





Project DEOS-UD Disruptive Earth Observation Sensing for Urban Developement

Deliverable 2 Scope, Time and Cost Management

Authors:

Calderón Rosario, Borja Nachett, Hamza De Benedicto Barba, Maria Pérez Sánchez, David Escartín Vivancos, Guillermo Pla Olea, Laura Pons Daza, Marina Fontanes Molina, Pol Franch I Ruiz, Sergi Ramón Costa, Fernando González García, Sílvia Sellart Combalia, Ana Maria Herrando Moraira, Albert Serra Moncunill, Josep Maria Lopezbarrena Arenas, Santiago Urbano González, Eva María

National Contact Point: Pérez Llera, Luís Manuel

Group: G3-220310-PM-P2018 **Delivery date:** 30-04-2018



Contents

st of	Tables	iii
st of	Figures	V
Proj	ject scope statement	1
1.1	Product Scope Description	1
1.2	Project Deliverables	2
1.3	Project milestones	4
1.4	Project Acceptance Criteria	6
1.5	Project Exclusions	8
1.6	Project Constraints	9
Wor	k Breakdown Structure (WBS)	13
2.1	Activity list	16
2.2	Activities leadership and participants	20
Seq	uence activities	28
3.1	Dependencies or logical relationship between activities	28
3.2	Network Diagram (Precedence Diagram Method)	33
Esti	mate activity resources	36
4.1	Resource identification	36
4.2	Activity resource requirement	39
4.3	Resource Breakdown Structure	52
Esti	mate activity duration	55
Proj	ject Schedule	60
Acti	ivity Attributes (at Work Package level)	62
Cos	t estimating	120
8.1	Level of accuracy	120
8.2	Cost estimation worksheet	120
8.3	Activity cost estimation	120
	St of Proj 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 Wor 2.1 2.2 Seq 3.1 3.2 Esti 4.1 4.2 4.3 Esti Proj Acti Cos 8.1 8.2	1.2 Project Deliverables 1.3 Project milestones 1.4 Project Acceptance Criteria 1.5 Project Exclusions 1.6 Project Constraints Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) 2.1 Activity list 2.2 Activities leadership and participants Sequence activities 3.1 Dependencies or logical relationship between activities 3.2 Network Diagram (Precedence Diagram Method) Estimate activity resources 4.1 Resource identification 4.2 Activity resource requirement 4.3 Resource Breakdown Structure Estimate activity duration Project Schedule Activity Attributes (at Work Package level) Cost estimating 8.1 Level of accuracy 8.2 Cost estimation worksheet

HIRO R - i

CONTENTS



9	Cun	nulative costs	121
	9.1	Cumulative cost curve	121
	9.2	Budget at completion	121
10	Bibl	iography	122

HIRO R - ii



List of Tables

1.2.1	Project Deliverables	4
1.3.1	Project Milestones	5
1.4.1	Acceptance criteria	8
1.5.1	Project Exclusions	9
2.1.1	Activity list and description	20
3.1.1	Dependencies or logical relationship between activities	33
4.1.1	Resources identification	39
4.2.1	List of resource requirement	52
5.0.1	List of Parametric Estimates	57
5.0.2	List of Analogous Estimates	58
5.0.3	List of Three Point Estimations	59
7.0.1	Activity 1.1 attributes	63
7.0.2	Activity 1.2.1 attributes	64
7.0.3	Activity 1.2.2 attributes	65
7.0.4	Activity 1.3 attributes	66
7.0.5	Activity 1.4 attributes	67
7.0.6	Activity 2.1.1 attributes	68
7.0.7	Activity 2.1.2 attributes	69
7.0.8	Activity 2.2.1.1 attributes	70
7.0.9	Activity 2.2.1.2 attributes	71
7.0.10	Activity 2.2.2 attributes	72
7.0.11	Activity 2.2.3 attributes	73
7.0.12	Activity 2.2.4 attributes	74
7.0.13	Activity 2.2.5 attributes	75
7.0.14	Activity 2.3.1 attributes	76
7.0.15	Activity 2.3.2 attributes	77
7.0.16	Activity 2.3.3 attributes	78
7.0.17	Activity 2.3.4 attributes	79
7.0.18	Activity 2.4 attributes	80

HIRO R - iii

LIST OF TABLES



7.0.19	Activity 3.1.1 attributes	81
7.0.20	Activity 3.1.2 attributes	82
7.0.21	Activity 3.2.1 attributes	83
7.0.22	Activity 3.2.2 attributes	84
7.0.23	Activity 3.3.1.1 attributes	85
7.0.24	Activity 3.3.1.2 attributes	86
7.0.25	Activity 3.3.1.3 attributes	87
7.0.26	Activity 3.3.2 attributes	88
7.0.27	Activity 4.1.1.1 attributes	89
7.0.28	Activity 4.1.1.2 attributes	90
7.0.29	Activity 4.1.2.1 attributes	91
7.0.30	Activity 4.1.2.2 attributes	92
7.0.31	Activity 4.1.2.3 attributes	93
7.0.32	Activity 4.1.2.4 attributes	94
7.0.33	Activity 4.1.3.1 attributes	95
7.0.34	Activity 4.1.3.2 attributes	96
7.0.35	Activity 4.1.3.3 attributes	97
7.0.36	Activity 4.2.1.1 attributes	98
7.0.37	Activity 4.2.1.2 attributes	99
7.0.38	Activity 4.2.2.1 attributes	00
7.0.39	Activity 4.2.2.2 attributes	01
7.0.40	Activity 4.2.2.3 attributes	02
7.0.41	Activity 4.2.3.1 attributes	03
7.0.42	Activity 4.2.3.2 attributes	04
7.0.43	Activity 4.2.3.3 attributes	05
7.0.44	Activity 4.2.3.4 attributes	06
7.0.45	Activity 5.1.1 attributes	07
7.0.46	Activity 5.1.2 attributes	80
7.0.47	Activity 5.1.3 attributes	09
7.0.48	Activity 5.2 attributes	10
7.0.49	Activity 5.3 attributes	11
7.0.50	Activity 5.4 attributes	12
7.0.51	Activity 5.5 attributes	13
7.0.52	Activity 5.6 attributes	14
7.0.53	Activity 6.1.1. attributes	15
7.0.54	Activity 6.1.2. attributes	16
7.0.55	Activity 6.1.3. attributes	17
7.0.56	Activity 6.2 attributes	18
7.0.57	Activity 7.1. attributes	19

HIRO R - iv



List of Figures

1.6.1	The 6 Project Constraints	9
3.2.1	Network Precedence Method chart with full detail modules	34
3.2.2	Network Precedence Method chart with only the tasks identification	35
6.0.1	Gantt chart	61

HIRO R - v



1 | Project scope statement

1.1 Product Scope Description

Earth observation is a field with a great potential that had not been taken into account until the last decade. Important space agencies like the European Space Agency are promoting the enhancement of capabilities with respect to Earth Observation due to the fact the society and the planet itself would benefit from the the many application it has. Hence, an improvement of the state-of-the-art technologies used for EO sensing is a key factor to promote and advance in this field. In other words, this project is not in charge of developing new launching systems or designing satellites, its objective is to provide the existing and the next generation of space technologies with disruptive sensors. In fact, one of the priorities it is to ensure the complementarity with other activities or programs such as Copernicus funded by the ESA too and lead to a strengthening of Europe's position and competitiveness in this field.

Moreover, to achieve the project goal an implement much better sensors than the already existing ones, a state-of-the-art of the current space requirements of several optical an radar systems is done. Once the limitations and the potential of the different technologies such as LiDAR, RADAR, Gravimetry, Hyperspectral, Superspectral and more are determined, it is possible to work with the most promising ones. Furthermore, the preliminary design will take into account several criteria to obtain competitive sensors. On the one hand, launching any payload to space has very high costs, then it is essential to ensure the endurance of the overall systems in order to maintain the payload in space for a long time and avoid any replacements. To accomplish it, the materials used to build the components of the sensor including antennas, photo-detector, optics, laser and electronics have to be accurately chosen.

Besides, Earth Observation can have many application, so it is crucial to focus on the enrichment of some of them to guarantee the development the desired sensor abilities. Indeed, as the goal is to apply EO sensing for Urban Development to integrate space in society, the abilities to enhance are the following ones:

• Detection of greenhouse gases.



- Detection of weather patterns.
- High precision performance of terrain 3D mapping.

On the one hand, systems as LiDAR, which combines technologies as laser and radar, enable to target a wide range of materials including clouds and molecules. Consequently, it is possible to develop a sensor that identifies the composition of the air to secure our environment by having a monitoring of either the greenhouse gases or the weather patterns for proper weather forecasting applications. On the other hand, 3D mapping of the terrain is useful to control the land and guarantee an optimum growth and development of the city. All in all, one of the most important aspects that have to be taken into account is that the sensors resulting from this project have to ensure at least a 15% increase of the reliability and precision compare to the current ones.

In addition, a step that is necessary in this kind of projects is the testing of the product. Once the preliminary design is finished an accomplish all the requirements, a first prototype is build and test in a space simulated environment to make sure that it performs as expected. Notice that the testing is not done in the space itself because launching the prototype to the space is to expensive and out of this project budget; fortunately, there are other methods that are cheaper an simulate properly the space conditions. Finally, once the prototype designed fulfil all the expectations, it is considered that the results are attained and the product design is ready for closure.

1.2 Project Deliverables

All the deliverables specified in the Table 1.2.1 will be submitted to the European Commission during the development of the project.

Deliverable Name	Description
Project Management Plan	Document with detailed explanation of the project management strategies, including the Project Charter, stakeholder register, risk, quality and financial plans.
Business Plan	Document containing the market approach details including the selected suppliers and the potential costumers as well as the exploration strategy.



Deliverable Name	Description
Communication Plan	Document containing all the planned dissemination strategies, such as the online communication (including website development and social media management), the offline communication (participation in meetings and conferences) and the dissemination materials (technology demonstrators).
Payload State of the Art	Report containing the state of the art of current EO remote sensors as well as the sensors to improve selection and the first requirements definition.
Modular System State of the Art	Report containing the state of the art of current modular systems with space applications and its first requirements definition.
Space Applications State of the Art	Report containing the state of the art of current urban development space applications and first interaction platforms requirement definition.
Payload Preliminary Design	Report determining the payload preliminary design. It contains the research, requirements and preliminary performances parameters of each sensor.
Modular System Preliminary Design	Report detailing the modular system preliminary design. It includes a first review of the sensors blocks physical framework and sensors data fusion software requirements as well as the initial definition of the SATCOM application domains.
Interaction Platform Preliminary Design	Report detailing the interaction platform preliminary design. It includes the predesign of data sharing servers and platforms as well as the definition of the initial implementation of data processing algorithms.
Payload Final Design	Report detailing the final design and technical specifications of each developed sensor.
Modular System Final Design	Report detailing the final design and technical specifications of the modular system.
Sensors Data Fusion Software Report	Report containing the final sensors data fusion software specifications.



Deliverable Name	Description
Interaction Platform Final Design	Report containing the final design and technical specifications of the interaction platforms.
Data Processing Software Report	Report containing the final data processing algorithms specifications which will allow to process the acquired satellite data.
Validation	Report that gathers the tests and validations with the obtained results of all the payload sensors, the modular system and the interaction platform, as well as the full system performing.
Final Report	Final document that includes all the development done through the execution of the project.

Table 1.2.1: Project Deliverables

1.3 Project milestones

Milestones Name	Description
Kick-Off Meeting	First meeting of the project, formation of the development team and first contact with the stakeholders. month
Project management plan	Specification of the objectives and scope of the project, the organization of the team and the distribution of tasks, a stakeholders register and a financial, quality and risk plans.
Business plan	Obtaining a potential suppliers list, and negotiating procurement conditions with them, as well as identifying and communicating with potential customers.
Communication plan	Development of a website and a social media strategy, as well as looking into participation in meetings and conferences.
State of the art completion	Definition of requirements for the system based on the current state of the art space applications of the payload sensors.



Milestones Name	Description
Payload preliminary design	First phase of the design, an optimization of each sensor is done in order to define the preliminary minimum performance parameters.
Modular system preliminary design	Development of the initial parameters of the modular system, as well as the software that will be in charge of the fusion of the sensors' data.
Interaction platform preliminary design	Preliminary implementation of the functionalities of the interaction platform, such as the machine learning algorithms.
Payload final design	Final design of the entire payload (sensors), including the specifications and estimated performance in operation of each sensor.
Modular system final design	Final design of the modular system and the software that will process and register the information received by the payload.
Interaction platform final design	Final design of the interaction platform according to the guidelines stablished on the preliminary design.
Prototype manufacturing	Manufacturing of the prototype according to the final designs, in order to test its function in the next steps.
Individual systems testing	Performance analysis of each module (payload, modular system and interaction platform) of the overall system under operational conditions.
Full system testing	Performance analysis of the overall system in operational conditions in order to test the interaction between components.
Project completion	Final report that includes the complete development of the project.

Table 1.3.1: Project Milestones



1.4 Project Acceptance Criteria

The acceptance criteria establish the requirements that must be met for the client to accept the project. These criteria are quantifiable, demonstrable and verifiable in such a way as to demonstrate that the project has been carried out properly, that is why, if these criteria are not met, a deliverable of the project cannot be considered valid.

Item	Description
Research and innovation	The project must be ambitious and use all the available resources to obtain the best result. In this way, it must include the most appropriate technology that there is so far and, if it is in the development phase, add a section of research.
Quality	The content of the project documentation must be clear, complete and understandable. Furthermore, it must be well structured, dividing the information into approach, development and conclusions. All the documentation included in the project must first pass through an inspection of the quality department.
Sustainability	The product must be sustainable using renewable energy as much as possible and avoiding excessively polluting emissions. The materials used in the project must be reliable and guarantee the agreed useful life of the product.
Schedule	The organization must be well structured and the deadlines must be met in a timely manner so that the development of the product is appropriate.
Social contribution	The product must be able to solve a current problem and improve the quality of life of people using technology.
Clarity	The tasks of the project must be well defined, both individually and as a group, in such a way that each of the contributors knows their duty and the duty of their team.



