric positive semi-definite kernel is a linear kernel in some feature space
 - ▶ this feature space may be infinite-dimensional
- This means that:
 - feature-based linear classifiers can often be expressed as kernel-based classifiers
 - kernel-based classifiers can often be expressed as feature-based linear classifiers

Introduction

Linear and nonlinear classifiers

Kernels and classifiers

The kernelized perceptron learner

The perceptron learner

- The perceptron is an error-driven learning algorithm for learning linear classifer weights **w** for features **f** from data $\mathcal{D} = ((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n))$
- Algorithm:

```
set \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}
for each training example (x_i, y_i) \in D in turn:
if \operatorname{sign}(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{f}(x_i)) \neq y_i:
set \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} + y_i \mathbf{f}(x_i)
```

• The perceptron algorithm always choses weights that are a linear combination of \mathcal{D} 's feature vectors

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} s_k \, \mathbf{f}(x_k)$$

If the learner got example (x_k, y_k) wrong then $s_k = y_k$, otherwise $s_k = 0$

Kernelizing the perceptron learner

• Represent **w** as linear combination of \mathcal{D} 's feature vectors

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} s_k \, \mathbf{f}(x_k)$$

i.e., s_k is weight of training example $\mathbf{f}(x_k)$

• Key step of perceptron algorithm:

if
$$sign(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{f}(x_i)) \neq y_i$$
:
 $set \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} + y_i \mathbf{f}(x_i)$

becomes:

$$\frac{\text{if sign}(\sum_{k=1}^{n} s_k \mathbf{f}(x_k))}{\text{set } s_i = s_i + y_i} \cdot \mathbf{f}(x_i)) \neq y_i:$$

• If $K(x_k, x_i) = \mathbf{f}(x_k) \cdot \mathbf{f}(x_i)$ is linear kernel, this becomes: if $\operatorname{sign}(\sum_{k=1}^n s_k K(x_k, x_i)) \neq y_i$; set $s_i = s_i + y_i$

Kernelized perceptron learner

- The kernelized perceptron maintains weights $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ of training examples $\mathcal{D} = ((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n))$
 - s_i is the weight of training example (x_i, y_i)
- Algorithm:

```
set \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{0}
for each training example (x_i, y_i) \in D in turn:
if \operatorname{sign}(\sum_{k=1}^n s_k K(x_k, x_i)) \neq y_i:
set s_i = s_i + y_i
```

- If we use a linear kernel then kernelized perceptron makes exactly the same predictions as ordinary perceptron
- If we use a nonlinear kernel then kernelized perceptron makes exactly the same predictions as ordinary perceptron using transformed feature space

Gaussian-regularized MaxEnt models

• Given data $\mathcal{D} = ((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n))$, the weights **w** that maximize the *Gaussian-regularized conditional log likelihood* are:

$$\widehat{\mathbf{w}} = \underset{\mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{argmin}} Q(\mathbf{w}) \text{ where:}$$

$$Q(\mathbf{w}) = -\log L_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{w}) + \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{m} w_{k}^{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial w_{j}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} -(f_{j}(x_{i}, y_{i}) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}}[f_{j} \mid x_{i}]) + 2\alpha w_{j}$$

• Because $\partial Q/\partial w_j = 0$ at $\mathbf{w} = \widehat{\mathbf{w}}$, we have:

$$\widehat{w}_j = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n (f_j(y_i, x_i) - \mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}}[f_j \mid x_i])$$

Gaussian-regularized MaxEnt can be kernelized

$$\widehat{w}_{j} = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (f_{j}(y_{i}, x_{i}) - \mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}}[f_{j} \mid x_{i}])$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{w}}[f \mid x] = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f(y, x) \, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{w}}(y \mid x), \text{ so:}$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{w}} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{D}}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \widehat{s}_{y, x} \mathbf{f}(y, x) \text{ where:}$$

$$\widehat{s}_{y, x} = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{I}(x, x_{i}) (\mathbb{I}(y, y_{i}) - \mathbb{P}_{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}}(y, x))$$

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{D}} = \{x_{i} \mid (x_{i}, y_{i}) \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

⇒ the optimal weights $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$ are a linear combination of the feature values of (y, x) items for x that appear in D

Introduction

Linear and nonlinear classifiers

Kernels and classifiers

The kernelized perceptron learner

- Many algorithms have dual forms using feature and kernel representations
- For any feature representation there is an equivalent kernel
- For any sensible kernel there is an equivalent feature representation
 - but the feature space may be infinite dimensional
- There can be substantial computational advantages to using features or kernels
 - many training examples, few features
 - ⇒ features may be more efficient
 - many features, few training examples
 - ⇒ kernels may be more efficient
- Kernels make it possible to compute with very large (even infinite-dimensional) feature spaces, but each classification requires comparing to a potentially large number of training examples