

Week 1 Lecture: Concept Check Exercises

Starred problems are optional.

Statistical Learning Theory

1. Suppose $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}$ and \mathcal{X} is some other set. Furthermore, assume $P_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}}$ is a discrete joint distribution. Compute a Bayes decision function when the loss function $\ell : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\ell(a, y) = \mathbf{1}(a \neq y),$$

the 0 – 1 loss.

2. (★) Suppose $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}$, \mathcal{X} is some other set, and $\ell : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by $\ell(a, y) = (a - y)^2$, the square error loss. What is the Bayes risk and how does it compare with the variance of Y ?
3. Let $\mathcal{X} = \{1, \dots, 10\}$, let $\mathcal{Y} = \{1, \dots, 10\}$, and let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{Y}$. Suppose the data generating distribution, P , has marginal $X \sim \text{Unif}\{1, \dots, 10\}$ and conditional distribution $Y|X = x \sim \text{Unif}\{1, \dots, x\}$. For each loss function below give a Bayes decision function.

(a) $\ell(a, y) = (a - y)^2$,

(b) $\ell(a, y) = |a - y|$,

(c) $\ell(a, y) = \mathbf{1}(a \neq y)$.

4. Show that the empirical risk is an unbiased and consistent estimator of the Bayes risk. You may assume the Bayes risk is finite.
5. Let $\mathcal{X} = [0, 1]$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}$. Suppose you receive the (x, y) data points $(0, 5)$, $(.2, 3)$, $(.37, 4.2)$, $(.9, 3)$, $(1, 5)$. Throughout assume we are using the 0 – 1 loss.
 - (a) Suppose we restrict our decision functions to the hypothesis space \mathcal{F}_1 of constant functions. Give a decision function that minimizes the empirical risk over \mathcal{F}_1 and the corresponding empirical risk. Is the empirical risk minimizing function unique?
 - (b) Suppose we restrict our decision functions to the hypothesis space \mathcal{F}_2 of piecewise-constant functions with at most 1 discontinuity. Give a decision function that minimizes the empirical risk over \mathcal{F}_2 and the corresponding empirical risk. Is the empirical risk minimizing function unique?

6. (★) Let $\mathcal{X} = [-10, 10]$, $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}$ and suppose the data generating distribution has marginal distribution $X \sim \text{Unif}[-10, 10]$ and conditional distribution $Y|X = x \sim \mathcal{N}(a + bx, 1)$ for some fixed $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose you are also given the following data points: $(0, 1)$, $(0, 2)$, $(1, 3)$, $(2.5, 3.1)$, $(-4, -2.1)$.
- Assuming the 0 – 1 loss, what is the Bayes risk?
 - Assuming the square error loss $\ell(a, y) = (a - y)^2$, what is the Bayes risk?
 - Using the full hypothesis space of all (measurable) functions, what is the minimum achievable empirical risk for the square error loss.
 - Using the hypothesis space of all affine functions (i.e., of the form $f(x) = cx + d$ for some $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$), what is the minimum achievable empirical risk for the square error loss.
 - Using the hypothesis space of all quadratic functions (i.e., of the form $f(x) = cx^2 + dx + e$ for some $c, d, e \in \mathbb{R}$), what is the minimum achievable empirical risk for the square error loss.

Stochastic Gradient Descent

- When performing mini-batch gradient descent, we often randomly choose the mini-batch from the full training set without replacement. Show that the resulting mini-batch gradient is an unbiased estimate of the gradient of the full training set. Here we assume each decision function f_w in our hypothesis space is determined by a parameter vector $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- You want to estimate the average age of the people visiting your website. Over a fixed week we will receive a total of N visitors (which we will call our full population). Suppose the population mean μ is unknown but the variance σ^2 is known. Since we don't want to bother every visitor, we will ask a small sample what their ages are. How many visitors must we randomly sample so that our estimator $\hat{\mu}$ has variance at most $\epsilon > 0$?
- (★) Suppose you have been successfully running mini-batch gradient descent with a full training set size of 10^5 and a mini-batch size of 100. After receiving more data your full training set size increases to 10^9 . Give a heuristic argument as to why the mini-batch size need not increase even though we have 10000 times more data.

