## Hamburg University

# Optimization

Notes

Department of Mathematics, Hamburg University, Bundesstrasse 55, 20146, Hamburg, Germany

#### Abstract:

Keywords: Optimization● Convexity

# Introduction

### 0.1. Definitions

### 0.2. Useful lemmas and Theorems.

#### Lemma 0.1.

Let  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a bounded sequence in a Hilbert Space H. Then  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  possesses a weakly convergent subsequence.

#### Lemma 0.2

Let  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in a Hilbert Space H. Then  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges if and only if it is bounded and possesses at most one weak sequential cluster point.

#### Theorem 0.1.

Let  $f: H \to (\infty, \infty]$  be a convex functional on a Hilbert space. Then the following are equivalent:

- $(i)\ f\ is\ weakly\ sequentially\ lower\ semicontinuous.$
- (ii) f is sequentially lower semicontinuous.
- (iii) f is lower semicontinuous.
- (iv) f is weakly lower semicontinuous.

#### Lemma 0.3.

A convex set is closed if and only if it is weakly closed.

1

### 1. Lecture 1

### 2. Lecture 2

### 2.1. Convexity

### Definition 2.1.

Let U be linear space. A functional  $J: U \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is called convex, if for  $t \in [0,1]$  and  $u_1, u_2 \in U$ .

$$J(tu_1 + (1-t)u_2) \le tJ(u_1) + (1-t)J(u_2) \tag{1}$$

holds such that the right hand sid is well defined.

- J is strictly convex if (1) holds strictly for  $\forall u_1, u_2 \in U, u_1 \neq u_2 \text{ and } t \in (0,1) \text{ with } J(u_1) < \infty \text{ and } J(u_2) \leq \infty.$
- An optimization problem,

$$\min_{u \in C} J(u)$$

is called convex if both C and J are convex.

#### Lemma 2.1.

If C and V are convex in U, then

- $\alpha V = \{w = \alpha v, v \in C\}$  is convex.
- C + V is convex.

Proof.

### Lemma 2.2.

Let V be a collection of convex sets in U, then  $C = \bigcap_{K \in V} K$  is convex.

*Proof.* If  $C = \emptyset$ , then C the statement is vacuously true. Consider  $C \neq \emptyset$  and  $u_1, u_2 \in C$  then  $u_1, u_2 \in K$  for all  $K \in V$ 

$$\implies tu_1 + (1-t)u_2 \in K, \quad \forall K \in V \implies tu_1 + (1-t)u_2 \in \bigcap_{K \in V} K$$

Lemma 2.3.

Let  $C \in U$  convex and  $J: C \to \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $\alpha = \inf_{u \in C} J(u)$ . Then the set  $\Psi = u|J(u) = \alpha$  is convex, i.e. the solution of

$$\min_{u \in C} J(u)$$

is a convex set.

**Proof.** Let  $u_1, u_2 \in \Psi$  and  $u_t = tu_1 + (1-t)u_2$ . Sinc J is convex, it holds that  $J(u_t) \leq tJ(u_t) + (1-t)J(u_t) = \alpha$ . Thus  $J(u_t) = \alpha$ ,  $\forall t \in [0, 1]$ . Implying  $u_t \in \Psi$  Hence  $\Psi$  is convex.

### Lemma 2.4.

Let U be linear normed space, and  $C \subset U$  a convex set and  $J: U \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  convex functional. Let  $\overline{u} \in C$  such that

$$J(\overline{u}) \le J(u) \quad \forall u \in B_{\epsilon}(\overline{u}) \cap C,$$

for some ball  $B_{\epsilon}(\overline{u})$  in U with center in  $\overline{u}$ . Then  $J(\overline{u}) = \inf_{u \in C} J(u)$ . In other words, the local minimizer of a convex optimization problem is also a global minimizer.

