

Support Vector Machines

David Rosenberg

New York University

February 14, 2017

The SVM as a Quadratic Program

The Margin

Definition


The **margin** (or **functional margin**) for predicted score \hat{y} and true class $y \in \{-1, 1\}$ is $y\hat{y}$.

- The margin often looks like $yf(x)$, where $f(x)$ is our score function.
- The margin is a measure of how **correct** we are.
- We want to **maximize the margin**.
- Most classification losses depend only on the margin.

(This is distinct from but related to **geometric margin** from lab.)

Hinge Loss

- SVM/Hinge loss: $\ell_{\text{Hinge}} = \max\{1 - m, 0\} = (1 - m)_+$
- Margin $m = yf(x)$; “Positive part” $(x)_+ = x1(x \geq 0)$.



Hinge is a **convex, upper bound** on 0–1 loss. Not differentiable at $m = 1$.
We have a “margin error” when $m < 1$.

Support Vector Machine

- Hypothesis space $\mathcal{F} = \{f(x) = w^T x + b \mid w \in \mathbf{R}^d, b \in \mathbf{R}\}$.
- ℓ_2 regularization (Tikhonov style)
- Loss $\ell(m) = \max\{1 - m, 0\} = (1 - m)_+$
- The SVM prediction function is the solution to

$$\min_{w \in \mathbf{R}^d, b \in \mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + \frac{c}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \max(0, 1 - y_i [w^T x_i + b]).$$

SVM Optimization Problem (Tikhonov Version)

The SVM prediction function is the solution to

$$\min_{w \in \mathbf{R}^d, b \in \mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + \frac{c}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \max(0, 1 - y_i [w^T x_i + b]).$$

- unconstrained optimization
- not differentiable because of the max (right at the border of a margin error)
- Can we reformulate into a differentiable problem?

SVM Optimization Problem

- The SVM optimization problem is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize} && \frac{1}{2}\|w\|^2 + \frac{c}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \\ &\text{subject to} && \xi_i \geq \max(0, 1 - y_i [w^T x_i + b]). \end{aligned}$$

- Which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize} && \frac{1}{2}\|w\|^2 + \frac{c}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \\ &\text{subject to} && \xi_i \geq (1 - y_i [w^T x_i + b]) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n \\ &&& \xi_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

SVM as a Quadratic Program

- The SVM optimization problem is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{minimize} && \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + \frac{c}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \\
 &\text{subject to} && -\xi_i \leq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n \\
 &&& (1 - y_i [w^T x_i + b]) - \xi_i \leq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n
 \end{aligned}$$

- Differentiable objective function
- $n + d + 1$ unknowns and $2n$ affine constraints.
- A quadratic program that can be solved by any off-the-shelf QP solver.
- Let's learn more by examining the dual.

The SVM Dual Problem

SVM Lagrange Multipliers

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{minimize} && \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + \frac{c}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \\
 &\text{subject to} && -\xi_i \leq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n \\
 &&& (1 - y_i [w^T x_i + b]) - \xi_i \leq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n
 \end{aligned}$$

Lagrange Multiplier	Constraint
λ_i	$-\xi_i \leq 0$
α_i	$(1 - y_i [w^T x_i + b]) - \xi_i \leq 0$

$$L(w, b, \xi, \alpha, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + \frac{c}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (1 - y_i [w^T x_i + b] - \xi_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (-\xi_i)$$

SVM Lagrangian

- The Lagrangian for this formulation is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & L(w, b, \xi, \alpha, \lambda) \\
 = & \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + \frac{c}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (1 - y_i [w^T x_i + b] - \xi_i) - \sum_i \lambda_i \xi_i \\
 = & \frac{1}{2} w^T w + \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \left(\frac{c}{n} - \alpha_i - \lambda_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (1 - y_i [w^T x_i + b]).
 \end{aligned}$$

- Primal and dual:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p^* &= \inf_{w, \xi, b} \sup_{\alpha, \lambda \geq 0} L(w, b, \xi, \alpha, \lambda) \\
 &\geq \sup_{\alpha, \lambda \geq 0} \inf_{w, b, \xi} L(w, b, \xi, \alpha, \lambda) = d^*
 \end{aligned}$$

- Do we have $p^* = d^*$?

