# Assure Defi® THE VERIFICATION GOLD STANDARD



# Security Assessment

# **FRAKTIA**

Date: 18/06/2025

**Audit Status: PASS** 

**Audit Edition: Advanced** 





# **Risk Analysis**

### **Vulnerability summary**

Classification	Description			
High	High-level vulnerabilities can result in the loss of assets or manipulation of data.			
Medium	Medium-level vulnerabilities can be challenging to exploit, but they still have a considerable impact on smart contract execution, such as allowing public access to critical functions.			
Low	Low-level vulnerabilities are primarily associated with outdated or unused code snippets that generally do not significantly impact execution, sometimes they can be ignored.			
Informational	Informational vulnerabilities, code style violations, and informational statements do not affect smart contract execution and can typically be disregarded.			

### **Executive Summary**

According to the Assure assessment, the Customer's smart contract is **Secured.** 

Insecure	Poorly Secured	Secured	Well Secured
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

## Scope

#### **Target Code And Revision**

For this audit, we performed research, investigation, and review of the FRAKTIA contracts followed by issue reporting, along with mitigation and remediation instructions outlined in this report.

### **Target Code And Revision**

Project	Assure
Language	Solidity
Codebase	FRAKTIA.sol [SHA256] 3cf768f974d838d95ea43e483dc490faeb2554d 0f5044957385945ff53e75dae
Audit Methodology	Static, Manual

#### Attacks made to the contract

In order to check for the security of the contract, we tested several attacks in order to make sure that the contract is secure and follows best practices.

Category	Item
Code review & Functional Review	<ul> <li>Compiler warnings.</li> <li>Race conditions and Reentrancy. Cross-function race conditions.</li> <li>Possible delays in data delivery.</li> <li>Oracle calls.</li> <li>Front running.</li> <li>Timestamp dependence.</li> <li>Integer Overflow and Underflow.</li> <li>DoS with Revert.</li> <li>DoS with block gas limit.</li> <li>Methods execution permissions.</li> <li>Economy model.</li> <li>Private user data leaks.</li> <li>Malicious Event log.</li> <li>Scoping and Declarations.</li> <li>Uninitialized storage pointers.</li> <li>Arithmetic accuracy.</li> <li>Design Logic.</li> <li>Cross-function race conditions.</li> <li>Safe Zeppelin module.</li> <li>Fallback function security.</li> <li>Overpowered functions / Owner privileges</li> </ul>

## **AUDIT OVERVIEW**



No high vulnerabilities were found.



#### 1. Zero-Min-Out Swap Vulnerability

**Issue**: Calls swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(..., 0, ...) with amountOutMin = 0.

**Recommendation**: Integrate an on-chain price oracle or Uniswap TWAP to compute a safe amountOutMin (e.g., 99% of expected ETH).



#### 1. Missing Dead-Block Enforcement

**Issue**: The deadBlocks parameter is recorded at enableTrading() but never used to impose higher fees or block early trades -> bots/front-runners can trade in the launch block with standard fees.

#### Recommendation:

Implement a solution like:

```
if (block.number < tradingActiveBlock + deadBlocks) {
    // apply 99% penalty fee
    uint256 penalty = amount * 99 / 100;
    super._transfer(from, address(this), penalty);
    amount -= penalty;
}</pre>
```

No informational issues were found.

# **Technical Findings Summary**

### **Findings**

Vulnerability Level	Total	Mitigated	Not Apply	Acknowledged	Partially Fixed	Fixed
High						
Medium	1					
Low	1					
Informational						

## **Assessment Results**

#### **Score Results**

Review	Score
Global Score	85/100
Assure KYC	Not completed
Audit Score	85/100

The Following Score System Has been Added to this page to help understand the value of the audit, the maximum score is 100, however to attain that value the project must pass and provide all the data needed for the assessment. Our Passing Score has been changed to 84 Points for a higher standard, if a project does not attain 85% is an automatic failure. Read our notes and final assessment below. The Global Score is a combination of the evaluations obtained between having or not having KYC and the type of contract audited together with its manual audit.

## **Audit PASS**

Following our comprehensive security audit of the token contract for the FRAKTIA project, we inform you that the project has met the necessary security standards.

#### **Disclaimer**

Assure Defi has conducted an independent security assessment to verify the integrity of and highlight any vulnerabilities or errors, intentional or unintentional, that may be present in the reviewed code for the scope of this assessment. This report does not constitute agreement, acceptance, or advocating for the Project, and users relying on this report should not consider this as having any merit for financial FRAKTIA in any shape, form, or nature. The contracts audited do not account for any economic developments that the Project in question may pursue, and the veracity of the findings thus presented in this report relate solely to the proficiency, competence, aptitude, and discretion of our independent auditors, who make no guarantees nor assurance that the contracts are entirely free of exploits, bugs, vulnerabilities or deprecation of technologies.

All information provided in this report does not constitute financial or investment FRAKTIA, nor should it be used to signal that any person reading this report should invest their funds without sufficient individual due diligence, regardless of the findings presented. Information is provided 'as is, and Assure Defi is under no covenant to audit completeness, accuracy, or solidity of the contracts. In no event will Assure Defi or its partners, employees, agents, or parties related to the provision of this audit report be liable to any parties for, or lack thereof, decisions or actions with regards to the information provided in this audit report.

The assessment FRAKTIA provided by Assure Defi are subject to dependencies and are under continuing development. You agree that your access or use, including but not limited to any FRAKTIA, reports, and materials, will be at your sole risk on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. Cryptographic tokens are emergent technologies with high levels of technical risk and uncertainty. The assessment reports could include false positives, negatives, and unpredictable results. The FRAKTIA may access, and depend upon, multiple layers of third parties.

