# **Table of Contents**

```
Table of Contents
Basic Definitions
    Disk
    Cryptography
        Hashing
        Encryption
Simulation
    Definitions
        LTS
        LTS Refinement Relations
            Refines to Related
            Refines to Valid
            Compiles to Valid
            Transition Preserves Validity
        Restrictions on Refinement Relations
            High Oracle Exists
            Oracle Refines to Same from Related
        Self Simulation
        Strong Bisimulation
    Metatheory
        Main Theorem
Layers
    Base Layer (Layer 1)
        Definitions
        Operational Semantics
            Key Cryptographic Assumptions
Components
    Log
        Structure
        Header Contents
        Functions
    Block Allocator
        TODO
```

# **Basic Definitions**

## Disk

```
Definition addr := nat.

Axiom addr_eq_dec : forall (a b: addr), {a=b}+{a<>b}.

Axiom value : Type.

Axiom nat_to_value : nat -> value.

Axiom value_to_nat : value -> nat.

Axiom nat_to_value_to_nat:

forall n, value_to_nat (nat_to_value n) = n.

Axiom value_to_nat_to_value:

forall v, nat_to_value (value_to_nat v) = v.

Axiom value_dec: forall v v': value, {v=v'}+{v<>v'}.
```

# Cryptography

## Hashing

```
1 Axiom hash : Type.
2 Axiom hash0 : hash.
3 Axiom hash dec: forall h1 h2: hash, \{h1 = h2\}+\{h1 <> h2\}.
   Axiom hash_function: hash -> value -> hash.
 6 Definition hashmap := mem hash hash dec (hash * value).
8 Fixpoint rolling_hash h vl :=
    match vl with
10
    | nil => h
     | cons v vl' => rolling hash (hash function h v) vl'
12
14 Fixpoint rolling_hash_list h vl :=
15
    match vl with
    | nil => nil
    | cons v vl' =>
      let h':= hash function h v in
19
      cons h' (rolling_hash_list h' vl')
    end.
22 Fixpoint hash and pair h vl :=
    match vl with
    | nil => nil
24
    | cons v vl' =>
      let h':= hash_function h v in
27
      cons (h, v) (hash_and_pair h' vl')
```

## **Encryption**

```
Axiom key: Type.

Axiom key_dec: forall k1 k2: key, {k1 = k2}+{k1<>k2}.

Axiom encrypt: key -> value -> value.

Axiom encrypt_ext: forall k v v', encrypt k v = encrypt k v' -> v = v'.

Definition encryptionmap := mem value value_dec (key * value).
```

# **Simulation**

## **Definitions**

### **LTS**

```
Record LTS :=

{
    Oracle : Type;
    State : Type;
    Prog : Type -> Type;
    exec : forall T, Oracle -> State -> Prog T -> @Result State T -> Prop;
}.
```

### LTS Refinement Relations

We need these relations because Coq doesn't have a good way to derive LTS's from existing ones by restricting state spaces, transition relations etc.

#### **Refines to Related**

This relation allow us to restrict properties to two low level states that refines to two high level states that are related by related h.

#### Input:

- two input states (s11 and s12),
- a refinement relation (refines to), and
- a relation (related h)

#### Assertions

- there are two other states (sh1 and sh2) such that,
- sl1 (sl2) refines to sh1 (sh2) via refines to relation, and
- sh1 and sh2 are related via related h

```
Definition refines to related {State L State H: Type}
2
           (refines_to: State_L -> State_H -> Prop)
3
             (related_h: State_H -> State_H -> Prop)
4
             (sl1 sl2: State L)
5
   : Prop :=
   exists (sh1 sh2: State H),
6
     refines to sl1 sh1 /
     refines_to sl2 sh2 /
8
9
     related h sh1 sh2.
```

#### **Refines to Valid**

This definition allows us to restrict properties to low level states that refine to a valid high level state.

#### Input

- an input state (s1),
- a refinement relation ( refines\_to ), and
- a validity predicate (valid state h)

#### Assertions

- for all states sh,
- if sl refines to sh via refines\_to relation,
- then sh is a valid state (satisfies valid state h)

## **Compiles to Valid**

This definition allows us to restrict properties on low level programs that are a valid compilation of a high level program.

#### Input

- an input program (pl),
- a refinement relation (refines to), and
- a validity predicate (valid prog h)

#### Assertions

• there is a program ph such that,

- pl is compilation of ph, and
- ph is a valid program (satisfies valid prog h)

```
Definition compiles_to_valid {Prog_L Prog_H: Type -> Type}

(valid_prog_h: forall T, Prog_H T -> Prop)

(compilation_of: forall T, Prog_L T -> Prog_H T -> Prop)

(T: Type)

(pl: Prog_L T)

: Prop :=
exists (ph: Prog_H T),
compilation_of T pl ph /\
valid_prog_h T ph.
```

### **Transition Preserves Validity**

This definition ties notion of validity with restricting transitions by stating that any reachable state from a valid state is also valid.