Item	Description
Test and validations	The evaluation and validation tests must be carried out periodically and be registered in the project documentation, in such a way that there is a record of the different versions of the application throughout the development. The information of these tests must be presented clearly and refer to the regulations concerned, in addition to be verifiable. The results of these tests should be used to analyze the service level of the application and improve on later versions.
Technical documents	The application must have a user manual both internally and externally and attach the necessary information for its development. The performance of the final product must be reflected in a data sheet. It must also be included in the documentation the datasheet of the different components that are part of the application.
Viability	The project must be viable economically and technically, so that its realization is possible. The different parts of the project must be submitted at the individual level to a study that checks if it is possible to do them and, if not, search for an alternative. The budget of the project must comply with the financial requirements of the European Union. Hence, a balance is to be made to ensure that the allowed limit is not exceeded.
Performance	The systems used in the project must be able to guarantee the right functioning of the application. An important aspect of the project is its performance, in this way, as it progresses, it aims to increase the efficiency and quantify this increase in the different phases.
Collaboration	It is interesting to obtain a better result to collaborate with legal entities from different countries, like universities and research groups. Moreover, some collaborations with SMEs should be tried, so that they can benefit and grow in the market.



Item	Description
Transparency	In case information about the project is required by part of official organisations of the European Union or by the different stakeholders that participate in it, transparency has to be considered when sharing information.
Gender equality	The selection process must be fair, based on the knowledge and personal competencies of each person regardless of gender or condition.
Legal requirements	The applications and products of this project must have, if required, the certification and approval of the different legislative and ethical frameworks.

Table 1.4.1: Acceptance criteria

1.5 Project Exclusions

There are some facts that are out of the scope of the project which, generally, are designated as exclusions. Hence, in this section, the exclusions of the project are determined and defined.

Item	Description
Satellites design	It is out of the scope of this project to design a new satellite that will use the sensors as payload.
Launching	The objective of the project do not include neither the design of the launch system of the satellites nor the costs and scheduling of launching the satellite using the sensors designed.
Deployment	No deployment mechanism nor strategy of the satellites that integrate this new technologies are going to be developed.
Satellite monitoring	The satellite monitoring system that permits to scan different surfaces and regions of the earth is not included in this project scope.
Data transfer	Neither communication between satellites nor between the satellite and the ground station are part of this project.



Item	Description
Final production	The project will only focus on the development of prototype models in order to test the new technologies implemented. Hence, commercial production of these ones will not be carried out.

Table 1.5.1: Project Exclusions

1.6 Project Constraints

Project constraints can be defined as all the limitations that curb the action of the project team and restrict project's outcome. It is necessary to define them with caution and common sense to avoid determining constraints that lead us to an impossible project, especially in terms of cost, time and resources. They can be internal limitations (scope, budget, etc.) or external limitations (environmental impact, stakeholders, government regulations, etc.)

In this project, we have decided to adopt a classification consisting on six groups [1] where constraints can be clearly interpreted and organised.

SIX PROJECT CONSTRAINTS GROUPS



Figure 1.6.1: The 6 Project Constraints [1].

It is important to highlight that groups are interrelated in a way that if one of them changes, then, one or more of the others will be affected.

Scope

• **State of the art:** The starting point of the project has to be based on a study of the optical and radar cutting-edge technologies, not on outdated ones.



- **Technologies selection:** The technologies to be developed must be the most promising systems to profit Earth Observation, air composition and terrain analysis.
- **Technologies improvement:** The project is required to enhance the selected technologies in order to accomplish the European Commission requirements.
- **Final design:** The resulting design has to be a compact product which contains the chosen sensors, sharing a data collection software.

Time

• Deadlines:

- Project Management, business and communication plans deadline: Expected
 execution time is determined in 1 month and a maximum of 2 months is permitted
 within the project limitations.
- State of the art report deadline: Its elaboration should last 4 months as initially
 foreseen and not more than six months duration will be admitted.
- Preliminary designs deadline: Payload, modular and interaction preliminary designs must be completed at utmost one year and a half (although forecasted to last for 16 months).
- Mid-term project report deadline: Maximum duration of two years but expected to be fulfilled in 22 months.
- Final designs deadline: Payload, modular and interaction final designs must be completed at utmost 30 months (although forecasted to last for 29 months).
- Prototype manufacturing and system testing: Approved maximum duration of three and a half years estimated period of 41 months.
- Final report deadline: Limited duration of 4 years. Expected to be delivered in 44 months.

Schedule:

 Follow Gantt chart organization: Tasks must be developed in the initially accorded order, avoiding undesired overlapping or delays and bringing the requirements of each task to their completion.

Cost

• Budget:



- All the incomes have to come from the European Commission.
- The project cannot exceed the quantity of 4 million euros.
- The money distribution must be done as it was described in the estimated budget.

Resources

- Facilities: No tasks will be planned without the certainty that the team (or a stakeholder) has the necessary facilities to complete it.
- **Human resources:** All the labour hours made by the staff in charge of the project must be justified. Every task will have assigned a different number of workers depending on the difficulty and duration.
- Infrastructures: The work to be done by the team is restricted by the capacity, limitations and efficiency of the owned infrastructures.
- **Procurement:** Goods and services will be obtained following optimized processes to achieve minimum cost while at the same time requirements are properly fulfilled.
- **Technical constraints:** The development of the new technologies that the product will use will be restricted by technical, physical and scientific limitations.

Risks

- **Risk tolerance:** The amount of risk that the project must handle has to be low. It means that if some risky event has a low probability to happen, the impact can be low or moderate. On the other hand, if the event has a high probability to happen, the impact must be low.
- **Actions:** When some risk becomes a real problem for the project, the necessary measures have to be taken. These must affect as little as possible to the other constraints, such as cost or time.

Quality

- **Legal constraints:** All the systems developments and tests must be carried out under the corresponding standards.
- **Methodology:** The project must be developed following a methodology based on the use of state of the art technologies, research and improvement of the current capabilities of the earth observation systems.



- **Organization:** To obtain the required quality, communication between departments, communication with stakeholders, and the use of project management software assistance is a must.
- **Stakeholders' expectations:** External constraints imposed by stakeholders must be accounted in the project. In addition, the agreements with each of them must be accomplished.
- **Customer satisfaction:** The final product must fulfil the stablished requirements to obtain the customer satisfaction.



2 | Work Breakdown Structure (WBS)

1. PROJECT MANAGEMENT

- 1.1. Development project management plan
- 1.2. Monitoring of the project
 - 1.2.1. Meetings
 - 1.2.2. Task tracking and scheduling
- 1.3. Annual reporting
- 1.4. Project implementation of risk management

2. QUALITY AND ADMINISTRATION

- 2.1. Human Resources
 - 2.1.1. Employment of the necessary staff
 - 2.1.2. Human resources management
- 2.2. Financial Plan
 - 2.2.1. Costs
 - 2.2.1.1. Fix
 - 2.2.1.2. Variable
 - 2.2.2. Funding
 - 2.2.3. Economic feasibility
 - 2.2.4. Evolution monitoring
 - 2.2.5. Additional and follow-up funding seek
- 2.3. Documentation Management
 - 2.3.1. Guidelines preparation
 - 2.3.2. Document revision
 - 2.3.3. Document rectification

- 2.3.4. Document approval
- 2.4. Periodic Monitoring

3. STATE OF THE ART

- 3.1. Payloads
 - 3.1.1. Search for current space applications
 - 3.1.2. Requirements definition
- 3.2. Modular System
 - 3.2.1. Search for current modular systems with space applications
 - 3.2.2. Requirements definition
- 3.3. Urban Development Applications with Space Technologies
 - 3.3.1. Search for current space applications
 - 3.3.1.1. Weather forecast
 - 3.3.1.2. Urban planning (3D models)
 - 3.3.1.3. Greenhouse emissions reduction (pollution)
 - 3.3.2. Requirements definition

4. PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT

- 4.1. Preliminary Design
 - 4.1.1. Payloads
 - 4.1.1.1. Research
 - 4.1.1.2. Development
 - 4.1.2. Modular system
 - 4.1.2.1. Development of physical framework for sensor blocks
 - 4.1.2.2. Development of systems interaction and applications
 - 4.1.2.3. Development of sensors' data fusion software
 - 4.1.2.4. Definition of SATCOM applications domains
 - 4.1.3. Interaction platform
 - 4.1.3.1. Implement web-based servers for sharing sensors' data
 - 4.1.3.2. Implement processing algorithms based on applications
 - 4.1.3.3. Pre-design a full services stakeholders platform
- 4.2. Final design
 - 4.2.1. Payloads
 - 4.2.1.1. Sensors' final design
 - 4.2.1.2. Sensors' final technical specifications
 - 4.2.2. Modular System



- 4.2.2.1. Modular system final design
- 4.2.2.2. Sensors' data fusion software final design
- 4.2.2.3. Modular system's final technical specifications
- 4.2.3. Interaction Platform
 - 4.2.3.1. Web based servers for data sharing final implementation
 - 4.2.3.2. Processing algorithms based on applications final design
 - 4.2.3.3. Full services stakeholders platform implementation
 - 4.2.3.4. Final technical specifications

5. SIMULATION, TESTING, VALIDATION AND QUALITY

- 5.1. Technology Demonstrator Prototype Manufacturing
 - 5.1.1. Manufacturing of payload sensors
 - 5.1.2. Manufacturing of modular system
 - 5.1.3. Implementation of interaction platform
- 5.2. Payload Validation
- 5.3. Modular System Validation
- 5.4. Interaction Platform Validation
- 5.5. Full System Prototype Validation
- 5.6. Quality of the Product

6. BUSINESS PLANNING AND EXPLOITATION OF RESULTS

- 6.1. Market Approach
 - 6.1.1. Study of stakeholders
 - 6.1.2. Procurement conditions negotiation
 - 6.1.3. Resources purchase
- 6.2. Exploitation and Business Plans

7. COMMUNICATION AND DISSEMINATION STRATEGIES

- 7.1. Dissemination and Communication Plan
- 7.2. On-line Dissemination/Communication Activities
 - 7.2.1. Web site development
 - 7.2.2. Social media management
- 7.3. Off-line Dissemination/Communication Activities
 - 7.3.1. Conferences
 - 7.3.2. Meetings
- 7.4. Production of Dissemination Materials
 - 7.4.1. Technology demonstrators
 - 7.4.2. Audio visual material production



2.1 Activity list

WBS-ID	Activity	Description of Work	
1.	Project Management	All activities related with the management of the project fall under this activity.	
1.1.	Development of the project management plan	Elaboration of all the documentation that states the strategy of the management and organization of the project through its duration.	
1.2.	Monitoring of the project	Control of the progress of each activity of the project.	
1.2.1.	Meetings	Gathering of the members of the project to inform each other of the progress.	
1.2.2.	Task tracking and scheduling	Tracking of the active tasks and scheduling.	
1.3.	Annual reporting	Every year that the project lasts will call for the elaboration of an internal report with the aim of keeping up to date with the progress done.	
1.4.	Project implementation of risk management	Study of all the potential risks and how will they be managed so that their affectation to the project stays to a minimum.	
2.	Quality and Administration	Activities related to the administrative aspects of the project and to assure the quality of all the documents presented.	
2.1.	Human resources	Administration of all the employees needed to fulfil the different tasks of the project.	
2.1.1.	Employment of the necessary staff	Definition of the number of employees necessary.	
2.1.2.	Human resources management		



WBS-ID	Activity	Description of Work
2.2.	Financial plan	Lay down of all the planned costs of the project, the funding expected from the various sources, a study on the economic feasibility of the project and a plan for additional funding search.
2.3.	Documentation management	The quality of the documents that have to be delivered through all the duration of the project is guaranteed in this activity by establishing guidelines for the redaction of all the documents, their revision and posterior rectification and final approval.
2.4.	Periodic monitoring	To ensure the quality of the project, a periodic monitoring of all the activities will be carried out.
3.	State of the Art	Before starting the design and research it is key to have an accurate vision of the actual state of the technology that is going to be developed.
3.1.	Payloads	For each of the sensors that are planned to be improved there is a search of the current space applications, that help defining the requirements for these sensors.
3.2.	Modular system	For the modular system where each sensor will be mounted on there will be a search of current similar systems in space applications and the definition of the requirements for the one developed in this project.
3.3.	Urban development applications	The search for current applications similar to those that want to be implemented with this project has to be carried out, in the weather forecast area, the urban planning area and the greenhouse emissions reduction area, thus defining the requirements for the applications.
4.	Product development	All the phases of the development of the product are included in this activity, from the research up to the final technical specifications.



WBS-ID	Activity	Description of Work	
4.1.	Preliminary design	This first phase of the development is meant to include all the research and definition of the initial parameters of the different components.	
4.1.1.	Payloads' preliminary design	The research and initial development of each sensor that is intended to improve is carried out in this phase.	
4.1.2.	Modular system's preliminary design	Includes the initial development of the physical framework for sensor blocks, of the systems' interaction and applications, of the sensors' data fusion software and the definition of the satellite communications applications domains.	
4.1.3.	Interaction platform's preliminary design	Implementation of the web-based servers for sharing sensor's data, of the processing algorithms based on applications and the pre-design of a full services stakeholders platform.	
4.2.	Final design	This final phase of the product's development will define the final technical specifications of each part of the product.	
4.2.1.	Payloads' final design	The design of each sensor is complete and its final technical specifications are defined.	
4.2.2.	Modular system's final design	The design of the modular system and the sensors' data fusion software is complete and their final technical specifications are defined.	
4.2.3.	Interaction platform's final design	The design of the interaction platform is complete, including the web based servers for data sharing, the processing algorithms based on applications and the full services stakeholders platform, and their final technical specifications are defined.	
5	Simulation, testing, validation and quality	Activities regarding the simulation, testing, validation and quality control of the final product are included in this task.	



