

Optimization

Department of Mathematics, Hamburg University, Bundesstrasse 55 , 20146, Hamburg, Germany

Abstract:**Keywords:** Optimization • Convexity

Introduction

0.1. Definitions

0.2. Useful lemmas and Theorems.

Lemma 0.1.

Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in a Hilbert Space H . Then $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ possesses a weakly convergent subsequence.

Lemma 0.2.

Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in a Hilbert Space H . Then $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges if and only if it is bounded and possesses at most one weak sequential cluster point.

Theorem 0.1.

Let $f : H \rightarrow (\infty, \infty]$ be a convex functional on a Hilbert space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) f is weakly sequentially lower semicontinuous.
- (ii) f is sequentially lower semicontinuous.
- (iii) f is lower semicontinuous.
- (iv) f is weakly lower semicontinuous.

Lemma 0.3.

A convex set is closed if and only if it is weakly closed.

Lemma 0.4.

Every bounded linear operator over a Banach Space is weakly continuous.

1. Lecture 1

2. Lecture 2

2.1. Convexity

Definition 2.1.

Let U be linear space. A functional $J : U \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is called convex, if for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $u_1, u_2 \in U$.

$$J(tu_1 + (1-t)u_2) \leq tJ(u_1) + (1-t)J(u_2) \quad (1)$$

holds such that the right hand side is well defined.

- J is strictly convex if (1) holds strictly for $\forall u_1, u_2 \in U, u_1 \neq u_2$ and $t \in (0, 1)$ with $J(u_1) < \infty$ and $J(u_2) < \infty$.
- An optimization problem,

$$\min_{u \in C} J(u)$$

is called convex if both C and J are convex.

Lemma 2.1.

If C and V are convex in U , then

- $\alpha V = \{w = \alpha v, v \in C\}$ is convex.
- $C + V$ is convex.

Proof. □

Lemma 2.2.

Let V be a collection of convex sets in U , then $C = \bigcap_{K \in V} K$ is convex.

Proof. If $C = \emptyset$, then the statement is vacuously true. Consider $C \neq \emptyset$ and $u_1, u_2 \in C$ then $u_1, u_2 \in K$ for all $K \in V$

$$\implies tu_1 + (1-t)u_2 \in K, \quad \forall K \in V \implies tu_1 + (1-t)u_2 \in \bigcap_{K \in V} K$$

□

Lemma 2.3.

Let $C \in U$ convex and $J : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Define $\alpha = \inf_{u \in C} J(u)$. Then the set $\Psi = \{u \mid J(u) = \alpha\}$ is convex, i.e. the solution of

$$\min_{u \in C} J(u)$$

is a convex set.

Proof. Let $u_1, u_2 \in \Psi$ and $u_t = tu_1 + (1-t)u_2$. Since J is convex, it holds that $J(u_t) \leq tJ(u_1) + (1-t)J(u_2) = \alpha$. Thus $J(u_t) = \alpha, \forall t \in [0, 1]$. Implying $u_t \in \Psi$. Hence Ψ is convex. □

Lemma 2.4.

Let U be linear normed space, and $C \subset U$ a convex set and $J : U \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ convex functional. Let $\bar{u} \in C$ such that

$$J(\bar{u}) \leq J(u) \quad \forall u \in B_\epsilon(\bar{u}) \cap C,$$

for some ball $B_\epsilon(\bar{u})$ in U with center in \bar{u} . Then $J(\bar{u}) = \inf_{u \in C} J(u)$. In other words, the local minimizer of a convex optimization problem is also a global minimizer.

Proof. Let $B_\epsilon(\bar{u})$ be an open neighborhood of \bar{u} with $J(\bar{u}) \leq J(u)$ for all $u \in B_\epsilon(\bar{u}) \cap C$. Take an arbitrary $u^* \in C$ and consider $u_t = t\bar{u} + (1-t)u^*$. Since C is convex $u_t \in C$. For some $t \in (0, 1)$, $u_t \in B_\epsilon(\bar{u})$.

Thus,

$$J(\bar{u}) \leq J(u_t) \leq tJ(\bar{u}) + (1-t)J(u^*).$$

We have $\forall t \in [0, 1]$ that $(1-t) \leq 0$, then

$$(1-t)J(\bar{u}) \leq (1-t)J(u^*) \quad \forall u^* \in C$$

Therefore, \bar{u} is a local minimizer for C . □

Theorem 2.1.

