





Project DEOS-UD Disruptive Earth Observation Sensing for Urban Developement

Deliverable 2 Scope, Time and Cost Management

Authors:

Calderón Rosario, Borja Nachett, Hamza De Benedicto Barba, Maria Pérez Sánchez. David Escartín Vivancos, Guillermo Pla Olea, Laura Fontanes Molina, Pol Pons Daza, Marina Ramón Costa, Fernando Franch I Ruiz, Sergi González García, Sílvia Sellart Combalia, Ana Maria Herrando Moraira, Albert Serra Moncunill, Josep Maria Lopezbarrena Arenas, Santiago Urbano González, Eva María

National Contact Point: Pérez Llera, Luís Manuel

Group: G3-220310-PM-P2018 **Delivery date:** 30-04-2018



Contents

| Lis | t of | Tables | iii |
|-----|----------------------------|---|------------|
| Lis | t of I | Figures | vi |
| 1 | Proje 1.1 1.2 1.3 | ect scope statement Product Scope Description | 2 |
| | 1.4 1.5 1.6 | Project Acceptance Criteria | 8 |
| 2 | Wor l 2.1 2.2 | k Breakdown Structure (WBS) Activity list | |
| 3 | Sequ 3.1 3.2 | Dependencies or logical relationship between activities | |
| 4 | 4.1 4.2 4.3 | mate activity resources Resource identification | 35 |
| 5 | Estir | mate activity duration | 50 |
| 6 | Proj | ect Schedule | 5 3 |
| 7 | Activ | vity Attributes (at Work Package level) | 55 |
| 8 | Cost 8.1 8.2 8.3 | Level of accuracy | 119 |

HIRO R - i

CONTENTS



| 9 | Cun | nulative costs | | 12 |
|----|------|-----------------------|------|----------|
| | 9.1 | Cumulative cost curve | | . 12 |
| | 9.2 | Budget at completion | | . 12 |
| 10 | Bibl | liography | | 12 |

HIRO R - ii



List of Tables

| 1.2.1 | Project Deliverables | 4 |
|--------|---|----|
| 1.3.1 | Project Milestones | 5 |
| 1.4.1 | Acceptance criteria | 8 |
| 1.5.1 | Project Exclusions | 9 |
| 2.1.1 | Activity list and description | 20 |
| 2.2.1 | Activities leadership and participants | 24 |
| 3.1.1 | Dependencies or logical relationship between activities | 30 |
| 4.1.1 | Resources identification | 35 |
| 4.2.1 | List of resource requirement | 47 |
| 5.0.1 | List of Parametric Estimates | 50 |
| 5.0.2 | List of Analogous Estimates | 51 |
| 5.0.3 | List of Three Point Estimations | 52 |
| 7.0.1 | Activity 1.1 attributes | 56 |
| 7.0.2 | Activity 1.2.1 attributes | 57 |
| 7.0.3 | Activity 1.2.2 attributes | 58 |
| 7.0.4 | Activity 1.3 attributes | 59 |
| 7.0.5 | Activity 1.4 attributes | 60 |
| 7.0.6 | Activity X attributes | 61 |
| 7.0.7 | Activity X attributes | 62 |
| 7.0.8 | Activity X attributes | 63 |
| 7.0.9 | Activity X attributes | 64 |
| 7.0.10 | Activity X attributes | 65 |
| 7.0.11 | Activity X attributes | 66 |
| 7.0.12 | Activity X attributes | 67 |
| 7.0.13 | Activity X attributes | 68 |
| 7.0.14 | Activity X attributes | 69 |
| 7.0.15 | Activity X attributes | 70 |
| 7.0.16 | Activity X attributes | 71 |
| 7.0.17 | Activity X attributes | 72 |

HIRO R - iii

LIST OF TABLES



| 7.0.18 | Activity X attributes |
|--------|-----------------------------|
| 7.0.19 | Activity 3.1.1 attributes |
| 7.0.20 | Activity 3.1.2 attributes |
| 7.0.21 | Activity 3.2.1 attributes |
| 7.0.22 | Activity 3.2.2 attributes |
| 7.0.23 | Activity 3.3.1.1 attributes |
| 7.0.24 | Activity 3.3.1.2 attributes |
| 7.0.25 | Activity 3.3.1.3 attributes |
| 7.0.26 | Activity 3.3.2 attributes |
| 7.0.27 | Activity 4.1.1.1 attributes |
| 7.0.28 | Activity 4.1.1.2 attributes |
| 7.0.29 | Activity 4.1.2.1 attributes |
| 7.0.30 | Activity 4.1.2.2 attributes |
| 7.0.31 | Activity 4.1.2.3 attributes |
| 7.0.32 | Activity 4.1.2.4 attributes |
| 7.0.33 | Activity 4.1.3.1 attributes |
| 7.0.34 | Activity 4.1.3.2 attributes |
| 7.0.35 | Activity 4.1.3.3 attributes |
| 7.0.36 | Activity 4.2.1.1 attributes |
| 7.0.37 | Activity 4.2.1.2 attributes |
| 7.0.38 | Activity 4.2.2.1 attributes |
| 7.0.39 | Activity 4.2.2.2 attributes |
| 7.0.40 | Activity 4.2.2.3 attributes |
| 7.0.41 | Activity 4.2.3.1 attributes |
| 7.0.42 | Activity 4.2.3.2 attributes |
| 7.0.43 | Activity 4.2.3.3 attributes |
| 7.0.44 | Activity 4.2.3.4 attributes |
| 7.0.45 | Activity 5.1.1 attributes |
| 7.0.46 | Activity 5.1.2 attributes |
| 7.0.47 | Activity 5.1.3 attributes |
| 7.0.48 | Activity 5.2 attributes |
| 7.0.49 | Activity 5.3 attributes |
| 7.0.50 | Activity 5.4 attributes |
| 7.0.51 | Activity 5.5 attributes |
| 7.0.52 | Activity 5.6 attributes |
| 7.0.53 | Activity X attributes |
| 7.0.54 | Activity X attributes |
| 7.0.55 | Activity X attributes |
| 7.0.56 | Activity X attributes |
| 7.0.57 | Activity X attributes |
| 7.0.58 | Activity X attributes |

R - iv

HIRO

LIST OF TABLES



| 7.0.59 | Activity X attributes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 114 |
|--------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|-----|
| 7.0.60 | Activity X attributes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 115 |
| 7.0.61 | Activity X attributes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 116 |
| 7.0.62 | Activity X attributes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 117 |
| 7.0.63 | Activity X attributes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 118 |

HIRO R - v



List of Figures

| 1.6.1 | The 6 Project Constraints | 9 |
|-------|--|----|
| 3.2.1 | Network Precedence Method chart with full detail modules | 31 |
| 3.2.2 | Network Precedence Method chart with only the tasks identification | 32 |
| 6.0.1 | Gantt chart | 54 |

HIRO R - vi



1 | Project scope statement

1.1 Product Scope Description

Earth observation is a field with a great potential that had not been taken into account until the last decade. Important space agencies like the European Space Agency are promoting the enhancement of capabilities with respect to Earth Observation due to the fact the society and the planet itself would benefit from the the many application it has. Hence, an improvement of the state-of-the-art technologies used for EO sensing is a key factor to promote and advance in this field. In other words, this project is not in charge of developing new launching systems or designing satellites, its objective is to provide the existing and the next generation of space technologies with disruptive sensors. In fact, one of the priorities it is to ensure the complementarity with other activities or programs such as Copernicus funded by the ESA too and lead to a strengthening of Europe's position and competitiveness in this field.

Moreover, to achieve the project goal an implement much better sensors than the already existing ones, a state-of-the-art of the current space requirements of several optical an radar systems is done. Once the limitations and the potential of the different technologies such as LiDAR, RADAR, Gravimetry, Hyperspectral, Superspectral and more are determined, it is possible to work with the most promising ones. Furthermore, the preliminary design will take into account several criteria to obtain competitive sensors. On the one hand, launching any payload to space has very high costs, then it is essential to ensure the endurance of the overall systems in order to maintain the payload in space for a long time and avoid any replacements. To accomplish it, the materials used to build the components of the sensor including antennas, photo-detector, optics, laser and electronics have to be accurately chosen.

Besides, Earth Observation can have many application, so it is crucial to focus on the enrichment of some of them to guarantee the development the desired sensor abilities. Indeed, as the goal is to apply EO sensing for Urban Development to integrate space in society, the abilities to enhance are the following ones:

• Detection of greenhouse gases.



- Detection of weather patterns.
- High precision performance of terrain 3D mapping.

On the one hand, systems as LiDAR, which combines technologies as laser and radar, enable to target a wide range of materials including clouds and molecules. Consequently, it is possible to develop a sensor that identifies the composition of the air to secure our environment by having a monitoring of either the greenhouse gases or the weather patterns for proper weather forecasting applications. On the other hand, 3D mapping of the terrain is useful to control the land and guarantee an optimum growth and development of the city. All in all, one of the most important aspects that have to be taken into account is that the sensors resulting from this project have to ensure at least a 15% increase of the reliability and precision compare to the current ones.

In addition, a step that is necessary in this kind of projects is the testing of the product. Once the preliminary design is finished an accomplish all the requirements, a first prototype is build and test in a space simulated environment to make sure that it performs as expected. Notice that the testing is not done in the space itself because launching the prototype to the space is to expensive and out of this project budget; fortunately, there are other methods that are cheaper an simulate properly the space conditions. Finally, once the prototype designed fulfil all the expectations, it is considered that the results are attained and the product design is ready for closure.

1.2 Project Deliverables

All the deliverables specified in the Table 1.2.1 will be submitted to the European Commission during the development of the project.

| Deliverable Name | Description |
|----------------------------|--|
| Project Management Plan | Document with detailed explanation of the project management strategies, including the Project Charter, stakeholder register, risk, quality and financial plans. |
| Business Plan | Document containing the market approach details including the selected suppliers and the potential costumers as well as the exploration strategy. |



| Deliverable Name | Description |
|--|---|
| Communication Plan | Document containing all the planned dissemination strategies, such as the online communication (including website development and social media management), the offline communication (participation in meetings and conferences) and the dissemination materials (technology demonstrators). |
| Payload State of the Art | Report containing the state of the art of current EO remote sensors as well as the sensors to improve selection and the first requirements definition. |
| Modular System State of the Art | Report containing the state of the art of current modular systems with space applications and its first requirements definition. |
| Space Applications State of the Art | Report containing the state of the art of current urban development space applications and first interaction platforms requirement definition. |
| Payload Preliminary Design | Report determining the payload preliminary design. It contains the research, requirements and preliminary performances parameters of each sensor. |
| Modular System Preliminary Design | Report detailing the modular system preliminary design. It includes a first review of the sensors blocks physical framework and sensors data fusion software requirements as well as the initial definition of the SATCOM application domains. |
| Interaction Platform Preliminary Design | Report detailing the interaction platform preliminary design. It includes the predesign of data sharing servers and platforms as well as the definition of the initial implementation of data processing algorithms. |
| Payload Final Design | Report detailing the final design and technical specifications of each developed sensor. |
| Modular System Final Design | Report detailing the final design and technical specifications of the modular system. |
| Sensors Data Fusion Software Report | Report containing the final sensors data fusion software specifications. |



| Deliverable Name | Description |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Interaction Platform Final Design | Report containing the final design and technical specifications of the interaction platforms. |
| Data Processing Software Report | Report containing the final data processing algorithms specifications which will allow to process the acquired satellite data. |
| Validation | Report that gathers the tests and validations with the obtained results of all the payload sensors, the modular system and the interaction platform, as well as the full system performing. |
| Final Report | Final document that includes all the development done through the execution of the project. |

Table 1.2.1: Project Deliverables

1.3 Project milestones

| Milestones Name | Description |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Kick-Off Meeting | First meeting of the project, formation of the development team and first contact with the stakeholders. month |
| Project management plan | Specification of the objectives and scope of the project, the organization of the team and the distribution of tasks, a stakeholders register and a financial, quality and risk plans. |
| Business plan | Obtaining a potential suppliers list, and negotiating procurement conditions with them, as well as identifying and communicating with potential customers. |
| Communication plan | Development of a website and a social media strategy, as well as looking into participation in meetings and conferences. |
| State of the art completion | Definition of requirements for the system based on the current state of the art space applications of the payload sensors. |



| Milestones Name | Description |
|---|---|
| Payload preliminary design | First phase of the design, an optimization of each sensor is done in order to define the preliminary minimum performance parameters. |
| Modular system preliminary design | Development of the initial parameters of the modular system, as well as the software that will be in charge of the fusion of the sensors' data. |
| Interaction platform preliminary design | Preliminary implementation of the functionalities of the interaction platform, such as the machine learning algorithms. |
| Payload final design | Final design of the entire payload (sensors), including the specifications and estimated performance in operation of each sensor. |
| Modular system final design | Final design of the modular system and the software that will process and register the information received by the payload. |
| Interaction platform final design | Final design of the interaction platform according to the guidelines stablished on the preliminary design. |
| Prototype manufacturing | Manufacturing of the prototype according to the final designs, in order to test its function in the next steps. |
| Individual systems testing | Performance analysis of each module (payload, modular system and interaction platform) of the overall system under operational conditions. |
| Full system testing | Performance analysis of the overall system in operational conditions in order to test the interaction between components. |
| Project completion | Final report that includes the complete development of the project. |

Table 1.3.1: Project Milestones



1.4 Project Acceptance Criteria

The acceptance criteria establish the requirements that must be met for the client to accept the project. These criteria are quantifiable, demonstrable and verifiable in such a way as to demonstrate that the project has been carried out properly, that is why, if these criteria are not met, a deliverable of the project cannot be considered valid.

