Automation Engineering Internship Case Study Solution

WorkFlow Pro - B2B SaaS Platform Testing Assessment

Name: Atharva Gaikwad Date: July 24, 2025

Executive Summary

- Flaky Playwright tests are primarily caused by race conditions, dynamic content loading, and inconsistent environment configurations
- A scalable test framework requires Page Object Model implementation, environment-driven configuration, and proper CI/CD integration
- Comprehensive integration testing must validate multi-tenant isolation while supporting cross-platform execution

Part 1: Debugging Flaky Test Code

1.1 Identified Flakiness Issues

Issue	Root Cause	Impact	
URL assertion fires before	No navigation wait	Intermittent failures	
redirect	mechanism	in Cl	
.welcome-message selector	Element loads via XHR after	Race condition on	
occasionally absent	dashboard mount	slow networks	
Hard-coded credentials	2FA randomly enforced for	Environment-specific	
bypass 2FA	privileged users	behavior	
Project card iteration without	No retry between card	Timing-dependent	
wait	rendering	failures	
Inconsistent	No explicit configuration	Layout-dependent	
browser/viewport settings		test breaks	
No error recovery	Hard failures without retry	Poor test reliability	
mechanisms	logic		

1.2 Root Cause Analysis

Why CI/CD ≠ Local Environment:

- 1. Resource Constraints: Shared CI executors throttle CPU/network, extending XHR completion times beyond Playwright's implicit waits
- 2. Browser Matrix Differences: CI may execute on WebKit/Safari while local uses Chromium, causing selector/CSS inconsistencies
- 3. Viewport Variations: Mobile emulation in CI causes responsive layout shifts that hide elements
- 4. Security Configuration: 2FA toggles often enabled only in non-development environments

1.3 Technical Solutions

Enhanced Configuration & Fixtures:

Python

```
# conftest.py - Shared test fixtures
import os, pytest
from playwright.sync_api import sync_playwright, expect

@pytest.fixture(scope="session")
def browser():
    with sync_playwright() as p:
        headless = os.getenv("HEADLESS", "true") == "true"
        browser = p.chromium.launch(headless=headless)
        yield browser
        browser.close()

@pytest.fixture
def page(browser):
    context = browser.new_context(
        viewport={"width": 1280, "height": 800},
        locale="en-US"
)
    page = context.new_page()
    yield page
    context.close()
```

Improved Test Implementation: python

```
# test_login.py - Reliable login tests
import pytest, os
from playwright.sync_api import expect

BASE_URL = os.getenv("BASE_URL", "https://app.workflowpro.com")
```

```
def do login(page, email, password):
  page.goto(f"{BASE_URL}/login", wait until="domcontentloaded")
  page.locator("#email").fill(email)
  page.locator("#password").fill(password)
  page.click("#login-btn")
   if page.locator("text=Verify Code").is visible(timeout=2000):
       code = os.getenv("TOTP CODE", "000000")
      page.locator("#totp").fill(code)
       page.click("button:text('Verify')")
@pytest.mark.flaky(reruns=2)
def test user login(page):
  do login(page, "admin@company1.com", "password123")
   expect(page).to have url(f"{BASE URL}/dashboard")
   expect(page.locator(".welcome-message")).to be visible()
def test multi tenant access(page):
  do login(page, "user@company2.com", "password123")
  cards = page.locator(".project-card")
   expect(cards).to have count greater than(0)
   for card in cards.all():
```

Technical Reasoning:

- wait_until="domcontentloaded" ensures page structure is ready before interaction
- Playwright's "web-first" assertions provide automatic retry logic
- Conditional 2FA handling makes tests environment-agnostic
- Fixed viewport prevents responsive layout issues
- pytest-rerun marks legitimate flakes while trending metrics

Part 2: Test Framework Design

2.1 Framework Architecture

Directory Structure:

Text

```
tests/
   -ui/
      pages/
    ├── login_page.py
      — dashboard_page.py
      —projects_page.py
    —dashboard/
    test_dashboard_functionality.py
     -auth/
    — test_authentication.py
   ---- clients/
   project_client.py
     test_projects_api.py
   mobile/
     test_mobile_workflows.py
  — data/
  ├---tenants.yml
    — users.json
    — test_projects.json
   -configs/
  ├── local.toml
    — staging.toml
      production.toml
   utils/
  ├── auth_helpers.py
    — data_factory.py
     reporting.py
   - conftest.py
   playwright.config.ts
    - pytest.ini
```

