



## SECURITY ASSESSMENT REPORT



PREPARED FOR LEVEL UP







## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

SCOPE OF AUDIT	1
TECHNIQUES AND METHODS	2
ISSUE CATEGORIES	3
INTRODUCTION	4
OWERVIEW	5
MANUAL ANALYSIS FINDINGS	6
AUTOMATED ANALYSIS	10
FEES	15
HOLDERS	16
SUMMARY	17
DISCLAIMER	18

### **SCOPE OF AUDIT**

The scope of this audit was to analyze and document the LEVEL UP smart contract codebase for quality, security, and correctness.

### **CHECKED VULNERABILITIES**

We have scanned the smart contract for commonly known and morespecific vulnerabilities. Here are some of the commonly known vulnerabilities that we considered:

- > Re-entrancy
- > Timestamp Dependence
- Gas Limit and Loops
- > DoS with Block Gas Limit
- > Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- Use of tx.origin
- > Exception disorder
- > Gasless send
- Balance equality
- Byte array
- > Transfer forwards all gas
- > ERC20 API violation
- > Malicious libraries
- > Compiler version not fixed
- > Redundant fallback function
- > Send instead of transfer
- > Style guide violation
- > Unchecked external call
- > Unchecked math
- > Unsafe type inference
- > Implicit visibility level



## **TECHNIQUES & METHODS**

Throughout the audit of smart contract, care was taken to ensure:

- The overall quality of code.
- Use of best practices.
- Code documentation and comments match logic and expected behaviour.
- Token distribution and calculations are as per the intended behaviour mentioned in the whitepaper.
- Implementation of ERC-20 token standards.
- Efficient use of gas.
- Code is safe from re-entrancy and other vulnerabilities.

The following techniques, methods and tools were used to review all thesmart contracts.

### Static Analysis

Static Analysis of Smart Contracts was done to identify contract vulnerabilities. In this step a series of automated tools are used to testsecurity of smart contracts.

### Code Review / Manual Analysis

Manual Analysis or review of code was done to identify new vulnerability or verify the vulnerabilities found during the static analysis. Contracts were completely manually analyzed, their logic was checkedand compared with the one described in the whitepaper. Besides, the results of automated analysis were manually verified.

### **ISSUE CATEGORIES**

Every issue in this report has been assigned with a severity level. There are four levels of severity and each of them has been explained below.

#### > HIGH SEVERITY ISSUES

A high severity issue or vulnerability means that your smart contract can be exploited. Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance or functionality and we recommend these issues to be fixed before moving to a live environment.

### > MEDIUM SEVERITY ISSUES

The issues marked as medium severity usually arise because of errors and deficiencies in the smart contract code. Issues on this level could potentially bring problems and they should still be fixed.

### > LOW SEVERITY ISSUES

Low level severity issues can cause minor impact and or are just warnings that can remain unfixed for now. It would be better to fix these issues atsome point in the future.

### > INFORMATIONAL

These are severity four issues which indicate an improvement request, a general question, a cosmetic or documentation error, or a request for information. There is low-to-no impact.

## **ISSUES TABLE**

TYPE	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
OPEN	-	-	4	-
ACKNOWLWDGENT	775		-	-
CLOSED	-	-	-	-

## INTRODUCTION

On 28-06-2023 – Astrobiatech Blockchain Security Team performed asecurity audit for Level UP smart contracts.

CONTRACT NAME	Level UP
CONTRACT ADDRESS	Ox1893b3AdE4BE3a47F9D2226eFB7a7737eE26d587
BLOCKCHAIN	Ethereum
TOTAL SUPPLY	100,000,000
SYMBOL	1 UP
DECIMALS	18

### **OVERVIEW**

CONTRACT ADDRESS 0x1893b3ade4be3a47f9d2226efb7a7737ee26d587

TOKEN TRACKER Level UP (1 UP)

CONTRACT CREATOR
Ox7dO75d5C43f8ecbd348C75A5O7D7FF159d3dAA8c

OWNER ADDRESS
Ox7dO75d5C43f8ecbd348C75A5O7D7FF159d3dAA8c

SOURCE CODE
Contract Source Code Verified at Bscscan

CONTRACT NAME LEVELUP

OTHER SETTINGS default evmVersion, MIT license

COMPILER VERSION vo.8.18+commit.87f61d96

OPTIMIZATION ENABLED
Yes with 200 runs

Code is truncated to fit the constraints of this document.

https://etherscan.io/token/0x1893b3ade4be3a47f9d2226efb7a7737ee26d587#code

### **MANUAL ANALYSIS FINDINGS**

## LOW

1. Owner can exclude accounts from fees

## Description:-

Excludes/Includes an address from the collection of fees

## Recommendation:-

It is recommended to add additional access control measures, such as multi-factor authentication or time-based restrictions, to limitthe number of authorized users who can call these functions. The contract owner account is well secured and only accessible by authorized parties.