Strong Duality by Slater's constraint qualification

- The SVM optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + \frac{c}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \\ \text{subject to} \quad & -\xi_i \leq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n \\ & (1 - y_i [w^T x_i + b]) - \xi_i \leq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

- Convex problem + affine constraints \implies strong duality iff problem is feasible
- Constraints are satisfied by $w = b = 0$ and $\xi_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$,
 - so **we have strong duality** \implies

$$\begin{aligned} p^* &= \inf_{w, \xi, b} \sup_{\alpha, \lambda \geq 0} L(w, b, \xi, \alpha, \lambda) \\ &= \sup_{\alpha, \lambda \geq 0} \inf_{w, b, \xi} L(w, b, \xi, \alpha, \lambda) = d^* \end{aligned}$$

SVM Dual Function

- Lagrange dual is the inf over primal variables of the Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(\alpha, \lambda) &= \inf_{w, b, \xi} L(w, b, \xi, \alpha, \lambda) \\
 &= \inf_{w, b, \xi} \left[\frac{1}{2} w^T w + \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \left(\frac{c}{n} - \alpha_i - \lambda_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (1 - y_i [w^T x_i + b]) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

- Taking inf of convex and differentiable function of w, b, ξ .
 - Quadratic in w and linear in ξ and b .
- Thus optimal point iff $\partial_w L = 0 \partial_b L = 0 \partial_\xi L = 0$
- Note: $g(\alpha, \lambda) = -\infty$ when $\frac{c}{n} - \alpha_i - \lambda_i \neq 0$. (send $\xi_i \rightarrow \pm\infty$)

SVM Dual Function: First Order Conditions

Lagrange dual function is the inf over primal variables of L :

$$g(\alpha, \lambda) = \inf_{w, b, \xi} L(w, b, \xi, \alpha, \lambda)$$

$$= \inf_{w, b, \xi} \left[\frac{1}{2} w^T w + \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \left(\frac{c}{n} - \alpha_i - \lambda_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (1 - y_i [w^T x_i + b]) \right]$$

$$\partial_w L = 0 \iff w - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i x_i = 0 \iff \boxed{w = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i x_i}$$

$$\partial_b L = 0 \iff - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i = 0 \iff \boxed{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i = 0}$$

$$\partial_{\xi_i} L = 0 \iff \frac{c}{n} - \alpha_i - \lambda_i = 0 \iff \boxed{\alpha_i + \lambda_i = \frac{c}{n}}$$

SVM Dual Function

- Substituting these conditions back into L , the second term disappears.
- First and third terms become

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}w^T w &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j x_i^T x_j \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (1 - y_i [w^T x_i + b]) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j x_j^T x_i - b \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i}_{=0}.\end{aligned}$$

- Putting it together, the dual function is

$$g(\alpha, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j x_j^T x_i & \begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i = 0 \\ \alpha_i + \lambda_i = \frac{c}{n}, \text{ all } i \end{array} \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

SVM Dual Problem

- The **dual function** is

$$g(\alpha, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j x_j^T x_i & \begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i = 0 \\ \alpha_i + \lambda_i = \frac{c}{n}, \text{ all } i \end{array} \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- The **dual problem** is $\sup_{\alpha, \lambda \succeq 0} g(\alpha, \lambda)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\alpha, \lambda} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j x_j^T x_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i = 0 \\ & \alpha_i + \lambda_i = \frac{c}{n} \quad \alpha_i, \lambda_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

SVM Dual Problem: Eliminating a Variable

- Can eliminate the λ variables:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\alpha} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j x_j^T x_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i = 0 \\ & \alpha_i \in \left[0, \frac{C}{n}\right] \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

- Quadratic objective in n unknowns and $2n$ constraints
- Efficient minimization algorithm: SMO (sequential minimal optimization)
- Now let's see what we can learn from dual formulation...

The Form of the Primal Solution (w^*)

The Form of w^*

- Recall

$$\partial_w L = 0 \iff w = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i x_i$$

- If α^* is a solution to the dual problem, then primal solution is

$$w^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* y_i x_i.$$

- We now know the form of w^* : **a linear combination of x_i 's.**
- Recall $\alpha_i^* \in [0, \frac{c}{n}]$. So c controls max weight on each example. (**Robustness!**)
- What's b^* ? We'll come back to that.

Support Vectors

- If α^* is a solution to the dual problem, then primal solution is

$$w^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* y_i x_i$$


with $\alpha_i^* \in [0, \frac{c}{n}]$.

- We'll soon show that we often have $\alpha_i^* = 0$.
- The x_i 's corresponding to $\alpha_i^* > 0$ are called **support vectors**.
- This can give a **sparsity in input examples**.
 - This becomes more relevant after “kernelization”, next week.