| Item | Description | |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| Research and innovation | The project must be ambitious and use all the available resources to obtain the best result. In this way, it must include the most appropriate technology that there is so far and, if it is in the development phase, add a section of research. | |
| Quality | The content of the project documentation must be clear, complete and understandable. Furthermore, it must be well structured, dividing the information into approach, development and conclusions. All the documentation included in the project must first pass through an inspection of the quality department. | |
| Sustainability | The product must be sustainable using renewable energy as much as possible and avoiding excessively polluting emissions. The materials used in the project must be reliable and guarantee the agreed useful life of the product. | |
| Schedule | The organization must be well structured and the deadlines must be met in a timely manner so that the development of the product is appropriate. | |
| Social contribution | The product must be able to solve a current problem and improve the quality of life of people using technology. | |
| Clarity | The tasks of the project must be well defined, both individually and as a group, in such a way that each of the contributors knows their duty and the duty of their team. | |



| Item | Description |
|----------------------|--|
| Test and validations | The evaluation and validation tests must be carried out periodically and be registered in the project documentation, in such a way that there is a record of the different versions of the application throughout the development. The information of these tests must be presented clearly and refer to the regulations concerned, in addition to be verifiable. The results of these tests should be used to analyze the service level of the application and improve on later versions. |
| Technical documents | The application must have a user manual both internally and externally and attach the necessary information for its development. The performance of the final product must be reflected in a data sheet. It must also be included in the documentation the datasheet of the different components that are part of the application. |
| Viability | The project must be viable economically and technically, so that its realization is possible. The different parts of the project must be submitted at the individual level to a study that checks if it is possible to do them and, if not, search for an alternative. The budget of the project must comply with the financial requirements of the European Union. Hence, a balance is to be made to ensure that the allowed limit is not exceeded. |
| Performance | The systems used in the project must be able to guarantee the right functioning of the application. An important aspect of the project is its performance, in this way, as it progresses, it aims to increase the efficiency and quantify this increase in the different phases. |
| Collaboration | It is interesting to obtain a better result to collaborate with legal entities from different countries, like universities and research groups. Moreover, some collaborations with SMEs should be tried, so that they can benefit and grow in the market. |



| Item | Description |
|--------------------|--|
| Transparency | In case information about the project is required by part of official organisations of the European Union or by the different stakeholders that participate in it, transparency has to be considered when sharing information. |
| Gender equality | The selection process must be fair, based on the knowledge and personal competencies of each person regardless of gender or condition. |
| Legal requirements | The applications and products of this project must have, if required, the certification and approval of the different legislative and ethical frameworks. |

Table 1.4.1: Acceptance criteria

1.5 Project Exclusions

There are some facts that are out of the scope of the project which, generally, are designated as exclusions. Hence, in this section, the exclusions of the project are determined and defined.

| Item | Description |
|----------------------|---|
| Satellites design | It is out of the scope of this project to design a new satellite that will use the sensors as payload. |
| Launching | The objective of the project do not include neither the design of the launch system of the satellites nor the costs and scheduling of launching the satellite using the sensors designed. |
| Deployment | No deployment mechanism nor strategy of the satellites that integrate this new technologies are going to be developed. |
| Satellite monitoring | The satellite monitoring system that permits to scan different surfaces and regions of the earth is not included in this project scope. |
| Data transfer | Neither communication between satellites nor between the satellite and the ground station are part of this project. |



| Item | Description |
|------------------|---|
| Final production | The project will only focus on the development of prototype models in order to test the new technologies implemented. Hence, commercial production of these ones will not be carried out. |

Table 1.5.1: Project Exclusions

1.6 Project Constraints

Project constraints can be defined as all the limitations that curb the action of the project team and restrict project's outcome. It is necessary to define them with caution and common sense to avoid determining constraints that lead us to an impossible project, especially in terms of cost, time and resources. They can be internal limitations (scope, budget, etc.) or external limitations (environmental impact, stakeholders, government regulations, etc.)

In this project, we have decided to adopt a classification consisting on six groups [1] where constraints can be clearly interpreted and organised.

SIX PROJECT CONSTRAINTS GROUPS



Figure 1.6.1: The 6 Project Constraints [1].

It is important to highlight that groups are interrelated in a way that if one of them changes, then, one or more of the others will be affected.

Scope

• **State of the art:** The starting point of the project has to be based on a study of the optical and radar cutting-edge technologies, not on outdated ones.



- **Technologies selection:** The technologies to be developed must be the most promising systems to profit Earth Observation, air composition and terrain analysis.
- **Technologies improvement:** The project is required to enhance the selected technologies in order to accomplish the European Commission requirements.
- **Final design:** The resulting design has to be a compact product which contains the chosen sensors, sharing a data collection software.

Time

• Deadlines:

- Project Management, business and communication plans deadline: Expected
 execution time is determined in 1 month and a maximum of 2 months is permitted
 within the project limitations.
- State of the art report deadline: Its elaboration should last 4 months as initially
 foreseen and not more than six months duration will be admitted.
- Preliminary designs deadline: Payload, modular and interaction preliminary designs must be completed at utmost one year and a half (although forecasted to last for 16 months).
- Mid-term project report deadline: Maximum duration of two years but expected to be fulfilled in 22 months.
- Final designs deadline: Payload, modular and interaction final designs must be completed at utmost 30 months (although forecasted to last for 29 months).
- Prototype manufacturing and system testing: Approved maximum duration of three and a half years estimated period of 41 months.
- Final report deadline: Limited duration of 4 years. Expected to be delivered in 44 months.

Schedule:

 Follow Gantt chart organization: Tasks must be developed in the initially accorded order, avoiding undesired overlapping or delays and bringing the requirements of each task to their completion.

Cost

• Budget:



- All the incomes have to come from the European Commission.
- The project cannot exceed the quantity of 4 million euros.
- The money distribution must be done as it was described in the estimated budget.

Resources

- Facilities: No tasks will be planned without the certainty that the team (or a stakeholder) has the necessary facilities to complete it.
- **Human resources:** All the labour hours made by the staff in charge of the project must be justified. Every task will have assigned a different number of workers depending on the difficulty and duration.
- Infrastructures: The work to be done by the team is restricted by the capacity, limitations and efficiency of the owned infrastructures.
- **Procurement:** Goods and services will be obtained following optimized processes to achieve minimum cost while at the same time requirements are properly fulfilled.
- **Technical constraints:** The development of the new technologies that the product will use will be restricted by technical, physical and scientific limitations.

Risks

- **Risk tolerance:** The amount of risk that the project must handle has to be low. It means that if some risky event has a low probability to happen, the impact can be low or moderate. On the other hand, if the event has a high probability to happen, the impact must be low.
- **Actions:** When some risk becomes a real problem for the project, the necessary measures have to be taken. These must affect as little as possible to the other constraints, such as cost or time.

Quality

- **Legal constraints:** All the systems developments and tests must be carried out under the corresponding standards.
- **Methodology:** The project must be developed following a methodology based on the use of state of the art technologies, research and improvement of the current capabilities of the earth observation systems.



- **Organization:** To obtain the required quality, communication between departments, communication with stakeholders, and the use of project management software assistance is a must.
- **Stakeholders' expectations:** External constraints imposed by stakeholders must be accounted in the project. In addition, the agreements with each of them must be accomplished.
- **Customer satisfaction:** The final product must fulfil the stablished requirements to obtain the customer satisfaction.



2 | Work Breakdown Structure (WBS)

1. PROJECT MANAGEMENT

- 1.1. Development project management plan
- 1.2. Monitoring of the project
 - 1.2.1. Meetings
 - 1.2.2. Task tracking and scheduling
- 1.3. Annual reporting
- 1.4. Project implementation of risk management

2. QUALITY AND ADMINISTRATION

- 2.1. Human Resources
 - 2.1.1. Employment of the necessary staff
 - 2.1.2. Human resources management
- 2.2. Financial Plan
 - 2.2.1. Costs
 - 2.2.1.1. Fix
 - 2.2.1.2. Variable
 - 2.2.2. Funding
 - 2.2.3. Economic feasibility
 - 2.2.4. Evolution monitoring
 - 2.2.5. Additional and follow-up funding seek
- 2.3. Documentation Management
 - 2.3.1. Guidelines preparation
 - 2.3.2. Document revision
 - 2.3.3. Document rectification

- 2.3.4. Document approval
- 2.4. Periodic Monitoring

3. STATE OF THE ART

- 3.1. Payloads
 - 3.1.1. Search for current space applications
 - 3.1.2. Requirements definition
- 3.2. Modular System
 - 3.2.1. Search for current modular systems with space applications
 - 3.2.2. Requirements definition
- 3.3. Urban Development Applications with Space Technologies
 - 3.3.1. Search for current space applications
 - 3.3.1.1. Weather forecast
 - 3.3.1.2. Urban planning (3D models)
 - 3.3.1.3. Greenhouse emissions reduction (pollution)
 - 3.3.2. Requirements definition

4. PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT

- 4.1. Preliminary Design
 - 4.1.1. Payloads
 - 4.1.1.1. Research
 - 4.1.1.2. Development
 - 4.1.2. Modular system
 - 4.1.2.1. Development of physical framework for sensor blocks
 - 4.1.2.2. Development of systems interaction and applications
 - 4.1.2.3. Development of sensors' data fusion software
 - 4.1.2.4. Definition of SATCOM applications domains
 - 4.1.3. Interaction platform
 - 4.1.3.1. Implement web-based servers for sharing sensors' data
 - 4.1.3.2. Implement processing algorithms based on applications
 - 4.1.3.3. Pre-design a full services stakeholders platform
- 4.2. Final design
 - 4.2.1. Payloads
 - 4.2.1.1. Sensors' final design
 - 4.2.1.2. Sensors' final technical specifications
 - 4.2.2. Modular System



- 4.2.2.1. Modular system final design
- 4.2.2.2. Sensors' data fusion software final design
- 4.2.2.3. Modular system's final technical specifications
- 4.2.3. Interaction Platform
 - 4.2.3.1. Web based servers for data sharing final implementation
 - 4.2.3.2. Processing algorithms based on applications final design
 - 4.2.3.3. Full services stakeholders platform implementation
 - 4.2.3.4. Final technical specifications

5. SIMULATION, TESTING, VALIDATION AND QUALITY

- 5.1. Technology Demonstrator Prototype Manufacturing
 - 5.1.1. Manufacturing of payload sensors
 - 5.1.2. Manufacturing of modular system
 - 5.1.3. Implementation of interaction platform
- 5.2. Payload Validation
- 5.3. Modular System Validation
- 5.4. Interaction Platform Validation
- 5.5. Full System Prototype Validation
- 5.6. Quality of the Product

6. BUSINESS PLANNING AND EXPLOITATION OF RESULTS

- 6.1. Market Approach
 - 6.1.1. Study of stakeholders
 - 6.1.2. Procurement conditions negotiation
 - 6.1.3. Resources purchase
- 6.2. Exploitation and Business Plans

7. COMMUNICATION AND DISSEMINATION STRATEGIES

- 7.1. Dissemination and Communication Plan
- 7.2. On-line Dissemination/Communication Activities
 - 7.2.1. Web site development
 - 7.2.2. Social media management
- 7.3. Off-line Dissemination/Communication Activities
 - 7.3.1. Conferences
 - 7.3.2. Meetings
- 7.4. Production of Dissemination Materials
 - 7.4.1. Technology demonstrators
 - 7.4.2. Audio visual material production



2.1 Activity list

| WBS-ID | Activity | Description of Work |
|--------|--|--|
| 1. | Project Management | All activities related with the management of the project fall under this activity. |
| 1.1. | Development of the project management plan | Elaboration of all the documentation that states the strategy of the management and organization of the project through its duration. |
| 1.2. | Monitoring of the project | Control of the progress of each activity of the project. |
| 1.2.1. | Meetings | Gathering of the members of the project to inform each other of the progress. |
| 1.2.2. | Task tracking and scheduling | Tracking of the active tasks and scheduling. |
| 1.3. | Annual reporting | Every year that the project lasts will call for the elaboration of an internal report with the aim of keeping up to date with the progress done. |
| 1.4. | Project implementation of risk management | Study of all the potential risks and how will they be managed so that their affectation to the project stays to a minimum. |
| 2. | Quality and Administration | Activities related to the administrative aspects of the project and to assure the quality of all the documents presented. |
| 2.1. | Human resources | Administration of all the employees needed to fulfil the different tasks of the project. |
| 2.1.1. | Employment of the necessary staff | Definition of the number of employees necessary. |
| 2.1.2. | Human resources management | |



| WBS-ID | Activity | Description of Work | |
|--------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| 2.2. | Financial plan | Lay down of all the planned costs of the project, the funding expected from the various sources, a study on the economic feasibility of the project and a plan for additional funding search. | |
| 2.3. | Documentation management | The quality of the documents that have to be delivered through all the duration of the project is guaranteed in this activity by establishing guidelines for the redaction of all the documents, their revision and posterior rectification and final approval. | |
| 2.4. | Periodic monitoring | To ensure the quality of the project, a periodic monitoring of all the activities will be carried out. | |
| 3. | State of the Art | Before starting the design and research it is key to have an accurate vision of the actual state of the technology that is going to be developed. | |
| 3.1. | Payloads | For each of the sensors that are planned to be improved there is a search of the current space applications, that help defining the requirements for these sensors. | |
| 3.2. | Modular system | For the modular system where each sensor will be mounted on there will be a search of current similar systems in space applications and the definition of the requirements for the one developed in this project. | |
| 3.3. | Urban development applications | The search for current applications similar to those that want to be implemented with this project has to be carried out, in the weather forecast area, the urban planning area and the greenhouse emissions reduction area, thus defining the requirements for the applications. | |



| WBS-ID | Activity | Description of Work | |
|--------|---|--|--|
| 4. | Product development | All the phases of the development of the product are included in this activity, from the research up to the final technical specifications. | |
| 4.1. | Preliminary design | This first phase of the development is meant to include all the research and definition of the initial parameters of the different components. | |
| 4.1.1. | Payloads' preliminary design | The research and initial development of each sensor that is intended to improve is carried out in this phase. | |
| 4.1.2. | Modular system's preliminary design | Includes the initial development of the physical framework for sensor blocks, of the systems' interaction and applications, of the sensors' data fusion software and the definition of the satellite communications applications domains. | |
| 4.1.3. | Interaction platform's preliminary design | Implementation of the web-based servers for sharing sensor's data, of the processing algorithms based on applications and the pre-design of a full services stakeholders platform. | |
| 4.2. | Final design | This final phase of the product's development will define the final technical specifications of each part of the product. | |
| 4.2.1. | Payloads' final design | The design of each sensor is complete and its final technical specifications are defined. | |
| 4.2.2. | Modular system's final design | The design of the modular system and the sensors' data fusion software is complete and their final technical specifications are defined. | |
| 4.2.3. | Interaction platform's final design | The design of the interaction platform is complete, including the web based servers for data sharing, the processing algorithms based on applications and the full services stakeholders platform, and their final technical specifications are defined. | |



| WBS-ID | Activity | Description of Work | |
|--------|---|--|--|
| 5 | Simulation, testing, validation and quality | Activities regarding the simulation, testing, validation and quality control of the final product are included in this task. | |
| 5.1 | Technology demonstrator prototype manufacturing | Manufacturing of the prototype of the product, including all its subsystems (payload sensors, modular system and interaction platform), in order to be tested in the following activities. | |
| 5.2 | Payload validation | Validation of the performance of the sensors mounted on the system. | |
| 5.3 | Modular system validation | Validation of the modular system performance, of the systems interaction, of the sensors' data fusion software, of the satellite communications applications domains and also of the physical framework for sensor blocks. | |
| 5.4 | Interaction platform validation | Validation of the interaction platform to check if it develops all its functions properly. | |
| 5.5 | Full system prototype validation | Validation of the whole system using the prototype in order to test its performance. | |
| 5.6 | Quality of the product | Quality control of all the subsystems of the product and all the methodologies applied on its manufacturing and validation. | |
| 6 | Business planning and exploitation of results | The activities regarding the final explotation and business planning of the product are included in this task. | |
| 6.1 | Market approach | Study of stakeholders, procurement conditions negotiation and purchase of the resourses in order to study the feasibility of the project. | |
| 6.2 | Exploitation and business plans | Includes the business plan of the product to exploit its economic potential. | |
| 7 | Communication and dissemination strategies | Includes all the activities regarding the dissemination of the product inside the market. | |
| 7.1 | Dissemination and communication plan | Definition of the strategies planned to the dissemination of the final product. | |



| WBS-ID | Activity | Description of Work | |
|--------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| 7.2 | On-line dissemination activities | Include activities as the creation of a web site and the social media management. | |
| 7.3 | Off-line dissemination activities | Participation in conferences and meetings about the field of the technology. | |
| 7.4 | Dissemination materials | Production of all the materials that will help to the dissemination of the product, as technology demonstrators or audio visual productions. | |