Let U is Banach Space, $C \subset U$ convex and $J : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ Gateaux differentiable. Consider the minimization problem.

$$\min_{u \in C} J(u)$$

1. Let \bar{u} be a local solution. Then $J'(\bar{u}; u - \bar{u}) \geq 0$, $\forall u \in C$.
2. If J is convex on C , then $J'(\bar{u}; u - \bar{u}) \geq 0$, $\forall u \in C$ is necessary and sufficient for global optimality of \bar{u}
3. If J is strictly convex on C , then the minimization problem admits at most one solution.
4. If C is closed, and J is convex and continuous with

$$\lim_{\substack{u \in C \\ \|u\| \rightarrow \infty}} J(u) = \infty.$$

Then a global solution $\bar{u} \in C$ exists.

Proof.

1. Let \bar{u} be a local solution $J(\bar{u}) \leq J(u)$, $\forall u \in B_\epsilon(\bar{u}) \cap C$, let $t \in [0, 1]$, $u_t = \bar{u} + t(u - \bar{u})$, then $u_t \in C$, since C is convex.

For small $t > 0$,

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{t} [J(u_t) - J(u)] \leq \frac{1}{t} [J(\bar{u} + t(u - \bar{u})) - J(u)] \xrightarrow{t \downarrow 0} J'(\bar{u}; u - \bar{u})$$

2. Since J is convex we have for $u \in C$, $J(\bar{u} + t(u - \bar{u})) \leq J(\bar{u}) + t[J(u) - J(\bar{u})]$, for $t > 0$

$$\implies J(u) - J(\bar{u}) \geq \frac{1}{t} [J(\bar{u} + t(u - \bar{u})) - J(\bar{u})] \xrightarrow{t \downarrow 0} J'(\bar{u}; u - \bar{u}) \geq 0.$$

Therefore \bar{u} is a global minimizer.

3. Assume, that there are two solution for the minimization problem, $\bar{u}, u^* \in C$, such that $\bar{u} \neq u^*$ and $J(\bar{u}) = J(u^*) = \inf_{u \in C} J(u)$. Since J is strictly convex $J(u_t) = J(t\bar{u} + (1-t)u^*) < tJ(\bar{u}) + (1-t)J(u^*) = \alpha$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Contradicting our assumption that u^* and \bar{u} are solutions.

4. $\alpha = \inf_{u \in C} J(u) \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$, choose a minimizing sequence $(u_k)_k \subset C$ with $J(u_k) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha$

$\implies (u_k)_k$ is bounded, because $J \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$.

$\implies (u_k)_k$ contains a weakly convergent subsequence $u_{k_e} \xrightarrow{e \rightarrow \infty} \bar{u} \in C$. Since C is closed and convex.

$\implies J$ is weakly-lower semicontinuos because it is convex and continuous.

□

3. Lecture 3

Now consider Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ with the norm defined as $\|\cdot\| = \sqrt{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$.

Let be $J : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a functional over a Hilbert space H , we define the set,

$$\arg \min_{v \in C \subseteq H} J(x) := \{x \mid x \in C \wedge \forall v \in C : J(x) \leq J(v)\}.$$

By Riesz-Fréchet representation formula, exists a unique vector $\nabla J(x) \in H$ such that,

$$(\forall y \in H) \quad J'(x; y) = \langle y, \nabla J(x) \rangle$$

namely Gateaux gradient of J at x .

Lemma 3.1.

Let H Hilbert space and $C \subset H$ closed and convex. Define $P_C : H \rightarrow C$,

$$P_C(x) = \arg \min_{v \in C} [\|v - x\|].$$

Then,

1. P_C is well defined, i.e. $\exists! u \in H$ such that $P_C(x) = \{u\}$.
2. $\forall u, v \in H$, we have $x = P_C(u) \iff x \in C$ and $\langle u - x, v - x \rangle \leq 0$.
3. $\|P_C(u) - P_C(\bar{u})\| \leq \|u - \bar{u}\| \quad \forall u, \bar{u} \in H$, i.e. The projection P_C is non expansive.
4. $\langle P_C(u) - P_C(\bar{u}), u - \bar{u} \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall u, \bar{u} \in H$
5. Let be $t > 0$ a real number, then $\forall u \in C$, and $\forall v \in H$, $\phi(t) = \frac{1}{t} \|P_C(u + tv) - u\|$ is non-increasing.

Theorem 3.1.

