

## Year 11 PSYCHOLOGY ATAR 2021

## TASK 9 – Social Psychology

(Weighting: 5%)

Time allowed: 50 minutes

Name: MARKING GUIDE

MARKS AVAILABLE	YOUR MARK
54	•
	%

a) What is the psychological definition of a '	group'? (1 mark)
2 or more seale w	no interact over an
1 .1	time & percieve themselv
, 1 ~ ^	mont.
1	
b) List three characteristics that make up a g	(roup. (3 marks)
extended interaction	-similar tasks performed
- Stability & endurance	TOGETHE
- common goals	- all percieve group as
U	Ų ,
	defined group and an example of a gathering of
people. (2 marks) any	of each example
hroup	Coshering
eg. sporting Jean	eg. woiting for bus in line at shops
eg. sporting Jean colleagues	in line at shops
etc.	l etc
Question 2.	(4 marks)
Define socially dependent and task dependant gr	oups. Provide an example of each.
- NOT WHEATH	task successfully ques, volunteer group etc.
SPATIONATION COllective	tack successfully
	ques, volundes group erc.
Socially dependent -refr	y on each other for
emotional outcomes,	feelings of belonging
& social ident	iky
700010	
eg. Friend	greup, support group

You are in charge of your social netball team and there have been some displays of negative intergroup behaviour that is affecting your team on the court. Using your knowledge of competition and cooperation, explain how would you improve your team's intergroup relationships? Use empirical evidence to justify your answer.

Define competition — (ivals typing to outdo

(1) Define cooperation - work together to achieve a
1) Identify the conflict is between groups god
(1) Suggestion: - cheate a common goal for
both Jeans to work to aether (cooperation)
. [ (1) Sherif- gave Eagles & Rottlers common goal
if (1) Sherif- gare Eagles & Rottlers common goal  1) Inter-group hostility was lowered with coopera
The state of the s
Question 4. (7 marks)
Soccer hooliganism can be a consequence of deindividuation. Provide a definition of deindividuation and explain which factors must be present for an individual to become deindividuated in this environment. Use empirical evidence to support your answer.