Table 2.1.1: Activity list and description

2.2 Activities leadership and participants

| WBS-ID | Activity | Leadership | Participants |
|--------|--|------------|---|
| 1. | Project Management | HIRO | - |
| 1.1. | Development of the project management plan | HIRO | - |
| 1.2. | Monitoring of the project | HIRO | - |
| 1.2.1. | Meetings | HIRO | - |
| 1.2.2. | Task tracking and scheduling | HIRO | - |
| 1.3. | Annual reporting | HIRO | - |
| 1.4. | Project implementation of risk management | HIRO | - |
| 2. | Quality and Administration | HIRO | BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership |
| 2.1. | Human resources | HIRO | BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership |



| WBS-ID | Activity | Leadership | Participants |
|--------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| 2.1.1. | Employment of the necessary staff | HIRO | BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership |
| 2.1.2. | Human resources management | HIRO | BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership |
| 2.2. | Financial plan | HIRO | BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership |
| 2.3. | Documentation management | HIRO | BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership |
| 2.4. | Periodic monitoring | HIRO | - |
| 3. | State of the Art | HIRO | Airbus Defence and Space GmbH VITO nv Deimos Space S.L.U Thales Alenia Space S.A.S ICUBE-SERTIT ReSAC. |
| 3.1. | Payloads | Airbus Defence and Space GmbH | Deimos Space S.L.U Thales Alenia Space S.A.S HIRO |
| 3.2. | Modular system | Thales Alenia Space S.A.S | Airbus Defence and Space GmbH Deimos Space S.L.U HIRO |
| 3.3. | Urban development applications | ICUBE-SERTIT | VITO nv ReSAC HIRO |



| WBS-ID | Activity | Leadership | Participants |
|--------|---|----------------------------------|---|
| 4. | Product development | Airbus Defence and Space GmbH | HIRO VITO nv Deimos Space S.L.U Thales Alenia Space S.A.S ICUBE-SERTIT ReSAC. |
| 4.1. | Preliminary design | Airbus Defence and Space GmbH | HIRO Deimos Space S.L.U Thales Alenia Space S.A.S. |
| 4.1.1. | Payloads' preliminary design | Airbus Defence and Space GmbH | Deimos Space S.L.U Thales Alenia Space S.A.S HIRO |
| 4.1.2. | Modular system's preliminary design | Thales Alenia Space S.A.S | Airbus Defence and Space GmbH Deimos Space S.L.U HIRO |
| 4.1.3. | Interaction platform's preliminary design | ICUBE-SERTIT | VITO nv, ReSAC,HIRO |
| 4.2. | Final design | Airbus Defence and Space GmbH | HIRO Deimos Space S.L.U Thales Alenia Space S.A.S. |
| 4.2.1. | Payloads' final design | Airbus Defence and Space GmbH | Deimos Space S.L.U Thales Alenia Space S.A.S HIRO |
| 4.2.2. | Modular system's final design | Thales Alenia Space S.A.S | Airbus Defence and Space GmbH Deimos Space S.L.U HIRO |
| 4.2.3. | Interaction platform's final design | ICUBE-SERTIT | VITO nv ReSAC HIRO |



| WBS-ID | Activity | Leadership | Participants |
|--------|---|---|---|
| 5 | Simulation, testing, validation and quality | Thales Alenia Space S.A.S | Airbus Defence and Space GmbH Deimos Space S.L.U HIRO |
| 5.1 | Technology demonstrator prototype manufacturing | Thales Alenia Space S.A.S | Airbus Defence and Space GmbH Deimos Space S.L.U HIRO |
| 5.2 | Payload validation | Thales Alenia Space S.A.S | Airbus Defence and Space GmbH Deimos Space S.L.U HIRO |
| 5.3 | Modular system validation | Thales Alenia Space S.A.S | Airbus Defence and Space GmbH Deimos Space S.L.U HIRO |
| 5.4 | Interaction platform validation | ReSAC | HIRO VITO nv ICUBE-SERTIT |
| 5.5 | Full system prototype validation | HIRO | Airbus Defence and Space GmbH Thales Alenia Space ReSAC. |
| 5.6 | Quality of the product | HIRO | Airbus Defence and Space GmbH Thales Alenia Space ReSAC. |
| 6 | Business planning and exploitation of results | BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership | HIRO |
| 6.1 | Market approach | BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership | HIRO |



| WBS-ID | Activity | Leadership | Participants |
|--------|--|---|--------------|
| 6.2 | Exploitation and business plans | BHO Legal Rechtsanwälte Partnership | HIRO |
| 7 | Communication and dissemination strategies | HIRO | All partners |
| 7.1 | Dissemination and communication plan | HIRO | All partners |
| 7.2 | On-line dissemination activities | HIRO | All partners |
| 7.3 | Off-line dissemination activities | HIRO | All partners |
| 7.4 | Dissemination materials | HIRO | All partners |

Table 2.2.1: Activities leadership and participants



3 | Sequence activities

3.1 Dependencies or logical relationship between activities

On the following relationship between activities table, WBS-ID with a zero on their reference are milestones.

Relationship types are FF: Finish-to-Finish, FS: Finish-to-Start, SS: Start-to-Start and SF: Start-to-Finish.

| WBS-ID | Activity | Predecessors | Relationship | Lag |
|----------|--|--------------|--------------|---------|
| 0. | Kick-Off meeting | START | - | 0 |
| 1. | PROJECT MANAGEMENT | | | |
| | | 0. | FS | 1 month |
| 1.0. | Project management plan | 1.1. | FF | 0 |
| | | 2.2.5. | FF | 0 |
| 1.1. | Development of the project management plan | 0 | SS | 0 |
| 1.2.1. | Meetings | 0. | SS | 0 |
| 1.2.2. | Task tracking and scheduling | 0. | SS | 0 |
| 1.3. | Annual reporting | 0. | SS | 0 |
| 1.4. | Project implementation of risk management | 0. | SS | 0 |
| 2. | QUALITY AND ADMINISTRATION | ON | | |
| 2.1.1. | Employment of the necessary staff | 1.0. | FS | 0 |
| 2.1.2. | Human resources management | 2.1.1. | FS | 0 |
| 2.2.1.1. | Fix | 0. | SS | 0 |





| 2.2.1.2. | Variable | 2.2.1.1. | FS | 0 |
|----------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 2.2.2. | Funding | 2.2.1.2. | FS | 0 |
| 2.2.3. | Economic feasibility | 2.2.2. | FS | 0 |
| 2.2.4. | Evolution monitoring | 2.2.3. | FS | 0 |
| 2.2.5. | Additional and follow-up funding seek | 2.2.4. | FS | 0 |
| 2.3.1. | Guidelines preparation | 0 | SS | 0 |
| 2.3.2. | Document revision | 0 | SS | 0 |
| 2.3.3. | Document rectification | 0 | SS | 0 |
| 2.3.4. | Document approval | 0 | SS | 0 |
| 2.4. | Periodic monitoring | 0 | SS | 0 |
| 3. | STATE OF THE ART | | | |
| 3.0. | State of the Art completion | 0 3.1.2. 3.2.2. 3.3.2. | FS FF FF FF | 4 months 0 0 0 |
| 3.1.1. | Search for current space applications | 1.0 | FS | 0 |
| 3.1.2. | Requirements definition | 3.1.1. | FS | 0 |
| 3.2.1. | Search for current modular systems with space applications | 1.0 | FS | 0 |
| 3.2.2. | Requirements definition | 3.2.1. | FS | 0 |
| 3.3.1.1. | Weather forecast | 1.0 | FS | 0 |
| 3.3.1.2. | Urban planning (3D models) | 1.0 | FS | 0 |
| 3.3.1.3. | Greenhouse emissions reductions (pollution) | 1.0 | FS | 0 |
| 3.3.2. | Requirements definition | 3.3.1. | FS | 0 |
| 4. | PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT | | | |
| 4.1.1.0. | Payload preliminary report | 0 4.1.1.2. | FS FF | 10 months 0 |
| 4.1.1.1. | Research | 3.0 | FS | 0 |
| 4.1.1.2. | Development | 4.1.1.1. | FS | 0 |
| | | | | |

Dependencies or logical relationship between activities



| 4.1.2.0. | Modular system preliminary design | 0 4.1.2.1. 4.1.2.4. | FS FF FF | 13 months 0 0 |
|----------|---|----------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| 4.1.2.1. | Development of physical framework for sensor blocks | 4.1.1.0. | FS | 0 |
| 4.1.2.2. | Development of systems interaction and applications | 4.1.1.0. | FS | 0 |
| 4.1.2.3. | Development of sensors data fusion software | 4.1.2.2. | FS | 0 |
| 4.1.2.4. | Definition of SATCOM applications | 4.1.2.3. | FS | 0 |
| 4.1.3.0. | Interaction platform preliminary design | 0 4.1.3.3. | FS FF FF | 16 months 0 |
| 4.1.3.1. | Implement web-based servers for sharing sensors data | 4.1.2.0. | FS | 0 |
| 4.1.3.2. | Implement processing algorithms based on applications | 4.1.2.0. | FS | 0 |
| 4.1.3.3. | Pre-design a full services stakeholders platform | 4.1.3.1. 4.1.3.2. | FS FS | 0 0 |
| 4.2.1.0. | Payloads final design | 0. 4.2.1.2. | FS FF | 23 months 0 |
| 4.2.1.1. | Sensors final design | 4.1.1.0. | FS | 0 |
| 4.2.1.2. | Sensors final technical specifications | 4.2.1.1. | FS | 0 |
| 4.2.2.0. | Modular system final design - milestone | 0. 4.2.2.3. | FS FF | 26 months 0 |
| 4.2.2.1. | Modular system final design | 4.1.2.0. | FS | 0 |
| 4.2.2.2. | Sensors data fusion software final design | 4.1.2.0. | FS | 0 |
| 4.2.2.3. | Modular system's final technical specifications | 4.2.2.1. 4.2.2.2. | FS FS | 0 0 |
| 4.2.3.0. | Interaction platform final design | 0. 4.2.3.4. 4.2.3.2. | FS FF FF | 29 months 0 0 |





| 4.2.3.1. | Web based servers for data sharing final implementation | 4.1.3.0. | FF | 0 |
|----------|--|--------------|------|-----------|
| 4.2.3.2. | Processing algorithms based on applications final design | 4.1.3.0. | FF | 0 |
| 4.2.3.3. | Full services stakeholders platform implementation | 4.2.3.1. | FF | 0 |
| 4.2.3.4. | Final technical specifications | 4.2.3.3. | FF | 0 |
| 5. | SIMULATION, TESTING, VALIDA | TION AND QUA | LITY | |
| | | 0. | FS | 34 months |
| 5.0. | Prototype manufacturing | 5.1.1. | FF | 0 |
| 5.0. | | 5.1.2. | FF | 0 |
| | | 5.1.3. | FF | 0 |
| 5.1.1. | Manufacturing of payload sensors | 4.2.1.0. | FS | 0 |
| 5.1.2. | Manufacturing of modular system | 4.2.2.0. | FS | 0 |
| 5.1.3. | Implementation of interaction platform | 4.2.3.0. | FS | 0 |
| 5.2. | Payload validation | 5.1.1. | FS | 0 |
| 5.3. | Modular system validation | 5.1.2. | FS | 0 |
| 5.4. | Interaction platform validation | 5.1.3. | FS | 0 |
| | Individual System testing | 0. | FS | 34 months |
| F 01 | | 5.2. | FF | 0 |
| 5.01. | | 5.3. | FF | 0 |
| | | 5.4. | FF | 0 |
| 5.5. | Full system prototype validation | 5.01. | FS | 0 |
| F 00 | Full system testing | 0. | FS | 41 months |
| 5.02. | | 5.5. | FF | 0 |
| 5.6. | Quality of the product | 5.02. | FS | 0 |
| | | | | |



| | | 0. | FS | 44 months |
|--------|--------------------------------------|------------------|----------|-----------|
| | | 1.2.1. | FF | 0 |
| | | 1.2.2. | FF | 0 |
| | | 1.3. | FF | 0 |
| | | 1.4. | FF | 0 |
| | | 2.1.2. | FF | 0 |
| | | 2.3.1. | FF | 0 |
| F 00 | D | 2.3.2. | FF | 0 |
| 5.03. | Project completion | 2.3.3. 2.3.4. | FF FF | 0 0 |
| | | 2.3.4. | FF | 0 |
| | | 5.6. | FF | 0 |
| | | 7.2.2. | FF | 0 |
| | | 7.3.1. | FF | 0 |
| | | 7.3.2. | FF | 0 |
| | | 7.4.1.1. | FF | 0 |
| | | 7.4.2. | FF | 0 |
| 6. | BUSSINES PLANNING AND EXPL | OITATION OF | RESULTS | |
| 6.0. | Business plan | 0. | FS | 1 month |
| | | 6.2. | FF | 0 |
| 6.1.1. | Study of stakeholders | 0. | FS | 0 |
| 6.1.2. | Procurement conditions negotiation | 0. | FS | 0 |
| 6.1.3. | Resources purchase | 0. | FS | 0 |
| 6.2 | Exploitation and business plans | 6.1. | FS | 0 |
| 7. | BUSSINES PLANNING AND EXPL | OITATION OF | RESULTS | |
| 7.0. | Communication plan | 0. | FS | 1 month |
| | Communication plan | 7.1. | FF | FF |
| 7.1 | Dissemination and communication plan | 0. | FS | 0 |
| 7.2.1. | Web site development | 7.0. | FS | 0 |
| 7.2.2. | Social media management | 7.2.1. | FS | 0 |
| 7.3.1. | Conferences | 1.0. | FS | 0 |
| 7.3.2. | Meetings | 1.0. | FS | 0 |
| 7.4.1. | Technology demonstrators | 1.0. | FS | 0 |
| 7.4.2. | Audiovisual material production | 1.0. | FS | 0 |
| | · | | | |



Table 3.1.1: Dependencies or logical relationship between activities.