Let H be Hilbert space, $C \subset H$ closed and convex, $J : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, Gateaux differentiable at the local solution \bar{u} of $\min_{u \in C} J(u)$. Thus, $J'(\bar{u}; u - \bar{u}) \geq 0, \forall u \in C$ and it is equivalent to $\bar{u} = P_C(\bar{u} - \delta \nabla J(\bar{u}))$

Proof. Since every Hilbert Space is a Banach space, and C is closed and Convex subset of H , and \bar{u} is a solution of minimization problem; we can apply 2.1.

Thus $J'(\bar{u}; u - \bar{u}) \geq 0 \iff \langle u - \bar{u}, \nabla J(\bar{u}) \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall u \in C$.

For all $\delta > 0$, we multiply the Gateaux gradient $(-\delta)$ and we have,

$$\langle u - \bar{u}, -\delta \nabla J(\bar{u}) \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall u \in C,$$

adding zero to the gradient, $\langle u - \bar{u}, \bar{u} - \delta \nabla J(\bar{u}) - \bar{u} \rangle \leq 0$. Then we set $w \in H$ as $w := \bar{u} - \delta \nabla J(\bar{u})$, and applying lemma 3.1 we have,

$$\bar{u} = P_C(w) \iff \langle w - \bar{u}, u - \bar{u} \rangle$$

Thus,

$$\bar{u} = P_C(\bar{u} - \delta \nabla J(\bar{u}))$$

□

3.1. Application

Consider U, Y, Z Hilbert spaces. Let be $J : Y \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a functional. Consider the minimization problem,

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u} = \min_{y,u} J(y, u) \\ Ay = Bu \quad u \in U_{ad} \subset U \end{cases}$$

For some set U_{ad} closed, convex and bounded. And $A \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$ bounded and invertible and $B \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$.

Then we can write $y \in Y$ as a function of $u \in U$,

$$y = y(u) = A^{-1}Bu$$

Consider the reduced cost functional $F(u) := J(y(u), u)$, then our problem is equivalent to

$$\bar{u}_{ad} = \min_{u \in U_{ad}} F(u)$$

Let $(u_k)_k \in U_{ad}$ denote a minimizing sequence, i.e. $F(u_k) \rightarrow \inf_{u \in U_{ad}} F(u)$, since $u_k \in U_{ad}$ the sequence is bounded. Therefore we can find a convergent subsequence $u_{k_l} \xrightarrow{l \rightarrow \infty} u^*$, moreover since U_{ad} is closed and convex U_{ad} is weakly closed, implying $u^* \in U_{ad}$

Proposition 3.1.

If J is continuous and weakly lower semicontinuous, then $u^* = \arg \min_{u \in U_{ad}} [F(u)]$.

Proof. If J is weakly lower semicontinuous

$$J(y(u^*), u^*) \leq \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} J(y(u_k), u_k)$$

That is,

$$F(u^*) \leq \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} F(u_k) = \alpha$$

□

4. Lecture 4

5. Lecture 5

6. Lecture 6

7. Lecture 7

8. Lecture 8

Definition 8.1.

A function $\Phi : U \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a perturbation function of J for the minimization problem

$$\inf_{u \in U} J(u) \tag{P}$$

if $\Phi(u, 0) = J(u)$ for all $u \in U$. For each $p \in Y$, the minimization problem (Pp)

$$\inf_{u \in U} \Phi(u, p) \quad (\text{Pp})$$

is called a perturbation problem. The variable p is called perturbation parameter. If we denote by Φ^* the convex conjugate function of Φ , the *dual problem*, with respect to Φ is defined by

$$\sup_{p^* \in Y^*} -\Phi^*(0, p^*) \quad (\text{P}^*)$$

where $\Phi^* : (U \times Y)^* \cong U^* \times Y^* \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, a function defined as follows.

$$\Phi^*(u^*, p^*) = \sup_{\substack{u \in U \\ p \in Y}} ((u^*, u)_{U^*U} + (p^*, p)_{Y^*Y} - \Phi(u, p))$$

Remark 8.1.

For $p = 0$, $(\text{P}^*) \equiv (\text{Pp})$. We denote the infimum for problem (P) by $\inf(\text{P})$ and the supremum for problem (P^*) by $\sup(\text{P}^*)$

Lemma 8.1 (Weak duality).