(A (N) (2)   A	_	Chill	26	atte.	nhon	(1)				
of growp		Jones 1	(1970	$(\alpha)$	( 6 -		1			
Evidence	<u> Ein</u>	<u>nbwd</u> N	8 ~	ele	CARC		hock	_		
·		1 show	cks	14	ano	MW	ous	(hc	odie	ete.)
			-				V 3		i i	
								, =-		
$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} -$				* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * .	21 N .	e e e			. f
.)	,				P	,		1		
	Francisco S		j			,,	* \$ .	e v	e e e	
			i	1.00000			7			
Question 5.							:	, *., }	(6 mark	s)
The behaviours ob by experimenter P Describe the obse empirical evidence	Phillip Zimbarvation that	ardo. Reiche led to this	er propo interpre	osed and etation a	other inte and expla	rpretat in the a	on of deir pproach v	ndivid vith re	uation. eference	to
by experimenter F Describe the obse	Phillip Zimbarvation that	ardo. Reiche led to this	er propo interpre Luah	osed and etation a	other inte and expla	rpretat in the a	on of deir pproach v	ndivid vith re	uation. eference	to
by experimenter F Describe the obse empirical evidence	Phillip Zimbarvation that	ardo. Reiche led to this	er propo interpre Luah	etation a	other inte and expla	rpretat in the a	on of deir pproach v	ndivid vith re	uation. eference	to
by experimenter P Describe the obse empirical evidence  Instead  H  The tree	Phillip Zimbarvation that e.  dli's social  the	ardo. Reicher led to this	er propo interpre <u>fuah</u> -ve	etation a	interinterinterinterinterinterinterinter	erpretat in the a	on of deir pproach v	ndividivith re	uation. eference	to
by experimenter P Describe the obse empirical evidence  INSTEAD,  If the  Reicher	Phillip Zimbarvation that e.  SOCIAL C	ardo. Reicha led to this adivid pol	er propo interpre laah MS -ve Pla	etation a	interinterinterinterinterinterinterinter	erpretat in the a	on of deir pproach v	ndividivith re	uation. eference	to
by experimenter P Describe the obse empirical evidence  Instead  H  The tree	Phillip Zimbarvation that e.  SOCIAL C	ardo. Reicha led to this adivid pol	er propo interpre laah MS -ve Pla	etation a	interinterinterinterinterinterinterinter	erpretat in the a	on of deir pproach v	ndividivith re	uation. eference	to
by experimenter P Describe the obse empirical evidence  Instead  If +re  Reicher	Phillip Zimbarvation that e.  SOCIAL C HA Obse	ardo. Reicha led to this adivid no no en +	er propo interpre laah MS -ve Pea IS	bel bel	interinterand expla	erpretatin the a	on of deir pproach v	ndividivith re	uation. eference	to
by experimenter P Describe the obse empirical evidence  INSTEAD,  If the  Reicher	Phillip Zimbarvation that e.  SOCIAL C HA Obse	ardo. Reicha led to this adivid pol	er propo interpre laah MS -ve Pea IS	bel bel	interinterand expla	erpretatin the a	on of deir pproach v	ndividivith re	uation. eference	to
by experimenter P Describe the obse empirical evidence  Instead  If +re  Reicher	Phillip Zimbarvation that e.  Social Cobservation Hadring Social Cobservation Hadring	ardo. Reicher led to this and individual molecular de la constant	er propo interpre luah MS -ve Per IS	bel plu Del	ind expla	PINA	resu	ndividivith revision of the second of the se	uation. eference	to  ESS
by experimenter P Describe the obse empirical evidence  Instead  If +re  Reicher	Phillip Zimbarvation that e.  Social Cobservation Hadring Social Cobservation Hadring	erdo. Reicher led to this and individual of the sound of	er propo interpre luah MS -ve Pla IS	bell De King	incontraction into and explanation incompanies in the contraction in t	rpretatin the a	resu	ndividivith revision of the second of the se	uation. eference	to  ESS
by experimenter P Describe the obse empirical evidence  Instead  If +re  Reicher	Phillip Zimbarvation that e.  Social Phillip Zimbarvation that e.  Social Phillip Zimbarvation that e.  Social Phillip Zimbarvation that e.	erdo. Reicher led to this and individual of the sound of	er propo interpre luah MS -ve Per IS Mest	bel De King	incomplete interest incomplete in	PINA	resulting of deir	ndividivith revisit of the second of the sec	uation. eference	to  ESS

Explain the relationship between group size and social loafing. Include a definition of social loafing and empirical research to support your answer.

Definition: individual o	uts in less effort whe
Definition: individual p marking in a q	roup () NOTE - NOT less effort the
1 group size = 1 so	cial loafing ()
Ingham et. al (1974) - pulling or	blind folded a
Ingham et. al (1974) -  pulling or  Those who thought  a group p	they were in ulled as (1)
Question 7.	(3 marks)
You are a teacher of a Year 11 Psychology class and h	ave assigned a group assignment. You notice
some social loafing occurring during the allocated gro	oup work time. Provide three examples of how
social loafing can be reduced in this situation.  — interesting towk	any 3.
- highly motivated - individual contribution	ns essential for success
- indiv. Derformance mo	nitored.
- individuals identify:	strongly w/ group

(4 marks)

Question 8.

	Describe the outcome of the study conducted by Tajfel and Turner (1986). With reference to social
	identity, describe a scenario of when this occurs in Western Australia.
ĵ)	Social identity = aspects of personal that  come from group membership
<u>(1)</u>	If you percieve your group as 'better' then  you have increased self esteem  This can lead to prejudice/conflict between in- and out-groups
0	This can lead to prejudice / conflict between in- and out-groups
2,	rarks for example
ý.	eg: Sporting teams - between Dochers  # Eagles - conflict
	eg. people what attend prestigious  school/yount and etc others
	want to join to gain Status.

Explain the realistic conflict theory, making reference to the Muzafer Sherif's experiment. Describe how the "conflict" was overcome in the experiment.

Vefinition -	conflict arises when groups
complé	conflict arises when groups for a scarce & valuable resource
<b>(</b>	
Experiment:	11/12 Year olds in two groups, or Rattles & Englis
	Rattles & Eagles
	Competitions - winner gets all (1)
	Competitions - winner gets all (1) Intergroup hostility arose - Violence Cooperated for common goal (1) Intergroup Hostility reduced (1)
	coperated for common goal (
;	Intergroup Hostilita reduced (1)
	•