WBS-ID	Activity	Description of Work	
5.1	Technology demonstrator prototype manufacturing	Manufacturing of the prototype of the product, including all its subsystems (payload sensors, modular system and interaction platform), in order to be tested in the following activities.	
5.2	Payload validation	Validation of the performance of the sensors mounted on the system.	
5.3	Modular system validation	Validation of the modular system performance, of the systems interaction, of the sensors' data fusion software, of the satellite communications applications domains and also of the physical framework for sensor blocks.	
5.4	Interaction platform validation	Validation of the interaction platform to check if it develops all its functions properly.	
5.5	Full system prototype validation	Validation of the whole system using the prototype in order to test its performance.	
5.6	Quality of the product	Quality control of all the subsystems of the product and all the methodologies applied on its manufacturing and validation.	
6	Business planning and exploitation of results	The activities regarding the final explotation and business planning of the product are included in this task.	
6.1	Market approach	Study of stakeholders, procurement conditions negotiation and purchase of the resourses in order to study the feasibility of the project.	
6.2	Exploitation and business plans	Includes the business plan of the product to exploit its economic potential.	
7	Communication and dissemination strategies	Includes all the activities regarding the dissemination of the product inside the market.	
7.1	Dissemination and communication plan	Definition of the strategies planned to the dissemination of the final product.	
7.2	On-line dissemination activities	Include activities as the creation of a web site and the social media management.	



WBS-ID	Activity	Description of Work
7.3	Off-line dissemination activities	Participation in conferences and meetings about the field of the technology.
7.4	Dissemination materials	Production of all the materials that will help to the dissemination of the product, as technology demonstrators or audio visual productions.

Table 2.1.1: Activity list and description

2.2 Activities leadership and participants

In the following table the committee members that are leaders of tasks and activities and the ones that are expected to participate is shown. The aim of this table is to be capable of distribute human resources and time constraints of the activities. It is also useful because the most important facilities are to be provided by the committee members, so this distribution will allow the management of this facilities properly.

WBS-ID	Activity	Leadership	Participants
1.	Project Management	HIRO	-
1.1.	Development of the project management plan	HIRO	-
1.2.	Monitoring of the project	HIRO	-
1.2.1.	Meetings	HIRO	-
1.2.2.	Task tracking and scheduling	HIRO	-
1.3.	Annual reporting	HIRO	-
1.4.	Project implementation of risk management	HIRO	-
2.	Quality and Administration	HIRO	-BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership
2.1.	Human resources	HIRO	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership



WBS-ID	Activity	Leadership	Participants
2.1.1.	Employment of the necessary staff	HIRO	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership
2.1.2.	Human resources management	HIRO	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership
2.2.	Financial plan	HIRO	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership
2.2.1.	Costs	HIRO	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership
2.2.1.1.	Fix	HIRO	-BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership
2.2.1.2.	Variable	HIRO	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership
2.2.2.	Funding	HIRO	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership
2.2.3.	Economic feasibility	HIRO	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership
2.2.4	Evolution monitoring	HIRO	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership
2.2.4	Additional and follow-up funding seek	HIRO	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership
2.3.	Documentation management	HIRO	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership



WBS-ID	Activity	Leadership	Participants
2.3.1.	Guidelines preparation	HIRO	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership
2.3.2.	Document revision	HIRO	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership
2.3.3.	Document rectification	HIRO	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership
2.3.4.	Documentat approval	HIRO	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership
2.4.	Periodic monitoring	HIRO	-
3.	State of the Art	HIRO	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, VITO nv, Deimos Space S.L.U, Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, ICUBE-SERTIT,ReSAC.
3.1.	Payloads	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH	Deimos Space S.L.U,Thales Alenia Space S.A.S,HIRO
3.1.1.	Search for current space applications	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH	Deimos Space S.L.U,Thales Alenia Space S.A.S,HIRO
3.1.2.	Requirements definition	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH	Deimos Space S.L.U,Thales Alenia Space S.A.S,HIRO
3.2.	Modular system	Thales Alenia Space S.A.S	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U,HIRO
3.2.1.	Search for current modular systems with space applications	Thales Alenia Space S.A.S	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U,HIRO



WBS-ID	Activity	Leadership	Participants	
3.2.2.	Requirements definition	Thales Alenia Space S.A.S	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U,HIRO	
3.3.	Urban development applications	ICUBE-SERTIT VITO nv, ReSAC,HIRO		
3.3.1.	Search for current space applications	ICUBE-SERTIT VITO nv, ReSAC,HIRO		
3.3.1.1.	Weather forecast	ICUBE-SERTIT	VITO nv, ReSAC,HIRO	
3.3.1.2.	Urban planning (3D models)	ICUBE-SERTIT	VITO nv, ReSAC,HIRO	
3.3.1.3.	Greenhouse emissions reduction (pollution)	ICUBE-SERTIT VITO nv, ReSAC,HIRO		
3.3.2.	Requirements definition	ICUBE-SERTIT VITO nv, ReSAC,HIF		
4.	Product development	Airbus Defence and HIRO, VITO nv, Deim Space GmbH Space S.L.U, Tha Alenia Space S.A ICUBE-SERTIT,ReSA		
4.1.	Preliminary design	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH	HIRO, Deimos Space S.L.U, Thales Alenia Space S.A.S.	
4.1.1.	Payloads	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH	Deimos Space S.L.U,Thales Alenia Space S.A.S,HIRO	
4.1.1.1.	Research	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH	Deimos Space S.L.U,Thales Alenia Space S.A.S,HIRO	
4.1.1.2.	Development	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH	Deimos Space S.L.U,Thales Alenia Space S.A.S,HIRO	
4.1.2.	Modular system	Thales Alenia Space S.A.S	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U,HIRO	



WBS-ID	Activity	Leadership	Participants	
4.1.2.1.	Development of physical framework for sensor blocks	Thales Alenia Space S.A.S	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U,HIRO	
4.1.2.2.	Development of system interaction and applications	Thales Alenia Space S.A.S	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U,HIRO	
4.1.2.3.	Development of sensors data fusion software	Thales Alenia Space S.A.S	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U,HIRO	
4.1.2.4.	Definition of SATCOM application domains	Thales Alenia Space S.A.S	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U,HIRO	
4.1.3.	Interaction platform's preliminary design	ICUBE-SERTIT VITO nv, ReSAC,HIRO		
4.1.3.1.	Implement web-based servers for sharing sensors data	ICUBE-SERTIT VITO nv, ReSAC,HIRO		
4.1.3.2.	Implement processing algorithms based on applications	ICUBE-SERTIT VITO nv, ReSAC,HIRC		
4.1.3.3.	Pre-design a full services stakeholders platform	ICUBE-SERTIT	VITO nv, ReSAC,HIRO	
4.2.	Final design	Airbus Defence and HIRO , Deimos Spa Space GmbH S.L.U, Thales Aler Space S.A.S.		
4.2.1.	Payloads' final design	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH	Deimos Space S.L.U,Thales Alenia Space S.A.S,HIRO	
4.2.1.1.	Sensors final design	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH	Deimos Space S.L.U,Thales Alenia Space S.A.S,HIRO	
4.2.1.2.	Sensors final technical specifications	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH	Deimos Space S.L.U,Thales Alenia Space S.A.S,HIRO	



WBS-ID	Activity	Leadership	Participants	
4.2.2.	Modular system	Thales Alenia Space S.A.S	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U,HIRO	
4.2.2.1.	Modular system final design	Thales Alenia Space S.A.S	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U,HIRO	
4.2.2.2.	Sensors data fusion software final design	Thales Alenia Space S.A.S	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U,HIRO	
4.2.2.3.	Modular system final technical specifications	Thales Alenia Space S.A.S	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U,HIRO	
4.2.3.	Interaction platform's final design	ICUBE-SERTIT	VITO nv, ReSAC,HIRO	
4.2.3.1.	Web based servers for data sharing final implementation	ICUBE-SERTIT	VITO nv, ReSAC,HIRO	
4.2.3.2.	Processing algorithm based on applications final design	ICUBE-SERTIT	VITO nv, ReSAC,HIRO	
4.2.3.3.	Full services stakeholders platform implementation	ICUBE-SERTIT	VITO nv, ReSAC,HIRO	
4.2.3.4.	Final technical specifications	ICUBE-SERTIT	VITO nv, ReSAC,HIRO	
5.	Simulation, testing, validation and quality	Thales Alenia Space S.A.S	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U,HIRO	
5.1.	Technology demonstrator prototype manufacturing	Thales Alenia Space S.A.S	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U,HIRO	
5.1.1.	Manufacturing of payload sensors	Thales Alenia Space S.A.S	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U,HIRO	



WBS-ID	Activity	Leadership	Participants
5.1.2.	Manufacturing of modular system	Thales Alenia Space S.A.S	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U,HIRO
5.1.3.	Implementation of interaction platform	Thales Alenia Space S.A.S	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U,HIRO
5.2.	Payload validation	Thales Alenia Space S.A.S	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U,HIRO
5.3.	Modular system validation	Thales Alenia Space S.A.S	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U,HIRO
5.4.	Interaction platform validation	ReSAC	HIRO,VITO nv, ICUBE-SERTIT
5.5.	Full system prototype validation	HIRO	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH,Thales Alenia Space, ReSAC.
5.6.	Quality of the product	HIRO	Airbus Defence and Space GmbH,Thales Alenia Space, ReSAC.
6.	Business planning and exploitation of results	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership	HIRO
6.1.	Market approach	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership	HIRO
6.1.1.	Study of stakeholders	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership	HIRO
6.1.2.	Procurement conditions negotiation	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership	HIRO
6.1.3.	Resources purchase	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership	HIRO



WBS-ID	Activity	Leadership	Participants
6.2.	Exploitation and business plans	BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership	HIRO
7.	Communication and dissemination strategies	HIRO	All partners
7.1.	Dissemination and communication plan	HIRO	All partners
7.2.	On-line dissemination/communica activities	HIRO tion	All partners
7.2.1.	Web site development	HIRO	All partners
7.2.2.	Social media management	HIRO	All partners
7.3.	Off-line dissemination/communica activities	HIRO tion	All partners
7.3.1.	Conferences	HIRO	All partners
7.3.2.	Meetings	HIRO	All partners
7.4.	Production of dissemination materials	HIRO	All partners
7.4.1.	Technology demonstrators	HIRO	All partners
7.4.2.	Audio visual material production	HIRO	All partners



3 | Sequence activities

3.1 Dependencies or logical relationship between activities

On the following relationship between activities table, WBS-ID with a zero on their reference are milestones.

Relationship types are FF: Finish-to-Finish, FS: Finish-to-Start, SS: Start-to-Start and SF: Start-to-Finish.

WBS-ID	Activity	Predecessors	Relationship	Lag
0.	Kick-Off meeting	START	-	0
1.	PROJECT MANAGEMENT			
		0.	FS	1 month
1.0.	Project management plan	1.1.	FF	0
		2.2.5.	FF	0
1.1.	Development of the project management plan	0	SS	0
1.2.1.	Meetings	0.	SS	0
1.2.2.	Task tracking and scheduling	0.	SS	0
1.3.	Annual reporting	0.	SS	0
1.4.	Project implementation of risk management	0.	SS	0
2.	QUALITY AND ADMINISTRATION			
2.1.1.	Employment of the necessary staff	1.0.	FS	0
2.1.2.	Human resources management	2.1.1.	FS	0
2.2.1.1.	Fix	0.	SS	0



WBS-ID	Activity	Predecessors	Relationship	Lag
2.2.1.2.	Variable	2.2.1.1.	FS	0
2.2.2.	Funding	2.2.1.2.	FS	0
2.2.3.	Economic feasibility	2.2.2.	FS	0
2.2.4.	Evolution monitoring	2.2.3.	FS	0
2.2.5.	Additional and follow-up funding seek	2.2.4.	FS	0
2.3.1.	Guidelines preparation	0	SS	0
2.3.2.	Document revision	0	SS	0
2.3.3.	Document rectification	0	SS	0
2.3.4.	Document approval	0	SS	0
2.4.	Periodic monitoring	0	SS	0
3.	STATE OF THE ART			
3.0.	State of the Art completion	0 3.1.2. 3.2.2. 3.3.2.	FS FF FF FF	4 months 0 0 0
3.1.1.	Search for current space applications	1.0	FS	0
3.1.2.	Requirements definition	3.1.1.	FS	0
3.2.1.	Search for current modular systems with space applications	1.0	FS	0
3.2.2.	Requirements definition	3.2.1.	FS	0
3.3.1.1.	Weather forecast	1.0	FS	0
3.3.1.2.	Urban planning (3D models)	1.0	FS	0
3.3.1.3.	Greenhouse emissions reductions (pollution)	1.0	FS	0
3.3.2.	Requirements definition	3.3.1.	FS	0
4.	PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT	-		
4.1.1.0.	Payload preliminary report	0 4.1.1.2.	FS FF	10 months 0



