Welkin An Information Language

Oscar Bender-Stone

December 2023

LICENSE

This book, version ?.?, is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. A copy of this license is provided at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/.

Preface

To be written...

Chapters 1 and 2 provide the philosophical basis for Welkin. Chapter 3 contains the Welkin Standard, a formal specification describing high level, algorithmic properties in compliant Welkin interpreters. Chapter 4 concludes with the future of digitally storing mathematical documents, synthesized programs, and the overall organization of ideas.

Chapter 1

Introduction

Startng Outline:

- Describe the ways philosophers and mathematicians have approached organizing the world around them.
 - Look at Cantor, Dedekind, Frege, Russell, Goedel, and up to the present.
 - Look at modern philosophers
- Reflect on two major shortcomings of these approaches: having little metaphysical explanation about how things can be combined, and a lack of generality for several concepts
 - No explanation for what is a set fundamentally, or, more importantly, how two entities can be combined into one.
 - * Include formal and informal definitions
 - * Recognize the prior *tool* used to start math in the first place (again, the ability to combine objects or ideas)
 - * Explain that, while category theory may make these constructions more precise, it *still relies on predetermined tools*. These tools are physiological in nature, but they are *ultimately meta-physical*.
 - Lack of generality for: structures, the real numbers, logic (particularly paraconsistent logics). Specifically, mention:
 - * Cryptomorphisms and Voldemort's Theorem. This is not part of official literature, but has been given in an extensive document. It serves an important philosophical point (which will be rexammined in Chapter 2)
 - * Mention the number of models for the reals, and how it still has not been decided what the official model is. Mention constructive concerns (overarrching with generality). (Cite Lesnik's paper as a step in the right direction, but critique the lack of generality)

- * Mention the role of bifrucation, and that there is opportunity to expand upon it more (into a generalized fuzzy logic)
- Explain the essential goals of Welkin, built upon CFLT
- Describe target audience
 - This document is geared towards philosophically or mathematically inclined persons. The official version is in English, but, in time, translations will be added.
 - In a different document (separate from this repo), there will be a more accessible version as a part of my program on "humanistic logic".

Chapter 2

Background

We address several issues that arise from organization, specifically within mathematics and computer science. We stress that they are not restricted to these fields and are widely applicable to all others.

Abstractions

Fragmentation

Limitations in Extensions

Complexity

Cite sources of lines of code, mathematical documents, etc.

Cite: "How do Committees Invent", Conway: "https://www.melconway.com/Home/pdf/committees.pdf" (While the sources in this paper have NOT been formally justified, we are addressing their concerns.)

Chapter 3

The Welkin Standard

We now provide the full specification of the Welkin language. Everything beyond this paragraph is included in the official standard. Note that the entire standard, including its references, is self contained.

This document describes the Welkin information language, a programming language aimed and preserving, analyzing, and extending information.

This edition of the standard, in English, is the basis for all other translations. Only the grammars will be given in an English (specifically ASCII) and should be copied identically. However, these grammars can be built upon via Section ?.?, and any other terms in this document may be translated or changed as necessary.

Throughout this document, every instance of "Welkin grammar" means "standard Welkin grammar." Every instance of "Welkin interpreter" means "conformant Welkin interpreter." For a definition of conformance, refer to Section?.?

3.1 Preliminaries

3.1.1 Mathematical Background

This standard requires a cursory background in discrete mathematics, parsing, and Backus-Naur Form (BNF). A reading of [] and [] suffices to understand this document. We clarify on our mathematical notation below.

Let A, B, C be sets. We denote by A^* the set of finite sequences of A (or A^* in text written in ASCII), including the empty sequence $\varepsilon := \emptyset$, and we call each $w \in A^*$ a **word.**

Let $f:A\to B, g:B\to C$ be functions. We denote the composite of f and g by $g\circ f$, and by $f^*:A\to B*$ the extension of f to finite sequences of A componentwise to finite sequences in B.

3.1.2 Character Encodings

We define text, character encodings, and character decodings as abstract notions. The discussion here may be carried out in terms of bytes and specific data formats, but these concepts are beyond the scope of this standard.

Let Char be a finite set. An **encoding** is an injective mapping $\mathcal{E}: \mathbb{N} \to \text{Char}$. The associated **decoding** is the left-inverse $\mathcal{D}: \text{Char} \to \mathbb{N}$ of \mathcal{E} . We denote CHAR = $\mathcal{D}(\text{Char})$.