# Owner can change fee percentages max 20%

## **Description:-**

Functions that allows the owner of the contract oupdate the buy/sell fees of the contract. Thesefunctions assumes that the input parameters are valid and do not exceed the maximum limit of 20%.

### Recommendation:-

It is recommended to add additional access control measures, such as multi-factor authentication or time-based restrictions, to limitthe number of authorized users who can call these functions. The contract owner account is well secured and only accessible by authorized parties.

## 3. Owner can change the swap tokens at amount within reasonable limit

## Description:-

setSwapTokensAtAmount function allows the owner to set the minimum number of tokens required to trigger an automatic swap.

### Recommendation:-

It's important to ensure that the new swapTokensAtAmount value is reasonable and will not adversely affect the functioning of the token or any associated systems.

## 4. Owner can withdraw any token(except native token) from the contract

## Description:-

claimStuckTokens function allows the contract owner to recover any ERC20 tokens or BNB that were mistakenly sent to the contract's address. There are require statement to prevent the owner from accidentally claiming the native token.

### Recommendation:-

It is generally considered safe for a contract owner to claim stuck tokens, but it's important to ensure that the owner is not abusing this function to steal tokens. In this implementation, there is a require statement that ensures that the owner cannot claim the native token of the block chain on which the contract is deployed.

### **AUTOMATED ANALYSIS**

```
| IMPO:Detectors: | LevellP.sendETH(dddress,uint256) (token.sol#523-528) sends eth to arbitrary user | Dangerous calls: | - (success) = recipient.call(value: amount)() (token.sol#526) | Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wikik/Detector-Oocumentation#functions-that-send-ether-to-arbitrary-destinations | IMPO:Detectors: | Reentrary in LevelLP._transfer(address,address,uint256) (token.sol#600-687): | External calls: | - unisapt/Router.swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(contractTokenBalance,0,path,address(this),block.timestamp) (token.sol#641-646) | - sendETH(address(teamBallet),teamETH) (token.sol#653) | - (success) = recipient.call(value: amount)() (token.sol#526) | - sendETH(address(charityWallet),charityETH) (token.sol#526) | | - sendETH(address(teamBallet),teamETH) (token.sol#527) | - sendETH(address(teamBallet),teamETH) (token.sol#526) | | - sendETH(address(teamBallet),teamETH) (token.sol#526) | - sendETH(address(charityWallet),tharityETH) (token.sol#526) | - sendETH(address,unitten) | - sendETH(address(charityWallet),tharityETH) (token.sol#526) | - sendETH(address,unitten) |
```

```
- ERC20.balanceOf(address) (token.sol#301-303)
- swapping = false (token.sol#663)
LevelUP.swapping (token.sol#427) can be used in cross function reentrancies:
- LevelUP.transfer(address,address,uint256) (token.sol#600-687)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities
INFO:Detectors:
LevelUP._totalSupply (token.sol#426) shadows:
- ERC20._totalSupply (token.sol#276)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#state-variable-shadowing
INFO:Detectors:
LevelUP.claimStuckTokens(address) (token.sol#508-517) ignores return value by ERC20token.transfer(msg.sender,balance) (token.sol#516)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#unchecked-transfer
INFO:Detectors:
LevelUP.sendETM(address,uint256) (token.sol#523-528) ignores return value by (success) = recipient.call{value: amount}() (token.sol#526)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#unchecked-low-level-calls
```