WBS-ID	Activity	Predecessors	Relationship	Lag
4.1.1.1.	Research	3.0	FS	0
4.1.1.2.	Development	4.1.1.1.	FS	0
	Modular system preliminary	0	FS	13 months
4.1.2.0.	design	4.1.2.1.	FF	0
		4.1.2.4.	FF	0
4.1.2.1.	Development of physical framework for sensor blocks	4.1.1.0.	FS	0
4.1.2.2.	Development of systems interaction and applications	4.1.1.0.	FS	0
4.1.2.3.	Development of sensors data fusion software	4.1.2.2.	FS	0
4.1.2.4.	Definition of SATCOM applications	4.1.2.3.	FS	0
	Interaction platform	0	FS	16 months
4.1.3.0.	Interaction platform preliminary design	4.1.3.3.	FF	0
			FF.	
4.1.3.1.	Implement web-based servers for sharing sensors data	4.1.2.0.	FS	0
4.1.3.2.	Implement processing algorithms based on applications	4.1.2.0.	FS	0
4122	Pre-design a full services	4.1.3.1.	FS	0
4.1.3.3.	stakeholders platform	4.1.3.2.	FS	0
4.2.1.0.	Payloads final design	0. 4.2.1.2.	FS FF	23 months 0
4.2.1.1.	Sensors final design	4.1.1.0.	FS	0
4.2.1.2.	Sensors final technical specifications	4.2.1.1.	FS	0
4220	Modular system final design -	0.	FS	26 months
4.2.2.0.	milestone	4.2.2.3.	FF	0
4.2.2.1.	Modular system final design	4.1.2.0.	FS	0
4.2.2.2.	Sensors data fusion software final design	4.1.2.0.	FS	0



WBS-ID	Activity	Predecessors	Relationship	Lag		
4.0.0.2	Modular system's final	4.2.2.1.	FS	0		
4.2.2.3.	technical specifications	4.2.2.2.	FS	0		
	Interaction platform final	0.	FS	29 months		
4.2.3.0.	design	4.2.3.4.	FF	0		
	design	4.2.3.2.	FF	0		
4.2.3.1.	Web based servers for data sharing final implementation	4.1.3.0.	FF	0		
4.2.3.2.	Processing algorithms based on applications final design	4.1.3.0.	FF	0		
4.2.3.3.	Full services stakeholders platform implementation	4.2.3.1.	FF	0		
4.2.3.4.	Final technical specifications	4.2.3.3.	FF	0		
5.	SIMULATION, TESTING, VALIDATION AND QUALITY					
	Prototype manufacturing	0.	FS	34 months		
5.0.		5.1.1.	FF	0		
3.0.		5.1.2.	FF	0		
		5.1.3.	FF	0		
5.1.1.	Manufacturing of payload sensors	4.2.1.0.	FS	0		
5.1.2.	Manufacturing of modular system	4.2.2.0.	FS	0		
5.1.3.	Implementation of interaction platform	4.2.3.0.	FS	0		
5.2.	Payload validation	5.1.1.	FS	0		
5.3.	Modular system validation	5.1.2.	FS	0		
5.4.	Interaction platform validation	5.1.3.	FS	0		
		0.	FS	34 months		
E 01	Individual System testing	5.2.	FF	0		
5.01.		5.3.	FF	0		
		5.4.	FF	0		
5.5.	Full system prototype validation	5.01.	FS	0		



WBS-ID	Activity	Predecessors	Relationship	Lag
5.02.	Full quetous testions	0.	FS	41 months
5.02.	Full system testing	5.5.	FF	0
5.6.	Quality of the product	5.02.	FS	0
		0.	FS	44 months
		1.2.1.	FF	0
		1.2.2.	FF	0
		1.3.	FF	0
		1.4.	FF	0
		2.1.2.	FF	0
		2.3.1.	FF	0
		2.3.2.	FF	0
5.03.	Project completion	2.3.3.	FF	0
		2.3.4.	FF	0
		2.4.	FF	0
		5.6.	FF	0
		7.2.2.	FF	0
		7.3.1.	FF	0
		7.3.2.	FF	0
		7.4.1.1.	FF	0
		7.4.2.	FF	0
6.	BUSSINES PLANNING AND EXPLOITATION OF RESULTS			
6.0.	Business plan	0.	FS	1 month
0.0.		6.2.	FF	0
6.1.1.	Study of stakeholders	0.	FS	0
6.1.2.	Procurement conditions negotiation	0.	FS	0
6.1.3.	Resources purchase	0.	FS	0
6.2	Exploitation and business plans	6.1.	FS	0
7.	BUSSINES PLANNING AND EXPLOITATION OF RESULTS			
7.0	Communication plan	0.	FS	1 month
7.0.	Communication plan	7.1.	FF	FF
	Dissemination and	0	EC	0
7.1	communication plan	0.	FS	0
7.2.1.	Web site development	7.0.	FS	0
-				



WBS-ID	Activity	Predecessors	Relationship	Lag
7.2.2.	Social media management	7.2.1.	FS	0
7.3.1.	Conferences	1.0.	FS	0
7.3.2.	Meetings	1.0.	FS	0
7.4.1.	Technology demonstrators	1.0.	FS	0
7.4.2.	Audiovisual material production	1.0.	FS	0

Table 3.1.1: Dependencies or logical relationship between activities.

3.2 Network Diagram (Precedence Diagram Method)

Two sets of diagrams have been set. An expanded one 3.2.1, to see interconnections between tasks and a brief description and a short one 3.2.2, to see interconnections betweens activities, only using the id. By doing this, is expected to make easier the tasks visualization.








Figure 3.2.1: Network Precedence Method chart with full detail modules.









4 Estimate activity resources

4.1 Resource identification

In this section the resources available/needed to perform the project will be exposed. These resources will be classified into three different categories:

- Employees: People needed to achieve the objectives of the project. The employees will be provided by the members of the consortium.
- Materials: Hardware and software elements that will be used to achieve the project objectives.
- Facilities: Special places and services (such as the testing room).

A brief explanation of the resources needed will be done and a collection of all of them, including a Resource ID, will be shown in 4.1.1.

Regarding human resources, i.e. employees, these can be classified into three sub-groups as not all of them are in the same point on the learning curve.

- Senior: High on the learning curve. They are able to provide guidance on technical and management issues and offer a critical point of view of the actions of the project.
- Average: They are able to perform activities on their knowledge field and arrive to conclusions without supervision.
- Junior: Little experience in the field, the work done needs to be supervised by an average employee.

The employees will be chosen taking into account the roles and responsibilities and technical knowledge needed to perform the project.

Materials/hardware are also important in this project as a sensor and its modular system wants



to be build and tested. Although not all the hardware can be clearly specified in this early stage of the project, blocks can be defined. Hardware is also needed to support the database and interaction platform in order to perform the objective of the project: urban development. The blocks are:

- Payload building blocks: Hardware needed to build the sensor itself. It will depend on the type of sensor that needs to be build.
- Hardware support system: Physical connection between the parts of the sensor and its modular system. It can consist of a multi-layer PCB.
- Controllers: Chip, expansion card or stand-alone device to interface with the sensor and the other parts of the modular system. It can be a micro controller or an hybrid technology such as the combination of logic blocks with FPGA (Field-programmable Gate Array.
- Memory modules: Additional SRAM/ROM memory blocks to complete the performance of the system.
- Hosting package: Servers needed to host the end user and stakeholder platform for urban development.
- Backup system: Archive of the interaction platform computer data.

Regarding the facilities that will be used during the project, these are:

- Office: Needed to perform desktop tasks. All stakeholders can use their own offices.
- Meeting rooms: To host meetings between the members of the consortium, employees
 of the same partner and between members of the consortium and clients. They will be
 provided by the consortium members.
- Research laboratory: Laboratories needed to do the research of the payload, modular system and interaction platform. They will be provided by the consortium members related with these tasks.
- Development centre: Centre where the development of payload, modular system and interaction platform will be carried out. They will be provided by the consortium members related with these tasks.
- Testing room: Laboratories where the testing of the system in relevant environment will be done. They will be provided by the consortium members related with the testing.
- Quality laboratory: Laboratories where the quality evaluation will be carried out. They will be provided by the consortium members related with quality assessment.



Resource ID	Resource Description	Type of resource
PM.M	Project Manager	Employee-Senior
PM.S	Project Manager Secretary	Employee-Average
FM.M	Financial Manager	Employee-Senior
FM.A	Financial Manager Assessor	Employee-Average
SPM.M	Stakeholders and Procurement Manager	Employee-Senior
SPM.A	Stakeholders and Procurement Manager Assessor	Employee-Average
ScTM.M	Scope and Time Manager	Employee-Senior
ScTM.A	Scope and Time Manager Assessor	Employee-Average
RM.M	Risk Manager	Employee-Senior
RM.A	Risk Manager Assessor	Employee-Average
QM.M	Quality Manager	Employee-Senior
QM.A	Quality Manager Assessor	Employee-Senior
MCM.M	Marketing and Communications Manager	Employee-Senior
MCM.A	Marketing and Communications Manager Assessor	Employee-Average
TM	Tecnhical Manager	Employee-Average
RD.A	Research and development assessor	Employee-Average
LB.A	Legal and Business Assessor	Employee-Average
SD.S	System development engineer	Employee-Senior
SD.A	System development engineer	Employee-Average
SD.J	System development engineer	Employee-Junior
ST.S	System testing engineer	Employee-Senior
ST.A	System testing engineer	Employee-Average
ST.J	System testing engineer	Employee-Junior
AD.S	Application development manager	Employee-Senior
AD.A	Application development technician	Employee-Average
AD.J	Application development technician	Employee-Junior
SOFT.1	Microsoft Office	Material
SOFT.2	LaTex	Material
SOFT.3	GitHub	Material
SOFT.4	Trello	Material
SOFT.5	Solidworks	Material
SOFT.6	PostgreSQL	Material
SOFT.7	Live Plan	Material
SOFT.8	Wix	Material
SOFT.9	Jitsi	Material
SOFT.10	Final Cut Pro	Material
HARDW.1	Payload building blocks	Material
HARDW.2	Hardware support system	Material
HARDW.3	Sensor interface	Material



Resource ID	Resource Description	Type of resource
HARDW.4	Controllers	Material
HARDW.5	Memory modules	Material
HARDW.6	Hosting package	Material
HARDW.7	Backup system	Material
OFF	Office	Facilities
MR	Meeting room	Facilities
CH	Conference Hall	Facilities
RL	Research laboratory	Facilities
DC	Development centre	Facilities
TR	Testing room	Facilities
QL	Quality laboratory	Facilities

Table 4.1.1: Resources identification

4.2 Activity resource requirement

WBS-ID	Resource ID	Quantity	Assumption
1.1	PM.M	1	Although only PM.M and PM.S are
	PM.S	1	assigned to this activity, all partners
	OFF	1	involved in the project should give its
	SOFT.1	1	opinion and provide necessary input if
	SOFT.2	1	required for the elaboration of the project
	SOFT.3	1	management plan.
1.2.1	PM.M	1	All partners and the staff considered
	PM.S	1	necessary are expected to assist to the
	ScTM.M	1	meetings.
	ScTM.A	1	
	MR	1	
	SOFT.9	1	
1.2.2	PM.M	1	All partners should contribute to the
	PM.S	1	correct development of this task.
	ScTM.M	1	
	ScTM.A	1	
	MR	1	
	SOFT.4	1	



1.3	WBS-ID	Resource ID	Quantity	Assumption
ScTM.M 1 ScTM.A 1 OFF 1 CH 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.2 1 SOFT.3 1 1.4 RM.M 1 RM.A 1 OFF 1 2.1.1 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.2.1.2 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M I SOFT.1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2.2 FM.M I SOFT.1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1	1.3	PM.M	1	All partners should contribute to the
ScTM.A 1 OFF 1 CH 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.2 1 SOFT.3 1 1.4 RM.M 1 RM.A 1 OFF 1 2.1.1 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.1.2 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.2.1.1 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 SOFT.7 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2.2 FM.M 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1		PM.S	1	correct development of this task.
OFF 1 CH 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.2 1 SOFT.3 1 1.4 RM.M 1 RM.A 1 OFF 1 2.1.1 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.1.2 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2.2 FM.M 1 SOFT.7 1		ScTM.M	1	
CH 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.2 1 SOFT.3 1 1.4 RM.M 1 RM.A 1 OFF 1 2.1.1 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.1.2 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.2.2.1 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2.2 FM.M 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1		ScTM.A	1	
SOFT.1 1 SOFT.2 1 SOFT.3 1 1.4 RM.M 1 RM.A 1 OFF 1 2.1.1 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.1.2 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.2.1.1 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 2.2.1.3 FM.M 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.4 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.5 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.6 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.7 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2.8 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2.9 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1		OFF	1	
SOFT.2 1 SOFT.3 1 1.4 RM.M 1 RM.A 1 OFF 1 2.1.1 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.1.2 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.2.1.1 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1		CH	1	
SOFT.3 1 1.4 RM.M 1 RM.A 1 OFF 1 2.1.1 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.1.2 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.2.1.1 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1		SOFT.1	1	
1.4 RM.M 1 RM.A 1 OFF 1 2.1.1 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.1.2 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.2.1.1 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1		SOFT.2	1	
RM.A 1 OFF 1 2.1.1 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.1.2 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.2.1.1 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1		SOFT.3	1	
OFF 1 2.1.1 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.1.2 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.2.1.1 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1	1.4	RM.M	1	
2.1.1 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.1.2 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.2.1.1 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1		RM.A	1	
SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.1.2 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.2.1.1 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1		OFF	1	
OFF 1 2.1.2 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.2.1.1 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1	2.1.1	SPM.M	1	
2.1.2 SPM.M 1 SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.2.1.1 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1		SPM.A	1	
SPM.A 1 OFF 1 2.2.1.1 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2.3 FM.M 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1		OFF	1	
OFF 1 2.2.1.1 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2.1 FM.M 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2.1 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 SOFT.7 1	2.1.2	SPM.M	1	
2.2.1.1 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1		SPM.A	1	
FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1		OFF	1	
OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1	2.2.1.1	FM.M	1	
SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.7 1		FM.A	1	
SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1		OFF	1	
SOFT.7 1 2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1		SOFT.1	1	
2.2.1.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1		SOFT.3	1	
FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1		SOFT.7	1	
OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1	2.2.1.2	FM.M	1	
SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1		FM.A	1	
SOFT.3 1 SOFT.7 1 2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1		OFF	1	
SOFT.7 1 2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1		SOFT.1	1	
2.2.2 FM.M 1 FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1		SOFT.3	1	
FM.A 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1		SOFT.7	1	
OFF 1 SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1	2.2.2	FM.M	1	
SOFT.1 1 SOFT.3 1		FM.A	1	
SOFT.3 1		OFF	1	
		SOFT.1	1	
SOFT.7 1		SOFT.3	1	
		SOFT.7	1	