Character encodings may be given as finite tables, matching natural numbers with characters. Several major encodings are defined in the following sources.

- US-ASCII []. We will refer to this simply as ASCII¹, but there are subtle variations accross specific nationalities and applications (see []).
- UTF-8, UTF-16 []. The Unicode Standard defines encodings across numerous human languages and unique characters.

Although ASCII is a subset of UTF-8, this standard will prioritize ASCII as much as possible. Every BNF grammar (see Section ?.?) for this standard will be written in ASCII as a unifying encoding, but users may create grammars using UTF-8, UTF-16, or their own encodings (see Section ?.?).

Definition 3.1.1. A BNF encoding under Char is an encoding $\mathcal{E} : \mathbb{N} \to \text{Char}$ along with five subsets CHAR*,

- WHITE SPACES
- NUMBERS
- DELIMITERS
- STRING ESCAPES
- RESERVED

such that WHITE SPACES is pair-wise disjoint with every other subset.

Moreover, let STRING be the set of strings over DELIMITERS, where a string is a word d_1wd_2 such that $w \in (\text{CHAR}\setminus \text{DELIMITERS}\cup \text{STRING}_\text{ESCAPES}(d_1,d_2))*$. Notice that DELIMITERS, STRING_ESCAPES can be defined in terms of STRING.

In our BNF encoding, we assume all sets above are non-empty. The first is an optional addition, adding support for defining machine representable numbers; the second distingushes words from one another; the next two are used for arbitrary strings; and the last enables parsers to priortize certain characters. Any of these sets, however, can made empty with a different grammar (see Section ?.?).

Every Welkin grammar, written in a BNF metasyntax, uses the ASCII encoding with

¹American Standard Code for Information Exchange

- NUMBERS = \emptyset ,
- WHITE SPACES = = ASCII whitespace characters,
- STRING = all ASCII characters enclosed by single or double quotes. We escape single (double) quotes via (\").

A \mathbf{text} is a subset of CHAR * . We will not consider streaming issues, i.e, we will assume every Welkin file is present at one time.

3.1.3 BNF Variant

Our variant of BNF uses the notation shown in Table ?.? and in Definition ?.?. Our notation, as well as every standard Welkin grammar, is available in ASCII.

Each BNF has an associated subset RESERVED \subseteq CHAR* for any literals that appear in the grammar. We will explictly state these for Welkin variants in the next section.

Concept	Notation	Example
Rule Assignment	=	term = atom
Empty Word (In ASCII)	empty	$ ext{term} = ext{empty}$
Alternation		$egin{array}{lll} { m boolean} &=& { m true} \ & & { m false} \end{array}$
Concatenation (No white spaces inbetween rules)	Separate with a period (.)	function = name."(".number.")"
Concatenation (Zero or more white spaces allowed)	Separate with white space	data = date name
Groupings	Parantheses ()	$boolean = (true \mid false)$
Literals	"word"	boolean = "true" "false"
Choice Names	$\mathrm{terms} \to \mathrm{rule}$	$\begin{array}{rcl} boolean & = \text{ "true"} \rightarrow true \\ & \text{ "false"} \rightarrow false \end{array}, \\ & \text{equivalent to} \\ boolean & = \text{ true } \text{ false} \\ true & = \text{ "true"} \\ false & = \text{ "false"} \end{array}$
Rule Substitution (Definition ?.?)	$rule1[rule2 \\ \rightarrow rule3]$	$egin{array}{ll} { m rule1} &=& { m rule2} \ { m equivalent \ to} \ { m s} \end{array}$

We introduce one new notion that is definable with BNFs.

Definition 3.1.2. (Rule Substitution) Let $rule_1, rule_2$ be rules with $rule_2$ appearing on the right hand side of $rule_1$. Suppose $rule_3$ appears on the lefthand side of $rule_2$. Then $rule_1[rule_2 \rightarrow rule_3]$ is $rule_1$ with every instance of $rule_2$ replaced by $rule_1$.

Some particular cases:

• term[empty] means no rule should be applied.

An conformant parser for all three grammars should include the ability to compose and override rules. This ensures that any updates to grammars, if necessary, are isolated. See more details in Section?.?.

3.2 The Welkin Language

There are three fundamental variants of Welkin that define the foundation for the language:

- Base Welkin, mirroring the key properties of the core data structure.
- Attribute Welkin, extending Base Welkin with attributes. Attributes are a limited type of directive that can customize how the interpreter accepts or presents data.
- Binder Welkin, enabling arbitrary evaluation of Welkin files and access to the user's operating system. This is equivalent to Attribute Welkin with three new directives: @eval, @exec, and @bind.