**Proof.** Let  $B_{\epsilon}(\overline{u})$  be an open neighborhood of  $\overline{u}$  with  $J(\overline{u}) \leq J(u)$  for all  $u \in B_{\epsilon}(\overline{u}) \cap C$ . Take an arbitrary  $u^* \in C$  and consider  $u_t = t\overline{u} + (1-t)u^*$ . Since C is convex  $u_t \in C$ . For some  $t \in (0,1), u_t \in B_{\epsilon}(\overline{u})$ .

Thus,

$$J(\overline{u}) \le J(u_t) \le tJ(\overline{u}) + (1-t)J(u^*).$$

We have  $\forall t \in [0,1]$  that  $(1-t) \leq 0$ , then

$$(1-t)J(\overline{u}) \le (1-t)J(u^*) \qquad \forall u^* \in C$$

Therefore,  $\overline{u}$  is a local minimizer for C.

### Theorem 2.1.

Let U is Banach Space,  $C \subset U$  convex and  $J: C \to \mathbb{R}$  Gateâux differentiable. Consider the minimization problem.

$$\min_{u \in C} J(u)$$

- 1. Let  $\overline{u}$  be a local solution. Then  $J'(\overline{u}, u \overline{u}) \geq 0$ ,  $\forall u \in C$ .
- 2. If J is convex on C, then  $J'(\overline{u}, u \overline{u}) \geq 0$ ,  $\forall u \in C$  is necessary and sufficient for global optimality of  $\overline{u}$
- 3. If J is strictly convex on C, then the minimization problem admits at most one solution.
- 4. If C is closed, and J is convex and continuous with

$$\lim_{\substack{u \in C \\ ||u|| \to \infty}} J(u) = \infty.$$

Then a global solution  $\overline{u} \in C$  exists.

### Proof.

1. Let  $\overline{u}$  be a local solution  $J(\overline{u}) \leq J(u)$ ,  $\forall u \in B_{\epsilon}(\overline{u}) \cap C$ , let  $t \in [0,1]$ ,  $u_t = \overline{u} + t(u - \overline{u})$ , then  $u_t \in C$ , since C is convex.

For small t > 0,

$$0 \le \frac{1}{t} \left[ J(u_t) - J(u) \right] \le \frac{1}{t} \left[ J(\overline{u} + t(u - \overline{u})) - J(u) \right] \xrightarrow{t \downarrow 0} J'(\overline{u}, u - \overline{u})$$

2. Since J is convex we have for  $u \in C$ ,  $J(\overline{u} + t(u - \overline{u})) \leq J(\overline{u}) + t[J(u) - J(\overline{u})]$ , for t > 0

$$\implies J(u) - J(\overline{u}) \ge \frac{1}{t} \left[ J(\overline{u} + t(u - \overline{u})) - J(\overline{u}) \right] \xrightarrow{t \downarrow 0} J'(\overline{u}, u - \overline{u}) \ge 0.$$

Therefore  $\overline{u}$  is a global minimizer.

- 3. Assume, that there are two solution for the minimization problem,  $\overline{u}, u^* \in C$ , such that  $\overline{u} \neq u^*$  and  $J(\overline{u}) = J(u^*) = \inf_{u \in C} J(u)$ . Since J is strictly convex  $J(u_t) = J(t\overline{u} + (1-t)u^*) < tJ(\overline{u}) + (1-t)J(u^*) = \alpha$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Contradicting our assumption that  $u^*$  and  $\overline{u}$  are solutions.
- 4.  $\alpha = \inf_{u \in C} J(u) \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ , choose a minimizing sequence  $(u_k)_k \subset C$  with  $J(u_k) \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} \alpha$ 
  - $\Longrightarrow (u_k)_k$  is bounded, because  $J \to \infty$  as  $||u|| \to \infty$ .
  - $\Longrightarrow (u_k)_k$  contains a weakly convergent subsequence  $u_{k_e} \xrightarrow[e \to \infty]{} \overline{u} \in C$ . Since C is closed and convex.
  - $\Longrightarrow J$  is weakly-lower semicontinuos because it is convex and continuos.

- 3. Lecture 3
- 4. Lecture 4
- 4.1. Lecture 5

References