Week 1 Lab: Concept Check Exercises

Starred problems are optional.

Multivariable Calculus Exercises

1. If $f'(x; u) < 0$ show that $f(x + hu) < f(x)$ for sufficiently small $h > 0$.
2. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable, and assume that $\nabla f(x) \neq 0$. Prove

$$\arg \max_{\|u\|_2=1} f'(x; u) = \frac{\nabla f(x)}{\|\nabla f(x)\|_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \arg \min_{\|u\|_2=1} f'(x; u) = -\frac{\nabla f(x)}{\|\nabla f(x)\|_2}.$$

3. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f(x, y) = x^2 + 4xy + 3y^2$. Compute the gradient $\nabla f(x, y)$.
4. Compute the gradient of $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $f(x) = x^T A x$ and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is any matrix.
5. Compute the gradient of the quadratic function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f(x) = b + c^T x + x^T A x,$$

where $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

6. Fix $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and consider $f(x) = (x - s)^T A (x - s)$ where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Compute the gradient of f .
7. Consider the ridge regression objective function

$$f(w) = \|Aw - y\|_2^2 + \lambda \|w\|_2^2,$$

where $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

- (a) Compute the gradient of f .
- (b) Express f in the form $f(w) = \|Bw - z\|_2^2$ for some choice of B, z .
- (c) Using either of the parts above, compute

$$\arg \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(w).$$

8. Compute the gradient of

$$f(\theta) = \lambda \|\theta\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + \exp(-y_i \theta^T x_i)),$$

where $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Linear Algebra Exercises

- When performing linear regression we obtain the *normal equations* $A^T A x = A^T y$ where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$.
 - If $\text{rank}(A) = n$ then solve the normal equations for x .
 - (★) What if $\text{rank}(A) \neq n$?
- Prove that $A^T A + \lambda \mathbf{I}_{n \times n}$ is invertible if $\lambda > 0$ and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.
- (★) Describe the following set geometrically:

$$\left\{ v \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid v^T \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} v = 4 \right\}.$$

Week 2 Pre-Lecture: Concept Check Exercises

Optimization Prerequisites for Lasso

L1 and L2 Regularization

- Given $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we define a^+, a^- as follows:

$$a^+ = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad a^- = \begin{cases} -a & \text{if } a < 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We call a^+ the *positive part* of a and a^- the *negative part* of a . Note that $a^+, a^- \geq 0$.

- Give an expression for a in terms of a^+, a^- .
- Give an expression for $|a|$ in terms of a^+, a^- .

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ define $x^+ = (x_1^+, \dots, x_d^+)$ and $x^- = (x_1^-, \dots, x_d^-)$.

- Give an expression for x in terms of x^+, x^- .
- Give an expression for $\|x\|_1$ without using any summations or absolute values.
[Hint: Use x^+, x^- and the vector $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^d$.]

- Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Consider the two optimization problems

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} & |x| \\ \text{subject to} & f(x) \in S \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize}_{a, b \in \mathbb{R}} & a + b \\ \text{subject to} & f(a - b) \in S \\ & a, b \geq 0. \end{array}$$

Solve the following questions.

- If x in the first problem satisfies $f(x) \in S$ show how to quickly compute (a, b) for the second problem with $a + b = |x|$ and $f(a - b) \in S$.

- (b) If a, b in the second problem satisfy $f(a - b) \in S$, show how to quickly compute an x for the first problem with $|x| \leq a + b$ and $f(x) \in S$.
- (c) Assume x is a minimizer for the first problem with minimum value p_1^* and (a, b) is a minimizer for the second problem with minimum p_2^* . Using the previous two parts, conclude that $p_1^* = p_2^*$.
3. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and consider the following optimization problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} & \|x\|_1 \\ \text{subject to} & f(x) \in S, \end{array}$$

where $\|x\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i|$. Give a new optimization problem with a linear objective function and the same minimum value. Show how to convert a solution to your new problem into a solution to the given problem. [Hint: Use the previous two problems.]