Each of these variants can be parsed with LALR parsers and fundamentally have the same semantics. However, in Binder Welkin, @eval makes the interpreter Turing complete (see Section ?.?), and using @exec can run external programs that impact the user's system. For this reason, Binder Welkin is a separate, optional component, as detailed in the Section ?.?

Syntax

Define each RESERVED set as follows.²

- RESERVED_{base} = {{}} () [] . ,} \cup {->, <-},
- RESERVED_{attribute} = {{, }, (,), [,], ., , *, -, ->, <-, @},
- $RESERVED_{binder} = RESERVED_{attribute}$.

Each grammar is provided in Table 3.?.

²In with single characters, we write them with concatenation. For example, $\{xy\}$ stands for $\{x,y\}$.

Semantics

We break down our semantics first by terms. Directives are handled separately in the next section.

Definition 3.2.1. Equality of terms.

- Basis. Two units are equal if they are the same kind and obey one of the following.
 - ident terms are equal if their corresponding characters are equal,
 - string terms are equal if their corresponding contents are equal.
 Thus, if ",' are delimiters, "A" coincides with 'A',
 - $\,$ num terms are equal if they represent the same value. Thus, 1 coincides with 10E.

• Recursion.

- Two members are the same if they contain the same list of units.
- Two connectors are equal if they are equal as terms.
- Two connections are equal if they connect the same terms and have equal connectors.
- Two graphs are equal if they contain the same terms.

A **scope** is recursively defined and intutively is a level of terms.

Definition 3.2.2. (Scope) Let t be a term.

- If t is not contained in a graph, then scope(term) = 0,
- If $G' \in G$ are both graphs and scope(G') = n, then for all $t \in G'$, scope(t) = scope(G) + 1.

A valid base Welkin file consists satisfies a unique naming rule: in every scope, there are no name collisons. In particular, every graph must only be defined once. Note that, by the way equality was defined between two numbers, there can only be one representation of a given number in a scope. For example, using 1 and 10E-1 in the same scope would produce a name collison. We first form an Abstract Syntax Tree (AST), from which we form the final stored data in a Welkin Information Graph.

Definition 3.2.3. Base Welkin is parsed into the following AST A.

- Every term is a new subtree with its contents as children.
- Every graph is an ordered pair of its name and list of children.
- Every connection is an ordered pair:
 - Left arrows $u \xrightarrow{e} v$ correspond to a triple (u, e, v);

- Right arrows $u \stackrel{e}{\to} v$ correspond to the triple (v, e, u);
- Edges correspond to both a left and right arrow.
- Every unit is encoded via \mathcal{E}^* . Numbers are further transformed into a machine representable form, which is dependent on the implementation.

We adapt the terminology from (author?) [1] for our needs.

Definition 3.2.4.

Definition 3.2.5. A Welkin Information Graph G = (V, C, L, l) consists of

- set V of vertices,
- a set $C \subseteq V \times (V \uplus \{\varepsilon\}) \times V$ of connections,
- a set L of labels, and
- an injective function $l: L \to V$, called the **labeling function**,

such that C is reflexive: for all $A \in V$, $(A, A, A) \in C$.

The last condition implies that the function $i: V \to C$, given by i(A) = (A, A, A), is well-defined. From this map, we abuse notation and write A and (A, A, A) synonymously. (The use of this notation is clear from context).

Definition 3.2.6. Let $G = (V_G, C_G, L_G, l_G), H = (V_H, C_H, L_H, l_H)$ be WIGS. A **morphism** $f : G \to H$ is a triple of functions $(f_V : V_G \to V_H, f_C : C_G \to C_H, f_L : L_G \to L_H)$ such that conditions hold:

- $f_C(A, e, B) = (f_V(A), f_V(e), f_V(B))$ for $A, e, B \in V_G$. Equivalently, $(A, e, B) \in C_G$ implies $(f_V(A), f_V(e), f_V(B)) \in C_H$.
- test

An **isomorphism** is a morphism with an inverse (i.e., such that the above conditions hold in both directions).

