## nuSIprop: solving for the astrophysical propagation of self-interacting neutrinos

Ivan Esteban, Sujata Pandey, Vedran Brdar, John F. Beacom

The code nuSIprop numerically evolves a self-interacting astrophysical neutrino spectrum. As detailed in the companion paper, the evolution equations for the comoving differential number density of neutrinos plus antineutrinos<sup>1</sup> of mass eigenstate i,  $\tilde{n}_i(t, E_{\nu}) \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}n_i(t, E_{\nu})}{\mathrm{d}E_{\nu}}$ , read

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{n}_{i}(t, E_{\nu})}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial E_{\nu}} \left[ H(t) E_{\nu} \, \tilde{n}_{i}(t, E_{\nu}) \right] + \mathcal{L}_{i}(t, E_{\nu}) - \tilde{n}_{i}(t, E_{\nu}) \sum_{j} n_{j}^{t} \sigma_{ij}(E_{\nu}) 
+ \sum_{jkl} n_{j}^{t} \int_{E_{\nu}}^{\infty} dE_{\nu}' \tilde{n}_{k}(t, E_{\nu}') \frac{d\sigma_{jk \to il}}{dE_{\nu}} (E_{\nu}', E_{\nu}) .$$
(1)

Here H(t) is the Hubble parameter as a function of time t,  $\mathcal{L}_i(t, E_{\nu})$  is the production rate of neutrinos with mass eigenstate i and energy  $E_{\nu}$ ,  $\sigma_{ij}(E_{\nu})$  is the absorption cross section of an incident  $\nu_i$  with energy  $E_{\nu}$  on a target  $\nu_j$ , and  $\sigma_{jk\to il}(E'_{\nu}, E_{\nu})$  is the cross section for an incident  $\nu_j$  with energy  $E'_{\nu}$  on a target  $\nu_k$  to generate a detectable  $\nu_i$  with energy  $E_{\nu}$ , along with a  $\nu_j$ . Detectable means that, for Dirac neutrinos, neutrinos must be left-handed and antineutrinos right-handed. For Majorana neutrinos, all final states are detectable. In both terms,  $n_i^t \simeq 2 \times 56(1+z) \, \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$  is the CvB density of the mass eigenstate i.

As we are dealing with propagation over cosmological scales, it is simpler to express all quantities as a function of redshift z ( $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = -H(z) \cdot (1+z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ ). Furthermore, we can absorb the cosmological redshift factor  $\frac{\partial}{\partial E_{\nu}} \left[ H(t) \, E_{\nu} \, \tilde{n}_i(t,E_{\nu}) \right]$  by defining

$$Z_i(z, E_{\nu}) \equiv (1+z)\tilde{n}_i(z, E_{\nu}[1+z]).$$
 (2)

Notice that at z = 0,  $Z_i(0, E_{\nu}) = \tilde{n}_i(0, E_{\nu})$ . The evolution equations for  $Z_i$  then read

$$-H(z)\frac{\partial Z_{i}(z, E_{\nu})}{\partial z} = \mathcal{L}_{i}(z, E_{\nu}(1+z)) - \frac{Z_{i}(z, E_{\nu}) \sum_{j} n_{j}^{t} \sigma_{ij}(E_{\nu})}{1+z} + \sum_{i, l} n_{j}^{t} \int_{E_{\nu}}^{\infty} d\tilde{E}_{\nu} Z_{k}(t, \tilde{E}_{\nu}) \frac{d\sigma_{jk \to il}}{dE_{\nu}(1+z)} (\tilde{E}_{\nu}[1+z], E_{\nu}[1+z]).$$
(3)

To numerically solve these equations, we divide the neutrino energy range in bins inside which  $Z_i(z, E_{\nu})$  is assumed to be constant. We will denote

$$Z_i^k(z) \equiv \int_{E_{k-1/2}}^{E_{k+1/2}} dE_{\nu} Z_i(z, E_{\nu}) = Z_i(z, E_k) \Delta E_k,$$
 (4)

where  $E_{k-1/2}$  and  $E_{k+1/2} \equiv E_{k-1/2} + \Delta E_k$  are the energy bin limits. If we discretize redshift in nodes  $z_{\alpha}$  and integrate Eq. (3) in energy from  $E_{k-1/2}$  to  $E_{k+1/2}$ , we obtain

