

# Final Project Implementation Plan

## Ordinal vs Nominal Sentiment Analysis

Team: Atharv Chaudhary, Zijie Liu (Scott), Kien Nguyen

MSAI Class of 2027

## 1. Project Deliverables

| Deliverable               | Due Date | Format                        | Status  |
|---------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Proposal                  | Oct 27   | 1 page PDF                    | ✓ Done  |
| Pre-recorded Presentation | Dec 1    | 7 ± 1 min video (MP4/MOV)     | Pending |
| Project Report            | Dec 1    | 8 pages max, scientific paper | Pending |
| GitHub Repository         | Dec 1    | Public repo with all code     | Pending |

## 2. Professor Feedback (Must Address)

**Feedback 1:** Specify concrete ordinal methods — use ordinal logistic regression (proportional odds model), threshold-based approaches, or ranking loss functions.

**Feedback 2:** Remove fake review detection — it's scope creep that dilutes the main contribution.

## 3. Core Research Question

**Do the performance gains from ordinal treatment justify the increased model complexity across different classification algorithms?**

Key comparison: Treat 5-star ratings as ORDINAL ( $1 < 2 < 3 < 4 < 5$ ) vs NOMINAL (unordered categories)

## 4. Work Division

| Team Member   | Models               | Report Sections                  | Presentation                        |
|---------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Scott (Zijie) | LLM / GenAI approach | Methods (GenAI)<br>Related Works | ~2 min: GenAI methodology + results |

|        |                                       |   |   |
|--------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| Kien   | SVM or Deep Neural Network            | Dataset section<br>Results (figures/tables)     | ~2 min: Data processing + SVM/DNN results |
| Atharv | Naive Bayes +<br>Ordinal Logistic Reg | Introduction<br>Methods (ordinal)<br>Discussion | ~2 min: Intro +<br>ordinal vs nominal     |

**Shared Tasks (Everyone contributes):**

- Data preprocessing pipeline (TF-IDF vectorization)
- GitHub repository setup and code integration
- Final report proofreading and formatting

## 5. Two-Day Implementation Timeline

### DAY 1 — Implementation (Today)

| Time      | Task  | Owner  |
|-----------|---|--------|
| Morning   | Set up shared GitHub repo, establish folder structure         | All    |
| Morning   | Sample 10,000-50,000 reviews from dataset                     | Kien   |
| Morning   | Create shared preprocessing pipeline (TF-IDF)                 | Kien   |
| Afternoon | Implement Naive Bayes + Ordinal Logistic Regression           | Atharv |
| Afternoon | Implement SVM or DNN with ordinal/nominal comparison          | Kien   |
| Afternoon | Implement LLM/GenAI approach                                  | Scott  |
| Evening   | Generate confusion matrices, accuracy, MAE for all models     | All    |
| Evening   | Create visualizations (class distribution, performance plots) | Kien   |

### DAY 2 — Report & Presentation (Tomorrow)

| Time      | Task  | Owner  |
|-----------|---|--------|
| Morning   | Write Introduction section                            | Atharv |
| Morning   | Write Dataset section + create result figures         | Kien   |
| Morning   | Write Methods section (GenAI + Related Works)         | Scott  |
| Afternoon | Write Methods section (Ordinal encoding approach)     | Atharv |
| Afternoon | Write Results section with tables                     | Kien   |
| Afternoon | Finalize all code and push to GitHub                  | Scott  |
| Evening   | Write Discussion + Conclusion                         | Atharv |
| Evening   | Proofread and format final report                     | All    |
| Evening   | Record individual presentation segments (~2 min each) | All    |
| Night     | Merge video segments, final submission                | Scott  |

## 6. Report Structure (8 Pages Max)

| Section         | Content  | Owner  | Pages |
|-----------------|--|--------|-------|
| 1. Introduction | Background, research question, why ordinal matters   | Atharv | ~1    |
| 2. Methods      | Mathematical formulas for all models<br>• Ordinal Logistic Regression formula<br>• Naive Bayes formula<br>• SVM/DNN architecture<br>• LLM approach | All    | ~2    |
| 3. Dataset      | Amazon Reviews description, preprocessing, class distribution, train/test split  | Kien   | ~1    |
| 4. Results      | Tables: Accuracy, F1, MAE for each model<br>Figures: Confusion matrices, performance plots   | Kien   | ~2    |
| 5. Discussion   | SOTA comparison, what worked/failed, lessons learned, future work  | Atharv | ~1    |
| 6. References   | All citations used in text   | All    | ~0.5  |
| 7. Appendix     | GitHub link, additional plots  | Scott  | ~0.5  |
| Contributions   | Each member's contributions listed   | All    | -     |