For the problem (P) and (P^*) it holds that

$$-\infty \leq \sup(\text{P}^*) \leq \inf(\text{P}) \leq \infty.$$

Proof. Let $p^* \in Y^*$. It follows

$$-\Phi^*(0, p^*) = -\sup_{\substack{u \in U \\ p \in Y}} ((0, u) + (p^*, p) - \Phi(u, p)) \quad (2)$$

$$= \inf_{\substack{u \in U \\ p \in Y}} (\Phi(u, p) - (p^*, p)) \quad (3)$$

$$\leq (\Phi(u, 0) - (p^*, 0)) \quad \forall u \in U, p^* \in Y^* \quad (4)$$

$$\implies \sup_{p^* \in Y^*} (-\Phi^*(0, p^*)) \leq \inf_{u \in U} \Phi(u, 0) = \inf(P) \quad (5)$$

□

By iteration we can define, a bidual problem

$$-\sup_{u \in U} (-\Phi^*(u, 0)) = \inf_{u \in U} \Phi^*(u, 0) \quad (\text{P}^{**})$$

In case the space U is reflexive then $U^{**} = U$.

If the perturbation function $\Phi(u, p)$ is proper, convex and weakly lower semicontinuous. Then $\Phi^{**} = \Phi$. In this case $\Phi(u, 0) = \Phi^{**}(u, 0)$ i.e $(\text{P}) \equiv (\text{P}^{**})$

Definition 8.2.

Consider the infimal value function

$$h(p^*) = \inf(\text{Pp}) = \inf_{u \in U} \Phi(u, p)$$

The problem (P) is called stable if $h(0)$ is finite and its sub-differentiable in zero is not empty.

Theorem 8.1.

The primal problem (P) is stable if and only if the following conditions are simultaneously satisfied:

- The dual problem (P*) has a solution.
- There is no duality gap, i.e.

$$\inf (P) = \sup (P^*) \leq \infty$$

Theorem 8.2 (Extremal relation).

Let $\Phi : U \times Y \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, be convex the the following statements are equivalent:

1. (P) and (Pp) have solutions \bar{u} and \bar{p}^* and $\inf(P) = \sup(P^*)$
2. $\Phi(\bar{u}, 0) + \Phi^*(0, \bar{p}^*) = 0$
3. $(0, \bar{p}^*) \in \partial\Phi(u, 0)$ and $(\bar{u}, 0) \in \partial\Phi^*(0, p^*)$

Proof. We proceed by parts:

1. (1) \implies (2): \bar{u} solution of $\inf(P)$ and \bar{p}^* solution of $\sup(P^*)$ and $\inf(P) = \sup(P^*)$. This properties implies, $\Phi(\bar{u}, 0) = \inf(P) = \sup(P^*) = -\Phi(0, \bar{p}^*) \implies \Phi(\bar{u}, 0) + \Phi^*(0, \bar{p}^*) = 0$.
2. (2) \implies (1): $-\Phi^*(0, \bar{p}^*) = \sup(P^*) \leq \inf(P) = \Phi(\bar{u}, 0) = -\Phi^*(0, \bar{p}^*) \implies \sup(P^*) = \inf(P)$
3. (2) \iff (3): $\Phi(\bar{u}, 0) + \Phi^*(0, \bar{p}^*) = 0 = (0, \bar{u}) + (\bar{p}^*, 0) = ((0, \bar{p}^*), (\bar{u}, 0)) \iff (0, \bar{p}^*) \in \partial\Phi(\bar{u}, 0) \forall u \in U, \forall p^* \in \partial J(u) \iff J(u) + J^*(p^*) = (p^*, u)$

□

Fencel duality.

Consider the functional $J : U \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$J(u) = F(u) + G(Au)$$

with $F : U \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, G convex function $G : V \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and $A : U \rightarrow V$ bounded and linear.

We introduce the perturbation $\Phi(u, p) = F(u) + G(Au - p)$. The dual problem is obtained with,

$$\Phi^*(0, p^*) = \sup_{\substack{u \in U \\ p \in V}} ((p^*, p) - F(u) - G(Au - p))$$

For fixed u we set $q : Au - p$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^*(0, p^*) &= \sup_{u \in U} \sup_{p \in V} ((p^*, Au - q) - F(u) - G(q)) \\ &= \sup_{u \in U} \sup_{p \in V} ((A^* p^*, u) - (p^*, q) - F(u) - G(q)) \\ &= \sup_{u \in U} ((p^*, Au) - F(u)) + \sup_{p \in V} ((-p^*, q) - G(q)) \\ &= F^*(A^* p^*) + G^*(-p^*) \end{aligned}$$

References