Definition 3.2.7. Let G be a WIG. The Welkin Canonical Form (WCF) of G is the WIG Can(G) = (V', E', C', L, l'), where

- $Can(V) = \{0 \le k \le |G|\},\$
- $(Can(A), Can(B)) \in Can(E)$ if $(A, B) \in E$
- $(\operatorname{Can}(A), \operatorname{Can}(E), \operatorname{Can}(B)) \in \operatorname{Can}(C)$ if $(A, E, B) \in C$.
- (Condition on labeling)

³Note that it is possible for loops to be sent to edges. In an isomoprhism, however, this is not possible: all loops are mapped exactly to loops.

The next lemma defines the basis for the recorder. Every WIG must be put into a universal form that can be labeled in completely different ways. The underlying structure of the WIG is always preserved, no matter what labels are added.

Lemma 3.2.1. For any WIG G, $G \cong Can(G)$.

In fact, the structure of a WIG can only be appended. Any new additions to the graph do not remove existing structure.

3.3 General Application Behavior

Note that all apparent structures may be adjusted under cryptomorphism.

Directives

Each directive relies on the following components.

- Input: processes an input or set of inputs.
- Parser: takes in a Welkin file and generates an AST,
- Validator: ensures that the AST is valid, raising an error that directly points to a violation,
- From here, an AST may be processed by three different means:
 - Recorder: takes the AST, converts it into a WIG in WCF, serializes the data,
 - Printer: displays some information provided in a Welkin file to the
 - Evaluator: evaluates, executes, or binds commands into Welkin units.

All official implementations may implement

- Base Welkin alone,
- Attribute and Base Welkin, or
- All three variants.

In each case, these variants must be implemented according to the welkin/bootstrap file. This file bootstraps the essential information from this standard. (See Section ?.? on a specification of this bootstrap)

Bootstrap

The welkin/bootstrap file faciliates the user API to Attribute and Binder Welkin. It is currently located at https://github.com/AstralBearStudios/welkin and is essential for creating a stable Welkin interpreter. Every official interpreter must follow this document first, and then be able to successfully parse for the final (bootstrapped) command: @attribute.

3.3.1 Customization

All Welkin files are infinitely customizable via the welkin config file, which is written in Attribute Welkin. Any attribute can be used, and other Welkin files can be imported. A base config file is required to customize a Welkin grammar. From there, configs can be arbitrarily nested to create and connect any desired (context-free) grammar, validator, recroder, and displayer.

3.4 Core Algorithms

3.4.1 Graph Encoding

Variant	Grammar		
	terms	=	term*
	term	=	(graph connections member unit)
	graph	=	unit? "{" terms "}"
	connections	=	$\operatorname{term} (\operatorname{connector} \operatorname{term}) +$
	connector	=	"-" term "-" \rightarrow edge
Base			"-" term ">" \rightarrow left_arrow
Welkin			" $<$ -" term '-' \rightarrow right_arrow
	member	=	unit? (".".(ident string)? "#".num)+
	unit	=	ident string num
	ident	=	CHAR*
	string	=	STRING
	num	=	NUMBER
Attribute	Attribute %import grammars/base.txt		
Welkin			%override term
Weikiii	term	= '	'@".(directive graph[directive])
			construct graph connection
		'	member unit
			attributes
	attributes		$\text{'import''.tuple} \to \text{import}$
			$\text{`self''.(member?)} \rightarrow \text{self}$
			'alias".graph[empty] \rightarrow alias 'resource".graph[unit] \rightarrow resources
			'metadata''.graph[unit] \rightarrow metadata
			$\text{`input''.graph} \rightarrow \text{input'}$
			'parse''.(graph unit) \rightarrow parse
			'validate''.tuple \rightarrow validate
			$rac{1}{1}$ record".term $ ightarrow$ record
		'	'print".graph \rightarrow print
			'attribute".graph \rightarrow new_attribute
			$unit.term \rightarrow custom_attribute$
	construct		operation tuple list series all_terms
	operation		term.tuple term unit term
	tuple		"(" series ")"
	list		'[" series "]"
	series	= 1	term "," (term ",")* term ","?
	all_terms	_	(*)
Binder	%i		rt grammars/attribute.txt
Welkin	_		%override directives
	directives		attributes binders
	binders =		$eval$ ".tuple[unit] $\rightarrow eval$
			$[exec]$.tuple[string] $\rightarrow exec$
l		"	bind".graph[empty] \rightarrow bind

Bibliography

[1] Ole Høgh Jensen and Robin Milner. Bigraphs and mobile processes. Technical Report UCAM-CL-TR-570, University of Cambridge, Computer Laboratory, July 2003.