## Machine Learning – Brett Bernstein

# Recitation 9: Gradient Boosting

#### **Intro Question**

1. Suppose 10 different meteorologists have produced functions  $f_1, \ldots, f_{10} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  that forecast tomorrow's noon-time temperature using the same d features. Given 1000 past data points  $(x_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$  of similar forecast situations, describe a method to forecast tomorrow's noon-time temperature.

#### Review of AdaBoost

Assume we have access to a learning algorithm that, given a dataset  $\mathcal{D} = \{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\}$ , and a weighting  $w_1, \dots, w_n > 0$  will produce a decision function f such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \mathbf{1}(f(x_i) \neq y_i)\gamma < \leq 0.5.$$

We want to use this learning algorithm to build an aggregate classifier of the form

$$G(x) = \operatorname{sgn}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_m G_m\right).$$

AdaBoost is a method for doing this, that fits each successive  $G_m$  by reweighting the training examples according to the misclassifications of  $G_{m-1}$ . AdaBoost is an example of the more general class of additive models.

## Additive Modeling

Additive models over a base hypothesis space  $\mathcal{H}$  take the form

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ f(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \nu_m h_m(x) \mid h_m \in \mathcal{H}, \nu_m \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

Since we are taking linear combinations, we assume the  $h_m$  functions take values in  $\mathbb{R}$  or some other vector space. Empirical risk minimization over  $\mathcal{F}$  tries to find

$$\underset{f \in \mathcal{F}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(y_i, f(x_i)).$$

This in general is a difficult task, as the number of base hypotheses M is unknown, and each base hypothesis  $h_m$  ranges over all of  $\mathcal{H}$ . One approach to fitting additive models is to proceed stagewise in a greedy fashion.

#### Forward Stagewise Additive Modeling (FSAM)

The FSAM method fits additive models using the following algorithmic structure:

- 1. Initialize  $f_0 \equiv 0$ .
- 2. For stage m = 1, 2, ...:
  - (a) Choose  $h_m \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\nu_m \in \mathbb{R}$  so that

$$f_m = f_{m-1} + \nu_m h_m$$

has the minimum empirical risk.

(b) The function  $f_m$  has the form

$$f_m = \nu_1 h_1 + \dots + \nu_m h_m.$$

When choosing  $h_m, \nu_m$  during stage m, we must solve the minimization

$$(\nu_m, h_m) = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\nu \in \mathbb{R}, h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(y_i, f_{m-1}(x_i) + \nu h(x_i)).$$

Depending on the base hypothesis space  $\mathcal{H}$  and loss function  $\ell$ , this can be a difficult task. The approach we discuss next will leverage the optimization/calculus skills we have used throughout the class.

#### **Gradient Boosting**

Instead of determining how to find the optimal  $(\nu, h)$  pair, we solve an easier local problem using derivatives. We can look at the equation

$$f_m(x) = f_{m-1}(x) + \nu_m h_m(x)$$

as starting from the function  $f_{m-1}$  and taking a step in the direction  $h_m$  with step length  $\nu_m$ . We are looking for a step that will minimize the objective

$$\ell(y_1, f_{m-1}(x_1) + \nu_m h_m(x_1)) + \dots + \ell(y_1, f_{m-1}(x_n) + \nu_m h_m(x_n)).$$

Suppose that instead of using a base classifier  $h_m$ , we are allowed to take a small step in a direction  $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$J(d) = \ell(y_1, f_{m-1}(x_1) + d_1) + \dots + \ell(y_1, f_{m-1}(x_n) + d_n).$$

Which direction d gives us the steepest descent? The solution is the negative gradient (or negative subgradient where not differentiable)

$$-\nabla_d J(0) = -(\partial_2 \ell(y_1, f_{m-1}(x_1)), \dots, \partial_2 \ell(y_n, f_{m-1}(x_n)))^T.$$

This vector is sometimes called the *pseudoresidual*. Here  $\partial_2$  means to take the partial derivative of  $\ell$  with respect to its second argument. This is sometimes written as

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial f(x_i)} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(y_i, f(x_i)) \right|_{f(x_i) = f_{m-1}(x_i)}.$$

While the negative gradient does give us the steepest descent, it may not correspond to a base hypothesis. To address this, our next step is to find the base hypothesis that is closest to the negative gradient. This is done by solving the following minimization problem

$$h_m := \arg\min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} (-\partial_2 \ell(y_1, f_{m-1}(x_1)) - h(x_1))^2 + \dots + (-\partial_2 \ell(y_n, f_{m-1}(x_n)) - h(x_n))^2.$$

In words, we must find the base hypothesis  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  whose values on the data are closest to the pseudoresidual vector (in Euclidean distance). Suppose we have a learning algorithm that given a dataset will (approximately) determine the ERM for the square loss. We can then create a mock dataset

$$\mathcal{D}^{(m)} = \{(x_1, -\partial_2 \ell(y_1, f_{m-1}(x_1))), \dots, (x_n, -\partial_2 \ell(y_n, f_{m-1}(x_n)))\}$$

and feed it into our learning algorithm. The output of the learning algorithm will be the  $h_m$  we desired above.

