



Hope Foundation's
International Institute of Information Technology, Pune
PROJECT REVIEW - I
(Academic Year: 2025-26)

| | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------|--|
| Group ID: | | | | Date : |
| Project Title : | | | | |
| Sr. No. | Roll No. | Student Name | Contact Details | Internal / External Guide Details |
| 1 | | | | Guide Name : |
| 2 | | | | Mentor Name, email & Mobile No. : |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| REVIEW - I CHECKLIST : FINALIZATION OF SCOPE | | | | 25 Marks |
| 1. Is the statement short and concise (10-20 words maximum)? | | | | |
| 2. Does the statement gives clear indication about what your project will accomplish? | | | | |
| 3. Can a person who is not familiar with the project understand scope of the project by reading the Project Problem Statement? | | | | |
| REQUIREMENT: SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES | | | | |
| Does the Scope and Objectives establish the "context" for the proposed project by referencing? to the following elements: | | | | |
| a. Are all aspects of the requirements document (i.e., Functional Spec.) addressed in the design? | | | | |
| b. Is the architecture / block diagram well defined and understood? | | | | |
| c. The project's objective of study (what product, process, resource etc.) is being addressed? | | | | |
| d. The project's purpose: is the purpose of project addressed properly (why it's being pursued: to evaluate, reduce, increase, etc.)? | | | | |
| e. The project's viewpoint: Is the project's viewpoint is understood? (Who is the project's end user)? | | | | |
| f. Is the project goal statement is in alignment with the sponsoring organization's business goals and mission? | | | | |
| ANALYSIS | | | | |
| 1. Is information domain analysis complete, consistent and accurate? | | | | |
| 2. Is problem statement categorized in identified area and targeted towards specific area therein? | | | | |
| 3. Are external and internal interfaces properly defined? | | | | |
| 4. Does the Use Case Model properly reflects the actors and their roles and responsibilities? | | | | |
| 5. Are all requirements traceable to system level? | | | | |
| 6. Is similar type of methodology / model is used for existing work? | | | | |
| 7. Are requirements consistent with schedule, resources and budget? | | | | |
| | | | | |



**Hope Foundation's
International Institute of Information Technology,
Pune**

Department of Information Technology

**PROJECT REVIEW - I
(Academic Year: 2025-26)**

STUDENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

| Students' Contribution and Performance | | | | |
|--|---------------|---|---|---|
| Particulars | Marks(25M) | | | |
| | Group Members | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 Understanding background and Topic (2M) | | | | |
| 2.Specifies Project Scope and Objective (2M) | | | | |
| 3.Literature Survey (5M) | | | | |
| 4.Project Planning (4M) | | | | |
| 5.Contribution of the Student (4M) | | | | |
| 6. Presentation Skills (4M) | | | | |
| 7.Question and Answer (4M) | | | | |
| Total(25M) | | | | |
| Comments (if any) : | | | | |

To be filled by internal guide & reviewer(s) only.

* Whether the presentation / evaluation is as per the schedule. : YES / NO (If NO mention the reasons for the same.)

Review - I: Deliverables

- Problem Statement / Title
- Purpose, Scope, Objectives
- Abstract (System Overview)
- Introduction (Architecture and High-level Design)
- Literature Survey
- References
- Project Plan 1.0

Name & Signature of evaluation committee -

Name of Reviewer 1

Name of Reviewer 2

Name of Internal Guide