Section 1 / Passing Parameters To Functions

How parameters are passed to functions can be different from OS to OS. This chapter is written to the standard implemented for Linux. It differs from the **calling convention** used on Apple Silicon where *variadic* functioned are used, for example.

Up to 8 parameters can be passed directly via scratch registers. Each parameter can be up to the size of an address, long or double (8 bytes). If you need to pass more than 8 parameters or you need to pass parameters which are larger than 8 bytes or are structs called by value, you would use a different technique described later.

Remember that even large data structures that are passed by reference are, in fact, passed via their base address (as a pointer).

For the purposes of the present discussion, we assume all parameters are long int and are therefore stored in x registers.

Up to 8 parameters are passed in the scratch registers (of which there are a matching 8). These are x0 through x7. *Scratch* means the value of the register can be changed at will without any need to backup or restore their values across function calls.

This means that you cannot count on the contents of the scratch registers maintaining their value if your function makes any function calls.

This means that you cannot count on the contents of the scratch registers maintaining their value if your function makes any function calls.

This means that you cannot count on the contents of the scratch registers maintaining their value if your function makes any function calls.

For example:

```
long func(long p1, long p2)
{
    return p1 + p2;
    // 3
}
is implemented as:
func: add x0, x0, x1
    ret
    // 1
    // 2
```

The value of the first parameter (p1) is copied into the first scratch register (x0). It's an x because the parameter's type is long int. It is the 0 register, because that is the first scratch register.

The value of the second parameter (p2) is copied into the second scratch register (the 1 register) because it is the second argument, and so on.

Line 1 of the assembly language provides the label func to which a bl can be made.

Line 1 also provides the full body of the function - the third argument to add is added to the second and the result is put in the first. Thus it is: x0 = x0 + x1.

Just as scratch registers are used for passing (up to 8) parameters, the 0 register is used for function returns. In the case of the current code, the result of the addition is already sitting in x0 so all we do is ret on Line 2.

This is an advanced topic: If you are the author of both the caller and the callee and both are in assembly language, you can play loosey goosey with how you return values. Specifically, you can return more than one value. **But** if you do so, you give up the possibility of calling these functions from C or C++. Maybe you should forget you read this paragraph?

const

Suppose we had:

how would the assembly language change?

Answer: no change at all!

const is an instruction to the compiler ordering it to prohibit changing the values of p1 and p2. We're smart humans and realize that our assembly language makes no attempt to change p1 and p2 so no changes are warranted.

Passing Pointers

A pointer is an address of something. The word *pointer* is scary. The words *address of* are not as scary. They mean **exactly** the same thing.

Here is a function which *also* adds two parameters together but this time using pointers to long int rather than the values themselves.

Line 1 passes the address of p1 and p2 as parameters. That is, the addresses of p1 and p2 are passed in registers x0 and x1 rather than their contents. The

contents of the underlying longs still reside in memory. That is:

- The address of p1 arrives in x0. The value of p1 still resides in memory.
- The value of p1 is found in memory at the address specified by the parameter.

Line 3 dereferences the addresses to fetch their underlying values. The values are added together and the result overwrites the value pointed to by p1.

Here it is in assembly language:

```
func: ldr x2, [x0] // 1
ldr x3, [x1] // 2
add x2, x2, x3 // 3
str x2, [x0] // 4
ret // 5
```

The value of x0 on return is, in the general sense, undefined because this is a void function.

The add instruction cannot operate on values in memory. Only upon registers.

With little exception, all the *action* takes place in registers, not memory. Therefore, the underlying values pointed to by the parameters must be fetched from memory.

Line 1 provides the label to which a use of bl can branch with link register.

Remember that up to the first 8 parameters are passed in the 8 scratch registers. Thus, the address of p1 and the address of p2 are stored in x0 and x1 respectively. 0 and 1 because these are the first two parameters. The x form of the 0 and 1 registers are used because the parameters' type are addresses.

- Addresses (pointers) to any type are 64 bits wide and therefore must use ${\tt x}$ registers.
- long and unsigned long integers are 64 bits wide and ...
- double floats are 64 bits wide

Line 1 also dereferences the address held in x0 going out to memory and loading (ldr) the value found there into x2, another scratch register. It's scratch so it doesn't need backing up and restoring.

Line 2 does the same for p2, putting its value in x3.

To say this again but differently, the syntax [then an x register followed by] means use the x register as an address in RAM. Go to that address and fetch its value. This is a dereference.

Why didn't we reuse x0 and x1 as in:

```
ldr x0, [x0] ldr x1, [x1]
```

Doing so would be legal but would end in tears.