Once we know  $h_m$ , the step length  $\nu_m$  can be determined in several ways:

1. Perform a line search:

$$\nu_m := \underset{\nu}{\arg\min} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(f_{m-1}(x_i), \nu h_m(x_i)).$$

2. Used a fixed constant  $\nu_m \in (0,1)$ . The value 0.1 is typical, but this value can be optimized as a hyperparameter via validation.

The algorithm explained above is sometimes called *functional gradient descent* or *any-boost*. The most commonly used base hypothesis space for gradient boosting is small regression trees (HTF recommend between 4 and 8 leaves).

## **Examples of Gradient Boosting**

**Example 1** (Using  $\ell(y,a) = (y-a)^2/2$ ). To compute an arbitrary pseudoresidual we first note that

$$\partial_a (y-a)^2 = -(y-a)$$

giving

$$-\partial_2 \ell(y_i, f_{m-1}(x_i)) = (y_i - f_{m-1}(x_i)).$$

In words, for the square loss, the pseudoresiduals are simply the residuals from the previous stage's fit. Thus, in stage m our step direction  $h_m$  is given by solving

$$h_m := \underset{h \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{arg min}} \sum_{i=1}^n ((y_i - f_{m-1}(x_i)) - h(x_i))^2.$$

**Example 2** (Using  $\ell(y, a) = |y - a|$ ). Note that

$$\partial_a |y - a| = -\operatorname{sgn}(y - a)$$

giving

$$-\partial_2 \ell(y_i, f_{m-1}(x_i)) = \operatorname{sgn}(y_i - f_{m-1}(x_i)).$$

The absolute loss only cares about the sign of the residual from the previous stage's fit. Thus, in stage m our step direction  $h_m$  is given by solving

$$h_m := \underset{h \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{arg \, min}} \sum_{i=1}^n (\operatorname{sgn}(y_i - f_{m-1}(x_i)) - h(x_i))^2.$$

**Example 3** (Using  $\ell(y,a) = e^{-ya}$ ). Note that

$$\partial_a e^{-ya} = -ye^{-ya}$$

giving

$$-\partial_2 \ell(y_i, f_{m-1}(x_i)) = y_i e^{-y_i f_{m-1}(x_i)}.$$

Thus, in stage m our step direction  $h_m$  is given by solving

$$h_m := \underset{h \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i e^{-y_i f_{m-1}(x_i)} - h(x_i))^2.$$

As an aside, we will now sketch an argument that shows that if we have learners in the sense of AdaBoost (i.e., they produce classification functions that minimize a weighted 0-1 loss), we can use them with GBM and the exponential loss to recover the AdaBoost algorithm. Let

$$\vec{r} = (y_i e^{-y_i f_{m-1}(x_i)})_{i=1}^n$$
 and  $\vec{h} = (h(x_i))_{i=1}^n$ .

Then we have

$$h_m = \underset{k \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \|\vec{r} - \vec{h}\|_2^2 = \|\vec{r}\|_2^2 + \|\vec{h}\|_2^2 - 2\langle \vec{r}, \vec{h} \rangle.$$

Note that  $\vec{h} \in \{-1,1\}^n$  so  $||\vec{h}||_2^2 = n$ , i.e., a constant. Thus this minimization is equivalent to

$$\underset{h \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \langle \vec{r}, \vec{h} \rangle.$$

Plugging in, we have

$$h_m = \underset{h \in \mathcal{H}}{\arg \max} \sum_{i=1}^n h(x_i) y_i e^{-y_i f_{m-1}(x_i)}.$$

Note that

$$h(x_i)y_i = 1 - 2 \cdot \mathbf{1}(h(x_i) \neq y_i)$$

SO

$$h_{m} = \underset{h \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{arg \, max}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{-y_{i} f_{m-1}(x_{i})} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{-y_{i} f_{m-1}(x_{i})} \mathbf{1}(h(x_{i}) \neq y_{i})$$
$$= \underset{h \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{arg \, min}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{-y_{i} f_{m-1}(x_{i})} \mathbf{1}(h(x_{i}) \neq y_{i}).$$

Thus we see that  $h_m$  minimizes a weighted 0-1 loss. The weights are

$$e^{-y_i f_{m-1}(x_i)} = e^{-y_i (\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \nu_i h_i(x_i))} = \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} e^{-y_i \nu_i h_i(x_i)} = \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} e^{-\nu_i (1-2\mathbf{1}(h_i(x_i)\neq y_i))}.$$

By solving for the optimal step size  $\nu_m$  it can be shown (we omit this) that the resulting function  $f_m$  is the same as produced by AdaBoost.

Next we apply GBM to square loss and absolute loss on a simple 1-d data set. We use decision stumps as our base hypothesis space. Run gbm.py to see the output.