3.2 Network Diagram (Precedence Diagram Method)

Two sets of diagrams have been set. An expanded one 3.2.1, to see interconnections between tasks and a brief description and a short one 3.2.2, to see interconnections betweens activities, only using the id. By doing this, is expected to make easier the tasks visualization.



Figure 3.2.1: Network Precedence Method chart with full detail modules.



Figure 3.2.2: Network Precedence Method chart with only the tasks identification.





4 Estimate activity resources

4.1 Resource identification

In this section the resources available/needed to perform the project will be exposed. These resources will be classified into three different categories:

- Employees: People needed to achieve the objectives of the project. The employees will be provided by the members of the consortium. As not all employees are in the same point on the learning curve, they will be classified into three sub-groups:
 - Senior: High on the learning curve. They are able to provide guidance on technical and management issues and offer a critical point of view of the actions of the project.
 - Average: They are able to perform activities on their knowledge field and arrive to conclusions without supervision.
 - Junior: Little experience in the field, the work done needs to be supervised by an average employee.
- Materials: Hardware and software elements that will be used to achieve the project objectives.
- Facilities: Special places and services (such as the testing room).

The resources are exposed in Table 4.1.1.

| Resource ID | Resource Description | Type of resource |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| PM.M | Project Manager | Employee-Senior |
| PM.S | Project Manager Secretary | Employee-Average |
| F.M | Financial Manager | Employee-Senior |
| F.A | Financial Manager Assessor | Employee-Average |
| SP.M | Stakeholders and Procurement Manager | Employee-Senior |



| SP.A | Stakeholders and Procurement Manager Assessor | Employee-Average |
|---------|---|------------------|
| ScT.M | Scope and Time Manager | Employee-Senior |
| ScT.A | Scope and Time Manager Assessor | Employee-Average |
| R.M | Risk Manager | Employee-Senior |
| R.A | Risk Manager Assessor | Employee-Average |
| QM.M | Quality Manager | Employee-Senior |
| QM.A | Quality Manager Assessor | Employee-Senior |
| MC.M | Marketing and Communications Manager | Employee-Senior |
| MC.A | Marketing and Communications Manager Assessor | Employee-Average |
| TM | Tecnhical Manager | Employee-Average |
| RD.A | Research and development assessor | Employee-Average |
| LB.A | Legal and Business Assessor | Employee-Average |
| SD.S | System development engineer | Employee-Senior |
| SD.A | System development engineer | Employee-Average |
| SD.J | System development engineer | Employee-Junior |
| ST.S | System testing engineer | Employee-Senior |
| ST.A | System testing engineer | Employee-Average |
| ST.J | System testing engineer | Employee-Junior |
| AD.S | Application development manager | Employee-Senior |
| AD.A | Application development technician | Employee-Average |
| AD.J | Application development technician | Employee-Junior |
| SOFT.1 | Microsoft Office | Material |
| SOFT.2 | LaTex | Material |
| SOFT.3 | GitHub | Material |
| SOFT.4 | Trello | Material |
| SOFT.5 | Solidworks | Material |
| SOFT.6 | PostgreSQL | Material |
| SOFT.7 | Live Plan | Material |
| SOFT.8 | Wix | Material |
| SOFT.9 | Jitsi | Material |
| SOFT.10 | Final Cut Pro | Material |
| HARDW.1 | Payload building blocks | Material |
| HARDW.2 | Modular building blocks | Material |
| HARDW.3 | Hardware Platform Interface | Material |
| OFF | Office | Facilities |
| MR | Meeting room | Facilities |
| CH | Conference Hall | Facilities |
| RL | Research laboratory | Facilities |
| DC | Development centre | Facilities |
| TR | Testing room | Facilities |



QL Quality laboratory Facilities

Table 4.1.1: Resources identification

4.2 Activity resource requirement

| WBS-ID | Resource ID | Quantity | Assumption |
|--------|-------------|----------|---|
| 1.1 | PM.M | 1 | Although only PM.M and PM.S are |
| | PM.S | 1 | assigned to this activity, all partners |
| | OFF | 1 | involved in the project should give its |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | opinion and provide necessary input if |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | required for the elaboration of the project |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | management plan. |
| 1.2.1 | PM.M | 1 | All partners and the staff considered |
| | PM.S | 1 | necessary are expected to assist to the |
| | ScT.M | 1 | meetings. |
| | ScT.A | 1 | |
| | MR | 1 | |
| | SOFT.9 | 1 | |
| 1.2.2 | PM.M | 1 | All partners should contribute to the |
| | PM.S | 1 | correct development of this task. |
| | ScT.M | 1 | |
| | ScT.A | 1 | |
| | MR | 1 | |
| | SOFT.4 | 1 | |
| 1.3 | PM.M | 1 | All partners should contribute to the |
| | PM.S | 1 | correct development of this task. |
| | ScT.M | 1 | |
| | ScT.A | 1 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | CH | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| 1.4 | R.M | 1 | |
| | R.A | 1 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |



| WBS-ID | Resource ID | Quantity | Assumption |
|---------|-------------|----------|------------|
| 2.1.1 | SP.M | 1 | |
| | SP.A | 1 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| 2.1.2 | SP.M | 1 | |
| | SP.A | 1 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| 2.2.1.1 | FM.M | 1 | |
| | FM.A | 1 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.7 | 1 | |
| 2.2.1.2 | FM.M | 1 | |
| | FM.A | 1 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.7 | 1 | |
| 2.2.2 | FM.M | 1 | |
| | FM.A | 1 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.7 | 1 | |
| 2.2.3 | FM.M | 1 | |
| | FM.A | 1 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.7 | 1 | |
| 2.2.4 | FM.M | 1 | |
| | FM.A | 1 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| 2.2.5 | FM.M | 1 | |
| | FM.A | 1 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |



| WBS-ID | Resource ID | Quantity | Assumption |
|--------|-------------|----------|---|
| 2.3.1 | QM.M | 1 | |
| | QM.A | 1 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| 2.3.2 | QM.M | 1 | |
| | QM.A | 1 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| 2.3.3 | QM.M | 1 | |
| | QM.A | 1 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| 2.3.4 | QM.M | 1 | |
| | QM.A | 1 | |
| | MR | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| 2.4 | ScT.M | 1 | All partners should contribute in this |
| | ScT.A | 1 | activity if required by ScT.M or ScT.A. |
| | MR | 1 | |
| | SOFT.4 | 1 | |
| 3.1.1 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | SD.S | 1 | |
| | SD.J | 2 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |



| WBS-ID | Resource ID | Quantity | Assumption |
|---------|-------------|----------|------------|
| 3.1.2 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | SD.S | 1 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| 3.2.1 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | SD.S | 1 | |
| | SD.J | 2 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| 3.2.2 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | SD.S | 1 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| 3.3.1.1 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | AD.S | 1 | |
| | AD.J | 2 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| 3.3.1.2 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | AD.S | 1 | |
| | AD.J | 2 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | 301 1.2 | - | |



| WBS-ID | Resource ID | Quantity | Assumption |
|---------|-------------|----------|------------|
| 3.3.1.3 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | AD.S | 1 | |
| | AD.J | 2 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| 3.3.2 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | AD.S | 1 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| 4.1.1.1 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | SD.S | 1 | |
| | SD.A | 1 | |
| | RL | 5 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.5 | 1 | |
| 4.1.1.2 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | SD.S | 1 | |
| | SD.A | 2 | |
| | SD.J | 2 | |
| | DC | 5 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.5 | 1 | |



| WBS-ID | Resource ID | Quantity | Assumption |
|---------|-------------|----------|------------|
| 4.1.2.1 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | SD.S | 1 | |
| | SD.A | 2 | |
| | SD.J | 2 | |
| | DC | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.5 | 1 | |
| 4.1.2.2 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | SD.S | 1 | |
| | SD.A | 2 | |
| | SD.J | 2 | |
| | DC | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.5 | 1 | |
| 4.1.2.3 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | SD.S | 1 | |
| | SD.A | 2 | |
| | SD.J | 2 | |
| | DC | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.5 | 1 | |
| 4.1.2.4 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | SD.S | 1 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.5 | 1 | |



| WBS-ID | Resource ID | Quantity | Assumption |
|---------|-------------|----------|------------|
| | | | |
| 4.1.3.1 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | AD.S | 1 | |
| | AD.A | 2 | |
| | AD.J | 2 | |
| | DC | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.6 | 1 | |
| 4.1.3.2 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | AD.S | 1 | |
| | AD.A | 2 | |
| | AD.J | 2 | |
| | DC | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.6 | 1 | |
| 4.1.3.3 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | AD.S | 1 | |
| | AD.A | 2 | |
| | AD.J | 2 | |
| | DC | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.6 | 1 | |



| WBS-ID | Resource ID | Quantity | Assumption |
|---------|-------------|----------|------------|
| | | | |
| 4.2.1.1 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | SD.S | 1 | |
| | SD.A | 2 | |
| | SD.J | 2 | |
| | DC | 5 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.5 | 1 | |
| 4.2.1.2 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | SD.S | 1 | |
| | SD.A | 2 | |
| | SD.J | 2 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.5 | 1 | |
| 4.2.2.1 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | SD.S | 1 | |
| | SD.A | 2 | |
| | SD.J | 2 | |
| | DC | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.5 | 1 | |



| WBS-ID | Resource ID | Quantity | Assumption |
|---------|-------------|----------|------------|
| 4.2.2.2 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | SD.S | 1 | |
| | SD.A | 2 | |
| | SD.J | 2 | |
| | DC | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.5 | 1 | |
| 4.2.2.3 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | SD.S | 1 | |
| | SD.A | 2 | |
| | SD.J | 2 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.5 | 1 | |
| 4.2.3.1 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | AD.S | 1 | |
| | AD.A | 2 | |
| | AD.J | 2 | |
| | DC | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.6 | 1 | |



| WBS-ID | Resource ID | Quantity | Assumption |
|---------|-------------|----------|------------|
| 4.2.3.2 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | AD.S | 1 | |
| | AD.A | 2 | |
| | AD.J | 2 | |
| | DC | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.6 | 1 | |
| 4.2.3.3 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | AD.S | 1 | |
| | AD.A | 2 | |
| | AD.J | 2 | |
| | DC | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.6 | 1 | |
| 4.2.3.4 | TM | 1 | |
| | RD.A | 1 | |
| | AD.S | 1 | |
| | AD.A | 2 | |
| | AD.J | 2 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.6 | 1 | |
| 5.1.1 | SD.A | 1 | |
| | SD.J | 2 | |
| | DC | 1 | |
| | HARDW.1 | 1 | |
| 5.1.2 | SD.A | 1 | |
| | SD.J | 2 | |
| | DC | 1 | |
| | HARDW.2 | 1 | |



