



**Hope Foundation's
International Institute of Information Technology, Pune
Department of Information Technology**

(Academic Year:)

Class: BE IT

SEM: I

Date:

Project Review II

Group Id :				Date :
Project Title :				
Sr. No.	Roll No	Student Name	Contact Details	Internal / External Guide Details
1				Guide Name :
2				Mentor Name, email & Mobile No. :
3				
4				

REVIEW – II CHECKLIST : DESIGN

25 Marks

DESIGN	
1. Are requirements reflected in the system architecture?	
2. Does the design support both project (product) and project goals?	
3. Does the design address all the issues from the requirements?	
4. Is effective modularity achieved and modules are functionally independent?	
5. Are structural diagrams (Class, Object, etc.) well defined and understood?	
6. Are all class associations clearly defined and understood? (Is it clear which classes provide which services)?	
7. Are the classes in the class diagram clear? (What they represent in the architecture design document?)	
8. Is inheritance appropriately used?	
9. Are the multiplicities in the use case diagram depicted in the class diagram?	
10. Are behavioral diagrams (use case, sequence, activity, etc.) well defined and understood?	
11. Is aggregation/containment (if used) clearly defined and understood?	
12. Does each case have clearly defined actors and input/output?	
13. Is all concurrent processing (if used) clearly understood and reflected in the sequence diagrams?	
14. Are all objects used in sequence diagram?	
15. Does the sequence diagram match class diagram?	
16. Are the symbols used in all diagrams correspond to UML standards?	



Hope Foundation's
International Institute of Information Technology, Pune
Department of Information Technology

(Academic Year: 2024-25)

STUDENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Students' Contribution and Performance				
Particulars	Marks(25M)			
	Group Members			
	1	2	3	4
1. System Architecture & Literature Survey (Review-I)				
2. Project Design (5 M)				
3. Methodology /Algorithms and Project Features (5 M)				
4. Project Planning (2 M)				
5. Basic details of Implementation (5 M)				
6. Presentation Skills (4 M)				
7. Question and Answer (4 M)				
8. Summarization of ultimate findings of the Project				
Total(25M)				
Comments (if any) :				

To be filled by internal guide & reviewer(s) only.

* Whether the presentation / evaluation is as per the schedule. : YES / NO (If NO mention the reasons for the same.)

Review – II: Deliverables

Problem Statement / Title
Abstract
Introduction
Literature Survey (comparison with existing system)
Methodology
Design / algorithms / techniques used

Modules Split-up
Proposed System
Software Tools / Technologies to be used
Proposed Outcomes
Partial Report (Semester – I)
Project Plan 2.0

Name of Reviewer 1

Name of Reviewer 2

Name of Internal Guide