#### **Restrictions on Refinement Relations**

Following two properties ensures that your refinement relations has desired properties that allows transferring self simulations between layers

### **High Oracle Exists**

This definition states that

for all low level oracles o1

which results in a successful execution of a compiled program p1 (that is compilation of p2)

from a low level state s1 (that refines to a high level state),

there exists an high level oracle o2 (that is a refinement of o1 ) for p2.

```
Definition high oracle exists {low high}
2
              (refines to: State low -> State high -> Prop)
3
              (compilation of : forall T, Prog low T -> Prog high T -> Prop)
4
              (oracle refines to : forall T, State low -> Prog high T ->
  Oracle low -> Oracle high -> Prop) :=
   forall T o1 s1 s1' p1 p2,
      (exists sh, refines to s1 sh) ->
7
     exec low T o1 s1 p1 s1' ->
      compilation of T p1 p2 ->
8
9
       exists o2, oracle_refines_to T s1 p2 o1 o2.
```

#### **Oracle Refines to Same from Related**

This definition states that our oracle refinement is agnostic to low level states that refine to related high level states. This property captures the fact that if two states are related, then they don't change the nondeterminism in different ways during refinement.

### **Self Simulation**

This is a generalized two-safety property definition. Data confidentiality will be an instance of this. This a little more stronger than a standard simulation because it forces two transitions in two executions to be the same.

```
1
   Record SelfSimulation (lts: LTS)
3
          (valid state: State lts -> Prop)
4
          (valid prog: forall T, Prog lts T -> Prop)
          (R: State lts -> State lts -> Prop) :=
 6
     {
      self_simulation_correct:
        forall T o p s1 s1' s2,
9
          valid_state s1 ->
           valid state s2 ->
          valid prog T p ->
           (exec lts) T o s1 p s1' ->
          R s1 s2 ->
14
          exists s2',
             (exec lts) T o s2 p s2' /\
            result same s1' s2' /\
16
             R (extract state s1') (extract state s2') /\
18
             (forall def, extract ret def s1' = extract ret def s2') /\
            valid state (extract state s1') /\
```

```
valid_state (extract_state s2');
21 }.
```

# **Strong Bisimulation**

This is our refinement notion between two LTS's. It is stronger than a standard bisimulation because it requires transitions to be coupled, instead of just existing a transition in other LTS.

```
Record StrongBisimulation
2
           (lts1 lts2 : LTS)
           (compilation_of: forall T, Prog lts1 T -> Prog lts2 T -> Prop)
           (refines_to: State lts1 -> State lts2 -> Prop)
           (oracle refines to: forall T, State lts1 -> Prog lts2 T -> Oracle
    lts1 -> Oracle lts2 -> Prop)
     :=
7
      {
8
        strong_bisimulation_correct:
9
          (forall T p1 (p2: Prog lts2 T) s1 s2 o1 o2,
10
              refines_to s1 s2 ->
              compilation_of T p1 p2 ->
13
              oracle_refines_to T s1 p2 o1 o2 ->
15
              (forall s1',
                  (exec lts1) T o1 s1 p1 s1' ->
16
                  exists s2',
18
                    (exec lts2) T o2 s2 p2 s2' /\
                    result same s1' s2' /\
20
                    refines_to (extract_state s1') (extract_state s2') /\
21
                    (forall def, extract_ret def s1' = extract_ret def s2'))
22
              (forall s2',
                  (exec lts2) T o2 s2 p2 s2' ->
24
                  exists s1',
                    (exec lts1) T o1 s1 p1 s1' /\
26
                    result same s1' s2' /\
27
                    refines_to (extract_state s1') (extract_state s2') /\
2.8
                    (forall def, extract_ret def s1' = extract_ret def s2')))
29
     } .
```

# Metatheory

### **Main Theorem**

```
Theorem transfer_high_to_low:

forall low high

related_states_h
refines_to
compilation_of
oracle_refines_to
```

```
9
        valid_state_h
       valid prog h,
      SelfSimulation
13
        high
14
        valid state h
15
        valid_prog_h
        related_states_h ->
18
      StrongBisimulation
19
        low
20
        high
21
        compilation_of
        refines_to
23
        oracle refines to ->
24
25
       high_oracle_exists refines_to compilation_of oracle_refines_to ->
26
       oracle refines to same from related refines to related states h
    oracle refines to ->
28
29
       exec_compiled_preserves_validity
       low
      high
32
      compilation of
       (refines_to_valid
34
        refines to
35
         valid_state_h) ->
      SelfSimulation
        low
38
39
        (refines_to_valid
40
           refines_to
            valid state h)
42
        (compiles_to_valid
43
           valid prog h
            compilation of)
44
         (refines_to_related
45
46
           refines_to
            related states h).
47
```

# **Layers**

## **Base Layer (Layer 1)**

### **Definitions**

```
4 | Cont : token.
    Definition oracle := list token.
    Definition state := (((list key * encryptionmap) * hashmap) * disk (value *
    list value)).
 9
    Inductive prog : Type -> Type :=
     | Read : addr -> prog value
11
      | Write : addr -> value -> prog unit
     | GetKey : list value -> prog key
1 4
      | Hash : hash -> value -> prog hash
15
     | Encrypt : key -> value -> prog value
     | Decrypt : key -> value -> prog value
16
     | Ret : forall T, T -> prog T
     | Bind : forall T T', prog T -> (T -> prog T') -> prog T'.
```

