

633259

When is an adjacency list a good choice for implementing a graph?

- a. When the graph is undirected.
- b. When the graph is nearly complete.
- *c. When a graph has few edges.
- d. When the graph contains no cycles.
- e. When the graph is connected.
- f. "
- g. "
- h. "
- i. "
- j. "

General Feedback:

With adjacency lists are used, each vertex stores its own list of vertices it's connected to. When a graph contains few edges, these lists will be very short and will likely consume less memory than the adjacency matrix.

633257

You've got an algorithm that is $O(N^2)$. On the first run, you feed it a collection of size M . On the second run, you feed it a collection of size $M/2$. Assuming each run has worst-case performance, how much time does the second run take?

- *a. $1/4$ of the first
- b. $1/2$ of the first
- c. $2/3$ of the first
- d. $3/4$ of the first
- e. $1/8$ of the first
- f. "
- g. "
- h. "
- i. "
- j. "

General Feedback:

The first run took time proportional to M^2 . The second run took $(M/2)^2$, or $M^2/4$. The second run is $1/4$ of the first.

633245

Two algorithms accomplish the same task on a collection of N items. Algorithm A performs N^2 operations. Algorithm B performs $10N$ operations. Under what conditions does algorithm A offer better performance?

- *a. $N < 10$
- b. $N < 100$
- c. $N > 10$
- d. For all N .
- e. For no N .
- f. "
- g. "
- h. "
- i. "
- j. "

General Feedback:

The two algorithms offer equal performance when $N = 10$. For N greater than 10, $N^2 > 10N$.