| 5.1.3 AD.A 1 AD.J 2 DC 1 HARDW.3 1 5.2 ST.S 1 ST.A 1 ST.J 2 TR 5 HARDW.1 1 5.3 ST.S 1 ST.A 1 ST.J 2 TR 1 HARDW.2 1 5.4 AD.S 1 AD.A 1 TR 1 HARDW.3 1 5.5 ST.A 2 AD.A 2 SD.A 2 TR 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QL 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 6.1.1 LB.A 1 SP.M 1 | WBS-ID | Resource ID | Quantity | Assumption |
|--|--------|-------------|----------|------------|
| DC 1 HARDW.3 1 5.2 ST.S 1 ST.A 1 ST.J 2 TR 5 HARDW.1 1 5.3 ST.S 1 ST.A 1 ST.J 2 TR 1 HARDW.2 1 5.4 AD.S 1 HARDW.3 1 5.5 ST.A 2 AD.A 1 TR 1 HARDW.3 1 5.5 ST.A 2 AD.A 2 SD.A 2 TR 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 LA C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C | 5.1.3 | AD.A | 1 | |
| HARDW.3 1 | | AD.J | 2 | |
| 5.2 ST.S 1 ST.A 1 ST.J 2 TR 5 HARDW.1 1 5.3 ST.S 1 ST.A 1 ST.A 1 ST.J 2 TR 1 HARDW.2 1 5.4 AD.S 1 AD.A 1 TR 1 HARDW.3 1 5.5 ST.A 2 AD.A 2 SD.A 2 SD.A 2 TR 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.3 1 6.1.1 LB.A 1 | | DC | 1 | |
| ST.A 1 ST.J 2 TR 5 HARDW.1 1 5.3 ST.S 1 ST.A 1 ST.J 2 TR 1 HARDW.2 1 5.4 AD.S 1 AD.A 1 TR 1 HARDW.3 1 5.5 ST.A 2 AD.A 2 SD.A 2 SD.A 2 TR 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.3 1 6.1.1 LB.A 1 | | HARDW.3 | 1 | |
| ST.J 2 TR 5 HARDW.1 1 5.3 ST.S 1 ST.A 1 ST.J 2 TR 1 HARDW.2 1 5.4 AD.S 1 AD.A 1 TR 1 HARDW.3 1 5.5 ST.A 2 AD.A 2 SD.A 2 SD.A 2 TR 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.3 1 6.1.1 LB.A 1 | 5.2 | ST.S | 1 | |
| TR 5 HARDW.1 1 5.3 ST.S 1 ST.A 1 ST.J 2 TR 1 HARDW.2 1 5.4 AD.S 1 AD.A 1 TR 1 HARDW.3 1 5.5 ST.A 2 AD.A 2 SD.A 2 SD.A 2 TR 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.3 1 6.1.1 LB.A 1 | | ST.A | 1 | |
| HARDW.1 1 5.3 ST.S 1 ST.A 1 ST.J 2 TR 1 HARDW.2 1 5.4 AD.S 1 AD.A 1 TR 1 HARDW.3 1 5.5 ST.A 2 AD.A 2 SD.A 2 TR 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.3 1 6.1.1 LB.A 1 | | ST.J | 2 | |
| 5.3 ST.S 1 ST.A 1 ST.J 2 TR 1 HARDW.2 1 5.4 AD.S 1 AD.A 1 TR 1 HARDW.3 1 5.5 ST.A 2 AD.A 2 SD.A 2 TR 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.3 1 6.1.1 LB.A 1 | | TR | 5 | |
| ST.A 1 ST.J 2 TR 1 HARDW.2 1 5.4 AD.S 1 AD.A 1 TR 1 HARDW.3 1 5.5 ST.A 2 AD.A 2 SD.A 2 TR 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.3 1 6.1.1 LB.A 1 | | HARDW.1 | 1 | |
| ST.J 2 TR 1 HARDW.2 1 5.4 AD.S 1 AD.A 1 TR 1 HARDW.3 1 5.5 ST.A 2 AD.A 2 SD.A 2 TR 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.3 1 6.1.1 LB.A 1 | 5.3 | ST.S | 1 | |
| TR 1 HARDW.2 1 5.4 AD.S 1 AD.A 1 TR 1 HARDW.3 1 5.5 ST.A 2 AD.A 2 SD.A 2 TR 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.3 1 6.1.1 LB.A 1 | | ST.A | 1 | |
| HARDW.2 1 5.4 AD.S 1 AD.A 1 TR 1 HARDW.3 1 5.5 ST.A 2 AD.A 2 SD.A 2 TR 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.3 1 6.1.1 LB.A 1 | | ST.J | 2 | |
| 5.4 AD.S 1 AD.A 1 TR 1 HARDW.3 1 5.5 ST.A 2 AD.A 2 SD.A 2 TR 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 QL 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 6.1.1 LB.A 1 | | TR | 1 | |
| AD.A 1 TR 1 HARDW.3 1 5.5 ST.A 2 AD.A 2 SD.A 2 TR 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.3 1 6.1.1 LB.A 1 | | HARDW.2 | 1 | |
| TR 1 HARDW.3 1 5.5 ST.A 2 AD.A 2 SD.A 2 TR 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.3 1 6.1.1 LB.A 1 | 5.4 | AD.S | 1 | |
| HARDW.3 1 5.5 ST.A 2 AD.A 2 SD.A 2 TR 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 | | AD.A | 1 | |
| 5.5 ST.A 2 AD.A 2 SD.A 2 TR 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 | | TR | 1 | |
| AD.A 2 SD.A 2 TR 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.3 1 | | HARDW.3 | 1 | |
| SD.A 2 TR 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 | 5.5 | ST.A | 2 | |
| TR 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 | | AD.A | 2 | |
| HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 | | SD.A | 2 | |
| HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 6.1.1 LB.A 1 | | TR | 1 | |
| HARDW.3 1 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 6.1.1 LB.A 1 | | HARDW.1 | 1 | |
| 5.6 QM.M 1 QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 | | HARDW.2 | 1 | |
| QM.A 1 QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 | | HARDW.3 | 1 | |
| QL 1 HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 6.1.1 LB.A 1 | 5.6 | QM.M | 1 | |
| HARDW.1 1 HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 | | QM.A | 1 | |
| HARDW.2 1 HARDW.3 1 6.1.1 LB.A 1 | | QL | 1 | |
| HARDW.3 1 6.1.1 LB.A 1 | | HARDW.1 | 1 | |
| 6.1.1 LB.A 1 | | HARDW.2 | 1 | |
| | | HARDW.3 | 1 | |
| SPM 1 | 6.1.1 | | 1 | |
| J1 .1VI 1 | | SP.M | 1 | |
| SP.A 2 | | | 2 | |
| OFF 1 | | OFF | 1 | |
| SOFT.1 1 | | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| SOFT.3 1 | | SOFT.3 | 1 | |



| WBS-ID | Resource ID | Quantity | Assumption |
|--------|-------------|----------|---|
| 6.1.2 | LB.A | 1 | |
| | SP.M | 1 | |
| | SP.A | 2 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| 6.1.3 | LB.A | 1 | |
| | F.M | 1 | |
| | F.A | 1 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| 6.2 | LB.A | 1 | |
| | F.M | 1 | |
| | F.A | 2 | |
| | SP.M | 1 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.7 | 1 | |
| 7.1 | MC.M | 1 | |
| | MC.A | 2 | |
| | OFF | 1 | |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.2 | 1 | |
| | SOFT.3 | 1 | |
| 7.2.1 | MC.M | 1 | All partners should provide information |
| | MC.A | 2 | and be able to collaborate for |
| | OFF | 1 | communication and dissemination |
| | SOFT.8 | 1 | purposes. |
| 7.2.2 | MC.M | 1 | All partners should provide information |
| | MC.A | 2 | and be able to collaborate for |
| | OFF | 1 | communication and dissemination |
| | | | purposes. |
| 7.3.1 | MC.M | 1 | All partners should provide information |
| | MC.A | 2 | and be able to collaborate for |
| | СН | 1 | communication and dissemination |
| | SOFT.9 | 1 | purposes. |
| | | | |



| WBS-ID | Resource ID | Quantity | Assumption |
|--------|-------------|----------|---|
| 7.3.2 | MC.M | 1 | All partners should provide information |
| | MC.A | 2 | and be able to collaborate for |
| | MR | 1 | communication and dissemination |
| | | | purposes. |
| 7.4.1 | MC.M | 1 | All partners should provide information |
| | MC.A | 2 | and be able to collaborate for |
| | DC | 1 | communication and dissemination |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | purposes. |
| | SOFT.10 | 1 | |
| 7.4.2 | MC.M | 1 | All partners should provide information |
| | MC.A | 2 | and be able to collaborate for |
| | OFF | 1 | communication and dissemination |
| | SOFT.1 | 1 | purposes. |
| | SOFT.10 | 1 | |

Table 4.2.1: List of resource requirement

| Comments | | |
|-----------|--|--|
| Blablabla | | |

4.3 Resource Breakdown Structure

1. Employees

- 1.1. Project management
 - 1.1.1. Project Manager
 - 1.1.2. Project Manager Secretary
- 1.2. Financial
 - 1.2.1. Financial Manager
 - 1.2.2. Financial Manager Assessor
- 1.3. Stakeholders and Procurement
 - 1.3.1. Stakeholders and Procurement Manager
 - 1.3.2. Stakeholders and Procurement Manager Assessor
- 1.4. Scope and Time
 - 1.4.1. Scope and Time Manager



- 1.4.2. Scope and Time Manager Assessor
- 1.5. Risk
 - 1.5.1. Risk Manager
 - 1.5.2. Risk Manager Assessor
- 1.6. Quality
 - 1.6.1. Quality Manager
 - 1.6.2. Quality Manager Assessor
- 1.7. Marketing and Communications
 - 1.7.1. Marketing and Communications Manager
 - 1.7.2. Marketing and Communications Manager Assessor
- 1.8. Engineering
 - 1.8.1. Technical Manager
 - 1.8.2. Research and Development assessor
 - 1.8.3. Development
 - 1.8.3.1. System development engineer Senior
 - 1.8.3.2. System development engineer Average
 - 1.8.3.3. System development engineer Junior
 - 1.8.4. Testing
 - 1.8.4.1. System testing engineer Senior
 - 1.8.4.2. System testing engineer Average
 - 1.8.4.3. System testing engineer Junior
- 1.9. Application development
 - 1.9.1. Application development manager
 - 1.9.2. Application development technician Average
 - 1.9.3. Application development technician Junior

2. Materials

- 2.1. Software
 - 2.1.1. Microsoft Office
 - 2.1.2. LaTex
 - 2.1.3. GitHub
 - 2.1.4. Trello
 - 2.1.5. Solidworks
 - 2.1.6. PostgreSQL
 - 2.1.7. Live Plan
 - 2.1.8. Wix



- 2.1.9. Jitsi
- 2.1.10. Final Cut Pro
- 2.2. Hardware
 - 2.2.1. Payload building blocks
 - 2.2.2. Modular building blocks
 - 2.2.3. Interaction platform building blocks
- 3. Facilities
 - 3.1. Office
 - 3.2. Meeting room
 - 3.3. Conference hall
 - 3.4. Research laboratory
 - 3.5. Development centre
 - 3.6. Testing room
 - 3.7. Quality laboratory



5 Estimate activity duration

| | Parametric Estimates | | | | | |
|--------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| WBS-ID | Effort Days | Resource Quantity | % Available | Performance Factor | Duration Estimate (days) | |
| 2.1 | Х | 2 | 100 | 0.85 | Х | |
| 2.2 | 34 | 2 | 100 | 0.85 | 20 | |
| 2.3 | Х | 2 | 100 | 0.9 | Х | |
| 6.6.1 | 10 | 3 | 75 | 0.8 | 6 | |
| 6.6.2 | 11 | 4 | 75 | 0.8 | 5 | |
| 6.6.3 | 10 | 3 | 75 | 0.8 | 6 | |
| 6.2 | 11 | 5 | 75 | 0.8 | 4 | |
| 7.1 | 6 | 3 | 50 | 0.9 | 5 | |
| 7.2.1 | 5 | 3 | 75 | 0.9 | 2 | |
| 7.2.2 | 6 | 3 | 75 | 0.8 | 3 | |
| 7.3 | 4 | 6 | 50 | 0.9 | 1 | |
| 7.4.1 | 8 | 3 | 75 | 0.8 | 4 | |
| 7.4.2 | 6 | 3 | 75 | 0.8 | 3 | |

Table 5.0.1: List of Parametric Estimates

Analogous Estimates



| WBS-ID | Previous Activity | Previous Duration | Current Activity | Multiplier | Duration Estimate |
|--------|---|----------------------|--|------------|----------------------|
| 1.1 | Previous project Management Plan | 23 | Project management plan | 0.9 | 20 |
| 1.2 | Previous project Monitoring | х | Monitoring of the project | 1 | х |
| 1.3 | Previous project Annual reporting | х | Annual Reporting | 0.7 | х |
| 1.4 | Previous project Risk Management implementation | x | Project implementation of risk management | 0.8 | x |

Table 5.0.2: List of Analogous Estimates

| | Three Point Estimates | | | | | |
|---------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| WBS-ID | Optimistic Duration | Most Likely Duration | Pessimistic Duration | Weighting Equation | Expected Duration Estimate | |
| 3.1.1 | 25 | 35 | 45 | (o+4m+p)/6 | 35 | |
| 3.1.2 | 15 | 23 | 40 | (o+4m+p)/6 | 25 | |
| 3.2.1 | 20 | 28 | 45 | (o+4m+p)/6 | 30 | |
| 4.1.1.1 | 55 | 65 | 80 | (o+4m+p)/6 | 66 | |
| 4.1.1.2 | 35 | 45 | 60 | (o+4m+p)/6 | 46 | |
| 4.1.2 | 45 | 50 | 70 | (o+4m+p)/6 | 53 | |
| 4.1.3.1 | 15 | 17 | 20 | (o+4m+p)/6 | 17 | |
| 4.1.3.2 | 30 | 35 | 45 | (o+4m+p)/6 | 36 | |
| 4.1.3.3 | 15 | 17 | 20 | (o+4m+p)/6 | 17 | |
| 4.2.1 | 220 | 255 | 300 | (o+4m+p)/6 | 257 | |
| 4.2.2 | 220 | 255 | 300 | (o+4m+p)/6 | 257 | |
| 4.2.3 | 220 | 255 | 300 | (o+4m+p)/6 | 257 | |



| 5.1.1 | 115 | 130 | 150 | (o+4m+p)/6 | 131 |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|------------|-----|
| 5.1.2 | 115 | 130 | 150 | (o+4m+p)/6 | 131 |

Table 5.0.3: List of Three Point Estimations



6 | Project Schedule

Following, the project schedule have been implemented from a Gantt chart, attached on the next page.



Figure 6.0.1: Gantt chart





7 | Activity Attributes (at Work Package level)

In this section an overview of the activity attributes will be done. In the following tables the activities are shown together with a description of the work to be done, its predecessors, relationships, resources, efforts, locations and constraints. Regarding the time constraints, they are usually referred to t_0 , which is the date of the kick-off meeting date.



| WBS-ID: | Activity: |
|---------|----------------------------|
| 1.1 | Development of the project |
| | management plan |

Description of Work:

Elaboration of all the documentation that states the strategy of the management and organization of the project through its duration.

| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| Kick-Off meeting | SS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Office |
| 1 Project Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Project Manager | | 1 LaTex |
| Secretary | | 1 GitHub |

Type of Effort:

Fixed amount of work.

Location of Performance:

Facilities of: HIRO.

Constraints:

The Project Management Plan has to be delivered at t_0+1 month.

Assumptions:

Although only the Project Manager and the Project Manager Secretary are assigned to this activity, all partners involved in the project should give its opinion and provide necessary input if required for the elaboration of the project management plan.

