

## Security Assessment: CrafteoAl Token

January 29, 2024

Audit Status: Pass

• Audit Edition: Basic



## **Risk Analysis**

#### **Classifications of Manual Risk Results**

Classification	Description
Critical	Danger or Potential Problems.
High	Be Careful or Fail test.
◆ Low	Pass, Not-Detected or Safe Item.
<ul><li>Informational</li></ul>	Function Detected

#### **Manual Code Review Risk Results**

Contract Privilege	Description
Buy Tax	0%
<ul><li>Sale Tax</li></ul>	0%
Cannot Sale	Pass
Cannot Sale	Pass
Max Tax	0%
Modify Tax	No
Fee Check	Pass
Is Honeypot?	Not Detected
<ul><li>Trading Cooldown</li></ul>	Not Detected
Can Pause Trade?	Pass
Pause Transfer?	Not Detected
Max Tx?	Pass
Is Anti Whale?	Not Detected
○ Is Anti Bot?	Not Detected

Contract Privilege	Description
	Not Detected
Blacklist Check	Pass
is Whitelist?	Pass
Can Mint?	Pass
	Not Detected
Can Take Ownership?	Not Detected
Hidden Owner?	Not Detected
(i) Owner	N/A
Self Destruct?	Not Detected
External Call?	Detected
Other?	Not Detected
Holders	1
<ul><li>Auditor Confidence</li></ul>	High
	No

The following quick summary it's added to the project overview; however, there are more details about the audit and its results. Please read every detail.

## **Project Overview**

### **Token Summary**

Parameter	Result
Address	0x38c43B98D052749B9e5c81e326A5d471eb259AD5
Name	CrafteoAl
Token Tracker	CrafteoAl (NFTC)
Decimals	18
Supply	1,000,000,000
Platform	BNBCHAIN
compiler	v0.8.22+commit.4fc1097e
Contract Name	CrafteoAl
Optimization	Yes with 200 runs
LicenseType	MIT
Language	Solidity
Codebase	https://bscscan.com/address/0x38c43B98D052749B9e5c81e326 A5d471eb259AD5#code
Payment Tx	Corporate

## Main Contract Assessed Contract Name

Name	Contract	Live
CrafteoAl	0x38c43B98D052749B9e5c81e326A5d471eb259AD5	No

## TestNet Contract Assessed Contract Name

Name	Contract	Live
CrafteoAl	0x4298C180d1608dCd2B1b10962d09903F6Cb52119	No

### **Solidity Code Provided**

File Sha-1	FileName
3d05b9d6a0ba93ae8388daebaadeafeb79c018b3	CrafteoAl.sol
undefined	
	3d05b9d6a0ba93ae8388daebaadeafeb79c018b3

## Smart Contract Vulnerability Checks

The Smart Contract Weakness Classification Registry (SWC Registry) is an implementation of the weakness classification scheme proposed in EIP-1470. It is loosely aligned to the terminologies and structure used in the Common Weakness Enumeration (CWE) while overlaying a wide range of weakness variants that are specific to smart contracts.

ID	Severity	Name	File	location
SWC-100	Pass	Function Default Visibility	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-101	Pass	Integer Overflow and Underflow.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-102	Pass	Outdated Compiler Version file.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-103	Pass	A floating pragma is set.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-104	Pass	Unchecked Call Return Value.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-105	Pass	Unprotected Ether Withdrawal.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-106	Pass	Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-107	Pass	Read of persistent state following external call.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-108	Pass	State variable visibility is not set	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-109	Pass	Uninitialized Storage Pointer.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-110	Pass	Assert Violation.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-111	Pass	Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-112	Pass	Delegate Call to Untrusted Callee.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-113	Pass	Multiple calls are executed in the same transaction.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-114	Pass	Transaction Order Dependence.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0

ID	Severity	Name	File	location
SWC-115	Pass	Authorization through tx.origin.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-116	Pass	A control flow decision is made based on The block.timestamp environment variable.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-117	Pass	Signature Malleability.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-118	Pass	Incorrect Constructor Name.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-119	Pass	Shadowing State Variables.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-120	Pass	Potential use of block.number as source of randonmness.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-121	Pass	Missing Protection against Signature Replay Attacks.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-122	Pass	Lack of Proper Signature Verification.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-123	Pass	Requirement Violation.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-124	Pass	Write to Arbitrary Storage Location.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-125	Pass	Incorrect Inheritance Order.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-126	Pass	Insufficient Gas Griefing.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-127	Pass	Arbitrary Jump with Function Type Variable.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-128	Pass	DoS With Block Gas Limit.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-129	Pass	Typographical Error.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-130	Pass	Right-To-Left-Override control character (U +202E).	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-131	Pass	Presence of unused variables.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-132	Pass	Unexpected Ether balance.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0


ID	Severity	Name	File	location
SWC-133	Pass	Hash Collisions with Multiple Variable Length Arguments.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-134	Pass	Message call with hardcoded gas amount.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-135	Pass	Code With No Effects (Irrelevant/Dead Code).	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-136	Pass	Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain.	CrafteoAl.sol	L: 0 C: 0

We scan the contract for additional security issues using MYTHX and industry-standard security scanning tools.

