

# Computational Fluid Dynamics

## Problem Set 2

Daniel M. Siegel

Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics  
Department of Physics, University of Guelph

### Problem 1 (Viscous hydrodynamics)

In analogy to Problem 3 (b) of Problem Set 1, derive separate evolution equations for the total kinetic energy density  $\frac{1}{2}\rho v^2$  and internal energy density  $e = \epsilon\rho$  for a viscous fluid. This is to show that viscous effects dissipate kinetic energy into heat.

### Problem 2 (The MHD equations)

(a) (*No-monopole constraint*)

The MHD equations consist of evolution equations and the constraint equation  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ . Show that this condition must be satisfied during evolution, i.e., that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) = 0. \quad (1)$$

Guaranteeing this constraint is a tricky problem for numerical codes.

(b) (*Conservation form of the resistive MHD equations*)

Show that the resistive MHD evolution equations can be written in conservation form:

$$\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{f}^i(\mathbf{u})_{x_i} = \mathbf{S}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{u} = (\rho, \mathbf{s}, \tau, \mathbf{B})$ ,  $\mathbf{f}^i = (\rho v_i, T_{ij}, U_i, Y_{ij})$ , and  $\mathbf{S} = (0, \mathbf{0}, 0, \mathbf{S}_{\text{res}})$ . Here,  $\mathbf{s} = \rho \mathbf{v}$  is the momentum density,

$$T_{ij} = \rho v_i v_j + \delta_{ij} \left( p + \frac{B^2}{8\pi} \right) - \frac{1}{4\pi} B_i B_j, \quad (3)$$

is the stress tensor,

$$\tau = \frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 + e + \frac{B^2}{8\pi} = E + \frac{B^2}{8\pi} \quad (4)$$

is the total energy density,

$$\mathbf{U} = (E + p) \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( -\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} + \frac{4\pi}{c} \eta_e \mathbf{j} \right) \times \mathbf{B} \quad (5)$$

represents the total energy flow vector,  $Y$  is defined by

$$Y_{ij} = v_i B_j - v_j B_i, \quad (6)$$

and  $\mathbf{S}_{\text{res}}$  is the resistive source term given by

$$\mathbf{S}_{\text{res}} = \eta_e \nabla^2 \mathbf{B} + \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{j} \times \nabla \eta_e. \quad (7)$$

(c) (*Dissipation of magnetic energy*)

Note that the total energy density  $\tau$  in (b) is strictly conserved, despite the fact that magnetic energy is dissipated. Use energy evolution equations to show what happens to the dissipated magnetic energy density. You will want to look at separate energy evolution equations similar to Problem 1, and you may reuse expressions from (b).

### Problem 3 (M1 moment scheme for radiation transport)

Consider the equation of radiation transfer,

$$\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial I_\nu}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla) I_\nu = \left[ \frac{\partial I_\nu}{\partial t} \right]_{\text{coll}}, \quad (8)$$

where  $\mathbf{n}$  is the unit vector in propagation direction of a photon, and  $I_\nu = I_\nu(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, \nu, t)$  is the radiation intensity. Following the methods introduced when deriving the Euler equations as moment equations of the Boltzmann equation, devise a moment scheme for radiation transport, formulated as equations in conservation form including the first two moments of the equation of radiation transfer.

*Hint:* Consider moments of the radiation intensity that are obtained by integrating over all angular directions in momentum space, i.e., that are of the form

$$M_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{(k)} \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{4\pi} I_\nu n_{i_1} \dots n_{i_k} d\Omega. \quad (9)$$

The first few moments are usually denoted by  $J_\nu \equiv M^{(0)}$ ,  $H_\nu^i \equiv M_i^{(1)}$ , and  $K_\nu^{ij} \equiv M_{ij}^{(2)}$ .

*Remark:* In analogy to the equation of state for the Euler equations, the radiation moment scheme requires a relation

$$K_\nu^{ij} = f_\nu^{ij} J_\nu \quad (10)$$

to close the system. Here,  $f_\nu^{ij}$  denote the generalized *Eddington factors*, which need to be determined using an independent procedure. Note that due to the properties of  $K_\nu^{ij}$ ,  $K_\nu^{ij} = K_\nu^{ji}$  and  $\sum_i K_\nu^{ii} = 1$ , there are five Eddington factors to be specified. For optically thick radiation transfer in stellar interiors the so-called *Eddington approximation*

$$f_\nu^{ij} = 0 \quad (i \neq j), \quad f_\nu^{ij} = \frac{1}{3} \quad (i = j) \quad (11)$$

is appropriate.