Doing so would blow away the address of p1 (and p2 too). Destroying the address of p1 would prevent us from copying the result of the addition back into memory since the address to which we would want to store the result of the addition would be gone. Can't have that!

So, as the smart human, we decided to use x2 and x3 because, well, they're scratch.

Line 3 performs the addition.

Line 4 stored the value in x2 at the address in memory still sitting in x0.

Passing by Reference

Suppose we had:

how would the assembly language change?

Answer: just a little:

```
func: ldr x0, [x0] // 1
ldr x1, [x1] // 2
add x0, x0, x1 // 3
ret // 4
```

Wait, why can we use x0 and x1 for the incoming address AND for holding values? Because the memory location housing p1 and p2 are not disturbed in this function but p1 was written back to in the previous example.

Passing by reference is also an instruction to the compiler to treat pointers a little differently - the differences don't show up here so there the only change to our pointer passing version is how we return the answer.

What If We Need More Than Eight Parameters?

First, do you really need to pass more than 8 parameters? REALLY?

If for some reason you do, you can pass the first 8 in registers are described above. Beginning with the ninth parameter, these would be passed on the stack.

REMEMBER THAT ANY ADJUSTMENT TO THE STACK MUST BE DONE IN MULTIPLES OF 16!

• If you need just 1 byte of stack, the stack pointer must be changed by 16.

• If you need 17 bytes of stack, the stack pointer must be changed by 32 and so on

Here is a sample function that requires 9 parameters (for who knows what reason):

```
#include <stdio.h>
```

This prints:

This example hurts my brain: 8 9

In assembly language, this program could be written as:

```
// 1
         .text
         .global
                                                            // 2
                     main
                                                            // 3
SillyFunction:
                                                            // 4
        str
                    x30, [sp, -16]!
                                                            // 5
        ldr
                    x0, =fmt
                                                            // 6
                    x1, x7
                                                            // 7
        mov
        ldr
                    x2, [sp, 16]
                                                            // 8
                                                            // 9
        bl
                    printf
                                                            // 10
        ldr
                    x30, [sp], 32
        ret
                                                            // 11
                                                            // 12
                                                            // 13
main:
                    x30, [sp, -16]!
                                                            // 14
        str
                    x0, 9
                                                            // 15
        mov
        str
                    x0, [sp, -16]!
                                                            // 16
                    x0, 1
                                                            // 17
        {\tt mov}
                    x1, 2
                                                            // 18
        mov
                    x2, 3
                                                            // 19
        mov
                    x3, 4
                                                            // 20
        mov
                    x4, 5
                                                            // 21
        {\tt mov}
                    x5, 6
                                                            // 22
        mov
                                                            // 23
                    x6, 7
        mov
                    x7, 8
                                                            // 24
        mov
                                                            // 25
        bl
                    SillyFunction
```

Notice how main() puts the first 8 parameters into the scratch registers x0 through x7 using Lines 17 to 24. But first, it put the ninth parameter onto the stack. It did the stack parameter first so that the stack pointer could be manipulated in a scratch register.

After executing Line 14, the stack will have:

```
sp + 0 return address for main
sp + 8 zero
```

sp + o zero

After executing Line 16, the stack will have:

```
sp + 0
```

sp + 8 garbage

sp + 16 return address for main

sp + 24 zero

After executing Line 5, the stack will have:

```
sp + 0 return address for SillyFunction
```

sp + 8 garbage

sp + 16 9

sp + 24 garbage

sp + 32 return address for main

sp + 40 zero

This means that Line 8 fetches p9 from memory and puts its value into x2 (where it becomes the third argument to printf()).

A bit of history

The early Unix kernels would abuse the calling convention to miraculously pass return values back to calling functions. Early versions of C made extensive use of a now obsolete keyword register. It was an instruction to the compiler to store a certain variable in a register and not in memory in the code the compiler produced.

Particularly abusive functions would call other functions without passing any actual variables but the parameters would indeed be passed! The coders assumed the compiler would store specific variables in specific registers, avoiding the overhead of using the actual calling convention they themselves defined. Code

that did this had to be rewritten once Unix began to be ported to machines beyond the original DEC hardware.

This had the author scratching his head until he figured it out, way way back in the day.

Those were the days when the entire Unix kernel would be printed out to form a stack of paper less than an inch high. The author knows this because Jishnu Mukerji presented such a stack to the author the third time the author asked Jishnu a question about the kernel. He gave the author answers to two questions. On the third question, he handed the author the print out and said: "All your answers are in here." The author deeply appreciates Jishnu Mukerji's formative impact on a young undergraduate.