

# Introduction to Structured Prediction

Xintian Han

CDS, NYU

April 3, 2019

# Contents

- 1 Introduction to Structured Prediction
- 2 Recap: Bayesian Methods
- 3 Questions

# Introduction to Structured Prediction

# Multiclass Hypothesis Space: Reframed

- **General [Discrete] Output Space:**  $\mathcal{Y}$
- **Base Hypothesis Space:**  $\mathcal{H} = \{h : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\}$ 
  - $h(x, y)$  gives **compatibility score** between input  $x$  and output  $y$
- **Multiclass Hypothesis Space**

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ x \mapsto \arg \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} h(x, y) \mid h \in \mathcal{H} \right\}$$

- Final prediction function is an  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- For each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  there is an underlying compatibility score function  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ .

# Part-of-speech (POS) Tagging

- Given a sentence, give a part of speech tag for each word:

$x$	$\underbrace{[\text{START}]}_{x_0}$	$\underbrace{\text{He}}_{x_1}$	$\underbrace{\text{eats}}_{x_2}$	$\underbrace{\text{apples}}_{x_3}$
$y$	$\underbrace{[\text{START}]}_{y_0}$	$\underbrace{\text{Pronoun}}_{y_1}$	$\underbrace{\text{Verb}}_{y_2}$	$\underbrace{\text{Noun}}_{y_3}$

- $\mathcal{V} = \{\text{all English words}\} \cup \{[\text{START}], ", ."]\}$
- $\mathcal{P} = \{\text{START, Pronoun, Verb, Noun, Adjective}\}$
- $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{V}^n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  [Word sequences of any length]
- $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{P}^n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  [Part of speech sequence of any length]

# Structured Prediction

- A **structured prediction** problem is a multiclass problem in which  $\mathcal{Y}$  is very large, but has (or we assume it has) a certain structure.
- For POS tagging,  $\mathcal{Y}$  grows exponentially in the length of the sentence.
- Typical **structure** assumption: The POS labels form a Markov chain.
  - i.e.  $y_{n+1} \mid y_n, y_{n-1}, \dots, y_0$  is the same as  $y_{n+1} \mid y_n$ .

# Local Feature Functions: Type 1

- A “type 1” **local feature** only depends on
  - the label at a single position, say  $y_i$  (label of the  $i$ th word) and
  - $x$  at any position
- Example:

$$\phi_1(i, x, y_i) = 1(x_i = \text{runs})1(y_i = \text{Verb})$$

$$\phi_2(i, x, y_i) = 1(x_i = \text{runs})1(y_i = \text{Noun})$$

$$\phi_3(i, x, y_i) = 1(x_{i-1} = \text{He})1(x_i = \text{runs})1(y_i = \text{Verb})$$

## Local Feature Functions: Type 2

- A “type 2” **local feature** only depends on
  - the labels at 2 consecutive positions:  $y_{i-1}$  and  $y_i$
  - $x$  at any position

- Example:

$$\theta_1(i, x, y_{i-1}, y_i) = 1(y_{i-1} = \text{Pronoun})1(y_i = \text{Verb})$$

$$\theta_2(i, x, y_{i-1}, y_i) = 1(y_{i-1} = \text{Pronoun})1(y_i = \text{Noun})$$



# Local Feature Vector and Compatibility Score

- At each position  $i$  in sequence, define the **local feature vector**:

$$\Psi_i(x, y_{i-1}, y_i) = (\phi_1(i, x, y_i), \phi_2(i, x, y_i), \dots, \theta_1(i, x, y_{i-1}, y_i), \theta_2(i, x, y_{i-1}, y_i), \dots)$$

- Local compatibility score** for  $(x, y)$  at position  $i$  is  $\langle w, \Psi_i(x, y_{i-1}, y_i) \rangle$ .

## Sequence Compatibility Score

- The **compatibility score** for the pair of sequences  $(x, y)$  is the sum of the local compatibility scores:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_i \langle w, \Psi_i(x, y_{i-1}, y_i) \rangle \\ &= \left\langle w, \sum_i \Psi_i(x, y_{i-1}, y_i) \right\rangle \\ &= \langle w, \Psi(x, y) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we define the sequence feature vector by

$$\Psi(x, y) = \sum_i \Psi_i(x, y_{i-1}, y_i).$$

- So we see this is a special case of linear multiclass prediction.

