#### Problem 3.2

So we first need to prove that matrix  $\Psi = \Phi(\Phi^{\top}\Phi)^{-1}\Phi^{\top}$  projects any N-dimensional vector v onto the subspace spanned by M columns of  $\Phi$  (lets denote this subspace as  $S(\Phi)$ ). Here we just assume  $(\Phi^{\top}\Phi)^{-1}$  exists (i.e.  $\Phi^{\top}\Phi$  is invertible) since it is a part of the definition of the matrix given in the problem condition.

Let us consider any N-dimensional vector v. We need to prove that there exist  $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\Psi v = \alpha_1 \varphi_1(D) + \dots + \alpha_M \varphi_M(D)$ . If we denote  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M)^\top$ , then we need to prove there exists M-dimensional vector  $\alpha$  such that  $\Phi \cdot \alpha = \Psi v$ . We notice now that  $\alpha = (\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^\top v$  is the very vector we are looking for, so it exists, so we proved that  $\Psi$  indeed projects v onto the subspace of columns of  $\Phi$ .

Lets us now consider  $w_{ML} = (\Phi^{\top}\Phi)^{-1}\Phi^{\top}t$ . We need to prove  $y = \Phi w_{ML}$  is an orthogonal projection of t onto the subspace of columns of  $\Phi$ . This means, we need to prove  $y - t \perp S(\Phi)$ . This is the same as proving that  $\Phi(\Phi^{\top}\Phi)^{-1}\Phi^{\top}t - t \perp S(\Phi)$ .

Consider left part of the statement and multiply it by  $\Phi^{\top}$ . This gives us  $\Phi^{\top}(\Phi(\Phi^{\top}\Phi)^{-1}\Phi^{\top}t - t) = (\Phi^{\top}\Phi)(\Phi^{\top}\Phi)^{-1}\Phi^{\top}t - \Phi^{\top}t = 0$ . So, we see that all the columns of  $\Phi$  are orthogonal with  $\Phi(\Phi^{\top}\Phi)^{-1}\Phi^{\top}t - t$ , which means  $\Phi(\Phi^{\top}\Phi)^{-1}\Phi^{\top}t$  is an orthogonal projection of t onto  $S(\Phi)$ .

# Problem 3.3

Since  $w^*$  is extremum, we can equate  $E_D$  gradient to zero:

$$\nabla E_D = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} r_n (t_n - w^\top \varphi(x_n)) \varphi^\top(x_n) = 0$$
(1)

Let us consider 
$$R = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & r_n \end{pmatrix}$$

We can rewrite equation 1 in the following way:

$$\nabla E_D = -\Phi^\top R t + \Phi^\top R \Phi w = 0 \tag{2}$$

Thus we obtain

$$w^* = (\Phi^\top R \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^\top R t \tag{3}$$

We can consider the matrix R, one the one hand, as inverse data-dependent noise variance: different  $x_i$  will have different correspondent  $r_i$ , and the smaller  $r_i$  is, the smaller is the impact of  $i_{th}$  sample. So,  $r_i$  can be used as our confidence in the  $t_i$  value.

On the other hand, at least when  $r_i$  is integer, it can be considered as the number of times sample  $(x_i, t_i)$  was present in the dataset.

#### Problem 3.4

Let us average error function over all possible noise values, i.e. let us compute it's expected value with respect to added noise  $\{\epsilon_i\}$ . Lets us denote  $x'_n = x_n + \epsilon_n$  - input variable with added noise.

$$E[E_D] = E\left[\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N} \{y(x'_n, w) - t_n\}^2\right] =$$
(4)

$$= E\left[\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N} \{w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{D} w_i(x_{ni} + \epsilon_{ni}) - t_n\}^2\right] =$$
 (5)

$$= E\left[\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N} \{w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{D} w_i(x_{ni} + \epsilon_{ni}) - t_n\} =$$
(6)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} E[\{w_0 - t_n + \sum_{i=1}^{D} w_i (x_{ni} + \epsilon_{ni})\}^2] =$$
 (7)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} E[(w_0 - t_n)^2 + (w_0 - t_n) \sum_{i=1}^{D} w_i (x_{ni} + \epsilon_{ni}) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{D} w_i w_j (x_{ni} + \epsilon_{ni}) (x_{nj} + \epsilon_{nj})] =$$
(8)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{ (w_0 - t_n)^2 + (w_0 - t_n) \sum_{i=1}^{D} w_i x_{ni} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{D} w_i w_j (x_{ni} x_{nj} + \delta_{ij} \sigma^2) \} =$$
(9)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{y(x_n, w) - t_n\}^2 + \frac{N\sigma^2}{2} w^{\top} w$$
 (10)

So, as expected, we see that error function, averaged over noise values, gives us weight-decayed sum-of-squares error function over noise-free input variables with omitted bias in regularization term, so minimizing the latter gives the same result as minimizing the former.

## Problem 3.5

Suppose we want to minimize  $E_D(w)$  subject to  $\sum\limits_{j=1}^M |w_j|^q \leq \eta$  This is equivalent to minimizing Lagrange function  $L(w,\lambda) = E_D(w) + \lambda' (\sum\limits_{j=1}^M (|w_j|^q - \eta)$  under conditions that  $\lambda' \geq 0$ ,  $\sum\limits_{j=1}^M |w_j|^q \leq \eta$  and  $\lambda' (\sum\limits_{j=1}^M |w_j|^q - \eta) = 0$ . When we use regularization, we suppose we only vary  $\{w_j\}$  while keeping  $\lambda$  fixed. So we can substitute  $\lambda' = \frac{\lambda}{2}$  and pay no attention to  $\eta$  since it is constant, and try to minimize the Lagrangian which now takes form of  $E_D(w) + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\sum\limits_{j=1}^M (|w_j|^q)$ , and this is exactly the regularized least squares error function.