WBS-ID	Resource ID	Quantity	Assumption
2.2.3	FM.M	1	
	FM.A	1	
	OFF	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
	SOFT.7	1	
2.2.4	FM.M	1	
	FM.A	1	
	OFF	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
2.2.5	FM.M	1	
	FM.A	1	
	OFF	1	
2.3.1	QM.M	1	
	QM.A	1	
	OFF	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
2.3.2	QM.M	1	
	QM.A	1	
	OFF	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
2.3.3	QM.M	1	
	QM.A	1	
	OFF	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
2.3.4	QM.M	1	
	QM.A	1	
	MR	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	



WBS-ID	Resource ID	Quantity	Assumption
2.4	ScTM.M	1	All partners should contribute in this
	ScTM.A	1	activity if required by ScT.M or ScT.A.
	MR	1	
	SOFT.4	1	
3.1.1	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	SD.S	1	
	SD.J	2	
	OFF	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
3.1.2	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	SD.S	1	
	OFF	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
3.2.1	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	SD.S	1	
	SD.J	2	
	OFF	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
3.2.2	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	SD.S	1	
	OFF	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	



WBS-ID	Resource ID	Quantity	Assumption
3.3.1.1	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	AD.S	1	
	AD.J	2	
	OFF	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
3.3.1.2	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	AD.S	1	
	AD.J	2	
	OFF	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
3.3.1.3	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	AD.S	1	
	AD.J	2	
	OFF	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
3.3.2	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	AD.S	1	
	OFF	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	



WBS-ID	Resource ID	Quantity	Assumption
4.1.1.1	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	SD.S	1	
	SD.A	1	
	RL	5	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
	SOFT.5	1	
4.1.1.2	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	SD.S	1	
	SD.A	2	
	SD.J	2	
	DC	5	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
	SOFT.5	1	
4.1.2.1	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	SD.S	1	
	SD.A	2	
	SD.J	2	
	DC	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
	SOFT.5	1	
4.1.2.2	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	SD.S	1	
	SD.A	2	
	SD.J	2	
	DC	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
	SOFT.5	1	



WBS-ID	Resource ID	Quantity	Assumption
4.1.2.3	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	SD.S	1	
	SD.A	2	
	SD.J	2	
	DC	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
	SOFT.5	1	
4.1.2.4	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	SD.S	1	
	OFF	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
	SOFT.5	1	
4.1.3.1	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	AD.S	1	
	AD.A	2	
	AD.J	2	
	DC	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
	SOFT.6	1	
4.1.3.2	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	AD.S	1	
	AD.A	2	
	AD.J	2	
	DC	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
	SOFT.6	1	



WBS-ID	Resource ID	Quantity	Assumption
4.1.3.3	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	AD.S	1	
	AD.A	2	
	AD.J	2	
	DC	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
	SOFT.6	1	
4.2.1.1	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	SD.S	1	
	SD.A	2	
	SD.J	2	
	DC	5	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
	SOFT.5	1	
4.2.1.2	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	SD.S	1	
	SD.A	2	
	SD.J	2	
	OFF	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
	SOFT.5	1	



WBS-ID	Resource ID	Quantity	Assumption
4.2.2.1	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	SD.S	1	
	SD.A	2	
	SD.J	2	
	DC	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
	SOFT.5	1	
4.2.2.2	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	SD.S	1	
	SD.A	2	
	SD.J	2	
	DC	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
	SOFT.5	1	
4.2.2.3	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	SD.S	1	
	SD.A	2	
	SD.J	2	
	OFF	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
	SOFT.5	1	



WBS-ID	Resource ID	Quantity	Assumption
4.2.3.1	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	AD.S	1	
	AD.A	2	
	AD.J	2	
	DC	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
	SOFT.6	1	
4.2.3.2	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	AD.S	1	
	AD.A	2	
	AD.J	2	
	DC	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
	SOFT.6	1	
4.2.3.3	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	AD.S	1	
	AD.A	2	
	AD.J	2	
	DC	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
	SOFT.6	1	



WBS-ID	Resource ID	Quantity	Assumption
4.2.3.4	TM	1	
	RD.A	1	
	AD.S	1	
	AD.A	2	
	AD.J	2	
	OFF	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.2	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
	SOFT.6	1	
5.1.1	SD.A	1	
	SD.J	2	
	DC	1	
	HARDW.1	1	
5.1.2	SD.A	1	
	SD.J	2	
	DC	1	
	HARDW.2	1	
	HARDW.3	1	
	HARDW.4	1	
	HARDW.5	1	
5.1.3	AD.A	1	
	AD.J	2	
	DC	1	
	HARDW.6	1	
	HARDW.7	1	
5.2	ST.S	1	
	ST.A	1	
	ST.J	2	
	TR	5	
	HARDW.1	1	
5.3	ST.S	1	
	ST.A	1	
	ST.J	2	
	TR	1	
	HARDW.2	1	
	HARDW.3	1	
	HARDW.4	1	
	HARDW.5	1	



WBS-ID	Resource ID	Quantity	Assumption
5.4	AD.S	1	
	AD.A	1	
	TR	1	
	HARDW.6	1	
	HARDW.7	1	
5.5	ST.A	2	
	AD.A	2	
	SD.A	2	
	TR	1	
	HARDW.1	1	
	HARDW.2	1	
	HARDW.3	1	
	HARDW.4	1	
	HARDW.5	1	
	HARDW.6	1	
	HARDW.7	1	
5.6	QM.M	1	
	QM.A	1	
	QL	1	
	HARDW.1	1	
	HARDW.2	1	
	HARDW.3	1	
	HARDW.4	1	
	HARDW.5	1	
	HARDW.6	1	
	HARDW.7	1	
6.1.1	LB.A	1	
	SPM.M	1	
	SPM.A	2	
	OFF	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.3	1	
6.1.2	LB.A	1	
	SPM.M	1	
	SPM.A	2	
	OFF	1	
	SOFT.1	1	
	SOFT.3	1	



LB.A FM.M	1	
FM M	1	
	1	
FM.A	1	
OFF	1	
SOFT.1	1	
SOFT.3	1	
LB.A	1	
FM.M	1	
FM.A	2	
SPM.M	1	
OFF	1	
SOFT.1	1	
SOFT.3	1	
SOFT.7	1	
MCM.M	1	
MCM.A	2	
OFF	1	
SOFT.1	1	
SOFT.2	1	
SOFT.3	1	
MCM.M	1	All partners should provide information
MCM.A	2	and be able to collaborate for
OFF	1	communication and dissemination
SOFT.8	1	purposes.
MCM.M	1	All partners should provide information
MCM.A	2	and be able to collaborate for
OFF	1	communication and dissemination
		purposes.
MCM.M	1	All partners should provide information
MCM.A	2	and be able to collaborate for
СН	1	communication and dissemination
SOFT.9	1	purposes.
MCM.M	1	All partners should provide information
MCM.A	2	and be able to collaborate for
MR	1	communication and dissemination
		purposes.
	SOFT.1 SOFT.3 LB.A FM.M FM.A SPM.M OFF SOFT.1 SOFT.3 SOFT.7 MCM.M MCM.A OFF SOFT.1 SOFT.2 SOFT.3 MCM.M MCM.A OFF SOFT.8 MCM.M MCM.A OFF SOFT.8 MCM.M MCM.A OFF	SOFT.1 SOFT.3 LB.A FM.M FM.A 2 SPM.M 1 OFF 1 SOFT.1 SOFT.3 SOFT.7 1 MCM.M MCM.A 2 OFF 1 SOFT.2 SOFT.3 1 MCM.M MCM.A 2 OFF 1 SOFT.8 1 MCM.M 1 MCM.A 2 OFF 1



WBS-ID	Resource ID	Quantity	Assumption
7.4.1	MCM.M	1	All partners should provide information
	MCM.A	2	and be able to collaborate for
	DC	1	communication and dissemination
	SOFT.1	1	purposes.
	SOFT.10	1	
7.4.2	MCM.M	1	All partners should provide information
	MCM.A	2	and be able to collaborate for
	OFF	1	communication and dissemination
	SOFT.1	1	purposes.
	SOFT.10	1	

Table 4.2.1: List of resource requirement

4.3 Resource Breakdown Structure

1. Employees

- 1.1. Project management
 - 1.1.1. Project Manager
 - 1.1.2. Project Manager Secretary
- 1.2. Financial
 - 1.2.1. Financial Manager
 - 1.2.2. Financial Manager Assessor
- 1.3. Stakeholders and Procurement
 - 1.3.1. Stakeholders and Procurement Manager
 - 1.3.2. Stakeholders and Procurement Manager Assessor
- 1.4. Scope and Time
 - 1.4.1. Scope and Time Manager
 - 1.4.2. Scope and Time Manager Assessor
- 1.5. Risk
 - 1.5.1. Risk Manager
 - 1.5.2. Risk Manager Assessor
- 1.6. Quality
 - 1.6.1. Quality Manager
 - 1.6.2. Quality Manager Assessor



- 1.7. Marketing and Communications
 - 1.7.1. Marketing and Communications Manager
 - 1.7.2. Marketing and Communications Manager Assessor
- 1.8. Engineering
 - 1.8.1. Technical Manager
 - 1.8.2. Research and Development assessor
 - 1.8.3. Development
 - 1.8.3.1. System development engineer Senior
 - 1.8.3.2. System development engineer Average
 - 1.8.3.3. System development engineer Junior
 - 1.8.4. Testing
 - 1.8.4.1. System testing engineer Senior
 - 1.8.4.2. System testing engineer Average
 - 1.8.4.3. System testing engineer Junior
- 1.9. Application development
 - 1.9.1. Application development manager
 - 1.9.2. Application development technician Average
 - 1.9.3. Application development technician Junior

2. Materials

- 2.1. Software
 - 2.1.1. Microsoft Office
 - 2.1.2. LaTex
 - 2.1.3. GitHub
 - 2.1.4. Trello
 - 2.1.5. Solidworks
 - 2.1.6. PostgreSQL
 - 2.1.7. Live Plan
 - 2.1.8. Wix
 - 2.1.9. Jitsi
 - 2.1.10. Final Cut Pro
- 2.2. Hardware
 - 2.2.1. Payload building blocks
 - 2.2.2. Hardware support system
 - 2.2.3. Sensor interface
 - 2.2.4. Controllers



- 2.2.5. Memory modules
- 2.2.6. Hosting package
- 2.2.7. Backup system

3. Facilities

- 3.1. Office
- 3.2. Meeting room
- 3.3. Conference hall
- 3.4. Research laboratory
- 3.5. Development centre
- 3.6. Testing room
- 3.7. Quality laboratory



5 Estimate activity duration

In this section an estimate activity duration is performed. In order to perform the most accurate estimation possible three different methods, which are explained below, have been applied depending on the characteristics of each task.

A brief explanation of the three mentioned methods including the type of tasks that have been estimated with each one is carried out:

Parametric Estimate

This estimation technique, that has been used to estimate the duration of commercial and administration tasks, uses an algorithm based on historical data and project parameters. The algorithm used consists in:

$$DurationEstimate = \frac{EffortDays}{ResourceQuantity*AvailableFactor*PerformanceFactor}$$

The parameters used have been established as follows:

- Effort Days: States the necessary days to complete the task.
- **Resource Quantity:** Determines the number of resources (people) assigned at the respective task. This parameter has been already established on section 4.2.
- Available Factor: Determines the availability of the resources. This parameter has been
 established taking into account the overlap of tasks assigned to each resource.
- **Performance Factor:** Determines the ability of the resource assigned to perform the task. This parameter is established taking into account if the resource is a Senior-Employee, an Average-Employee, a Junior-Employee or a combination of them (in section 4.1 each type of employee is defined).

Analogous Estimate



The analogous estimation technique is based on the knowledge about the activity duration of previous similar projects. Hence, the duration of the current project completely relies on the duration of the previous one and the weight this current activity has compared to the previous one.

$$DurationEstimate = Previous activity Duration* Multiplier$$

Analogous estimating can be less accurate than other estimation methods when the previous activities are not similar enough. Therefore, it has been used to estimate the duration of the management activities because it is possible to obtain a reliable estimation from the management timings of previous projects.

Three Point Estimate

The third method used to estimate the duration of the activities is the three-point estimation. This method takes into account the uncertainties and risks in order to provide an expected duration of each activity.

The expected duration is calculated by using a Beta Distribution which gives more weight to the most likely duration of the activity than the other parameters have because it is the most realistic one.

$$BetaDistribution = \frac{Optimistic + (MostLikely)*6 + Pessimistic}{6}$$

In fact, the parameters from which each activity duration is calculated are defined as:

- Most Likely: this estimate is based on the duration of the activity in a realistic way, by taking into account resources available and productivity for the corresponding activity.
- Optimistic: this estimate is based on the best-scenario for the activity.
- Pessimistic: this estimate is based on the worst-case scenario for the activity.