Table 7.0.1: Activity 1.1 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1.2.1 | Meetings | |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Gathering of the members | of the project to inform eac | ch other of the progress. |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| Kick-Off meeting | SS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Meeting room |
| 1 Project Manager | Average | 1 Jitsi |
| 1 Project Manager | | |
| Secretary | | |
| 1 Scope and Time | | |
| Manager | | |
| 1 Scope and Time | | |
| Management Assessor | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Fixed amount of effort. | | |
| Location of Performanc | e: | |
| Facilities of: HIRO. | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| The Kick-Off meeting star | ts at t_0 . | |
| Assumptions: | | |
| All partners and the staff | considered necessary are expe | ected to assist to the meetings. |

Table 7.0.2: Activity 1.2.1 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: | |
|---|--|------------------------------|--|
| 1.2.2 | | Task tracking and scheduling | |
| Description of Work: | | | |
| Tracking of the active tasks | Tracking of the active tasks and scheduling. | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: | |
| Kick-Off meeting | SS | - | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: | |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Meeting room | |
| 1 Project Manager | Average | 1 Trello | |
| 1 Project Manager | | | |
| Secretary | | | |
| 1 Scope and Time | | | |
| Manager | | | |
| 1 Scope and Time | | | |
| Management Assessor | | | |
| Type of Effort: | | | |
| Fixed amount of effort. | | | |
| Location of Performance: | | | |
| Facilities of: HIRO. | | | |
| Constraints: | | | |
| - | | | |
| Assumptions: | | | |
| All partners should contribute to the correct development of this task. | | | |

Table 7.0.3: Activity 1.2.2 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1.3 | | Annual reporting |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Every year that the project | lasts will call for the elabor | ation of an internal report with |
| the aim of keeping up to o | late with the progress done. | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| Kick-Off meeting | SS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Office |
| 1 Project Manager | Average | 1 Conference Hall |
| 1 Project Manager | | 1 Microsoft Office |
| Secretary | | 1 LaTex |
| 1 Scope and Time | | 1 GitHub |
| Manager | | |
| 1 Scope and Time | | |
| Manager Assessor | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Fixed amount of effort. | | |
| Location of Performance | e: | |
| Facilities of: HIRO. | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| The annual reports should | be delivered every 12 mont | hs. |

Table 7.0.4: Activity 1.3 attributes

All partners should contribute to the correct development of this task.

HIRO R - 59

Assumptions:



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: | |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|
| 1.4 | | Project implementation of risk | |
| | | management | |
| Description of Work: | | | |
| Study of all the potential ris | Study of all the potential risks and how they will be managed so that their | | |
| affectation to the project sta | ays to a minimum. | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: | |
| Kick-Off meeting | SS | - | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: | |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Office | |
| 1 Risk Manager | Average | | |
| 1 Risk Manager Assessor | | | |
| Type of Effort: | | | |
| Fixed amount of work. | | | |
| Location of Performance: | | | |
| Facilities of: HIRO. | | | |
| Constraints: | | | |
| - | | | |
| Assumptions: | | | |
| , - | | | |

Table 7.0.5: Activity 1.4 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2.1.1 | | Employment of the necessary |
| | | staff |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Definition of the number of | employees necessary | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag |
| | other type of scheduling | times or other requirements. |
| | relationship. | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work |
| work | applicable job level) | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed | duration, fixed amount of wor | k or fixed amount of effort |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| If the work is to be complet | ed somewhere other than at t | he performing organization |
| site, indicate location | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery d | ates, milestones or other cons | trains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| List any assumption about i | esources availability, skill sets | , or other assumptions that |
| | | |

Table 7.0.6: Activity X attributes

impact activity



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|
| 2.1.2 | | Human resources management | |
| Description of Work: | | Trainan resources management | |
| X | • | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: | |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any | |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor | |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag | |
| | other type of scheduling relationship. | times or other requirements. | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: | |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or | |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed | |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work | |
| work | applicable job level) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed | Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort | | |
| Location of Performance: | | | |
| If the work is to be complet | ed somewhere other than at t | he performing organization | |
| site, indicate location | | | |
| Constraints: | | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains | | | |
| Assumptions: | | | |
| List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that impact activity | | | |

Table 7.0.7: Activity X attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2.2.1.1 | | Fix |
| Description of Work: | | |
| X | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag |
| | other type of scheduling | times or other requirements. |
| | relationship. | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work |
| work | applicable job level) | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort | | |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| If the work is to be complet | ed somewhere other than at t | he performing organization |
| site, indicate location | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains | | |
| Assumptions: | | |
| List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that | | |
| impact activity | | |

Table 7.0.8: Activity X attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2.2.1.2 | | Variable |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Χ | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag |
| | other type of scheduling | times or other requirements. |
| | relationship. | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work |
| work | applicable job level) | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed | duration, fixed amount of wo | rk or fixed amount of effort |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| If the work is to be complet | ed somewhere other than at t | he performing organization |
| site, indicate location | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains | | |
| Assumptions: | | |
| List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that | | |

Table 7.0.9: Activity X attributes

impact activity



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2.2.2 | | Funding |
| Description of Work: | | |
| X | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag |
| | other type of scheduling | times or other requirements. |
| | relationship. | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work |
| work | applicable job level) | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort | | |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| If the work is to be completed somewhere other than at the performing organization | | |
| site, indicate location | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains | | |
| Assumptions: | | |
| List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that | | |

Table 7.0.10: Activity X attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2.2.3 | | Economic feasibility |
| Description of Work: | | |
| X | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag |
| | other type of scheduling | times or other requirements. |
| | relationship. | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work |
| work | applicable job level) | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed | duration, fixed amount of wo | rk or fixed amount of effort |
| Location of Performance | : | |
| If the work is to be comple | ted somewhere other than at t | the performing organization |
| site, indicate location | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery | dates, milestones or other cons | strains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| List any assumption about | resources availability, skill sets | or other assumptions that |

Table 7.0.11: Activity X attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2.2.4 | | Evoution monitoring |
| | | Lvoution monitoring |
| Description of Work: | | |
| X | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag |
| | other type of scheduling | times or other requirements. |
| | relationship. | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work |
| work | applicable job level) | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort | | |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| If the work is to be completed somewhere other than at the performing organization | | |
| site, indicate location | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains | | |
| Assumptions: | | |
| List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that | | |

Table 7.0.12: Activity X attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2.2.5 | | Additional and follow-up |
| | | funding seek |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Χ | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag |
| | other type of scheduling | times or other requirements. |
| | relationship. | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work |
| work | applicable job level) | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed | duration, fixed amount of wo | rk or fixed amount of effort |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| If the work is to be complet | ed somewhere other than at t | he performing organization |
| site, indicate location | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains | | |

Table 7.0.13: Activity X attributes

List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that

HIRO R - 68

Assumptions:



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2.3.1 | | Guidelines preparation |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Χ | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag |
| | other type of scheduling | times or other requirements. |
| | relationship. | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work |
| work | applicable job level) | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort | | |
| Location of Performance | : | |
| If the work is to be completed somewhere other than at the performing organization | | |
| site, indicate location | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery | dates, milestones or other cons | strains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| List any assumption about | resources availability, skill sets | , or other assumptions that |

Table 7.0.14: Activity X attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|---|--|---------------------------------|
| 2.3.2 | | Documented revision |
| Description of Work: | | |
| X | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag |
| | other type of scheduling relationship. | times or other requirements. |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work |
| work | applicable job level) | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort | | |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| If the work is to be completed somewhere other than at the performing organization | | |
| site, indicate location | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery d | ates, milestones or other cons | trains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that | | |
| impact activity | | |

Table 7.0.15: Activity X attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|---|--|---------------------------------|
| 2.3.3 | | Documented rectification |
| Description of Work: | | |
| X | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag |
| | other type of scheduling relationship. | times or other requirements. |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work |
| work | applicable job level) | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort | | |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| If the work is to be completed somewhere other than at the performing organization | | |
| site, indicate location | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery d | ates, milestones or other cons | trains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that | | |
| impact activity | | |

Table 7.0.16: Activity X attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2.3.4 | | Document approval |
| Description of Work: | | |
| This information includes a | detailed description of the wo | ork to be performed for this |
| activity and should be cons | istent with what is provided in | the project activity list. |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag |
| | other type of scheduling | times or other requirements. |
| | relationship. | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work |
| work | applicable job level) | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed | duration, fixed amount of wo | rk or fixed amount of effort |
| Location of Performance | | |
| If the work is to be complete | ed somewhere other than at t | the performing organization |
| site, indicate location | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery of | lates, milestones or other cons | strains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| List any assumption about | resources availability, skill sets | , or other assumptions that |
| impact activity | | |

Table 7.0.17: Activity X attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2.4 | | Periodic monitoring |
| Description of Work: | | |
| To ensure the quality of the | e project, a periodic monitorin | g of all the activities will be |
| carried out | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag |
| | other type of scheduling | times or other requirements. |
| | relationship. | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work |
| work | applicable job level) | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed | duration, fixed amount of wo | rk or fixed amount of effort |
| Location of Performance | | |
| If the work is to be complete | ed somewhere other than at t | the performing organization |
| site, indicate location | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery of | lates, milestones or other cons | strains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| List any assumption about | resources availability, skill sets | , or other assumptions that |
| impact activity | | |

Table 7.0.18: Activity X attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------|
| 3.1.1 | | Search for current space |
| | | applications. |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Research for the current spa | ce applications. | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 1.0 | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Office |
| 1 Technical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | Novice | 1 Latex |
| Development Assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 System Development | | |
| Engineer | | |
| 2 System Development | | |
| Engineer | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort | | |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| Facilities of: Deimos Space S.L.U, Thales Alenia Space, S.A.S and HIRO. | | |

Table 7.0.19: Activity 3.1.1 attributes

List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that

Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains

HIRO R - 74

Constraints:

Assumptions:



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| 3.1.2 | | Research for the current space |
| | | applications. |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Research for the current s | pace applications. | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 3.1.1 | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Office |
| 1 Technical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| Research and | | 1 Latex |
| Development Assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 System Development | | |
| Engineer | | |
| Type of Effort: | · | |

Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort

Location of Performance:

Facilities of: Deimos Space S.L.U, Thales Alenia Space, S.A.S and HIRO.

Constraints:

Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains

Assumptions:

List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that impact activity

Table 7.0.20: Activity 3.1.2 attributes

R - 75 HIRO



| 3.2.1 Search for current modular systems with space | WBS-ID: | Activity: |
|---|---------|----------------------------|
| systems with space | 3.2.1 | Search for current modular |
| | | systems with space |
| applications. | | applications. |

Search for current modular systems with space applications.

| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| 1.0 | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Office |
| 1 Technical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | Novice | 1 Latex |
| Development Assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 System Development | | |
| Engineer | | |
| 2 System Development | | |
| Engineer | | |

Type of Effort:

Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort

Location of Performance:

Facilities of: Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U and HIRO.

Constraints:

Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains

Assumptions:

List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that impact activity

Table 7.0.21: Activity 3.2.1 attributes



| WBS-ID: Activity: | | Activity: |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------|
| 3.2.2 | | Requirements definition. |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Research for the current mo | dular system. | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 3.2.1 | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Office |
| 1 Technical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | | 1 Latex |
| Development Assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 System Development | | |
| Engineer | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort | | |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| Facilities of: Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U and HIRO. | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains | | |

Table 7.0.22: Activity 3.2.2 attributes

List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that

HIRO R - 77

Assumptions:



| WBS-ID: | Activity: |
|---------|-------------------|
| 3.3.1.1 | Weather forecast. |

This information includes a detailed description of the work to be performed for this activity and should be consistent with what is provided in the project activity list.

| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| 1.0 | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Office |
| 1 Technical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | Novice | 1 Latex |
| Development Assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 Application | | |
| Development Manager | | |
| 2 Application | | |
| Development | | |

Type of Effort:

Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort

Location of Performance:

Facilites of: VITO nv, ReSAC and HIRO.

Constraints:

Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains

Assumptions:

List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that impact activity

Table 7.0.23: Activity 3.3.1.1 attributes



| WBS-ID: | Activity: |
|---------|-----------------------------|
| 3.3.1.2 | Urban planning (3D models). |

This information includes a detailed description of the work to be performed for this activity and should be consistent with what is provided in the project activity list.

| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| 1.0 | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Office |
| 1 Technical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | Novice | 1 Latex |
| Development Assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 Application | | |
| Development Manager | | |
| 2 Application | | |
| Development | | |

Type of Effort:

Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort

Location of Performance:

Facilites of: VITO nv, ReSAC and HIRO.

Constraints:

Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains

Assumptions:

List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that impact activity

Table 7.0.24: Activity 3.3.1.2 attributes



| WBS-ID: | Activity: |
|---------|--------------------------------|
| 3.3.1.3 | Greenhouse emissions reduction |
| | (pollution). |

This information includes a detailed description of the work to be performed for this activity and should be consistent with what is provided in the project activity list.

| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| 1.0 | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Office |
| 1 Technical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | Novice | 1 Latex |
| Development Assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 Application | | |
| Development Manager | | |
| 2 Application | | |
| Development | | |

Type of Effort:

Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort

Location of Performance:

Facilites of: VITO nv, ReSAC and HIRO.

Constraints:

Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains

Assumptions:

List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that impact activity

Table 7.0.25: Activity 3.3.1.3 attributes



| WBS-ID: | Activity: |
|---------|---------------------------|
| 3.3.2 | TRequirements definition. |

This information includes a detailed description of the work to be performed for this activity and should be consistent with what is provided in the project activity list.

| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| 3.3.1 | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Office |
| 1 Technical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | | 1 Latex |
| Development Assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 Application | | |
| Development Manager | | |

Type of Effort:

Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort

Location of Performance:

Facilites of: VITO nv, ReSAC and HIRO.

