

# The Welkin Standard

Oscar Bender-Stone

December 2023

## LICENSE

This article, version ??, is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. A copy of this license is provided at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>.

This standard requires a cursory background in discrete mathematics, parsing, and Backus-Naur Form (BNF). A reading of [] and [] suffices to understand this document.

This edition of the standard, in English, is the basis for all other translations. Only the grammars will be given in english and should be copied identically. However, any terms in this document may be translated or changed as necessary.

## 1 Preliminaries

### 1.1 Character Encodings

In a formalist fashion, we define text, character encodings, and character decodings as generalized notions. The discussion here may be carried out in terms of bytes and specific data formats, but these concepts are beyond the scope of this standard.

Let  $\text{Char}$  be an arbitrary, finite set. An **encoding** is an injective mapping  $\mathcal{E} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Char}$ . The associated **decoding** is the left-inverse  $\mathcal{D} : \text{Char} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ . There is a natural extension  $\mathcal{D}^* : \text{Char}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$  that maps sequences in  $\text{Char}$  componentwise to sequences in  $\mathbb{N}^*$ . We denote  $\text{CHAR} = \mathcal{D}(\text{Char})$  and  $\text{CHAR}^*$  as the Kleene-closure of  $\text{CHAR}$ , whose elements  $w$  are called **words**.<sup>1</sup> A word  $w_1$  is a ***b*-separated subword** of  $w_2$ , denoted  $w_1 \subseteq_b w_2$ , if  $bw_1 \subseteq w_2$  or  $w_1b \subseteq w_2$ . In particular, if  $b = \emptyset$ , then we simply call  $w_1$  a **subword** of  $w_2$ .

Character encodings may be given as finite tables, matching natural numbers with characters. Several major encodings are defined in the following sources.

- US-ASCII []. We will refer to this as ASCII, but there are subtle variations accross specific nationalities and applications (see []).

---

<sup>1</sup>Traditionally, the Klenne-closure of a set  $A$  is denoted by  $A^*$ . However, to ensure our BNF can be written in pure ASCII, we append  $*$  without a superscript on  $\text{CHAR}$  and its subsets.

- UTF-8, UTF-16 [].

Although ASCII is a subset of UTF-8, this standard will prioritize ASCII as much as possible. Every BNF grammar (see Section ??) for this standard will be written in ASCII as a unifying encoding, but users may create grammars using UTF-8 or their own encodings (see Section ??). We list several secondary notions in Table ?.? All of these sets, except STRING, are arbitrary.

Set	Definition	Member Notation
NUMBERS	Subset of CHAR*	$r$
WHITE_SPACES	Subset of CHAR*	$ws$
DELIMITERS	Subset of (CHAR*) <sup>2</sup>	$d = (d_1, d_2)$
STRING( $d$ )	$s = d_1 w d_2$ , $d_1, d_2 \not\in ws$ . $w$ is the <b>contents of</b> $s$	$s_d$ , with contents $\hat{s}_d$
STRING	Subset of $\bigcup \text{STRING}(d)$	$s$ , with contents $\hat{s}$
$D_{\text{STRING}}$	Set of delimiters appearing in STRING	$d_{\text{STRING}}$
ESCAPE( $W$ )	Subset of CHAR* \ $W$	$escape_W$
ESCAPE	Subset of $\bigcup \text{ESCAPE}(d)$	$escape$

We implicitly assume that CHAR\* does not conflict with literals defined in a given standard grammar. In terms of the recommended LALR parser, this means that literals are matched first, not identifiers. However, these characters may be used by creating a custom grammar (see Section ?).

## 1.2 BNF Variant

Our variant of BNF uses the notation in Table ?.?. Our notation, as well as every standard Welkin grammar, is written in ASCII, but the interpreter may support additional encodings. (See Section ??).

Each BNF has an associated subset RESERVED  $\subseteq$  CHAR\* for any literals that appear in the grammar. We will explicitly state these for standard Welkin grammars in the next section.