This method has been used to estimate the duration of the activities that are technical, because there is no data about previous projects due to the fact it is a state of the art project. However, it is a good method because by knowing the resources available and the productivity of the team, realistic expectations of the availability for the activity and its workload are done.

Estimate activity duration



Parametric Estimates						
WBS-ID	Effort Days	Resource Quantity	% Available	Performance Factor	Duration Estimate (days)	
2.1.1	54	2	100	0.9	30	
2.1.2	1500	2	100	0.9	830	
2.2.1	4	2	60	0.9	4	
2.2.2	3	2	60	0.9	3	
2.2.3	7	2	80	0.9	5	
2.2.4	6	2	70	0.9	5	
2.2.5	5	2	100	0.9	3	
2.3	1500	2	90	0.95	880	
6.1.1	12	3	60	0.85	8	
6.1.2	11	4	60	0.85	5	
6.1.3	12	3	50	0.85	10	
6.2	27	5	80	0.85	8	
7.1	51	3	100	0.85	20	
7.2.1	180	3	80	0.85	90	
7.2.2	1475	3	75	0.85	770	
7.3	2200	6	50	0.85	860	
7.4.1	1650	3	75	0.85	860	
7.4.2	1650	3	75	0.85	860	

Table 5.0.1: List of Parametric Estimates

Analogous Estimates						
WBS-ID	Previous Activity	Previous Duration	Current Activity	Multiplier	Duration Estimate	
1.1	Previous project Management Plan	23	Project management plan	0.9	20	



Analogous Estimates						
WBS-ID	Previous Activity	Previous Duration	Current Activity	Multiplier	Duration Estimate	
1.2	Previous project Monitoring	980	Monitoring of the project	0.9	880	
1.3	Previous project Annual reporting	1250	Annual Reporting	0.7	880	
1.4	Previous project Risk Management implementation	1100	Project implementation of risk management	0.8	880	

Table 5.0.2: List of Analogous Estimates

Three Point Estimates						
WBS-ID	Optimistic Duration	Most Likely Duration	Pessimistic Duration	Weighting Equation	Expected Duration Estimate	
3.1.1	20	30	40	(o+4m+p)/6	30	
3.1.2	15	23	40	(o+4m+p)/6	25	
3.2.1	20	24	35	(o+4m+p)/6	25	
3.2.2	22	28	45	(o+4m+p)/6	30	
3.3.1	15	18	30	(o+4m+p)/6	20	
3.3.2	32	40	50	(o+4m+p)/6	40	
4.1.1.1	58	68	88	(o+4m+p)/6	70	
4.1.1.2	40	48	65	(o+4m+p)/6	50	
4.1.2	45	60	75	(o+4m+p)/6	60	
4.1.3.1	15	18	30	(o+4m+p)/6	20	
4.1.3.2	30	39	55	(o+4m+p)/6	40	
4.1.3.3	15	18	30	(o+4m+p)/6	20	
4.2.1	230	255	310	(o+4m+p)/6	260	



Three Point Estimates						
WBS-ID	Optimistic Duration	Most Likely Duration	Pessimistic Duration	Weighting Equation	Expected Duration Estimate	
4.2.2	230	255	310	(o+4m+p)/6	260	
4.2.3	230	255	310	(o+4m+p)/6	260	
5.1.1	180	195	240	(o+4m+p)/6	200	
5.1.2	130	145	185	(o+4m+p)/6	150	
5.1.3	80	97	130	(o+4m+p)/6	100	
5.2	40	62	72	(o+4m+p)/6	60	
5.3	46	58	80	(o+4m+p)/6	60	
5.4	30	45	60	(o+4m+p)/6	45	
5.5	60	76	110	(o+4m+p)/6	80	
5.6	45	58	80	(o+4m+p)/6	60	

Table 5.0.3: List of Three Point Estimations



6 | Project Schedule

Following, the project schedule have been implemented from a Gantt chart, attached on the next page.




Figure 6.0.1: Gantt chart





7 | Activity Attributes (at Work Package level)

In this section an overview of the activity attributes will be done. In the following tables the activities are shown together with a description of the work to be done, its predecessors, relationships, resources, efforts, locations and constraints. Regarding the time constraints, they are usually referred to t_0 , which is the date of the kick-off meeting date.



WBS-ID:	Activity:
1.1	Development of the project
	management plan

Description of Work:

Elaboration of all the documentation that states the strategy of the management and organization of the project through its duration.

Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
Kick-Off meeting	SS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Project Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Project Manager		1 LaTex
Secretary		1 GitHub

Type of Effort:

Fixed amount of work.

Location of Performance:

Facilities of: HIRO.

Constraints:

Due date Deliverable Project Management Plan: 21/01/22 Due date Milestone Project Management Plan: 05/10/18

Assumptions:

Although only the Project Manager and the Project Manager Secretary are assigned to this activity, all partners involved in the project should give its opinion and provide necessary input if required for the elaboration of the project management plan.

Table 7.0.1: Activity 1.1 attributes



WBS-ID:	Activity:				
1.2.1		Meetings			
Description of Work:					
Gathering of the members of the project to inform each other of the progress.					
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:			
Kick-Off meeting	SS	-			
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:			
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Meeting room			
1 Project Manager	Average	1 Jitsi			
1 Project Manager					
Secretary					
1 Scope and Time					
Manager					
1 Scope and Time					
Management Assessor					
Type of Effort:					
Fixed amount of effort.					
Location of Performance:					
Facilities of: HIRO.					
Constraints:					
Due date Deliverable Projec	t Management Plan: $21/01/$	22			
Assumptions:					
All partners and the staff considered necessary are expected to assist to the meetings.					

Table 7.0.2: Activity 1.2.1 attributes



WBS-ID:	Activity:	
1.2.2	Task tracking and scheduling	
Description of Work:		
Tracking of the active task	s and scheduling.	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
Kick-Off meeting	SS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Meeting room
1 Project Manager	Average	1 Trello
1 Project Manager		
Secretary		
1 Scope and Time		
Manager		
1 Scope and Time		
Management Assessor		
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of effort.		
Location of Performance	e:	
Facilities of: HIRO.		
Constraints:		
Due date Deliverable Proje	ect Management Plan: 21/0	1/22
Assumptions:		
All partners should contrib	ute to the correct developm	ent of this task.

Table 7.0.3: Activity 1.2.2 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
1.3		Annual reporting
Description of Work:		
•	asts will call for the elaborat	ion of an internal report with
the aim of keeping up to da		
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
Kick-Off meeting	SS	8.
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Project Manager	Average	1 Conference Hall
1 Project Manager		1 Microsoft Office
Secretary		1 LaTex
1 Scope and Time		1 GitHub
Manager		
1 Scope and Time		
Manager Assessor		
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of effort.		
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: HIRO.		
Constraints:		
Due date Deliverable Project Management Plan: 21/01/22		
Assumptions:		
All partners should contribu	te to the correct developmen	nt of this task.

Table 7.0.4: Activity 1.3 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:	
1.4		Project implementation of risk	
		management	
Description of Work:			
Study of all the potential ris	ks and how they will be man	aged so that their	
affectation to the project sta	ays to a minimum.		
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:	
Kick-Off meeting	SS	-	
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:	
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office	
1 Risk Manager	Average		
1 Risk Manager Assessor			
Type of Effort:	Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of work.			
Location of Performance:	Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: HIRO.			
Constraints:			
Due date Deliverable Project Management Plan: 21/01/22			
Assumptions:			
-			

Table 7.0.5: Activity 1.4 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
2.1.1		Employment of the necessary
		staff
Description of Work:		
Definition of the number of	of employees necessary	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
1.0	FS	0
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Stakeholders and	Average	
Procurement Manager		
1 Stakeholders and		
Procurement Manager		
Assessor		
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of work		
Location of Performance	e:	
Facilities of: HIRO		
Constraints:		
The Employment of the n	ecessary staff has to be deliv	ered at t_0 + 2 month.
Assumptions:		
-		

Table 7.0.6: Activity 2.1.1 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
2.1.2		Human resources management
Description of Work:		
Administration of all the e	mployees needed to fulfill the	e different tasks of the project
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
2.1.1	FS	0
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Stakeholders and	Average	
Procurement Manager		
1 Stakeholders and		
Procurement Manager		
Assessor		
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of effort		
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: HIRO		
Constraints:		
The Human resources management has to be delivered at t_0+ 40 months.		
Assumptions:		
-		

Table 7.0.7: Activity 2.1.2 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
2.2.1.1		Fix costs
Description of Work:		
Lay down of all the fix cos	ts of the project	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
0	SS	0
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Financial Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Financial Manager		1 GitHub
Assessor		1 Live Plan
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of work		
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: HIRO		
Constraints:		
The Fix costs has to be delivered at t_0+1 month.		
Assumptions:		
-		

Table 7.0.8: Activity 2.2.1.1 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
2.2.1.2		Variable costs
Description of Work:		
Lay down of all the variab	e costs of the project	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
2.2.1.1	FS	0
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Financial Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Financial Manager		1 GitHub
Assessor		1 Live Plan
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of work		
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: HIRO		
Constraints:		
The Variable costs has to be delivered at t_0+1 month.		
Assumptions:		
-		

Table 7.0.9: Activity 2.2.1.2 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
2.2.2		Funding
Description of Work:		
Lay down of the expected	funding of the project	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
2.2.1.2	FS	0
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Financial Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Financial Manager		1 GitHub
Assessor		1 Live Plan
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of effort		
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: HIRO		
Constraints:		
The Funding has to be delivered at t_0+1 month.		
Assumptions:		
-		

Table 7.0.10: Activity 2.2.2 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
2.2.3		Economic feasibility
Description of Work:		
Study on the economic fea	asibility of the project	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
2.2.2	FS	0
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Financial Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Financial Manager		1 GitHub
Assessor		1 Live Plan
Type of Effort:		·
Fixed amount of effort		
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: HIRO		
Constraints:		
The Economic feasibility has to be delivered at t_0+1 month.		
Assumptions:		
-		

Table 7.0.11: Activity 2.2.3 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:	
2.2.4		Evolution monitoring	
Description of Work:	Description of Work:		
Monitoring of the evolution	of the project finances		
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:	
2.2.3	FS	0	
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:	
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office	
1 Financial Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office	
1 Financial Manager		1 GitHub	
Assessor			
Type of Effort:			
Fixed amount of effort			
Location of Performance:			
Facilities of: HIRO			
Constraints:			
The Evolution monitoring has to be delivered at t_0+1 month.			
Assumptions:			
-			

Table 7.0.12: Activity 2.2.4 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
2.2.5		Additional and follow-up
		funding seek
Description of Work:		
Search for additional fundi	ng for the project	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
2.2.4	FS	0
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Financial Manager	Average	
1 Financial Manager		
Assessor		
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of effort		
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: HIRO		
Constraints:		
The Additional and follow-up funding seek has to be delivered at t_0+1 month.		
Assumptions:		
-		

Table 7.0.13: Activity 2.2.5 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:	
2.3.1		Guidelines preparation	
Description of Work:	Description of Work:		
Establishment of the guide	elines for the redaction of all	documents	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:	
0	SS	0	
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:	
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office	
1 Quality Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office	
1 Quality Manager		1 LaTex	
Assessor		1 GitHub	
Type of Effort:			
Fixed amount of effort			
Location of Performance:			
Facilities of: HIRO			
Constraints:			
The Guidelines preparation has to be delivered at t_0+40 months.			
Assumptions:			
_			

Table 7.0.14: Activity 2.3.1 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
2.3.2		Documented revision
Description of Work:		
Revision of all the docume	nts of the project	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
0	SS	0
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Quality Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Quality Manager		1 LaTex
Assessor		1 GitHub
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of work		
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: HIRO		
Constraints:		
The Documented revision has to be delivered at t_0+ 40 months.		
Assumptions:		
-		

Table 7.0.15: Activity 2.3.2 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
2.3.3		Documented rectification
Description of Work:		
Rectification of the docum	ents that do not meet the pr	oject requirements
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
0	SS	0
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Quality Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Quality Manager		1 LaTex
Assessor		1 GitHub
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of work		
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: HIRO		
Constraints:		
The Documented rectification has to be delivered at t_0+ 40 months.		
Assumptions:		
_		

Table 7.0.16: Activity 2.3.3 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
2.3.4		Document approval
Description of Work:		
Approval of the reviewed a	and rectified documents	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
0	SS	0
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Meeting Room
1 Quality Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Quality Manager		1 LaTex
Assessor		1 GitHub
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of effort		
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: HIRO		
Constraints:		
The Document approval has to be delivered at t_0+ 40 months.		
Assumptions:		
-		

Table 7.0.17: Activity 2.3.4 attributes



WBS-ID:	Activity:
2.4	Periodic monitoring

To ensure the quality of the project, a periodic monitoring of all the activities will be carried out

Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
0	SS	0
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Meeting Room
1 Scope and Time	Average	1 Trello
Manager		
1 Scope and Time		
Manager Assessor		

Type of Effort:

Fixed amount of effort

Location of Performance:

Facilities of: HIRO

Constraints:

The Periodic monitoring has to be delivered at $t_0 + 40$ months.