Constraints:

Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains

Assumptions:

List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that impact activity

Table 7.0.26: Activity 3.3.2 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 4.1.1.1 | | Research |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Research for the payloads' | preliminary design | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 3.0 | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 5 Research laboratory |
| 1 Techical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | | 1 LaTex |
| development assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 System development | | 1 Solidworks |
| engineers (expert) | | |
| 1 System development | | |
| engineer (average) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Fixed amount of work | | |
| Location of Performance | e: | |
| Facilities of: Airbus Defen | ce and Space, Deimos Space | e, Thales Alenia Space and |
| HIRO | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery | dates, milestones or other c | onstrains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| _ | | |

Table 7.0.27: Activity 4.1.1.1 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 4.1.1.2 | | Development |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Development of the payloa | ads' preliminary design | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 4.1.1.1 | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 5 Development center |
| 1 Techical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | Junior | 1 LaTex |
| development assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 System development | | 1 Solidworks |
| engineers (expert) | | |
| 2 System development | | |
| engineer (average) | | |
| 2 System development | | |
| engineer (junior) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Fixed amount of work | | |
| Location of Performance | e: | |
| Facilities of: Airbus Defen | ce and Space, Deimos Space | e, Thales Alenia Space and |
| HIRO | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery | dates, milestones or other c | onstrains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| _ | | |

Table 7.0.28: Activity 4.1.1.2 attributes



| WBS-ID: | Activity: |
|----------------------|----------------------------|
| 4.1.2.1 | Development of physical |
| | framework for sensor block |
| Description of Work: | |

Modular system preliminary design and development of physical framework for sensor block

| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| 4.1.1.0 | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Development center |
| 1 Techical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | Junior | 1 LaTex |
| development assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 System development | | 1 Solidworks |
| engineers (expert) | | |
| 2 System development | | |
| engineer (average) | | |
| 2 System development | | |
| engineer (junior) | | |

Type of Effort:

Fixed amount of work

Location of Performance:

Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space, Airbus Defence and Space, Deimos Space and HIRO

Constraints:

Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains

Assumptions:

Table 7.0.29: Activity 4.1.2.1 attributes



| WBS-ID: | Activity: |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| 4.1.2.2 | Development of systems |
| | interaction and applications. |
| Description of Work: | |
| Modular system preliminary design | n and development of systems interactions and |

Modular system preliminary design and development of systems interactions and applications

| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| 4.1.1.0 | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Development center |
| 1 Techical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | Junior | 1 LaTex |
| development assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 System development | | 1 Solidworks |
| engineers (expert) | | |
| 2 System development | | |
| engineer (average) | | |
| 2 System development | | |
| engineer (junior) | | |

Type of Effort:

Fixed amount of work

Location of Performance:

Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space, Airbus Defence and Space, Deimos Space and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{HIRO}}$

Constraints:

Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains

Assumptions:

_

Table 7.0.30: Activity 4.1.2.2 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 4.1.2.3 | | Development of sensors' data |
| | | fusion software |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Modular system preliminar | ry design and development | of sensors' data fusion software |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 4.1.2.2. | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Development center |
| 1 Techical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | Junior | 1 LaTex |
| development assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 System development | | 1 Solidworks |
| engineers (expert) | | |
| 2 System development | | |
| engineer (average) | | |
| 2 System development | | |
| engineer (junior) | | |
| Type of Effort: | <u>'</u> | , |
| Fixed amount of work | | |
| Location of Performance | e: | |
| Facilities of: Thales Alenia | a Space, Airbus Defence ai | nd Space, Deimos Space and |
| HIRO | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery | dates, milestones or other | constrains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| - | | |

Table 7.0.31: Activity 4.1.2.3 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 4.1.2.4 | | Definition of SATCOM |
| | | applications domains |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Modular system preliminar | y design and definition of S | ATCOM application domains. |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 4.1.2.3. | TFS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Office |
| 1 Techical Manager | | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | | 1 LaTex |
| development assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 System development | | 1 Solidworks |
| engineers | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Fixed amount of work | | |
| Location of Performance | e: | |
| Facilities of: Thales Alenia | Space, Airbus Defence and | Space, Deimos Space and |
| HIRO | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery | dates, milestones or other o | constrains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| - | | |

Table 7.0.32: Activity 4.1.2.4 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 4.1.3.1 | | Implement web-based servers |
| | | for sharing sensors' data |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Preliminary design of the int | eraction platform. Implemen | t web-based servers for |
| sharing sensors' data. | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 4.1.2.0 | FS | 0 |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Development center |
| 1 Techical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | Junior | 1 LaTex |
| development assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 Application development | | 1 PostgreSQL |
| engineers (expert) | | |
| 2 Application development | | |
| engineer (average) | | |
| 2 Application development | | |
| engineer (junior) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Fixed amount of work | | |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| Facilities of: ICUBE-ISERTI | T, VITO, ReSAC and HIRO | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery d | ates, milestones or other cons | strains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| - | | |

Table 7.0.33: Activity 4.1.3.1 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 4.1.3.2 | | Implement processing |
| | | algorithms based on |
| | | applications. |
| Description of Work: | | - PPP |
| • | eraction platform. Implement | processing algorithms |
| based on applications. | , and the second | 8 . 8 |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 4.1.2.0 | FS . | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Development center |
| 1 Techical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | Junior | 1 LaTex |
| development assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 Application development | | 1 PostgreSQL |
| engineers (expert) | | |
| 2 Application development | | |
| engineer (average) | | |
| 2 Application development | | |
| engineer (junior) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Fixed amount of work | | |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| Facilities of: ICUBE-ISERTI | T, VITO, ReSAC and HIRO | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains | | |
| Assumptions: | | |
| - | | |

Table 7.0.34: Activity 4.1.3.2 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 4.1.3.3 | | Pre-design a full services |
| | | stakeholders platform. |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Pre-design of interaction pla | atform . | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 4.1.3.1 | FS | - |
| 4.1.3.2 | FS | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Development center |
| 1 Techical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | Junior | 1 LaTex |
| development assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 Application development | | 1 PostgreSQL |
| engineers (expert) | | |
| 2 Application development | | |
| engineer (average) | | |
| 2 Application development | | |
| engineer (junior) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Fixed amount of work | | |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| Facilities of: ICUBE-ISERTI | T, VITO, ReSAC and HIR | 0 |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery d | ates, milestones or other c | onstrains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| - | | |

Table 7.0.35: Activity 4.1.3.3 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 4.2.1.1 | | Sensor's final design. |
| Description of Work: | | · |
| Final design of the payload | d sensor. | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 4.1.1.0. | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 5 Development center |
| 1 Techical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | Junior | 1 LaTex |
| development assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 System development | | 1 Solidworks |
| engineers (expert) | | |
| 2 System development | | |
| engineer (average) | | |
| 2 System development | | |
| engineer (junior) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Fixed amount of work | | |
| Location of Performance | e: | |
| Facilities of: Airbus Defen | ce and Space, Deimos Space | e, Thales Alenia Space and |
| HIRO | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery | dates, milestones or other c | onstrains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| _ | | |

Table 7.0.36: Activity 4.2.1.1 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 4.2.1.2 | | Sensor's final technical |
| | | specifications. |
| Description of Work: | | , |
| Final decision of the techr | nical specifications of the p | ayload sensor. |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 4.2.1.1 | FS | 0 |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Office |
| 1 Techical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | Junior | 1 LaTex |
| development assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 System development | | 1 Solidworks |
| engineers (expert) | | |
| 2 System development | | |
| engineer (average) | | |
| 2 System development | | |
| engineer (junior) | | |
| Type of Effort: | · | |
| Fixed amount of work | | |
| Location of Performanc | e: | |
| Facilities: Airbus Defence | and Space, Deimos Space, | Thales Alenia Space and HIRO |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery | dates, milestones or other | constrains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| _ | | |

Table 7.0.37: Activity 4.2.1.2 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 4.2.2.1 | | Modular system final design. |
| Description of Work: | | · |
| Final design of the modula | r system. | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 4.1.2.0 | FS | 0 |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Development center |
| 1 Techical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | Junior | 1 LaTex |
| development assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 System development | | 1 Solidworks |
| engineers (expert) | | |
| 2 System development | | |
| engineer (average) | | |
| 2 System development | | |
| engineer (junior) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | · |
| Fixed amount of work | | |
| Location of Performance | e: | |
| Facilities of: Airbus Defen | ce and Space, Deimos Space | e, Thales Alenia Space and |
| HIRO | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery | dates, milestones or other c | onstrains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| _ | | |

Table 7.0.38: Activity 4.2.2.1 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 4.2.2.2 | | Sensor's data fusion software |
| | | final design. |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Final design of the modula | or system, specifically of the | sensor's data fusion software. |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 4.1.2.0 | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Development center |
| 1 Techical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | Junior | 1 LaTex |
| development assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 System development | | 1 Solidworks |
| engineers (expert) | | |
| 2 System development | | |
| engineer (average) | | |
| 2 System development | | |
| engineer (junior) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Fixed amount of work | | |
| Location of Performance | e: | |
| Facilities of:Airbus Defenc | e and Space, Thales Alenia | Space, Deimos Space and |
| HIRO | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery | dates, milestones or other o | constrains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| _ | | |

Table 7.0.39: Activity 4.2.2.2 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 4.2.2.3 | | Modular system's final |
| | | technical specifications. |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Final decision of technical s | specifications of the modula | ar system. |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 4.2.2.1 | FS | - |
| 4.2.2.2 | FS | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Office |
| 1 Techical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | Junior | 1 LaTex |
| development assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 System development | | 1 Solidworks |
| engineers (expert) | | |
| 2 System development | | |
| engineer (average) | | |
| 2 System development | | |
| engineer (junior) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Fixed amount of work | | |
| Location of Performance | 1 | |
| Facilities of:Airbus Defence | and Space, Thales Alenia S | Space, Deimos Space and |
| HIRO | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| | lates, milestones or other c | onstrains |

Table 7.0.40: Activity 4.2.2.3 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 4.2.3.1 | | Web based servers for data |
| | | sharing final implementation. |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Final design and implementa | ation of the interaction pla | tform, specifically the web |
| servers for data sharing. | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 4.1.3.0 | FF | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Development center |
| 1 Techical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | Junior | 1 LaTex |
| development assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 Application development | | 1 PostgreSQL |
| engineers (expert) | | |
| 2 Application development | | |
| engineer (average) | | |
| 2 Application development | | |
| engineer (junior) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Fixed amount of work | | |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| Facilities: ICUBE-ISERTIT, | VITO, ReSAC and HIRO | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery d | ates, milestones or other c | onstrains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| _ | | |

Table 7.0.41: Activity 4.2.3.1 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| | | Activity: | |
| 4.2.3.2 | | Processing algorithms based on | |
| | | applications final design. | |
| Description of Work: | | | |
| Final design and implementa | ation of the interaction platf | orm, specifically the | |
| processing algorithms. | | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: | |
| 4.1.3.0 | FF | - | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: | |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Development center | |
| 1 Techical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office | |
| 1 Research and | Junior | 1 LaTex | |
| development assessor | | 1 GitHub | |
| 1 Application development | | 1 PostgreSQL | |
| engineers (expert) | | | |
| 2 Application development | | | |
| engineer (average) | | | |
| 2 Application development | | | |
| engineer (junior) | | | |
| Type of Effort: | | | |
| Fixed amount of work | | | |
| Location of Performance: | | | |
| Facilities of: ICUBE-ISERTIT, VITO, ReSAC and HIRO | | | |
| Constraints: | | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains | | | |
| Assumptions: | | | |
| - | | | |

Table 7.0.42: Activity 4.2.3.2 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 4.2.3.3 | | Full services stakeholders |
| | | platform implementation. |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Final design and implementa | ation of the interaction pla | atform. |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 4.2.3.1 | FF | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Development center |
| 1 Techical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | Junior | 1 LaTex |
| development assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 Application development | | 1 PostgreSQL |
| engineers (expert) | | |
| 2 Application development | | |
| engineer (average) | | |
| 2 Application development | | |
| engineer (junior) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Fixed amount of work | | |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| Facilities of: ICUBE-ISERTI | T, VITO, ReSAC and HIF | RO |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery d | ates, milestones or other o | constrains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| - | | |

Table 7.0.43: Activity 4.2.3.3 attributes



| WBS-ID: 4.2.3.4 | | Activity: Final technical specifications. |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | | |
| Decision of the final technic | al specifications of the inte | eraction (stakeholders) |
| platform. | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 4.2.3.3 | FF | - |
| | G | |
| textbfNumber and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Development center |
| 1 Techical Manager | Average | 1 Microsoft Office |
| 1 Research and | Junior | 1 LaTex |
| development assessor | | 1 GitHub |
| 1 Application development | | 1 PostgreSQL |
| engineers (expert) | | |
| 2 Application development | | |
| engineer (average) | | |
| 2 Application development | | |
| engineer (junior) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Fixed amount of work | | |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| Facilities of: ICUBE-ISERTI | T, VITO, ReSAC and HIR | O |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery d | ates, milestones or other c | onstrains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| _ | | |

Table 7.0.44: Activity 4.2.3.4 attributes



| Description of Work: Manufacturing of the sensors of the prototype, in order to be tested in the following activities. Predecessors: 4.2.1.0 Relationship: FS Number and Type of Resources Required: 1 System development engineer (average) 2 System development engineers (junior) Type of Effort: Fixed amount of work. Location of Performance: Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U and HIRO. Constraints: The prototype should be ready at $t_0 + 34$ months. | WBS-ID: | | Activity: | |
|---|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Description of Work: Manufacturing of the sensors of the prototype, in order to be tested in the following activities. Predecessors: 4.2.1.0 Relationship: FS - Number and Type of Resources Required: 1 System development engineer (average) 2 System development engineers (junior) Type of Effort: Fixed amount of work. Location of Performance: Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U and HIRO. Constraints: The prototype should be ready at $t_0 + 34$ months. | 5.1.1 | | Manufacturing of payload | |
| Manufacturing of the sensors of the prototype, in order to be tested in the following activities. Predecessors: 4.2.1.0 Relationship: FS - Number and Type of Skill Requirements: Cother Required Resources: Average 1 Development centre 1 System development engineer (average) 2 System development engineers (junior) Type of Effort: Fixed amount of work. Location of Performance: Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U and HIRO. Constraints: The prototype should be ready at $t_0 + 34$ months. | | | sensors | |
| activities. Predecessors: | Description of Work: | | | |
| Predecessors:Relationship:Lag: $4.2.1.0$ FS-Number and Type of Resources Required:Skill Requirements:Other Required Resources:1 System development engineer (average)Junior1 Payload building block2 System development engineers (junior)1 Payload building blockType of Effort:Fixed amount of work.Location of Performance:Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, DeimosSpace S.L.U and HIRO.Constraints:The prototype should be ready at $t_0 + 34$ months. | Manufacturing of the sensor | s of the prototype, in order to | be tested in the following | |
| 4.2.1.0 FS Chumber and Type of Skill Requirements: Other Required Resources: Resources Required: Average 1 Development centre 1 System development Junior 1 Payload building block engineer (average) 2 System development engineers (junior) Type of Effort: Fixed amount of work. Location of Performance: Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U and HIRO. Constraints: The prototype should be ready at $t_0 + 34$ months. | activities. | | | |
| Number and Type of Resources Required: 1 System development engineer (average) 2 System development engineers (junior)Average Junior1 Development centre 1 Payload building blockType of Effort: Fixed amount of work.Location of Performance: Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U and HIRO.Constraints: The prototype should be ready at $t_0 + 34$ months. | Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: | |
| Resources Required:Average1 Development centre1 System development engineer (average)Junior1 Payload building block2 System development engineers (junior)1Type of Effort:Fixed amount of work.Location of Performance:Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, DeimosSpace S.L.U and HIRO.Constraints:The prototype should be ready at $t_0 + 34$ months. | 4.2.1.0 | FS | - | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: | |
| engineer (average) 2 System development engineers (junior) Type of Effort: Fixed amount of work. Location of Performance: Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U and HIRO. Constraints: The prototype should be ready at $t_0 + 34$ months. | Resources Required: | Average | 1 Development centre | |
| 2 System development engineers (junior) Type of Effort: Fixed amount of work. Location of Performance: Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U and HIRO. Constraints: The prototype should be ready at $t_0 + 34$ months. | 1 System development | Junior | 1 Payload building block | |
| engineers (junior) Type of Effort: Fixed amount of work. Location of Performance: Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U and HIRO. Constraints: The prototype should be ready at $t_0 + 34$ months. | engineer (average) | | | |
| Type of Effort: Fixed amount of work. Location of Performance: Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U and HIRO. Constraints: The prototype should be ready at $t_0 + 34$ months. | 2 System development | | | |
| Fixed amount of work. Location of Performance: Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U and HIRO. Constraints: The prototype should be ready at $t_0 + 34$ months. | engineers (junior) | | | |
| Location of Performance: Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U and HIRO. Constraints: The prototype should be ready at $t_0 + 34$ months. | Type of Effort: | | | |
| Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U and HIRO. Constraints: The prototype should be ready at $t_0 + 34$ months. | Fixed amount of work. | | | |
| Space S.L.U and HIRO. Constraints: The prototype should be ready at $t_0 + 34$ months. | Location of Performance: | | | |
| Constraints: The prototype should be ready at $t_0 + 34$ months. | Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos | | | |
| The prototype should be ready at $t_0 + 34$ months. | Space S.L.U and HIRO. | | | |
| | Constraints: | | | |
| A | The prototype should be ready at t_0+34 months. | | | |
| Assumptions: | | | | |