# Sequence Target Loss

- How do we assess the loss for prediction sequence  $y'$  for example  $(x, y)$ ?
- **Hamming loss** is common:

$$\Delta(y, y') = \frac{1}{|y|} \sum_{i=1}^{|y|} 1(y_i \neq y'_i)$$

- Could generalize this as

$$\Delta(y, y') = \frac{1}{|y|} \sum_{i=1}^{|y|} \delta(y_i, y'_i)$$

# What remains to be done?

- To compute predictions, we need to find

$$\arg \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \langle w, \Psi(x, y) \rangle.$$

- This is straightforward for  $|\mathcal{Y}|$  small.
- Now  $|\mathcal{Y}|$  is exponentially large.
- Because  $\Psi$  breaks down into local functions only depending on 2 adjacent labels,
  - we can solve this efficiently using dynamic programming.
  - (Similar to Viterbi decoding.)
- Learning can be done with SGD and a similar dynamic program.

## Recap: Bayesian Methods

---

# Bayesian Decision Theory

- Ingredients:
  - **Parameter space**  $\Theta$ .
  - **Prior**: Distribution  $p(\theta)$  on  $\Theta$ .
  - **Action space**  $\mathcal{A}$ .
  - **Loss function**:  $\ell : \mathcal{A} \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ .
- The **posterior risk** of an action  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} r(a) &:= \mathbb{E}[\ell(\theta, a) \mid \mathcal{D}] \\ &= \int \ell(\theta, a) p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

- It's the **expected loss under the posterior**.
- A **Bayes action**  $a^*$  is an action that minimizes posterior risk:

$$r(a^*) = \min_{a \in \mathcal{A}} r(a)$$

# The Posterior Predictive Distribution

- Suppose we've already seen data  $\mathcal{D}$ .
- The **posterior predictive distribution** is given by

$$x \mapsto p(y | x, \mathcal{D}) = \int p(y | x; \theta) p(\theta | \mathcal{D}) d\theta.$$

- This is an average of all conditional densities in our family, weighted by the posterior.
- May not have closed form.
- Numerical integral may be hard to compute.

# MAP Estimator Versus Posterior Predictive Distribution

- How do we predict by posterior predictive distribution given a new data point  $x^*$ ?
- We can use  $\hat{y} = \arg \max_y p(y | x, \mathcal{D})$
- What about our MAP estimator for  $\theta$ ?

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} p(\theta | \mathcal{D})$$

- We can also predict  $y$  by

$$\hat{y} = \arg \max_y p(y | x; \theta = \hat{\theta})$$

- In general, the predictions from two methods are different.



## Questions

## Question 1

**Question 1.** (From DeGroot and Schervish) Let  $\theta$  denote the proportion of registered voters in a large city who are in favor of a certain proposition. Suppose that the value of  $\theta$  is unknown, and two statisticians  $A$  and  $B$  assign to  $\theta$  the following different prior PDFs  $\xi_A(\theta)$  and  $\xi_B(\theta)$ , respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_A(\theta) &= 2\theta & \text{for } 0 < \theta < 1, \\ \xi_B(\theta) &= 4\theta^3 & \text{for } 0 < \theta < 1.\end{aligned}$$

In a random sample of 1000 registered voters from the city, it is found that 710 are in favor of the proposition.

- 1 Find the posterior distribution that each statistician assigns to  $\theta$ .
- 2 Find the Bayes estimate of  $\theta$  (minimizer of posterior expected loss) for each statistician based on the squared error loss function.
- 3 Show that after the opinions of the 1000 registered voters in the random sample had been obtained, the Bayes estimates for the two statisticians could not possibly differ by more than 0.002, regardless of the number in the sample who were in favor of the proposition.

## Question 2 and 3

- **Question 2.** Two statistics students decide to compute 95% confidence intervals for the distribution parameter  $\theta$  using an i.i.d. sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . Student B uses Bayesian methods to find a 95% credible set  $[L_B, R_B]$  for  $\theta$ . Student F uses frequentist methods to find a 95% confidence interval  $[L_F, R_F]$  for  $\theta$ . Both conclude that parameter  $\theta$  is in their respective intervals with probability at least .95. Who is correct? Explain.
- **Question 3.** Suppose  $\theta$  has prior distribution  $\text{Beta}(a, b)$  for some  $a, b > 0$ . Given  $\theta$ , suppose we make independent coin flips with heads probability  $\theta$ . Find values of  $a, b$  and the coin flips so that the posterior variance is larger than the prior variance. [Hint: Recall that a  $\text{Beta}(a, b)$  random variable has variance given by

$$\frac{ab}{(a+b)^2(a+b+1)}.$$

Try  $b = 1$ .]

## Question 4

**Question 4.** What would be the Maximum a Posteriori (MAP) estimator for  $\lambda$  for i.i.d.  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$  where  $x_i \sim \exp(\lambda)$  with prior  $\lambda \sim \text{Uniform}[u_0, u_1]$ ?