nuSIprop: solving for the astrophysical propagation of self-interacting neutrinos

Ivan Esteban, Sujata Pandey, Vedran Brdar, John F. Beacom

The code nuSIprop numerically evolves a self-interacting astrophysical neutrino spectrum. As detailed in the companion paper, the evolution equations for the comoving differential density of neutrinos plus antineutrinos¹ of mass eigenstate i, $\tilde{n}_i(t, E_{\nu}) \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d} n_i(t, E_{\nu})}{\mathrm{d} E_{\nu}}$, read

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{n}_{i}(t, E_{\nu})}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial E_{\nu}} \left[H(t) E_{\nu} \, \tilde{n}_{i}(t, E_{\nu}) \right] + \mathcal{L}_{i}(t, E_{\nu}) - \tilde{n}_{i}(t, E_{\nu}) \sum_{j} n_{j}^{t} \sigma_{ij}(E_{\nu})
+ \sum_{i \neq l} n_{j}^{t} \int_{E_{\nu}}^{\infty} dE_{\nu}' \tilde{n}_{k}(t, E_{\nu}') \frac{d\sigma_{jk \to il}}{dE_{\nu}} (E_{\nu}', E_{\nu}) .$$
(1)

Here H(t) is the Hubble parameter as a function of time t, $\mathcal{L}_i(t, E_{\nu})$ is the production rate of neutrinos with mass eigenstate i and energy E_{ν} , $\sigma_{ij}(E_{\nu})$ is the absorption cross section of an incident neutrino with mass eigenstate i and energy E_{ν} on a target neutrino with mass eigenstate j, and $\sigma_{jk\to il}(E'_{\nu}, E_{\nu})$ is the cross section for an incident neutrino with mass eigenstate j and energy E'_{ν} on a target neutrino with mass eigenstate k to generate a detectable neutrino with mass eigenstate i and energy E_{ν} and a neutrino with mass eigenstate i. Here detectable means that, for Dirac neutrinos, neutrinos must be left-handed and antineutrinos right-handed. In both terms, n_i^t is the $C\nu$ B density of the mass eigenstate i.

As we are dealing with propagation over cosmological scales, it is simpler to express all quantities as a function of redshift z ($\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = -H(z) \cdot (1+z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$). Furthermore, we can absorb the cosmological redshift factor $\frac{\partial}{\partial E_{\nu}} [H(t) E_{\nu} \, \tilde{n}_i(t, E_{\nu})]$ by defining

$$Z_i(z, E_\nu) \equiv (1+z)\tilde{n}_i(z, E_\nu[1+z]).$$
 (2)

Notice that at $z=0, Z_i(0, E_{\nu})=\tilde{n}_i(0, E_{\nu})$. The evolution equations for Z_i then read

$$-H(z)\frac{\partial Z_{i}(z, E_{\nu})}{\partial z} = \mathcal{L}_{i}(z, E_{\nu}(1+z)) - \frac{Z_{i}(z, E_{\nu}) \sum_{j} n_{j}^{t} \sigma_{ij}(E_{\nu})}{1+z} + \sum_{jkl} n_{j}^{t} \int_{E_{\nu}}^{\infty} d\tilde{E}_{\nu} Z_{k}(t, \tilde{E}_{\nu}) \frac{d\sigma_{jk \to il}}{dE_{\nu}(1+z)} (\tilde{E}_{\nu}[1+z], E_{\nu}[1+z]).$$
(3)

To numerically solve these equations, we divide the neutrino energy range in bins inside which $Z_i(z, E_{\nu})$ is assumed to be constant. We will denote

$$Z_i^k(z) \equiv \int_{E_{k-1/2}}^{E_{k+1/2}} dE_{\nu} Z_i(z, E_{\nu}) = Z_i(z, E_k) \Delta E_k, \qquad (4)$$

where $E_{k-1/2}$ and $E_{k+1/2} \equiv E_{k-1/2} + \Delta E_k$ are the energy bin limits. If we discretize redshift in

¹In what follows, under explicitly stated, when we mention neutrinos we also refer to the corresponding antineutrinos.