Table 7.0.45: Activity 5.1.1 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 5.1.2 | | Manufacturing of modular |
| | | system |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Manufacturing of the mod | lule of the prototype, in orde | er to be tested in the following |
| activities. | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 4.2.2.0 | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Average | 1 Development centre |
| 1 System development | Junior | 1 Modular building block |
| engineer (average) | | |
| 2 System development | | |
| engineers (junior) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Fixed amount of work. | | |
| Location of Performance | e: | |
| Facilities of: Thales Alenia | a Space S.A.S, Airbus Defen | ce and Space GmbH, Deimos |
| Space S.L.U and HIRO. | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| The prototype should be r | eady at $t_0 + 34$ months. | |
| Assumptions: | | |

Table 7.0.46: Activity 5.1.2 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 5.1.3 | | Implementation of interaction |
| | | platform |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Manufacturing of the intera- | ction platform of the proto | type, in order to be tested in |
| the following activities. | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 4.2.3.0 | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Average | 1 Development centre |
| 1 Application development | Novice | 1 Interaction platform building |
| technician (average) | | block |
| 2 Application development | | |
| technicians (junior) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Fixed amount of work. | | |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos | | |
| Space S.L.U and HIRO. | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| The prototype should be ready at t_0+34 months. | | |
| Assumptions: | | |
| _ | | |

Table 7.0.47: Activity 5.1.3 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 5.2 | | Payload Validation |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Validation of the performan | ce of the sensors mounted or | n the system. |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 5.1.1 | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 5 Testing room |
| 1 System testing engineer | Average | 1 Payload building block |
| (senior) | Junior | |
| 1 System testing engineer | | |
| (average) | | |
| 2 System testing engineers | | |
| (junior) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Fixed duration. | | |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| Facilities of: Thales Alenia | Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence | and Space GmbH, Deimos |
| Space S.L.U and HIRO. | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| The individual systems testi | ng should be ready at t_0+3 | 37 months. |
| Assumptions: | | |
| - | | |

Table 7.0.48: Activity 5.2 attributes



| WBS-ID: | Activity: |
|---------|---------------------------|
| 5.3 | Modular System Validation |

Description of Work:

Validation of the modular system performance, of the systems interaction, of the sensors' data fusion software, of the satellite communications applications domains and also of the physical framework for sensor blocks.

| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| 5.1.2 | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Testing room |
| 1 System testing engineer | Average | 1 Modular building block |
| (senior) | Junior | |
| 1 System testing engineer | | |
| (average) | | |
| 2 System testing engineers | | |
| (junior) | | |

Type of Effort:

Fixed duration.

Location of Performance:

Facilities of: Thales Alenia Space S.A.S, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Deimos Space S.L.U and HIRO.

Constraints:

The individual systems testing should be ready at $t_0\,+\,37$ months.

Assumptions:

Table 7.0.49: Activity 5.3 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 5.4 | | Interaction Platform Validation |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Validation of the interaction | platform to check if it develo | pps all its functions properly. |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 5.1.3 | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Testing room |
| 1 Application development | Average | 1 Interaction platform building |
| manager | | block |
| 1 Application development | | |
| technician (average) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Fixed duration. | | |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| Facilities of: ReSAC, HIRO, VITO nv and ICUBE-SERTIT. | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| The individual systems testing should be ready at t_0+37 months. | | |
| Assumptions: | | |
| - | | |

Table 7.0.50: Activity 5.4 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 5.5 | | Full System Prototype |
| | | Validation |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Validation of the whole syst | em using the prototype in c | order to test its performance. |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 5.01 | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Average | 1 Testing room |
| 2 System testing engineer | | 1 Payload building block |
| (average) | | 1 Modular building block |
| 2 Application development | | 1 Interaction platform building |
| technicians (average) | | block |
| 2 System development | | |
| engineers (average) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Fixed duration. | | |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| Facilities of: HIRO, Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Thales Alenia Space and | | |
| ReSAC. | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| The validation report of the product should be ready at t_0+41 months. | | |
| Assumptions: | | |
| _ | | |

Table 7.0.51: Activity 5.5 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 5.6 | | Quality of the Product |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Quality control of all the s | subsystems of the product an | d all the methodologies |
| applied on its manufacturi | ng and validation. | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| 5.02 | FS | - |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | Expert | 1 Quality laboratory |
| 1 Quality Manager | | 1 Payload building block |
| 1 Quality Manager | | 1 Modular building block |
| Assessor | | 1 Interaction platform building |
| | | block |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Fixed amount of work. | | |
| Location of Performance | e: | |
| Facilities of: HIRO, Airbus | Defence and Space GmbH, | Thales Alenia Space and |
| ReSAC. | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| The validation report of the | ne product should be ready a | t t_0 + 41 months. |
| Assumptions: | | |
| _ | | |

Table 7.0.52: Activity 5.6 attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 6.1.1. | | Study of stakeholders. | |
| Description of Work: | Description of Work: | | |
| Study of the possible compa | nies interested on the project | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: | |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any | |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor | |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag | |
| | other type of scheduling | times or other requirements. | |
| | relationship. | | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: | |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or | |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed | |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work | |
| work | applicable job level) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed | duration, fixed amount of wor | rk or fixed amount of effort | |
| Location of Performance: | | | |
| If the work is to be completed somewhere other than at the performing organization | | | |
| site, indicate location | | | |
| Constraints: | | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains | | | |
| Assumptions: | | | |

Table 7.0.53: Activity X attributes

List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that

HIRO R - 108



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 6.1.2. | | Procurement conditions |
| | | negotiation. |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Negotiation of the condition | s of the procurement of the r | esources. |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag |
| | other type of scheduling | times or other requirements. |
| | relationship. | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work |
| work | applicable job level) | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed | duration, fixed amount of wo | rk or fixed amount of effort |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| If the work is to be complet | ed somewhere other than at t | he performing organization |
| site, indicate location | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains | | |
| Assumptions: | | |
| List any assumption about r | esources availability, skill sets | , or other assumptions that |

Table 7.0.54: Activity X attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 6.1.3. | | Resources purchase. |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Purchase of the resources re | equired in the project. | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag |
| | other type of scheduling | times or other requirements. |
| | relationship. | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work |
| work | applicable job level) | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort | | |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| If the work is to be completed somewhere other than at the performing organization | | |
| site, indicate location | | |
| Constraints: | | |

Table 7.0.55: Activity X attributes

List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that

Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains

Assumptions:

impact activity



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|
| 6.2. | | Exploitation and Business Plan. | |
| Description of Work: | Description of Work: | | |
| Business plan of the product | t to exploit its economic pote | ntial. | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: | |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any | |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor | |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag | |
| | other type of scheduling | times or other requirements. | |
| | relationship. | | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: | |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or | |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed | |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work | |
| work | applicable job level) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed | Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort | | |
| Location of Performance: | | | |
| If the work is to be completed somewhere other than at the performing organization | | | |
| site, indicate location | | | |
| Constraints: | | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains | | | |
| Assumptions: | | | |

Table 7.0.56: Activity X attributes

List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that

HIRO R - 111



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: | |
|---|---|---------------------------------|--|
| 7.1 | | Dissemination and | |
| | | Communication Plan. | |
| Description of Work: | | | |
| Definition of the strategies p | Definition of the strategies planned to the dissemination of the final product. | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: | |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any | |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor | |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag | |
| | other type of scheduling | times or other requirements. | |
| | relationship. | | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: | |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or | |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed | |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work | |
| work | applicable job level) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed | duration, fixed amount of wo | rk or fixed amount of effort | |
| Location of Performance: | | | |
| If the work is to be completed somewhere other than at the performing organization | | | |
| site, indicate location | | | |
| Constraints: | | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains | | | |
| Assumptions: | | | |
| List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that | | | |
| impact activity | | | |

Table 7.0.57: Activity X attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: | |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 7.2.1 | | Web site development. | |
| Description of Work: | Description of Work: | | |
| Development of the web site | e to promote the product. | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: | |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any | |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor | |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag | |
| | other type of scheduling | times or other requirements. | |
| | relationship. | | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: | |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or | |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed | |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work | |
| work | applicable job level) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort | | | |
| Location of Performance: | | | |
| If the work is to be completed somewhere other than at the performing organization | | | |
| site, indicate location | | | |

Table 7.0.58: Activity X attributes

List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that

Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains

HIRO R - 113

Constraints:

Assumptions:



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--|
| 7.2.2 | | Social media management | | |
| Description of Work: | | | | |
| Management of the social m | Management of the social media used in the dissemination plan of the project. | | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: | | |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any | | |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor | | |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag | | |
| | other type of scheduling relationship. | times or other requirements. | | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: | | |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or | | |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed | | |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work | | |
| work | applicable job level) | | | |
| Type of Effort: | | | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort | | | | |
| Location of Performance: | | | | |
| If the work is to be complete | ed somewhere other than at t | he performing organization | | |
| site, indicate location | | | | |
| Constraints: | | | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains | | | | |
| Assumptions: | | | | |
| List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that impact activity | | | | |

Table 7.0.59: Activity X attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|---|--|---------------------------------|
| 7.3.1 | | Conferences. |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Attendance to conferences i | n order to disseminate to pos | sible stakeholders the |
| product. | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag |
| | other type of scheduling relationship. | times or other requirements. |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work |
| work | applicable job level) | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed | duration, fixed amount of wo | rk or fixed amount of effort |
| Location of Performance: | | |
| If the work is to be complet | ed somewhere other than at t | he performing organization |
| site, indicate location | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery d | lates, milestones or other cons | strains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that | | |
| impact activity | | |

Table 7.0.60: Activity X attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|
| 7.3.2 | | Meetings. | |
| Description of Work: | | | |
| Meetings to promote the pro | oduct inside the market. | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: | |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any | |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor | |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag | |
| | other type of scheduling | times or other requirements. | |
| | relationship. | | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: | |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or | |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed | |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work | |
| work | applicable job level) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed | Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort | | |
| Location of Performance: | | | |
| If the work is to be completed somewhere other than at the performing organization | | | |
| site, indicate location | | | |
| Constraints: | | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains | | | |
| Assumptions: | | | |

Table 7.0.61: Activity X attributes

List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that

HIRO R - 116



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|
| 7.4.1. | | Technology demonstrators. | |
| Description of Work: | | | |
| Production of technology de | Production of technology demonstrators needed to the dissemination of the product. | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: | |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any | |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor | |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag | |
| | other type of scheduling | times or other requirements. | |
| | relationship. | | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: | |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or | |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed | |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work | |
| work | applicable job level) | | |
| Type of Effort: | | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed duration, fixed amount of work or fixed amount of effort | | | |
| Location of Performance: | | | |
| If the work is to be complete | ed somewhere other than at t | he performing organization | |
| site, indicate location | | | |
| Constraints: | | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery dates, milestones or other constrains | | | |
| Assumptions: | | | |
| List any assumption about resources availability, skill sets, or other assumptions that | | | |
| impact activity | | | |

Table 7.0.62: Activity X attributes



| WBS-ID: | | Activity: |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 7.4.2 | | Audio visual material |
| | | production. |
| Description of Work: | | |
| Production of all the visual material needed to the promotion of the product. | | |
| Predecessors: | Relationship: | Lag: |
| This section lists other | This describes if the | This section describes any |
| activities which must | predecessor has a | dependencies on predecessor |
| occur before this activity. | start-start, start-finish or | activities like lead times, lag |
| | other type of scheduling | times or other requirements. |
| | relationship. | |
| Number and Type of | Skill Requirements: | Other Required Resources: |
| Resources Required: | The level of skill necessary | Any equipment, supplies, or |
| The number and roles of | to complete the work | other type of resources needed |
| people to complete the | (expert, average, novice or | to complete the work |
| work | applicable job level) | |
| Type of Effort: | | |
| Indicate if the work is fixed | duration, fixed amount of wo | rk or fixed amount of effort |
| Location of Performance | : | |
| If the work is to be comple | ted somewhere other than at t | the performing organization |
| site, indicate location | | |
| Constraints: | | |
| Indicate any fixed delivery | dates, milestones or other cons | strains |
| Assumptions: | | |
| List any assumption about | resources availability, skill sets | , or other assumptions that |

Table 7.0.63: Activity X attributes



8 | Cost estimating

- 8.1 Level of accuracy
- 8.2 Cost estimation worksheet
- 8.3 Activity cost estimation



9 | Cumulative costs

- 9.1 Cumulative cost curve
- 9.2 Budget at completion



10 | Bibliography

[1] Workfront. The 6 Project Constraints, 2017.