Concept	Notation	Example
Rule Assignment	=	term = atom
Empty Word	$\varepsilon := \emptyset$	term = $\varepsilon$
Concatenation (No white spaces inbetween rules)	Separate with a period (.)	function = name.('number.')
Concatenation (White spaces allowed)	Separate with white space	data = date name
Groupings	Parantheses ( )	boolean = (true   false)
Literals	“word”	boolean = ‘true’   ‘false’
Choice Names	terms $\rightarrow$ rule	boolean = ‘true’ $\rightarrow$ true   ‘false’ $\rightarrow$ false equivalent to boolean = true   false true = ‘true’ false = ‘false’

## 2 The Welkin Language

There are three fundamental variants of Welkin that define the foundation for the language:

- Base Welkin, mirroring the key properties of the core data structure.
- Attribute Welkin, extending Base Welkin with attributes. Attributes are a limited type of directive that can customize how the interpreter accepts or presents data.
- Binder Welkin, enabling arbitrary evaluation of Welkin files and access to the user’s operating system. This is equivalent to Attribute Welkin with two new directives: **@eval** and **@exec**.

Each of these variants can be parsed with LALR parsers and fundamentally have the same semantics. However, in Binder Welkin, **@eval** makes the interpreter Turing complete (see Section 2.2), and using **@exec** can significantly impact the user’s system. For this reason, Binder Welkin is a separate, optional component, as detailed in the Section 2.2.

### Syntax

Set each RESERVED set as follows.

- $\text{RESERVED}_{\text{base}} = \{\{, \}, \cdot, -, - >, < -\},$
- $\text{RESERVED}_{\text{attribute}} = \{\{, \}, (, ), [, ], \cdot, -, - >, < -, @\},$
- $\text{RESERVED}_{\text{binder}} = \text{RESERVED}_{\text{attribute}}.$

Each grammar is provided in Table 3.?

An acceptable parser for all three grammars should include the ability to compose and override rules. This ensures that any updates to grammars, if necessary, are isolated.

Throughout this document, Welkin documents are formatted with the following convention: the ASCII sequence `->` is rendered as  $\rightarrow$  (A graphical user interface may support this rendering via glyphs).

## Semantics

We break down our semantics first by terms. Directives are handled separately in the next section.

**Definition 2.1.** Equality of terms.

- **Basis.** Two units are equal if they are the same kind and obey one of the following.
  - **ident** terms are equal if their corresponding characters are equal,
  - **string** terms are equal if their corresponding contents are equal. Thus, **"A"** coincides with **'A'**,
  - **num** terms are equal if they represent the same value. Thus, **1** coincides with **10E**.
- **Recursion.**
  - Two members are the same if they contain the same list of units.
  - Two connectors are equal if they are equal as terms.
  - Two connections are equal if they connect the same terms and have equal connectors.
  - Two graphs are equal if they contain the same terms.

A **scope** is recursively defined and intuitively is a level of terms.

**Definition 2.2.** (Scope) Let  $t$  be a term.

- If  $t$  is not contained in a graph, then  $\text{scope}(\text{term}) = 0,$
- If  $G' \in G$  are both graphs and  $\text{scope}(G') = n,$  then for all  $t \in G',$   $\text{scope}(t) = \text{scope}(G) + 1.$

Variant	Grammar
Base Welkin	<pre> terms      = term* term       = (graph                 connections                 member                 unit) graph      = unit? "{" terms "}" connections = term (connector term)+ connector  = "-" term "-" → edge                 "-" term "&gt;" → left_arrow                 "&lt;-" term '-' → right_arrow member     = unit? ('.(ident   string)?   '#'.num )+ unit       = ident   string   num ident      = CHAR* string     = STRING num        = NUMBER </pre>
Attribute Welkin	<pre> import grammars/base.txt override term  term      = "@".(directive   graph[directive])                 construct                 term directive = attribute                 alias alias     = unit "=" (unit   graph) attribute = "import" tuple → import                 "self" → self                 "parse".(graph   unit) → parse                 "validate".tuple → validate                 "metadata".graph[unit] → metadata                 "record".term → record                 "render".graph → render construct = operation   tuple   list operation = term.tuple   term unit term tuple     = "(" term "," (term ',' )* ',' ? ")" list      = "[" term "," [term ',' ]* "," ? "]" </pre>
Binder Welkin	<pre> import grammars/attribute.txt override directives  directives = attributes   binders binders    = "eval".tuple[unit] → eval                 "exec".tuple[string] → exec </pre>

A **valid** base Welkin file consists satisfies a unique naming rule: in every scope, there are no name collisons. In particular, every graph must **only be defined once**. Note that, by the way equality was defined between two numbers, there can only be one representation of a given number in a scope. For example, using **1** and **10E-1** in the same scope would produce a name collison. We first form an Abstract Syntax Tree (AST), from which we form the final stored data in a **Welkin Information Graph**.