nodes z_{α} and integrate Eq. (3) in energy from $E_{k-1/2}$ to $E_{k+1/2}$, we obtain

$$-\frac{H(z_{\alpha})}{z_{\alpha+1} - z_{\alpha}} \left[Z_{i}^{k}(z_{\alpha+1}) - Z_{i}^{k}(z_{\alpha}) \right] = \mathcal{L}_{i}^{k}(z_{\alpha}) - \Gamma_{i}^{k}(z_{\alpha+1}) \frac{Z_{i}^{k}(z_{\alpha+1})}{\Delta E_{k}} + \sum_{j} \tilde{\alpha}_{ij}^{k}(z_{\alpha+1}) \frac{Z_{j}^{k}(z_{\alpha+1})}{\Delta E_{k}} + \sum_{j} \sum_{k' > k} \alpha_{ij}^{k,k'}(z_{\alpha+1}) \frac{Z_{j}^{k'}(z_{\alpha+1})}{\Delta E_{k'}},$$
(5)

with

$$\mathcal{L}_{i}^{k}(z_{\alpha}) \equiv \int_{E_{k-1/2}}^{E_{k+1/2}} \mathcal{L}_{i}(z_{\alpha}, E_{\nu}[1+z_{\alpha}]) \, \mathrm{d}E_{\nu} \,, \tag{6}$$

$$\Gamma_i^k(z_{\alpha+1}) \equiv \sum_j \frac{n_j^t(z_{\alpha+1})}{1 + z_{\alpha+1}} \int_{E_{k-1/2}}^{E_{k+1/2}} \sigma_{ij}(E_{\nu}[1 + z_{\alpha+1}]) \, \mathrm{d}E_{\nu} \,, \tag{7}$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{ij}^{k}(z_{\alpha+1}) \equiv \sum_{j_{1},j_{2}} n_{j}^{t}(z_{\alpha+1}) \int_{E_{k-1/2}}^{E_{k+1/2}} dE_{\nu} \int_{E}^{E_{k+1/2}} d\tilde{E}_{\nu} \frac{d\sigma_{j j_{1} \to i j_{2}}}{dE_{\nu}(1+z_{\alpha+1})} (\tilde{E}_{\nu}[1+z_{\alpha+1}], E_{\nu}[1+z_{\alpha+1}]),$$
(8)

$$\alpha_{ij}^{k,k'}(z_{\alpha+1}) \equiv \sum_{j_1,j_2} n_j^t(z_{\alpha+1}) \int_{E_{k-1/2}}^{E_{k+1/2}} dE_{\nu} \int_{E_{k'-1/2}}^{E_{k'+1/2}} d\tilde{E}_{\nu} \frac{d\sigma_{j\,j_1 \to i\,j_2}}{dE_{\nu}(1+z_{\alpha+1})} (\tilde{E}_{\nu}[1+z_{\alpha+1}], E_{\nu}[1+z_{\alpha+1}]).$$

$$(9)$$

We have followed arXiv:astro-ph/9604098 and adopted a first order implicit scheme to improve numerical convergence.

Once \mathcal{L}_i^k , Γ_i^k , $\tilde{\alpha}_{ij}^k$ and $\alpha_{ij}^{k,k'}$ are known, Eq. (5) is a system of linear equations that, for known values of $Z_i^k(z_{\alpha})$, can be numerically solved to obtain $Z_i^k(z_{\alpha+1})$. This procedure can be iterated until we obtain $Z_i^k(z=0)$, i.e., the present-day neutrino flux.

We assume \mathcal{L}_i to follow a power-law in energy, with a redshift dependence proportional to the Star Formation Rate. We include sources up to z=5, as this already takes into account more than 95% of the total neutrino flux. Thus, we evolve the equations starting from z=5 with the initial condition $\tilde{n}_i(z=5,E_{\nu})=0$, and the goal is to evaluate $\tilde{n}_i(0,E_{\nu})$, the neutrino spectrum at Earth at energy E_{ν} .

With this, all the integrals (except for double scalar production, see README.md) can be done analytically, which dramatically reduces computation time.

²This will guarantee that the algorithm will be well-behaved even if the cross section has sharp features as a function of energy.