## 7. Presentation Requirements

**Format:**  $7 \pm 1$  minutes pre-recorded video (MP4 or MOV)

**Recording:** Use Zoom or similar — must see and hear you present

**Attendance:** Must attend classmate presentations on Dec 9 (Zoom)

**Content Structure (~2 min each person):**

| Person | Content   | Duration |
|--------|---|----------|
| Atharv | Introduction + Research Question + Ordinal vs Nominal explanation | ~2 min   |
| Kien   | Dataset + Data processing + SVM/DNN results                       | ~2-3 min |
| Scott  | LLM/GenAI methodology + Results + Conclusions                     | ~2-3 min |

## 8. Implementation Guide

### SHARED: Data Loading & Preprocessing

Save this as `shared_pipeline.py` — everyone imports from here:

```
import pandas as pd
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer

# Load sampled data
df = pd.read_csv('amazon_reviews_sample.csv')

# Keep only text and rating
df = df[['text', 'rating']].dropna()
df = df[df['text'].str.len() > 10] # Remove very short reviews

# Create labels
# NOMINAL: treat as separate classes (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)
y_nominal = df['rating'].astype(int)

# ORDINAL: same values but will be treated ordinally by specific models
y_ordinal = df['rating'].astype(int)

# TF-IDF Features
vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer(max_features=5000, stop_words='english')
X = vectorizer.fit_transform(df['text'])

# Train/Test Split (stratified)
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(
    X, y_nominal, test_size=0.2, random_state=42, stratify=y_nominal
)
```

### ATHARV: Naive Bayes + Ordinal Logistic Regression

```
# pip install mord (for ordinal regression)
from sklearn.naive_bayes import MultinomialNB
from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score, mean_absolute_error, confusion_matrix
import mord # Ordinal regression library

# 1. NAIVE BAYES (Nominal treatment - baseline)
nb_model = MultinomialNB()
nb_model.fit(X_train, y_train)
nb_pred = nb_model.predict(X_test)
print(f"Naive Bayes Accuracy: {accuracy_score(y_test, nb_pred):.4f}")
print(f"Naive Bayes MAE: {mean_absolute_error(y_test, nb_pred):.4f}")

# 2. LOGISTIC REGRESSION - Nominal (multinomial)
lr_nominal = LogisticRegression(multi_class='multinomial', max_iter=1000)
lr_nominal.fit(X_train, y_train)
lr_nom_pred = lr_nominal.predict(X_test)
print(f"LR Nominal Accuracy: {accuracy_score(y_test, lr_nom_pred):.4f}")
print(f"LR Nominal MAE: {mean_absolute_error(y_test, lr_nom_pred):.4f}")

# 3. ORDINAL LOGISTIC REGRESSION (Proportional Odds Model)
olr_model = mord.LogisticAT(alpha=1.0) # All-Threshold variant
olr_model.fit(X_train.toarray(), y_train)
olr_pred = olr_model.predict(X_test.toarray())
print(f"Ordinal LR Accuracy: {accuracy_score(y_test, olr_pred):.4f}")
print(f"Ordinal LR MAE: {mean_absolute_error(y_test, olr_pred):.4f}")

# Generate confusion matrices for report
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns

fig, axes = plt.subplots(1, 3, figsize=(15, 4))
for ax, pred, title in zip(axes,
    [nb_pred, lr_nom_pred, olr_pred],
    ['Naive Bayes', 'LR Nominal', 'LR Ordinal']):
    sns.heatmap(confusion_matrix(y_test, pred), annot=True, fmt='d', ax=ax)
    ax.set_title(title)
```

```
cm = confusion_matrix(y_test, pred)
sns.heatmap(cm, annot=True, fmt='d', ax=ax, cmap='Blues')
ax.set_title(title)
ax.set_xlabel('Predicted')
ax.set_ylabel('Actual')
plt.tight_layout()
plt.savefig('confusion_matrices_atharv.png', dpi=150)
```