$$-\frac{H(z_{\alpha})}{z_{\alpha+1} - z_{\alpha}} \left[ Z_{i}^{k}(z_{\alpha+1}) - Z_{i}^{k}(z_{\alpha}) \right] = \mathcal{L}_{i}^{k}(z_{\alpha}) - \Gamma_{i}^{k}(z_{\alpha+1}) \frac{Z_{i}^{k}(z_{\alpha+1})}{\Delta E_{k}} + \sum_{j} \tilde{\alpha}_{ij}^{k}(z_{\alpha+1}) \frac{Z_{j}^{k}(z_{\alpha+1})}{\Delta E_{k}} + \sum_{j} \sum_{k'>k} \alpha_{ij}^{k,k'}(z_{\alpha+1}) \frac{Z_{j}^{k'}(z_{\alpha+1})}{\Delta E_{k'}},$$
(5)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In what follows, under explicitly stated, when we mention neutrinos we also refer to the corresponding antineutrinos.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This will guarantee that the algorithm will be well-behaved even if the cross section has sharp features as a function of energy.

with

$$\mathcal{L}_{i}^{k}(z_{\alpha}) \equiv \int_{E_{k-1/2}}^{E_{k+1/2}} \mathcal{L}_{i}(z_{\alpha}, E_{\nu}[1+z_{\alpha}]) \, \mathrm{d}E_{\nu} \,, \tag{6}$$

$$\Gamma_i^k(z_{\alpha+1}) \equiv \sum_j \frac{n_j^t(z_{\alpha+1})}{1+z_{\alpha+1}} \int_{E_{k-1/2}}^{E_{k+1/2}} \sigma_{ij}(E_{\nu}[1+z_{\alpha+1}]) \, \mathrm{d}E_{\nu} \,, \tag{7}$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{ij}^{k}(z_{\alpha+1}) \equiv \sum_{j_{1},j_{2}} n_{j}^{t}(z_{\alpha+1}) \int_{E_{k-1/2}}^{E_{k+1/2}} dE_{\nu} \int_{E}^{E_{k+1/2}} d\tilde{E}_{\nu} \frac{d\sigma_{j j_{1} \to i j_{2}}}{dE_{\nu}(1+z_{\alpha+1})} (\tilde{E}_{\nu}[1+z_{\alpha+1}], E_{\nu}[1+z_{\alpha+1}]),$$
(8)

$$\alpha_{ij}^{k,k'}(z_{\alpha+1}) \equiv \sum_{j_1,j_2} n_j^t(z_{\alpha+1}) \int_{E_{k-1/2}}^{E_{k+1/2}} dE_{\nu} \int_{E_{k'-1/2}}^{E_{k'+1/2}} d\tilde{E}_{\nu} \frac{d\sigma_{j\,j_1 \to i\,j_2}}{dE_{\nu}(1+z_{\alpha+1})} (\tilde{E}_{\nu}[1+z_{\alpha+1}], E_{\nu}[1+z_{\alpha+1}]).$$

$$(9)$$

We have followed arXiv:astro-ph/9604098 and adopted a first order implicit scheme to improve numerical convergence. All the integrals (except for double scalar production, see README.md) can be done analytically, which dramatically reduces computation time.

Once  $\mathcal{L}_i^k$ ,  $\Gamma_i^k$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha}_{ij}^k$  and  $\alpha_{ij}^{k,k'}$  are known, Eq. (5) is a system of linear equations that, for known values of  $Z_i^k(z_{\alpha})$ , can be numerically solved to obtain  $Z_i^k(z_{\alpha+1})$ . This procedure can be iterated until we obtain  $Z_i^k(z=0)$ , i.e., the present-day neutrino flux.

We have assumed  $\mathcal{L}_i$  to follow a power-law in energy, with a redshift dependence proportional to the Star Formation Rate. We include sources up to z=5, as this already takes into account more than 95% of the total neutrino flux. Thus, we evolve the equations starting from z=5 with the initial condition  $\tilde{n}_i(z=5,E_{\nu})=0$ , and the goal is to evaluate  $\tilde{n}_i(0,E_{\nu})$ , the neutrino spectrum at Earth at energy  $E_{\nu}$ .