

Hope Foundation's
International Institute of Information Technology, Pune
PROJECT REVIEW - I
(Academic Year: {{ay}})

Group ID:	{{group_id}}	Date : {{date}}	
Project Title : {{project_title}}			
Sr. No.	Roll No.	Student Name	Contact Details
1	{{roll_1}}	{{student_1}}	{{contact_1}}
2	{{roll_2}}	{{student_2}}	{{contact_2}}
3	{{roll_3}}	{{student_3}}	{{contact_3}}
4	{{roll_4}}	{{student_4}}	{{contact_4}}
		Internal / External Guide Details Guide Name : {{guide_name}} Mentor Name, email & Mobile No. : {{mentor_name}} {{mentor_mobile}} {{mentor_email}}	
REVIEW - I CHECKLIST : FINALIZATION OF SCOPE			
			25 Marks
1. Is the statement short and concise (10-20 words maximum)?			{{1.1.1id}}
2. Does the statement gives clear indication about what your project will accomplish?			{{1.1.2id}}
3. Can a person who is not familiar with the project understand scope of the project by reading the Project Problem Statement?			{{1.1.3id}}
REQUIREMENT: SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES			
Does the Scope and Objectives establish the "context" for the proposed project by referencing to the following elements:			
a. Are all aspects of the requirements document (i.e., Functional Spec.) addressed in the design?			{{1.2.1id}}
b. Is the architecture / block diagram well defined and understood?			{{1.2.2id}}
c. The project's objective of study (what product, process, resource etc.) is being addressed?			{{1.2.3id}}
d. The project's purpose: is the purpose of project addressed properly (why it's being pursued: to evaluate, reduce, increase, etc.)?			{{1.2.4id}}
e. The project's viewpoint: Is the project's viewpoint is understood? (Who is the project's end user)?			{{1.2.5id}}
f. Is the project goal statement is in alignment with the sponsoring organization's business goals and mission?			{{1.2.6id}}
ANALYSIS			
1. Is information domain analysis complete, consistent and accurate?			{{1.3.1id}}
2. Is problem statement categorized in identified area and targeted towards specific area therein?			{{1.3.2id}}
3. Are external and internal interfaces properly defined?			{{1.3.3id}}
4. Does the Use Case Model properly reflects the actors and their roles and responsibilities?			{{1.3.4id}}
5. Are all requirements traceable to system level?			{{1.3.5id}}
6. Is similar type of methodology / model is used for existing work?			{{1.3.6id}}
7. Are requirements consistent with schedule, resources and budget?			{{1.3.7id}}



**Hope Foundation's
International Institute of Information Technology,
Pune**

Department of Information Technology

**PROJECT REVIEW - I
(Academic Year: 2025-26)**

STUDENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Students' Contribution and Performance					
Particulars		Marks(25M)			
		Group Members			
		1	2	3	4
1 Understanding background and Topic	(2M)	{{1.1.1}}	{{1.2.1}}	{{1.3.1}}	{{1.4.1}}
2.Specifies Project Scope and Objective	(2M)	{{1.1.2}}	{{1.2.2}}	{{1.3.2}}	{{1.4.2}}
3.Literature Survey	(5M)	{{1.1.3}}	{{1.2.3}}	{{1.3.3}}	{{1.4.3}}
4.Project Planning	(4M)	{{1.1.4}}	{{1.2.4}}	{{1.3.4}}	{{1.4.4}}
5.Contribution of the Student	(4M)	{{1.1.5}}	{{1.2.5}}	{{1.3.5}}	{{1.4.5}}
6. Presentation Skills	(4M)	{{1.1.6}}	{{1.2.6}}	{{1.3.6}}	{{1.4.6}}
7.Question and Answer	(4M)	{{1.1.7}}	{{1.2.7}}	{{1.3.7}}	{{1.4.7}}
Total(25M)		{{1.1.s1}}	{{1.2.s1}}	{{1.3.s1}}	{{1.4.s1}}
Comments (if any) : {{1.c}}					

To be filled by internal guide & reviewer(s) only.

* Whether the presentation / evaluation is as per the schedule. : YES / NO (If NO mention the reasons for the same.)

Review - I: Deliverables

- Problem Statement / Title
- Purpose, Scope, Objectives
- Abstract (System Overview)
- Introduction (Architecture and High-level Design)
- Literature Survey
- References
- Project Plan 1.0

Name & Signature of evaluation committee -

Name of Reviewer 1

{{r1_name}}

Name of Reviewer 2

{{r2_name}}

Name of Internal Guide

{{guide_name}}