The Margin and Support Vectors

The Margin and Some Terminology

- For notational convenience, define $f^*(x) = x_i^T w^* + b^*$.
- Margin $yf^*(x)$



- Incorrect classification: $yf^*(x) \leq 0$.
- Margin error: $yf^*(x) < 1$.
- “On the margin”: $yf^*(x) = 1$.
- “Good side of the margin”: $yf^*(x) > 1$.

Support Vectors and The Margin

- Recall $\xi_i^* = (1 - y_i f^*(x_i))_+$ the hinge loss on (x_i, y_i) .
- Suppose $\xi_i^* = 0$.
- Then $y_i f^*(x_i) \geq 1$
 - “on the margin” ($= 1$), or
 - “on the good side” (> 1)

Complementary Slackness Consequences

- For our SVM primal, the complementary slackness conditions are:

$$\alpha_i^* (1 - y_i f^*(x_i) - \xi_i^*) = 0$$

$$\lambda_i^* \xi_i^* = \left(\frac{c}{n} - \alpha_i^* \right) \xi_i^* = 0$$

- If $y_i f^*(x) > 1$ then the margin loss is $\xi_i^* = 0$, and we get $\alpha_i^* = 0$.
- If $y_i f^*(x_i) < 1$ then the margin loss is $\xi_i^* > 0$, so $\alpha_i^* = \frac{c}{n}$.
- If $\alpha_i^* = 0$, then $\xi_i^* = 0$, which implies no loss, so $y_i f^*(x) \geq 1$.
- If $\alpha_i^* \in (0, \frac{c}{n})$, then $\xi_i^* = 0$, which implies $1 - y_i f^*(x_i) = 0$.

Complementary Slackness Results: Summary

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_i^* = 0 &\implies y_i f^*(x_i) \geq 1 \\ \alpha_i^* \in \left(0, \frac{c}{n}\right) &\implies y_i f^*(x_i) = 1 \\ \alpha_i^* = \frac{c}{n} &\implies y_i f^*(x_i) \leq 1\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}y_i f^*(x_i) < 1 &\implies \alpha_i^* = \frac{c}{n} \\ y_i f^*(x_i) = 1 &\implies \alpha_i^* \in \left[0, \frac{c}{n}\right] \\ y_i f^*(x_i) > 1 &\implies \alpha_i^* = 0\end{aligned}$$

Complementary Slackness To Get b^*

Complementary Slackness

- By strong duality, we have the following **complementary slackness** condition:
 - Lagrange multiplier is zero unless the [primal] constraint is active at the optimum:
 $\lambda_i^* f_i(x^*) = 0$
- Our primal constraints:

$$(\alpha_i) \quad (1 - y_i [x_i^T w + b]) - \xi_i \leq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$(\lambda_i) \quad -\xi_i \leq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

- Complementary slackness is about **optimal** primal and dual variables
 - Let (w^*, b^*, ξ_i^*) be primal optimal
 - Let (α^*, λ^*) be dual optimal

The Bias Term: b

- For our SVM primal, the complementary slackness conditions are:

$$\alpha_i^* (1 - y_i [x_i^T w^* + b] - \xi_i^*) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\lambda_i^* \xi_i^* = \left(\frac{c}{n} - \alpha_i^* \right) \xi_i^* = 0 \quad (2)$$

- Suppose there's an i such that $\alpha_i^* \in (0, \frac{c}{n})$.
- (2) implies $\xi_i^* = 0$.
- (1) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & y_i [x_i^T w^* + b^*] = 1 \\ \iff & x_i^T w^* + b^* = y_i \text{ (use } y_i \in \{-1, 1\}) \\ \iff & \boxed{b^* = y_i - x_i^T w^*} \end{aligned}$$

The Bias Term: b

- The optimal b is

$$b^* = y_i - x_i^T w^*$$

- We get the same b^* for any choice of i with $\alpha_i^* \in (0, \frac{c}{n})$
 - **With exact calculations!**
- With numerical error, more robust to average over all eligible i 's:

$$b^* = \text{mean} \left\{ y_i - x_i^T w^* \mid \alpha_i^* \in \left(0, \frac{c}{n} \right) \right\}.$$

- If there are no $\alpha_i^* \in (0, \frac{c}{n})$?
 - Then we have a **degenerate SVM training problem**¹ ($w^* = 0$).

¹See Rifkin et al.'s "A Note on Support Vector Machine Degeneracy", an MIT AI Lab Technical Report.

Kernelization?

Dual Problem: Dependence on x through inner products

- SVM Dual Problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\alpha} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j x_j^T x_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i = 0 \\ & \alpha_i \in \left[0, \frac{C}{n}\right] \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$