



**Hope Foundation's  
International Institute of Information Technology, Pune  
Department of Information Technology**

**(Academic Year: 2025-26)**

**Class: BE IT**

**SEM: I**

**Date:**

**Project Review II**

|                        |                |                     |                        |  |
|------------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|--|
| <b>Group Id :</b>      |                |                     |                        | <b>Date :</b>                            |
| <b>Project Title :</b> |                |                     |                        |  |
| <b>Sr. No.</b>         | <b>Roll No</b> | <b>Student Name</b> | <b>Contact Details</b> | <b>Internal / External Guide Details</b> |
| 1                      |                |                     |                        | Guide Name :                             |
| 2                      |                |                     |                        | Mentor Name, email & Mobile No. :        |
| 3                      |                |                     |                        |  |
| 4                      |                |                     |                        |  |

**REVIEW – II CHECKLIST : DESIGN**

**25 Marks**

| <b>DESIGN</b>   |  |
|---|--|
| 1. Are requirements reflected in the system architecture?   |  |
| 2. Does the design support both project (product) and project goals?  |  |
| 3. Does the design address all the issues from the requirements?  |  |
| 4. Is effective modularity achieved and modules are functionally independent?                                     |  |
| 5. Are structural diagrams (Class, Object, etc.) well defined and understood?                                     |  |
| 6. Are all class associations clearly defined and understood? (Is it clear which classes provide which services)? |  |
| 7. Are the classes in the class diagram clear? (What they represent in the architecture design document?)         |  |
| 8. Is inheritance appropriately used?   |  |
| 9. Are the multiplicities in the use case diagram depicted in the class diagram?                                  |  |
| 10. Are behavioral diagrams (use case, sequence, activity, etc.) well defined and understood?                     |  |
| 11. Is aggregation/containment (if used) clearly defined and understood?  |  |
| 12. Does each case have clearly defined actors and input/output?  |  |
| 13. Is all concurrent processing (if used) clearly understood and reflected in the sequence diagrams?             |  |
| 14. Are all objects used in sequence diagram?   |  |
| 15. Does the sequence diagram match class diagram?  |  |
| 16. Are the symbols used in all diagrams correspond to UML standards?   |  |



**Hope Foundation's**  
**International Institute of Information Technology, Pune**  
**Department of Information Technology**

**(Academic Year: 2024-25)**

**STUDENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION**

| <b>Students' Contribution and Performance</b>         |                      |          |          |          |
|---|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| <b>Particulars</b>                                    | <b>Marks(25M)</b>    |          |          |          |
|   | <b>Group Members</b> |          |          |          |
|   | <b>1</b>             | <b>2</b> | <b>3</b> | <b>4</b> |
| 1. System Architecture & Literature Survey (Review-I) |                      |          |          |          |
| 2. Project Design (5 M)                               |                      |          |          |          |
| 3. Methodology /Algorithms and Project Features (5 M) |                      |          |          |          |
| 4. Project Planning (2 M)                             |                      |          |          |          |
| 5. Basic details of Implementation (5 M)              |                      |          |          |          |
| 6. Presentation Skills (4 M)                          |                      |          |          |          |
| 7. Question and Answer (4 M)                          |                      |          |          |          |
| 8. Summarization of ultimate findings of the Project  |                      |          |          |          |
| <b>Total(25M)</b>                                     |                      |          |          |          |
| <b>Comments (if any) :</b>                            |                      |          |          |          |

# To be filled by internal guide & reviewer(s) only.

\* Whether the presentation / evaluation is as per the schedule. : YES / NO (If NO mention the reasons for the same.)

**Review – II: Deliverables**

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Problem Statement / Title<br>Abstract<br>Introduction<br>Literature Survey (comparison with existing system)<br>Methodology<br>Design / algorithms / techniques used | Modules Split-up<br>Proposed System<br>Software Tools / Technologies to be used<br>Proposed Outcomes<br>Partial Report (Semester – I)<br>Project Plan 2.0 |
|--|---|

Name of Reviewer 1

Name of Reviewer 2

Name of Internal Guide