Assumptions:

All partners should contribute in this activity if required by Scope and Time Manager or Scope and Time Manager Assessor

Table 7.0.18: Activity 2.4 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
3.1.1		Search for current space
		applications
Description of Work:	·	
Research for the current sp	pace applications.	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
1.0	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Technical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Novice	1 Latex
Development Assessor		1 GitHub
1 System Development		
Engineer		
2 System Development		
Engineer		
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of work.		
Location of Performance	e:	
Facilities of: Deimos Space S.L.U, Thales Alenia Space, S.A.S and HIRO.		
Constraints:		
Due date Deliverable Payload state of the art: $14/06/19$.		
Due date Milestone Payload state of the art: $14/06/19$.		
Assumptions:		
-		

Table 7.0.19: Activity 3.1.1 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
3.1.2		Requirements definition
Description of Work:		
Research for the current s	pace applications.	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
3.1.1	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Technical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
Research and		1 Latex
Development Assessor		1 GitHub
1 System Development		
Engineer		
Type of Effort:		·
Fixed amount of work.		
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: Deimos Space S.L.U, Thales Alenia Space, S.A.S and HIRO.		
Constraints:		
Due date Deliverable Payload state of the art: $14/06/19$.		
Due date Milestone Payload state of the art: 14/06/19.		
Assumptions:		
_		

Table 7.0.20: Activity 3.1.2 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
3.2.1		Search for current modular
		systems with space applications
Description of Work:		j
Search for current modula	r systems with space applica	ations.
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
1.0	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Technical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Novice	1 Latex
Development Assessor		1 GitHub
1 System Development		
Engineer		
2 System Development		
Engineer		
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of work.		
Location of Performanc	e:	
Facilities of: Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U and HIRO.		
Constraints:		
Due date Deliverable Modular system state of the art: $21/12/18$.		
Due date Milestone Modular system state of the art: $21/12/18$.		
Assumptions:		
_		

Table 7.0.21: Activity 3.2.1 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
3.2.2		Requirements definition
Description of Work:		
Definition of the requirem	ents for modular system dev	eloped in this project
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
3.2.1	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Technical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and		1 Latex
Development Assessor		1 GitHub
1 System Development		
Engineer		
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of work.		
Location of Performanc	e:	
Facilities of: Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U and HIRO.		
Constraints:		
Due date Deliverable Modular system state of the art: $21/12/18$.		
Due date Milestone Modular system state of the art: $21/12/18$.		
Assumptions:		

Table 7.0.22: Activity 3.2.2 attributes



WBS-ID:	Activity:
3.3.1.1	Weather forecast

Search for current applications similar to those that want to be implemented with this project in the weather forecast area.

Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
1.0	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Technical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Novice	1 Latex
Development Assessor		1 GitHub
1 Application		
Development Manager		
2 Application		
Development		

Type of Effort:

Fixed amount of work.

Location of Performance:

Facilites of: VITO nv, ReSAC and HIRO.

Constraints:

Due date Deliverable Space Applications State of the Art: 28/12/18. Due date Milestone Space Applications State of the Art: 28/12/18.

Assumptions:

Table 7.0.23: Activity 3.3.1.1 attributes



WBS-ID:	Activity:
3.3.1.2	Urban planning (3D models)

Search for current applications similar to those that want to be implemented with this project in the urban planning area.

Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
1.0	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Technical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Novice	1 Latex
Development Assessor		1 GitHub
1 Application		
Development Manager		
2 Application		
Development		

Type of Effort:

Fixed amount of work.

Location of Performance:

Facilites of: VITO nv, ReSAC and HIRO.

Constraints:

Due date Deliverable Space Applications State of the Art: 28/12/18. Due date Milestone Space Applications State of the Art: 28/12/18.

Assumptions:

Table 7.0.24: Activity 3.3.1.2 attributes



WBS-ID:	Activity:
3.3.1.3	Greenhouse emissions reduction
	(pollution)

Search for current applications similar to those that want to be implemented with this project in the greenhouse emissions reduction area.

Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
1.0	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Technical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Novice	1 Latex
Development Assessor		1 GitHub
1 Application		
Development Manager		
2 Application		
Development		

Type of Effort:

Fixed amount of work.

Location of Performance:

Facilites of: VITO nv, ReSAC and HIRO.

Constraints:

Due date Deliverable Space Applications State of the Art: 28/12/18. Due date Milestone Space Applications State of the Art: 28/12/18.

Assumptions:

-

Table 7.0.25: Activity 3.3.1.3 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
3.3.2		Requirements definition
Description of Work:		
Definition of the requirem	ents for the applications.	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
3.3.1	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Technical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and		1 Latex
Development Assessor		1 GitHub
1 Application		
Development Manager		
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of work.		
Location of Performanc	e:	
Facilites of: VITO nv, Res	SAC and HIRO.	
Constraints:		
Due date Deliverable Space	ce Applications State of the	Art: 28/12/18.
Due date Milestone Space	Applications State of the A	Art: 28/12/18.
Assumptions:		
_		

Table 7.0.26: Activity 3.3.2 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
4.1.1.1		Research
Description of Work:		
Research for the payloads'	preliminary design	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
3.0	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	5 Research laboratory
1 Techical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and		1 LaTex
development assessor		1 GitHub
1 System development		1 Solidworks
engineers (expert)		
1 System development		
engineer (average)		
Type of Effort:		·
Fixed amount of work		
Location of Performance	e:	
Facilities of: Airbus Defen	ce and Space, Deimos Space	e, Thales Alenia Space and
HIRO		
Constraints:		
Due date Deliverable payload preliminary design: $14/06/19$		
Due date Milestone payload preliminary design $14/06/19$		
Assumptions:		
-		

Table 7.0.27: Activity 4.1.1.1 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
4.1.1.2		Development
Description of Work:		
Development of the payloa	ds' preliminary design	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
4.1.1.1	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	5 Development center
1 Techical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Junior	1 LaTex
development assessor		1 GitHub
1 System development		1 Solidworks
engineers (expert)		
2 System development		
engineer (average)		
2 System development		
engineer (junior)		
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of work		
Location of Performance	e:	
Facilities of: Airbus Defen	ce and Space, Deimos Space	e, Thales Alenia Space and
HIRO		
Constraints:		
Due date Deliverable paylo	oad preliminary design: $14/0$	06/19
Due date Milestone payload preliminary design $14/06/19$		
Assumptions:		
_		

Table 7.0.28: Activity 4.1.1.2 attributes



WBS-ID:	Activity:
4.1.2.1	Development of physical
	framework for sensor block

Modular system preliminary design and development of physical framework for sensor block

Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
4.1.1.0	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Development center
1 Techical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Junior	1 LaTex
development assessor		1 GitHub
1 System development		1 Solidworks
engineers (expert)		
2 System development		
engineer (average)		
2 System development		
engineer (junior)		

Type of Effort:

Fixed amount of work

Location of Performance:

Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space, Airbus Defence and Space, Deimos Space and HIRO

Constraints:

Due date Deliverable Modular system preliminary design: 06/09/19 Due date Milestone Modular system preliminary design: 06/09/2019

Assumptions:

_

Table 7.0.29: Activity 4.1.2.1 attributes



WBS-ID:	Activity:
4.1.2.2	Development of systems
	interaction and applications.

Modular system preliminary design and development of systems interactions and applications

Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
4.1.1.0	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Development center
1 Techical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Junior	1 LaTex
development assessor		1 GitHub
1 System development		1 Solidworks
engineers (expert)		
2 System development		
engineer (average)		
2 System development		
engineer (junior)		

Type of Effort:

Fixed amount of work

Location of Performance:

Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space, Airbus Defence and Space, Deimos Space and HIRO

Constraints:

Due date Deliverable Modular system preliminary design: 06/09/19 Due date Milestone Modular system preliminary design: 06/09/2019

Assumptions:

_

Table 7.0.30: Activity 4.1.2.2 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
4.1.2.3		Development of sensors' data
		fusion software
Description of Work:		
Modular system preliminary	design and development of	sensors' data fusion software
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
4.1.2.2.	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Development center
1 Techical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Junior	1 LaTex
development assessor		1 GitHub
1 System development		1 Solidworks
engineers (expert)		
2 System development		
engineer (average)		
2 System development		
engineer (junior)		
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of work		
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: Thales Alenia S	Space, Airbus Defence and	Space, Deimos Space and
HIRO		
Constraints:		
Due date Deliverable Modul	ar system preliminary desig	n: 06/09/19
	system preliminary design	06/00/10

Table 7.0.31: Activity 4.1.2.3 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
4.1.2.4		Definition of SATCOM
		applications domains
Description of Work:		j
Modular system preliminar	y design and definition of S	ATCOM application domains.
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
4.1.2.3.	TFS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Techical Manager		1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and		1 LaTex
development assessor		1 GitHub
1 System development		1 Solidworks
engineers		
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of work		
Location of Performance	e:	
Facilities of: Thales Alenia	Space, Airbus Defence and	Space, Deimos Space and
HIRO		
Constraints:		
Due date Deliverable Mod	ular system preliminary desig	gn: 06/09/19
Due date Milestone Modular system preliminary design: 06/09/2019		
Assumptions:		
_		

Table 7.0.32: Activity 4.1.2.4 attributes



WBS-ID:	Activity:
4.1.3.1	Implement web-based servers
	for sharing sensors' data
D 1 1 6 14 1	

Preliminary design of the interaction platform. Implement web-based servers for sharing sensors' data.

Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
4.1.2.0	FS	0
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Development center
1 Techical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Junior	1 LaTex
development assessor		1 GitHub
1 Application development		1 PostgreSQL
engineers (expert)		
2 Application development		
engineer (average)		
2 Application development		
engineer (junior)		

Type of Effort:

Fixed amount of work

Location of Performance:

Facilities of: ICUBE-ISERTIT, VITO, ReSAC and HIRO

Constraints:

Due date Deliverable Interaction platform preliminary design: 29/11/19 Due date Milestone Interaction platform preliminary design: 29/11/19

Assumptions:

_

Table 7.0.33: Activity 4.1.3.1 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
4.1.3.2		Implement processing
4.1.3.2		, ,
		algorithms based on
5		applications.
Description of Work:		
	eraction platform. Implement	processing algorithms
based on applications.		
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
4.1.2.0	FS	_
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Development center
1 Techical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Junior	1 LaTex
development assessor		1 GitHub
1 Application development		1 PostgreSQL
engineers (expert)		
2 Application development		
engineer (average)		
2 Application development		
engineer (junior)		
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of work		
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: ICUBE-ISERTIT, VITO, ReSAC and HIRO		
Constraints:		
Due date Deliverable Interaction platform preliminary design: $29/11/19$		
Due date Milestone Interaction platform preliminary design: $29/11/19$		
Assumptions:		
-		

Table 7.0.34: Activity 4.1.3.2 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
4.1.3.3		Pre-design a full services
		stakeholders platform.
Description of Work:		,
Pre-design of interaction pla	atform .	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
4.1.3.1	FS	-
4.1.3.2	FS	
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Development center
1 Techical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Junior	1 LaTex
development assessor		1 GitHub
1 Application development		1 PostgreSQL
engineers (expert)		
2 Application development		
engineer (average)		
2 Application development		
engineer (junior)		
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of work		
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: ICUBE-ISERTI	T, VITO, ReSAC and HIRO)
Constraints:		
Due date Deliverable Interac	ction platform preliminary d	esign:29/11/19
Due date Milestone Interact	ion platform preliminary de	sign: 29/11/19
Assumptions:		
_		

Table 7.0.35: Activity 4.1.3.3 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
4.2.1.1		Sensor's final design.
Description of Work:		
Final design of the payload	sensor.	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
4.1.1.0.	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	5 Development center
1 Techical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Junior	1 LaTex
development assessor		1 GitHub
1 System development		1 Solidworks
engineers (expert)		
2 System development		
engineer (average)		
2 System development		
engineer (junior)		
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of work		
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: Airbus Defence and Space, Deimos Space, Thales Alenia Space and		
HIRO		
Constraints:		
Due date Deliverable Payload final design: 12/06/20		
Due date Milestone Payload final design: $12/06/20$		
Assumptions:		

Table 7.0.36: Activity 4.2.1.1 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
4.2.1.2		Sensor's final technical
		specifications.
Description of Work:		·
Final decision of the techn	ical specifications of the pa	yload sensor.
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
4.2.1.1	FS	0
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Techical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Junior	1 LaTex
development assessor		1 GitHub
1 System development		1 Solidworks
engineers (expert)		
2 System development		
engineer (average)		
2 System development		
engineer (junior)		
Type of Effort:		·
Fixed amount of work		
Location of Performance	e:	
Facilities: Airbus Defence	and Space, Deimos Space,	Thales Alenia Space and HIRO
Constraints:		
Due date Deliverable Payl	oad final design: $12/06/20$	
Due date Milestone Paylo	ad final design: $12/06/20$	
Assumptions:		
_		

Table 7.0.37: Activity 4.2.1.2 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
4.2.2.1		Modular system final design.
Description of Work:		
Final design of the modula	r system.	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
4.1.2.0	FS	0
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Development center
1 Techical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Junior	1 LaTex
development assessor		1 GitHub
1 System development		1 Solidworks
engineers (expert)		
2 System development		
engineer (average)		
2 System development		
engineer (junior)		
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of work		
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: Airbus Defence and Space, Deimos Space, Thales Alenia Space and		

HIRO Constraints:

Due date Deliverable Modular system final design: 04/09/20

Due date Deliverable Sensor data fusion software report: 04/09/20

Due date Milestone Modular system final design: 04/09/20

Assumptions:

_

Table 7.0.38: Activity 4.2.2.1 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
4.2.2.2		Sensor's data fusion software
		final design.
Description of Work:		
Final design of the modula	r system, specifically of the	sensor's data fusion software.
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
4.1.2.0	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Development center
1 Techical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Junior	1 LaTex
development assessor		1 GitHub
1 System development		1 Solidworks
engineers (expert)		
2 System development		

engineer (junior) Type of Effort:

engineer (average)
2 System development

Fixed amount of work

Location of Performance:

Facilities of:Airbus Defence and Space, Thales Alenia Space, Deimos Space and HIRO

Constraints:

Due date Deliverable Modular system final design: 04/09/20 Due date Deliverable Sensor data fusion software report: 04/09/20

Due date Milestone Modular system final design: 04/09/20

Assumptions:

_

Table 7.0.39: Activity 4.2.2.2 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
4.2.2.3		Modular system's final
		technical specifications.
Description of Work:		
Final decision of technical	specifications of the modula	ar system.
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
4.2.2.1	FS	-
4.2.2.2	FS	
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Office
1 Techical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Junior	1 LaTex
development assessor		1 GitHub
1 System development		1 Solidworks
engineers (expert)		
2 System development		
engineer (average)		
2 System development		
engineer (junior)		
Type of Effort:	·	
Fixed amount of work		
Location of Performance	e:	

 ${\sf Facilities\ of:} Airbus\ {\sf Defence\ and\ Space,\ Thales\ Alenia\ Space,\ Deimos\ Space\ and}$ HIRO

Constraints:

Due date Deliverable Modular system final design: 04/09/20

Due date Deliverable Sensor data fusion software report: 04/09/20

Due date Milestone Modular system final design: 04/09/20

Assumptions:

Table 7.0.40: Activity 4.2.2.3 attributes



WBS-ID:	Activity:
4.2.3.1	Web based servers for data
	sharing final implementation.

