

Group Id:

Project Title:

Sr. No. Roll No

Hope Foundation's International Institute of Information Technology, Pune Department of Information Technology

(Academic Year: 2025-26)

Contact Details

Date:

Internal / External Guide Details

Class: BE IT SEM: I Date:

Student Name

16. Are the symbols used in all diagrams correspond to UML standards?

Project Review II

1			Guide Na	me:		
2				1 & Mobile No. :		
3						
4						
		CICN		OF Manley		
DESIGN	CHECKLIST : DE	SIGN		25 Marks		
	ments reflected in the s	system architecture?				
2. Does the de	sign support both proje	ect (product) and proje	ect goals?			
3. Does the de	sign address all the iss	ues from the requirem	ents?			
4. Is effective	modularity achieved a	nd modules are function	onally independent?			
5. Are structur	ral diagrams (Class, Ob	oject, etc.) well defined	d and understood?			
6. Are all class provide which s		lefined and understood	1? (Is it clear which classes			
7. Are the clas design documen	•	n clear? (What they re	present in the architecture			
8. Is inheritano	ce appropriately used?					
9. Are the mul	tiplicities in the use ca	se diagram depicted in	the class diagram?			
10. Are behaviounderstood?	oral diagrams (use case	e, sequence, activity, e	tc.) well defined and			
11. Is aggregati	on/containment (if use	d) clearly defined and	understood?			
12. Does each c	case have clearly define	ed actors and input/ou	tput?			
13. Is all concursequence diagra	rrent processing (if use ams?	ed) clearly understood	and reflected in the			
14. Are all obje	cts used in sequence d	iagram?				
15. Does the sec	quence diagram match	class diagram?				



Hope Foundation's International Institute of Information Technology, Pune Department of Information Technology

(Academic Year: 2024-25)

STUDENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Students' Contribution and Performance					
		Marks(25)M)			
Devidenden		Group Members			
Particulars		1	2	3	4
1. System Architecture & Literature Survey (Review-I	[)				
2. Project Design	(5 M)				
3. Methodology /Algorithms and Project Features	(5 M)				
4. Project Planning	(2 M)				
5. Basic details of Implementation	(5 M)				
5. Presentation Skills	(4 M)				
7. Question and Answer	(4 M)				
8. Summarization of ultimate findings of the Project					
To	otal(25M)				
Comments (if any) :					

To be filled by internal guide & reviewer(s) only.

Review – II: Deliverables

Problem Statement / Title

Abstract

Introduction

Literature Survey (comparison with existing system)

Methodology

Design / algorithms / techniques used

Modules Split-up

Proposed System

Software Tools / Technologies to be used

Proposed Outcomes

Partial Report (Semester – I)

Project Plan 2.0

Name of Reviewer 1 Name of Reviewer 2 Name of Internal Guide

^{*} Whether the presentation / evaluation is as per the schedule. : YES / NO (If NO mention the reasons for the same.)