

# Three Sum

## Problem Description

You are given an array of integers **arr** and a target value **target**. Your task is to determine if there exists a triplet (three distinct elements) in the array such that their sum equals the **target**.

The output should be “Yes” if such a triplet exists, otherwise “No”.

---

## Example Explanation

### Input

1 2 3  
6

### Explanation

- Array: [1, 2, 3]
- Target: 6
- Triplet:  $1 + 2 + 3 = 6$

### Output

Yes

### Input

4 5 6 1  
10

### Explanation

- Array: [4, 5, 6, 1]
- Target: 10
- Triplet:  $4 + 5 + 1 = 10$

### Output

Yes

---

## Constraints & Key Observations

- $3 \leq n \leq 10^5$
- $1 \leq \text{arr}[i] \leq 10^9$
- Time Limit: 1000 ms (1 second)
- Finding three numbers suggests we need to check combinations.
- A naive approach will be too slow for (total operations ).

- We need an approach closer to or .
- 

## Intuition

The problem asks for . This can be rewritten as finding a pair such that .

If we fix one number (**a**), the problem reduces to the classic **Two Sum** problem for the remaining array with a new target (**target - a**).

Sorting the array first allows us to use the **Two Pointer** technique efficiently.

---

## Approaches

### Approach 1: Brute Force (Naive)

**Explanation** Use three nested loops to check every possible triplet .

**Why It Works** It checks every single combination, so it is guaranteed to find the answer if it exists.

### Why It Fails

- **Time Complexity:** .
- For , this performs operations, which might pass.
- For (as per constraints), this is impossibly slow and will get **Time Limit Exceeded (TLE)**.

### Code

```
# Pseudo-code for logic
for i in range(n):
    for j in range(i+1, n):
        for k in range(j+1, n):
            if arr[i] + arr[j] + arr[k] == target:
                return "Yes"
return "No"
```

---

### Approach 2: Sorting + Two Pointers (Optimal)

#### Explanation

1. **Sort** the array first. ()
2. Iterate through the array with a fixed pointer **i** from 0 to **n-3**.

3. For each `i`, use two pointers (`left` and `right`) on the remaining part of the array:
  - `left = i + 1`
  - `right = n - 1`
4. Calculate `current_sum = arr[i] + arr[left] + arr[right]`.
  - If `current_sum == target`: Return “Yes”.
  - If `current_sum < target`: We need a larger sum, so move `left` forward (`left++`).
  - If `current_sum > target`: We need a smaller sum, so move `right` backward (`right--`).

**Why It Works** Sorting gives order to the numbers. This allows us to make intelligent decisions (moving left or right) instead of blindly checking every pair, reducing the inner complexity from  $O(n^2)$  to  $O(n)$ .

#### Code

```
def solve():
    # Read inputs
    try:
        line1 = input().split()
        if not line1: return # Handle empty input
        arr = list(map(int, line1))

        line2 = input().strip()
        if not line2: return
        target = int(line2)
    except EOFError:
        return

    n = len(arr)

    # 1. Sort the array
    arr.sort()

    # 2. Fix one element and use two pointers
    for i in range(n - 2):
        left = i + 1
        right = n - 1

        while left < right:
            current_sum = arr[i] + arr[left] + arr[right]

            if current_sum == target:
```

```

        print("Yes")
        return
    elif current_sum < target:
        left += 1
    else:
        right -= 1

print("No")

if __name__ == "__main__":
    solve()

```

### Time Complexity

- Sorting:
- Two Pointers Loop: (Outer loop runs times, inner **while** runs times).
- Total: \*\*\*\*. This fits well within the 1-second time limit for typical constraints.

### Space Complexity

- **O(1)** (ignoring space for sorting), as we only use pointers.

### Edge Cases & Common Pitfalls

- **Duplicates:** The problem asks *if* a triplet exists. We don't need to count unique triplets, so duplicates generally don't break the boolean logic, but handling them correctly is important if we needed to print the numbers.
- **Array Size < 3:** If , a triplet cannot exist. The loops naturally handle this, but an explicit check is good practice.
- **Integer Overflow:** Constraints say up to . The sum of three such numbers can reach , which exceeds a standard 32-bit integer (limit ). In Python, this is handled automatically. In C++/Java, use `long long`.

### When Not to Use This Approach

- If the array is **immutable** (cannot be sorted). In that case, use a Hash Map approach ( time, space).

““