#### **FUNCTIONAL TEST**

```
Contract
         | **Function Name** | **Visibility** | **Hutability** | **Hodifiers** |
ШП
**Context** | Implementation | |||
L | _msgSender | Internal 🙀 | | |
L | _msgData | Internal 🙃 | | |
111111
**Ownwble** | Implementation | Context |||
L | (Constructor) | Public ! | @ | NO! |
L | owner | Public ! | | HO! |
¹ | renounceOwnership | Public 🚦 | 🧶 | onlyOwner |
- | transferOwnership | Public 🕺 | 🧶 | onlyOwner |
 L | _setOwner | Internal 💼 | 🧶 | |
ШШ
**IUnismapU2Factory** | Interface | |||
L | feeTo | External | | | | | | | |
L | feeToSetter | External | | | | | | | | | |
| allPairsLength | External ! | | | | | | |
L | createPair | External | | 🐠 | NO ! |
L | setfeeTo | External 📗 | 🧶 | HO 📗 |
L | setFeeToSetter | External | | @ | NO ! |
**IUnismapU2Pair** | Interface | ||
L | name | External | | | | | | | | |
L | symbol | External | | | | | | | | | |
| decimals | External | | | | | | | | |
L | totalSupply | External | | | | | | | | | |
¹ | balanceOf | External ∮ | | HO∮ |
L | allowance | External | | | | | | | | | |
L | approve | External ! | 🐠 | HO! |
L | transfer | External ! | @ | NO! |
' | transferfrom | External ! | 💗 | HO! |
 └ | DOMAIN_SEPARATOR | External ! | | NO! |
 Կ | PERMIT_TYPEHASH | External 📗 |
                                    | HO ! |
L | monces | External | | | | | | | | | |
L | permit | External 📗 | 🐠 | NO 📗 |
L | MIHIMUM_LIQUIDITY | External 📗 | HO 📗
```

```
factory External | | | | | | | | | | |
 L | token0 | External 📗 |
                           | HO ! |
 L | tokeni | External 📗 |
                           HO !
 L | getReserves | External | | | | | | | | | |
 L | price0CumulativeLast | External ! |
                                           HO !
 - | price1CumulativeLast | External | |
                                           HO !
 L | klast | External | | | | | | | | | |
 L | mint | External 📗 | 🐠 | HO 📗
 L | burn | External | | 💗 | HO ! |
 L | swap | External ! | 🐠 | NO! |
 L | skim | External | | 🐠
                             HO !
 ' | sync | External ! | 💗 | HO! |
 L | initialize | External 📗 | 🐠 | HO 📗 |
\Pi\Pi\Pi
**IUnismapW2Router@1** | Interface | |||
 L | factory | External | | | | | | | | |
 L | HETH | External | | | | | | | | | |
 L | addLiquidity | External 📗 | 🥮 | HO 📗
 L | addLiquidityETH | External 📗 | 🔡 | NO 📗
 L | removeLiquidity | External 🗐 | 🧶 | HO 🗓 |
 L | removeLiquidityETH | External 📗 | 🤴 | NO 📗
 L | removeLiquidityHithPermit | External 📗 | 🤴 | NO 📗
 - | removeLiquidityETHHithPermit | External | | 🐠 | HO! |
 L | swapExactTokensForTokens | External 📗 | 🧶 | HO 📗 |
 🛂 | swapTokensforExactTokens | External 📗 | 🌼 | NO 📗
 💄 | swapExactETHForTokens | External 📗 | 🔡 | HO 📗 |
 L | swapTokensForExactETH | External [
 L | swapExactTokensForETH | External 📗 🗐 | NO 📗 |
 L | swapETHForExactTokens | External 📗 | 🔡 | NO 📗
 L | quote | External | | | | | | | | | |
 L | getAmountOut | External ! |
 L | getAmountIn | External | | | | | | | | | | |
 L | getAmountsOut | External | | | | | | | | | | |
 L | getûmountsIn | External 📗 | | NO 📗 |
 **IUniswapU2Router02** | Interface | IUniswapU2Router01 |||
 🛂 removeLiquidityETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens | External 📲 | 🧶 | HO 📗 |
 ' | removeLiquidityETHHithPermitSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens | External 📗 | 🧶 | HO 📗 |
 💄 | swapExactTokensForTokensSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens | External 🕺 | 🧶 | HO 🗐 |
 🛂 | swapExactETHForTokensSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens | External 📗 | 🔡 | NO 📗
 🕒 | swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens | External 🕺 | 🧶 | NO 🗐 |
\Pi\Pi\Pi\Pi
```

```
**IERC 20 ** | Interface | ||
L | totalSupply | External | | | | | | | | | |
L | balanceOf | External | | | | | | | | |
 L | allowance | External 📗 |
                              HO !
L | transfer | External ! | 🐠 | NO! |
L | approve | External | | 🐠 | HO ! |
'| transferfrom | External | | 💗 | HO ! |
ШШ
**IERC 20 Hetadata ** | Interface | IERC 20 |||
L | name | External | | | | | | | | |
¹ | symbol | External 📗 | HO 📗 |
L | decimals | External ! | | NO! |
11111
**ERC 20 ** | Implementation | Context, IERC 20, IERC 20 Metadata |||
L | (Constructor) | Public ! | @ | HO! |
L | name | Public ! | | NO! |
L | symbol | Public ! | | HO! |
L | decimals | Public | | | | | | | | | | |
L | totalSupply | Public | | | | | | | | | |
L | balanceOf | Public ! | | NO! |
 L | transfer | Public ! | 🧶 | HO! |
 L | allowance | Public | | | | | | | | | |
L | approve | Public 🏮 | 🤴 | NO 🗐 |
 L| transferfrom | Public | | 💗 | HO ! |
 L | increaseAllowance | Public 📗 | 🤴 | HO 📗
L | decreaseAllowance | Public 🗐 | 🐠 | NO 🗓 |
L | transfer | Internal 🙀 | 🧶 | |
 L | _mint | Internal 🔓 | 🌒 | |
L | _burn | Internal 🖟 | 🥮 | |
 L | approve | Internal 🔓 | 🧶 | |
L | _beforeTokenTransfer | Internal 🙀 | 🥮 | |
ШШ
**LevelUP** | Implementation | ERC20, Ownable |||
L | (Constructor) | Public ! | 🔡 | ERC20 |
L | <Receive Ether> | External | | 1 | 100 | |
🖟 | claimStuckTokens | External 🚦 | 🧶 | onlyOwner |
 L | isContract | Internal 🐞 |
L | sendETH | Internal 🐞 | 🧶 | |
L | setAutomatedMarketMakerPair | Private 🐠 | 🥮 | |
 L | excludefromfees | External 📗 | 🧶 | onlyOwner |
 L | isExcludedFromFees | Public | | | | | | | | | |
```