To find the dependence between  $\eta$  and w we note that for optimal solution  $\{w_j^*\}$  we have  $\eta = \sum_{j=1}^M (|w_j^*|^q)$ .

As of dependence between  $\eta$  and  $\lambda$  we can see that the greater  $\lambda$  is, the more is regularization effect and so the less will be  $\eta$ .

# Problem 3.6

This problem looks simple, but in fact to understand it deeply one need to perform certain actions. First of all, we will write down the log-likelihood of the dataset with given W:

$$L(D) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} (2\pi)^{-\frac{k}{2}} |\Sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} (t_n - W^{\top} \varphi(X_n))^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} (t_n - W^{\top} \varphi(X_n))$$
(11)

$$\log L(D) = -\frac{kN}{2}\log(2\pi) - \frac{N}{2}|\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(t_n - W^{\top}\varphi(X_n))^{\top}\Sigma^{-1}(t_n - W^{\top}\varphi(X_n))$$
(12)

We now want to find the maximum of the log-likelihood with respect to W to find  $W_{ML}$ . To achieve this, we will use matrix derivatives notation (see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Matrix\_calculus)

In fact, we see that taking derivative of scalar with respect to matrix gives us a matrix of the same size:

$$\frac{\partial \log L(D)}{\partial W} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \log L(D)}{\partial W_{11}} & \frac{\partial \log L(D)}{\partial W_{12}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \log L(D)}{\partial W_{1K}} \\ \frac{\partial \log L(D)}{\partial W_{21}} & \frac{\partial \log L(D)}{\partial W_{22}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \log L(D)}{\partial W_{2K}} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \frac{\partial \log L(D)}{\partial W_{M1}} & \frac{\partial \log L(D)}{\partial W_{M2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \log L(D)}{\partial W_{MK}} \end{pmatrix}$$

To get the work done, we first prove following identity:

$$\frac{\partial (Xa+b)^{\top} C(Xa+b)}{\partial X} = (C+C^{\top})(Xa+b)a^{\top}$$
(13)

Here, C is a square matrix of some size  $N \times N$ , b is a vector of size N, a is a vector of size M, and X is  $M \times N$  matrix.

To prove it, let's use write down the numerator in non-matrix form:

$$(Xa+b)^{\top}C(Xa+b) = \sum_{l=1}^{N} (\sum_{k=1}^{N} (\sum_{\beta=1}^{M} X_{l\beta}a_{\beta} + b_{l})C_{kl}) (\sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} X_{k\gamma}a_{\gamma} + b_{k})$$
(14)

Taking now derivative of this with respect to  $X_{ij}$  we get:

$$\frac{\partial (Xa+b)^{\top} C(Xa+b)}{\partial X_{ij}} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_j C_{ki} (X_k a + b_k) + \sum_{l=1}^{N} (X_l a + b_l) C_{il} a_j =$$
(15)

$$= a_i [C^{i^{\top}} (Xa + b) + (Xa + b)^{\top} C_i] =$$
(16)

$$= (C + C^{\top})_i (Xa + b)a_j \tag{17}$$

So, we see that  $\frac{\partial (Xa+b)^{\top}C(Xa+b)}{\partial X} = (C+C^{\top})(Xa+b)a^{\top}$ .

Now, returning to the original problem, we have

$$\frac{\partial \log L(D)}{\partial W} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\Sigma^{-1} + \Sigma^{-1})^{\top} (t_n - W^{\top} \varphi(X_n)) \varphi(X_n)^{\top} =$$

$$(18)$$

$$= \Sigma^{-1} (T^{\mathsf{T}} - W^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathsf{T}}) \boldsymbol{\Phi} = 0 \tag{19}$$

Just a reminder, T is a  $N \times K$  matrix,  $\Phi(X)$  is a  $N \times M$  matrix, and W is  $M \times K$  matrix. So assigning this to 0 and reducing  $\Sigma^{-1}$  we get  $T^{\top}\Phi = W_{ML}^{\top}\Phi^{\top}\Phi$  and so  $W_{ML} = (\Phi^{\top}\Phi)^{-1}\Phi^{\top}T$  which shows us that indeed i-th column of  $W_{ML}$  has the same well known form of  $W_{ML_i} = (\Phi^{\top}\Phi)^{-1}\Phi^{\top}t_i$  and  $W_{ML}$  is independent of  $\Sigma$ .

Now let us consider

$$\frac{\partial \log L(D)}{\partial \Sigma^{-1}} = -\frac{N}{2} \frac{\partial \log |\Sigma|}{\partial \Sigma^{-1}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (t_n - W_{ML}^{\mathsf{T}} \varphi(X_n))(t_n - W_{ML}^{\mathsf{T}} \varphi(X_n))^{\mathsf{T}} =$$

$$(20)$$

$$= \frac{N}{2} \Sigma - \frac{1}{2} (T^{\top} - W^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\top}) (T^{\top} - W^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\top})^{\top}$$
(21)

So we obtain  $\Sigma = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (t_n - W_{ML}^{\top} \varphi(X_n)) (t_n - W_{ML}^{\top} \varphi(X_n))^{\top}$ .