## KIEN: SVM Implementation

```
from sklearn.svm import SVC
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score, mean_absolute_error, classification_report

# SVM with different strategies
# 1. One-vs-Rest (OvR) - Nominal treatment
svm_ovr = SVC(kernel='linear', decision_function_shape='ovr')
svm_ovr.fit(X_train, y_train)
svm_ovr_pred = svm_ovr.predict(X_test)
print(f"SVM OvR Accuracy: {accuracy_score(y_test, svm_ovr_pred):.4f}")
print(f"SVM OvR MAE: {mean_absolute_error(y_test, svm_ovr_pred):.4f}")

# 2. One-vs-One (OvO) - Also nominal but different strategy
svm_ovo = SVC(kernel='linear', decision_function_shape='ovo')
svm_ovo.fit(X_train, y_train)
svm_ovo_pred = svm_ovo.predict(X_test)
print(f"SVM OvO Accuracy: {accuracy_score(y_test, svm_ovo_pred):.4f}")
print(f"SVM OvO MAE: {mean_absolute_error(y_test, svm_ovo_pred):.4f}")

# Class distribution plot
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
plt.figure(figsize=(8, 5))
df['rating'].value_counts().sort_index().plot(kind='bar', color='steelblue')
plt.title('Class Distribution of Star Ratings')
plt.xlabel('Rating')
plt.ylabel('Count')
plt.savefig('class_distribution.png', dpi=150)
```

## SCOTT: LLM/GenAI Approach

Scott will implement the LLM/GenAI approach. Options include:

- Fine-tuning a pre-trained model (DistilBERT, RoBERTa)
- Using OpenAI API for few-shot classification
- Prompt engineering for ordinal prediction

## 9. Required Evaluation Metrics

| Metric                    | What It Measures                                   | Why Important  |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| Accuracy                  | Overall correct predictions                        | Basic performance                                    |
| MAE (Mean Absolute Error) | Average distance between predicted and true rating | KEY for ordinal!<br>Lower = better ordinal treatment |
| F1-Score (per class)      | Balance of precision/recall for each rating        | Shows class imbalance issues                         |
| Confusion Matrix          | Error patterns between classes                     | Shows adjacent rating confusion                      |

## 10. Results Table Template (For Report)

| Model               | Encoding | Accuracy | MAE | F1 (macro) |
|---------------------|----------|----------|-----|------------|
| Naive Bayes         | Nominal  | —        | —   | —          |
| Logistic Regression | Nominal  | —        | —   | —          |
| Logistic Regression | Ordinal  | —        | —   | —          |
| SVM (OvR)           | Nominal  | —        | —   | —          |
| SVM (OvO)           | Nominal  | —        | —   | —          |
| LLM/GenAI           | TBD      | —        | —   | —          |

## 11. Key Hypothesis to Test

If ordinal encoding works:

- MAE should be LOWER for ordinal models
- Adjacent rating confusion (4↔5 stars) should decrease
- Accuracy might be similar, but error severity should improve

Your preliminary results showed: 62% of errors were between adjacent ratings → this supports investigating ordinal treatment!

## 12. Final Submission Checklist

| Item                    | Format                             | Check |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|-------|
| Report PDF              | 8 pages max, IEEE-style formatting | ■     |
| Video (MP4/MOV)         | 7 ± 1 minutes, all members visible | ■     |
| GitHub Repository       | Public, contains all code + README | ■     |
| Confusion Matrices      | One per model in report            | ■     |
| Results Table           | Accuracy, MAE, F1 for all models   | ■     |
| Class Distribution Plot | Bar chart of 1-5 star counts       | ■     |

|                         |                              |   |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| References              | Properly formatted citations | ■ |
| Contributions Statement | Each member's role listed    | ■ |

## 13. Communication

Keep in constant contact over the next 2 days. Suggested check-ins:

- **Day 1 Evening:** Share code progress, confirm all models running
- **Day 2 Morning:** Share draft report sections for review
- **Day 2 Afternoon:** Record presentation segments
- **Day 2 Night:** Final merge and submission