#### ### Legend


## **FEES**

BUY FEES		<u> </u>	8%
C	Charity	2%	
01	Team	6%	

SELL FEES	8%
Charity	2%
Team	6%




## **HOLDERS**



## **SUMMARY**

In this report, we have considered the security of the LEVEL UP platform. We performed our audit according to the procedure described above. O high, O medium, 4 low, and O informational severity were discovered during the audit.



## **DISCLAIMER**

By reading this report or any part of it, you agree to the terms of this disclaimer. If you do not agree to the terms, then please immediately cease reading this report, and delete and destroy any and all copies of this report downloaded and/or printed by you. This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis, and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Astrobiatech Blockchain Security and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers and other representatives) (Astrobiatech BlockchainSecurity) owe no duty of care towards you or any other person, nor does Astrobiatech Blockchain Security make any warranty or representation to any person on the accuracy or completeness of the report. The report is provided "as is", without any conditions, warranties or other terms of any kind except as set out in this disclaimer, and Astrobiatech Blockchain Security hereby excludes all representations, warranties, conditions and other terms (including, without limitation, the warranties implied by law of satisfactory quality, fitness for purpose and the use of reasonable care and skill) which, but for this clause, might have effectin relation to the report. Except and only to the extent that it is prohibited by law, Astrobiatech Blockchain Security hereby excludes all liability and responsibility, and neither you nor any other person shall have any claim against Astrobiatech Blockchain Security, for any amount or kind of loss or damage that may result to you or any other person (including without limitation, any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or pure economic lossor damages, or any loss of income, profits, goodwill, data, contracts, use of money, or business interruption, and whether in delict, tort (including without limitation negligence), contract, breach of statutory duty, misrepresentation (whether innocent or negligent) or otherwise under any claim of any nature whatsoever in any jurisdiction) in any way arising from or connected with this report and the use, inability to use or the results of use of this report, and any reliance on this report. The analysis of the security is purely based on the smart contracts alone. No applications or operations were reviewed for security. No product code has been reviewed.