**Definition 2.3.** Base Welkin is parsed into the following AST  $\mathcal{A}$ .

- Every term is a new subtree with its contents as children.
- Every graph is an ordered pair of its aliases and list of children.
- Every connection is an ordered pair:
  - Left arrows  $u \xrightarrow{e} v$  correspond to a triple  $(u, e, v)$ ;
  - Right arrows  $u \xleftarrow{e} v$  correspond to the triple  $(v, e, u)$ ;
  - Edges correspond to both a left and right arrow.
- Every unit is converted into its corresponding encoding via  $\mathcal{E}^*$ .

**Definition 2.4.** A **Welkin Information Graph**  $G = \{G_n\}$  consists of sets  $G_0, G_1, \dots, G_n$  called **layers** such that for each  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $G_{k+1}$  is a reflexive ternary relation on  $G_k$ . In other words,

- $G_{k+1} \subseteq G_k^3$ , and
- for each  $I \in G_k$ ,  $(I, I, I) \in G_{k+1}$ . We call each member of  $G_k$  a  **$k$ -unit**. We denote 0-units by  $A \xrightarrow{e} B$ , and for all other units, we use the notation  $A \xRightarrow{e} B$ . In particular, if  $A \in G_k, a \in G_{k+2}$ ,  $A \xRightarrow{a} A$  means  $(A, A, A) \xRightarrow{a} (A, A, A)$ .

Notice that the second condition implies the map  $i_k : G_k \hookrightarrow G_{k+1}$  given by  $i(A) = (A, A, A)$  is well-defined. We may therefore “mix” between cells at different layers via recursion.

- **Basis:**  $i(A) = (A, A, A)$
- **Recursion:** if  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  are cells, then  $\dots$

We abuse notation to  $i$ , defined via recursion. and refer to  $A$  synonymously with  $(A, A, A)$ . We can generalize this equivalence for any cells  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  via recursion. More generally, the cell  $(I_1, I_2, I_3)$  for  $A, B \in G_{k_1}, I \in G$  Formally, this may be done by taking a map  $i : G_i$

WIGS are generalizations of multi directed graphs in two different ways:

- Arcs (or arrows) are defined by three vertices instead of two.
- There can be arcs between arcs. In our definition above, these are separated by each  $G_k$ .

Graphs and connections, as defined in Base Welkin, are special cases of these arcs.

**Definition 2.5.**

A **graph** for unit  $A \in G_k$  is the set of units  $G(A) = \{A \xRightarrow{a} A\}$ .

A **connection** is any cell of the form  $(A, I, B) \in G_{k+2}$ , where  $A, B \in G_k, I \in G_{k+1}$ .

We provide the following definition that are nearly identical with graph morphisms. We will return to this definition later in Section ??

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}$  be WIGs. A **morphism**  $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is a map such that for each  $0 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $(A, I, B) \in G_k$  implies  $(f(A), f(I), f(B)) \in f(G_k)$ . An **isomorphism** is an invertible morphism, and an **automorphism** is an isomorphism  $\alpha : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Morphisms preserve “mixed” cells.

**Lemma 2.2.** The conversion from ASTs to Welkin Information Graphs is valid and is given by  $\mu : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}, \mu(\mathcal{A}) = \dots$

The final output of parsing is a normalized WIG. We define Welkin Canonical Form in the following fashion.

**Definition 2.7.** A WIG is in **Welkin Canonical Form (WCF)** if ...

Based on this form, we have chosen a unique way to represent Welkin files. In particular, there is a representation under WIG (generalized) homotopies. We prove that there is a polynomial (or exponential?) algorithm to convert any WIG into WNF.

### 3 General Application Behavior

Note that all apparent structures may be adjusted under cryptomorphism.

#### Directives

Each directive relies on the following components.

- Parser: takes in a Welkin file and generates an AST,
- Validator: ensures that the AST is valid, raising an error that directly points to a violation,
- From here, an AST may be processed by three different means:
  - Recorder: takes the AST, converts it into a WIG in WCF, serializes the data,
  - Attributor:
  - Binder:

Directive	Definition	Example
<b>import</b>	Concatenates input // with current file	Example

### **3.1 Customization**

All Welkin files are infinitely customizable via the welkin config file, which is written in Attribute Welkin. Any attribute can be used, and other Welkin files can be imported. A base config file is required to customize a Welkin grammar. From there, configs can be arbitrarily nested to create and connect any desired (context-free) grammar, validator, recroder, and displayer.

## **4 Core Algorithms**

### **4.1 Graph Encoding**