### **Inheritance**

The contract for CrafteoAl has the following inheritance structure.

The Project has a Total Supply of 1,000,000,000



## Technical Findings Summary Classification of Risk

Severity	Description
Critical	Risks are those that impact the safe functioning of a platform and must be addressed before launch. Users should not invest in any project with outstanding critical risks.
High	Risks can include centralization issues and logical errors. Under specific circumstances, these major risks can lead to loss of funds and/or control of the project.
Medium	Risks may not pose a direct risk to users' funds, but they can affect the overall functioning of a platform
◆ Low	Risks can be any of the above but on a smaller scale. They generally do not compromise the overall integrity of the Project, but they may be less efficient than other solutions.
<ul><li>Informational</li></ul>	Errors are often recommended to improve the code's style or certain operations to fall within industry best practices. They usually do not affect the overall functioning of the code.

#### **Findings**

Severity	Found	Pending	Resolved
Critical	0	0	0
High	0	0	0
Medium	0	0	0
	0	0	0
Informational	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0

### **Social Media Checks**

Social Media	URL	Result
Twitter		N/A
Other		N/A
Website		N/A
Telegram		N/A

We recommend to have 3 or more social media sources including a completed working websites.

**Social Media Information Notes:** 

Auditor Notes: undefined Project Owner Notes:



### **Assessment Results**

#### **Score Results**

Review	Score
Overall Score	85/100
Auditor Score	90/100
Review by Section	Score
Manual Scan Score	10
SWC Scan Score	37
Advance Check Score	38

The Following Score System Has been Added to this page to help understand the value of the audit, the maximun score is 100, however to attain that value the project most pass and provide all the data needed for the assessment. Our Passing Score has been changed to 84 Points for a higher standard, if a project does not attain 85% is an automatic failure. Read our notes and final assessment below.

#### **Audit Passed**



# Assessment Results Important Notes:

- No vulnerabilities or exploits were detected at the time of the audit.
- This is an openzeppelin contract with no modifications.
- The contract allows the burn of tokens by their holders only.

## Auditor Score =90 Audit Passed



## **Appendix**

#### **Finding Categories**

#### **Centralization / Privilege**

Centralization / Privilege findings refer to either feature logic or implementation of components that actagainst the nature of decentralization, such as explicit ownership or specialized access roles incombination with a mechanism to relocate funds.

#### **Gas Optimization**

Gas Optimization findings do not affect the functionality of the code but generate different, more optimalEVM opcodes resulting in a reduction on the total gas cost of a transaction.

#### **Logical Issue**

Logical Issue findings detail a fault in the logic of the linked code, such as an incorrect notion on howblock.timestamp works.

#### **Control Flow**

Control Flow findings concern the access control imposed on functions, such as owner-only functionsbeing invoke-able by anyone under certain circumstances.

#### **Volatile Code**

Volatile Code findings refer to segments of code that behave unexpectedly on certain edge cases that mayresult in a vulnerability.

#### **Coding Style**

Coding Style findings usually do not affect the generated byte-code but rather comment on how to makethe codebase more legible and, as a result, easily maintainable.

#### **Inconsistency**

Inconsistency findings refer to functions that should seemingly behave similarly yet contain different code, such as a constructor assignment imposing different require statements on the input variables than a setterfunction.

#### **Coding Best Practices**

ERC 20 Conding Standards are a set of rules that each developer should follow to ensure the code meet a set of creterias and is readable by all the developers.

#### **Disclaimer**

Assure Defi has conducted an independent security assessment to verify the integrity of and highlight any vulnerabilities or errors, intentional or unintentional, that may be present in the reviewed code for the scope of this assessment. This report does not constitute agreement, acceptance, or advocation for the Project, and users relying on this report should not consider this as having any merit for financial advice in any shape, form, or nature. The contracts audited do not account for any economic developments that the Project in question may pursue, and the veracity of the findings thus presented in this report relate solely to the proficiency, competence, aptitude, and discretion of our independent auditors, who make no guarantees nor assurance that the contracts are entirely free of exploits, bugs, vulnerabilities or deprecation of technologies.

All information provided in this report does not constitute financial or investment advice, nor should it be used to signal that any persons reading this report should invest their funds without sufficient individual due diligence, regardless of the findings presented. Information is provided 'as is, and Assure Defi is under no covenant to audited completeness, accuracy, or solidity of the contracts. In no event will Assure Defi or its partners, employees, agents, or parties related to the provision of this audit report be liable to any parties for, or lack thereof, decisions or actions with regards to the information provided in this audit report.

The assessment services provided by Assure Defi are subject to dependencies and are under continuing development. You agree that your access or use, including but not limited to any services, reports, and materials, will be at your sole risk on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. Cryptographic tokens are emergent technologies with high levels of technical risk and uncertainty. The assessment reports could include false positives, negatives, and unpredictable results. The services may access, and depend upon, multiple layers of third parties.