## **Operational Semantics**

```
Definition consistent (m: mem A AEQ V) a v :=
    m a = None \ \ m a = Some v.
3
  Fixpoint consistent_with_upds m al vl :=
4
    match al, vl with
     | nil, nil => True
7
     | a::al', v::vl' =>
         consistent m a v /\
8
9
         consistent_with_upds (upd m a v) al' vl'
10
     | _, _ => False
     end.
```

```
1 | Inductive exec : forall T, oracle -> state -> prog T -> @Result state T -
    > Prop :=
3
   . . .
 4
5
     | ExecHash :
        forall em hm d h v,
           let hv := hash function h v in
           consistent hm hv (h, v) ->
            exec [Cont] (em, hm, d) (Hash h v) (Finished (em, (upd hm hv (h,
    v)), d) hv)
     | ExecEncrypt :
        forall kl em hm d k v,
           let ev := encrypt k v in
           consistent em ev (k, v) \rightarrow
14
            exec [Cont] (kl, em, hm, d) (Encrypt k v) (Finished (kl, (upd em
   ev (k, v)), hm, d) ev)
16
     | ExecDecrypt :
        forall kl em hm d ev k v,
```

### **Key Cryptographic Assumptions**

#### No Hash Collisions

This assumption embodied as execution getting stuck if a collision happens during execution. Each hashed value is stored in a map after execution and each input is checked before executing the hash operation.

Justification

It is exponentially unlikely to have a hash collision in a real system.

#### **No Encryption Collisions**

This assumption embodied as execution getting stuck if a collision happens during execution. Each key and block pair is stored in a map and each input checked before executing the encryption operation. This is a stronger assumption than the traditional one because it requires no collision for (key, value) pairs instead of two values for the same key.

Why do we need a stronger assumption?

It is required to prevent the following scenario:

There are two equivalents states st1 and st2.

- a transaction is committed,
- header and all but one data block makes to the disk
- crash happens
- non-written data block matches what is on the disk on st1 only
- recovery commits in st1 but not in st2, leaking confidential information.

#### Justification

In real execution, it is exponentially unlikely to have a collision even for (key, value) pairs. Also, even in the case that such collision happens, leaked data is practically garbage because it is encrypted.

This strong assumption (combined with the total correctness requirement) enforces some restrictions for key generation in operational semantics. We need to ensure that generated key will not create an encryption collision. To ensure that, <code>GenKey</code> operation takes the blocks that will be encrypted as input.

#### Justification

In real execution, it is exponentially unlikely to have a collision. Also, even in the case that such collision happens, leaked data is practically garbage because it is encrypted.

One way to circumvent this would be combining <code>GenKey</code> and <code>Encrypt</code> operation into one operation

```
EncryptWithNewKey: list block -> prog (key * list block)
```

Which takes blocks to be encrypted and encrypts them with a new key, returning both key and the encrypted blocks. This operations limitation is not a problem for us because every time we are encrypting, we do it with a fresh key anyway.

# **Components**

## Log

#### **Structure**

### **Header Contents**

```
cur_hash : hash;
cur_count : nat;
txn_records : list txn_record;
}.
```

## **Functions**

```
Definition commit (addr_l data_l: list value) :=
     hdr <- read_header;</pre>
3
     if (new count <=? log length) then
       new key <- GetKey (addr l++data l);</pre>
 4
      enc_data <- encrypt_all new_key (addr_l ++ data_l);</pre>
        _ <- write_consecutive (log_start + cur_count) enc_data;</pre>
 6
      new_hash <- hash_all cur_hash enc data;</pre>
        _ <- write_header new hdr;</pre>
9
        Ret true
     else
11
      Ret false.
12
13 Definition apply_log :=
    hdr <- read header;
15
     log <- read_consecutive log_start cur_count;</pre>
16
     success <- check and flush txns log cur hash;
     if success then
18
      Ret true
19
     else
20
      success <- check and flush old txns old log old hash;
21
      Ret success.
22
23 Definition check_and_flush txns log hash :=
     log hash <- hash all hash0 log;</pre>
2.5
     if (hash dec log hash hash) then
      _ <- flush_txns txns log;</pre>
26
       Ret true
28
     else
29
     Ret false.
31 Definition flush txns txns log blocks :=
     _ <- apply_txns txns log_blocks;</pre>
    _ <- write_header header0;
34
     Ret tt.
36 Fixpoint apply txns txns log blocks :=
    match txns with
     | nil =>
3.8
39
      Ret tt
40
     | txn::txns' =>
      _ <- apply_txn txn log blocks;</pre>
       _ <- apply_txns txns' log_blocks;</pre>
42
      Ret tt
43
44
     end.
45
46 Definition apply txn txn log blocks :=
    plain blocks <- decrypt all key txn blocks;
47
48
      _ <- write_batch addr_list data_blocks;</pre>
49
      Ret tt.
```

# **Block Allocator**

**TODO**