Description of Work:

Final design and implementation of the interaction platform, specifically the web servers for data sharing.

Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
4.1.3.0	FF	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Development center
1 Techical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Junior	1 LaTex
development assessor		1 GitHub
1 Application development		1 PostgreSQL
engineers (expert)		
2 Application development		
engineer (average)		
2 Application development		
engineer (junior)		

Type of Effort:

Fixed amount of work

Location of Performance:

Facilities: ICUBE-ISERTIT, VITO, ReSAC and HIRO

Constraints:

Due date Deliverable Interaction platform final design: 27/11/20 Due date Deliverable Data processing software report: 27/11/20 Due date Milestone Interaction platform final design: 27/11/20

Assumptions:

_

Table 7.0.41: Activity 4.2.3.1 attributes



WBS-ID:	Activity:
4.2.3.2	Processing algorithms based on
	applications final design.

Description of Work:

Final design and implementation of the interaction platform, specifically the processing algorithms.

Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
4.1.3.0	FF	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Development center
1 Techical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Junior	1 LaTex
development assessor		1 GitHub
1 Application development		1 PostgreSQL
engineers (expert)		
2 Application development		
engineer (average)		
2 Application development		
engineer (junior)		

Type of Effort:

Fixed amount of work

Location of Performance:

Facilities of: ICUBE-ISERTIT, VITO, ReSAC and HIRO

Constraints:

Due date Deliverable Interaction platform final design: 27/11/20 Due date Deliverable Data processing software report: 27/11/20 Due date Milestone Interaction platform final design: 27/11/20

Assumptions:

-

Table 7.0.42: Activity 4.2.3.2 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
4.2.3.3		Full services stakeholders
		platform implementation.
Description of Work:		·
Final design and implementa	ation of the interaction pla	atform.
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
4.2.3.1	FF	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Development center
1 Techical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Junior	1 LaTex
development assessor		1 GitHub
1 Application development		1 PostgreSQL
engineers (expert)		
2 Application development		
engineer (average)		
2 Application development		
engineer (junior)		
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of work		
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: ICUBE-ISERTI	T, VITO, ReSAC and HIF	RO
Constraints:		
Due date Deliverable Interac	ction platform final design	: 27/11/20
Due date Deliverable Data	processing software report:	: 27/11/20
Due date Milestone Interact	ion platform final design:	27/11/20
Assumptions:		
_		

Table 7.0.43: Activity 4.2.3.3 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
4.2.3.4		Final technical specifications.
Description of Work:		
Decision of the final technic	al specifications of the inte	eraction (stakeholders)
platform.		
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
4.2.3.3	FF	-
textbfNumber and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Development center
1 Techical Manager	Average	1 Microsoft Office
1 Research and	Junior	1 LaTex
development assessor		1 GitHub
1 Application development		1 PostgreSQL
engineers (expert)		
2 Application development		
engineer (average)		
2 Application development		
engineer (junior)		
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of work		
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: ICUBE-ISERTI	T, VITO, ReSAC and HIR	0
Constraints:		
Due date Deliverable Interac	ction platform final design:	27/11/20
Due date Deliverable Data p	processing software report:	27/11/20
Due date Milestone Interact	ion platform final design: 2	27/11/20
Assumptions:		
-		

Table 7.0.44: Activity 4.2.3.4 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
5.1.1		Manufacturing of payload
		sensors
Description of Work:		j
Manufacturing of the sens	ors of the prototype, in orde	er to be tested in the following
activities.		
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
4.2.1.0	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Average	1 Development centre
1 System development	Junior	1 Payload building block
engineer (average)		
2 System development		
engineers (junior)		
Type of Effort:		·
Fixed amount of work.		
Location of Performance	e:	
Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos		
Space S.L.U and HIRO.		
Constraints:		
Due date Milestone Prototype manufacturing: 16/04/21		
Assumptions:		
_		

Table 7.0.45: Activity 5.1.1 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:	
5.1.2		Manufacturing of modular	
		system	
Description of Work:			
Manufacturing of the mod	ule of the prototype, in orde	er to be tested in the following	
activities.			
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:	
4.2.2.0	FS	-	
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:	
Resources Required:	Average	1 Development centre	
1 System development	Junior	1 Hardware support system	
engineer (average)		1 Sensor interface	
2 System development		1 Controllers	
engineers (junior)		1 Memory modules	
Type of Effort:			
Fixed amount of work.			
Location of Performance:			
Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos			
Space S.L.U and HIRO.			
Constraints:			
Due date Milestone Prototype manufacturing: 16/04/21			
Assumptions:			

Table 7.0.46: Activity 5.1.2 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:	
5.1.3		Implementation of interaction	
		platform	
Description of Work:			
Manufacturing of the interac	ction platform of the prototyp	oe, in order to be tested in	
the following activities.			
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:	
4.2.3.0	FS	-	
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:	
Resources Required:	Average	1 Development centre	
1 Application development	Novice	1 Hosting package	
technician (average)		1 Backup system	
2 Application development			
technicians (junior)			
Type of Effort:			
Fixed amount of work.			
Location of Performance:			
Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos			
Space S.L.U and HIRO.			
Constraints:			
Due date Milestone Prototype manufacturing: $16/04/21$			
Assumptions:			
_			

Table 7.0.47: Activity 5.1.3 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:	
5.2		Payload Validation	
Description of Work:			
Validation of the performance	ce of the sensors mounted on	the system.	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:	
5.1.1	FS	-	
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:	
Resources Required:	Expert	5 Testing room	
1 System testing engineer	Average	1 Payload building block	
(senior)	Junior		
1 System testing engineer			
(average)			
2 System testing engineers			
(junior)			
Type of Effort:			
Fixed duration.			
Location of Performance:			
Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos			
Space S.L.U and HIRO.			
Constraints:			
Due date Deliverable Validation: $11/06/21$			
Due date Milestone Individual systems testing: $09/07/21$			
Assumptions:			

Table 7.0.48: Activity 5.2 attributes



WBS-ID: Activity:
5.3 Modular System Validation

Description of Work:

Validation of the modular system performance, of the systems interaction, of the sensors' data fusion software, of the satellite communications applications domains and also of the physical framework for sensor blocks.

Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
5.1.2	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Testing room
1 System testing engineer	Average	1 Hardware support system
(senior)	Junior	1 Sensor interface
1 System testing engineer		1 Controllers
(average)		1 Memory modules
2 System testing engineers		
(junior)		

Type of Effort:

Fixed duration.

Location of Performance:

Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U and HIRO.

Constraints:

Due date Deliverable Validation: 25/06/21

Due date Milestone Individual systems testing: 09/07/21

Assumptions:

-

Table 7.0.49: Activity 5.3 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:	
5.4		Interaction Platform Validation	
Description of Work:			
Validation of the interaction	Validation of the interaction platform to check if it develops all its functions properly.		
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:	
5.1.3	FS	-	
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:	
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Testing room	
1 Application development	Average	1 Hosting package	
manager		1 Backup system	
1 Application development			
technician (average)			
Type of Effort:			
Fixed duration.			
Location of Performance:			
Facilities of: ReSAC, HIRO, VITO nv and ICUBE-SERTIT.			
Constraints:			
Due date Deliverable Validation: 18/06/21			
Due date Milestone Individual systems testing: $09/07/21$			
Assumptions:			
-			

Table 7.0.50: Activity 5.4 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
5.5		Full System Prototype
		Validation
Description of Work:		,
Validation of the whole syst	em using the prototype in	order to test its performance.
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
5.01	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Average	1 Testing room
2 System testing engineer		1 Payload building block
(average)		1 Hardware support system
2 Application development		1 Sensor interface
technicians (average)		1 Controllers
2 System development		1 Memory modules
engineers (average)		1 Hosting package
		1 Backup system
Type of Effort:		
Fixed duration.		
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: HIRO, Airbus I	Defence and Space GmbH	, Thales Alenia Space and
ReSAC.		
Constraints:		
Due date Deliverable Valida	tion: 29/10/21	
Due date Final report: 21/0	1/22	
Due date Milestone Full sys	tem testing: 29/10/21	

Table 7.0.51: Activity 5.5 attributes

Assumptions:



WBS-ID:		Activity:
5.6		Quality of the Product
Description of Work:		
Quality control of all the	subsystems of the product a	nd all the methodologies
applied on its manufactur	ng and validation.	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
5.02	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Expert	1 Quality laboratory
1 Quality Manager		1 Payload building block
1 Quality Manager		1 Hardware support system
Assessor		1 Sensor interface
		1 Controllers
		1 Memory modules
		1 Hosting package
		1 Backup system
Type of Effort:		
Fixed amount of work.		
Location of Performanc	e:	
Facilities of: HIRO, Airbus	s Defence and Space GmbH	, Thales Alenia Space and
ReSAC.		
Constraints:		
Due date Deliverable Valid	dation: 29/10/21	
Due date Final report: 21	/01/22	
Assumptions:		
_		

Table 7.0.52: Activity 5.6 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
6.1.1.		Study of stakeholders.
Description of Work:		
Study of the possible compa	nies interested on the project	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
0	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Average	Office
1 Legal and Business	Senior	Microsoft Office
Assessor		GitHub
1 Stakeholders and		
Procurement Manager		
2 Stakeholders and		
Procurement Assessor		
Type of Effort:		
Indicate if the work is fixed	duration, fixed amount of wo	rk or fixed amount of effort
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of: BHO Leal, Rec	htsanwälte, Partnership and I	HIRO
Constraints:		
-		
Assumptions:		
-		

Table 7.0.53: Activity 6.1.1. attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
6.1.2.		Procurement conditions
		negotiation.
Description of Work:		
Negotiation of the conditi	ons of the procurement of th	ne resources.
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
0	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Average	Office
1 Legal and Business	Senior	Microsoft Office
Assessor	Average	GitHub
1 Stakeholders and		
Procurement Manager		
2 Stakeholders and		
Procurement Assessor		
Type of Effort:		
Indicate if the work is fixe	d duration, fixed amount of	work or fixed amount of effort
Location of Performanc	e:	
Facilities of: BHO Leal, R	echtsanwälte, Partnership ar	nd HIRO
Constraints:		
-		
Assumptions:		
-		

Table 7.0.54: Activity 6.1.2. attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
6.1.3.		Resources purchase.
Description of Work:		
Purchase of the resources	required in the project.	
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
0	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Average	Office
1 Legal and Business	Senior	Microsoft Office
Assessor		GitHub
1 Financial Manager		
1 Financial Manager		
Assessor		
Type of Effort:		
Indicate if the work is fixe	d duration, fixed amount of	work or fixed amount of effort
Location of Performance	e:	
Facilities of: BHO Leal, R	echtsanwälte, Partnership ar	nd HIRO
Constraints:		
-		
Assumptions:		
-		

Table 7.0.55: Activity 6.1.3. attributes



WBS-ID: 6.2.		Activity:
		Exploitation and Business Plan.
Description of Work:		
Business plan of the produ	ct to exploit its economic p	ootential.
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
6.1.	FS	-
Number and Type of	Skill Requirements:	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Average	Office
1 Legal and Business	Senior	Microsoft Office
Assessor		GitHub
1 Financial Manager		Live Plan
2 Financial Manager		
Assessor		
1 Stakeholders and		
Procurement Manager		
Type of Effort:		
Indicate if the work is fixed	d duration, fixed amount of	work or fixed amount of effort
Location of Performance):	
Facilities of: BHO Leal, R	echtsanwälte, Partnership a	nd HIRO
Constraints:		
-		
Assumptions:		
-		

Table 7.0.56: Activity 6.2 attributes



WBS-ID:		Activity:
7.1		Dissemination and
		Communication Plan.
Description of Work:		'
Definition of the strategies	planned to the dissemir	nation of the final product.
Predecessors:	Relationship:	Lag:
0	FS	-
Number and Type of	Senior	Other Required Resources:
Resources Required:	Average	Office
1 Marketing and		Microsoft Office
Communications Manager		LaTeX
2 Marketing and		Github
Communications Manager		
Assessor		
Type of Effort:		
Indicate if the work is fixed	duration, fixed amount	of work or fixed amount of effort
Location of Performance:		
Facilities of HIRO		
Constraints:		
-		
Assumptions:		
-		

Table 7.0.57: Activity 7.1. attributes



8 | Cost estimating

- 8.1 Level of accuracy
- 8.2 Cost estimation worksheet
- 8.3 Activity cost estimation



9 | Cumulative costs

- 9.1 Cumulative cost curve
- 9.2 Budget at completion



10 | Bibliography

[1] Workfront. The 6 Project Constraints, 2017.