

GHG Soil Daily Analysis Report

Asritha Polu

May 12, 2025

Contents

0.1	1. Load and Process Data	1
0.2	2. Checking Valid & Invalid	1
0.3	3. Sensor Failures	1
0.4	4. Time Gap Categorization & Flagging	1
0.5	5. Summary & Visualization	2
0.6	6. R2 Analysis	2
0.7	8. Flux Control	7
1	CO2 flux flags are classified as Missing, Negative (< 0), Very Low (< 100), Plausible (100 - 4000), and Extremely High (> 4000), with units in **mg C m⁻² day⁻¹ .	8
2	N2O flux flags are classified as Missing, Negative (< 0), Below Detection (< 0.01), Plausible (0.01 - 5), and Extremely High (> 5), with units in **mg N m⁻² day⁻¹ .	8

0.1 1. Load and Process Data

```
## Number of rows in final_data: 101
```

0.2 2. Checking Valid & Invalid

```
## Rows after filtering missing and -9999 values: 100
```

Table 1: Summary of Invalid or Missing Rows

Row Type	Count	Percentage (%)
Valid Row	100	100

^a 'Has -9999 Only' indicates rows with placeholder values (-9999), but no other missing data.

Table 2: Monthly Summary of Rows with -9999 in Specific Columns

MonthYear	TotalRows	RowsWith_One_Column	RowsWith_Multiple_Columns	RowsWith_All_Columns
2025-04	100	0	0	0

0.3 3. Sensor Failures

0.4 4. Time Gap Categorization & Flagging

```
## [1] DateTime      TimeDiff_min GapCategory TimeGapFlag
## <0 rows> (or 0-length row.names)
```

0.5 5. Summary & Visualization

```
## [1] "Skewness of TimeDiff_min: NaN"
```

Figure 1: Distribution of Time Gap Durations

Filtered to gaps > 2 hour across sampling period


Count

Gap Duration Category

0.6 6. R2 Analysis

Table 3: Summary of R² Values for CO2 Flux (FCO2)

Total Records	R ² >= 0.75	R ² < 0.75	Percentage >= 0.75	Percentage < 0.75
100	100	0	100	0



7. CV Analysis

Table 4: Summary of CO2 Flux CV Flags

CO2 Flux CV Flag	Count	Percentage
Acceptable	83	83
Issue	1	1
Plausible	16	16

Table 5: Summary of N2O Flux CV Flags

N2O Flux CV Flag	Count	Percentage
Acceptable	31	31
Issue	50	50
Plausible	19	19





Table 6: Summary of CO₂ Flux Flags

CO Flux Flag	Count	Percentage
Very Low (<100)	100	100

Table 7: Summary of N₂O Flux Flags

NO Flux Flag	Count	Percentage
Below Detection (<0.01)	22	22
Negative	36	36
Plausible	42	42

Figure 4: Distribution of N₂O Flux CV Flags

CO₂ and N₂O flux CVs are classified as follows: **Ideal** (< 0.5), **Acceptable** (0.5 – 2), **Plausible** (2 – 3), and **Issue** (> 3), based on the level of variability in the measurements.

0.7 8. Flux Control

Figure 5: Distribution of CO₂ Flux Flags

Flagging based on FCO₂ flux values





Figure 6: Distribution of N₂O Flux Flags

Flagging based on FN₂O flux values



- 1 CO₂ flux flags are classified as Missing, Negative (< 0), Very Low (< 100), Plausible (100 - 4000), and Extremely High (> 4000), with units in ****mg C m⁻² day⁻¹**.
- 2 N₂O flux flags are classified as Missing, Negative (< 0), Below Detection (< 0.01), Plausible (0.01 - 5), and Extremely High (> 5), with units in ****mg N m⁻² day⁻¹**.