2.2 Configuration Management Strategy

Hierarchical Configuration System:

- 1. Base configuration in configs/*.toml files
- 2. Environment-specific overrides via CLI flags (--env=staging)
- 3. Runtime secrets via environment variables

4. CI/CD pipeline integration through Docker/Jenkins

Sample Configuration:

Text

```
# configs/staging.toml
[environment]
base_url = "https://staging.workflowpro.com"
api_url = "https://api-staging.workflowpro.com"
timeout = 30000

[browsers]
desktop = ["chromium@latest", "firefox@latest-1", "webkit"]
mobile = ["ios@16.4", "android@13"]

[browserstack]
username = "${BS_USERNAME}"
access_key = "${BS_ACCESS_KEY}"
project = "WorkFlow Pro Staging"
```

2.3 Core Framework Components

Page Object Implementation:

Python

```
# ui/pages/base_page.py
class BasePage:
    def __init__(self, page):
        self.page = page
        self.timeout = 10000

def wait_for_load(self):
        self.page.wait_for_load_state("domcontentloaded")

def get_tenant_context(self):
        return self.page.locator("[data-tenant-id]").get_attribute("data-tenant-id")
```

API Client Abstraction:

Python

```
# api/clients/base_client.py
import requests
from tenacity import retry, stop_after_attempt, wait_exponential
```

2.4 Missing Requirements Analysis Critical Questions for Stakeholders:

- 1. Test Data Management
 - a. Data retention policy for test tenants?
 - b. Database seeding/cleanup strategy?
 - c. PII handling in test environments?
- 2. Reporting & Monitoring
 - a. Required KPIs (pass rate, duration, flake rate)?
 - b. Integration with existing dashboards?
 - c. Alert mechanisms for test failures?
- 3. Parallel Execution
 - a. BrowserStack concurrency budget?
 - b. Test isolation requirements?
 - c. Resource allocation per test suite?
- 4. CI/CD Integration
 - a. Pipeline trigger conditions?
 - b. Test environment provisioning?
 - c. Artifact retention policies?
- 5. Security & Compliance
 - a. Access control for test environments?
 - b. Audit logging requirements?

Part 3: API + UI Integration Test

3.1 Comprehensive Integration Test Implementation

Python

```
import os, pytest, requests
from playwright.sync api import expect
from utils.auth helpers import get api token
from utils.data factory import cleanup test data
TENANT CONFIG = {
API BASE = "https://api.workflowpro.com/api/v1"
@pytest.fixture(scope="session")
def api auth():
   return {"Authorization": f"Bearer {get api token()}"}
@pytest.fixture
def test project data():
   import uuid
  project id = str(uuid.uuid4())
   return {
       "name": f"Test Project {project id[:8]}",
@pytest.fixture(autouse=True)
def cleanup after test():
  created projects = []
  yield created projects
   cleanup test data(created projects)
```

```
def create project via api(auth headers, tenant id, project data):
   headers = {**auth headers, "X-Tenant-ID": tenant id}
   response = requests.post(
       f"{API BASE}/projects",
       headers=headers,
       json=project data,
   response.raise for status()
   return response.json()["id"]
@pytest.mark.parametrize("tenant", ["company1", "company2"])
@pytest.mark.parametrize("browser name", ["chromium", "webkit"])
def test project creation flow (page, browser name, tenant, api auth,
   11 11 11
   Complete integration test: API \rightarrow Web UI \rightarrow Mobile \rightarrow Security Validation
   tenant config = TENANT CONFIG[tenant]
   project id = create project via api(
       tenant config["id"],
   cleanup after test.append(project id)
   page.goto(f'{tenant config["base"]}/login')
   page.fill("#email", f"user@{tenant}.com")
   page.fill("#password", "password123")
  page.click("#login-btn")
   page.locator(".sidebar-nav >> text=Projects").click()
   expect(page.locator(f"[data-project-id='{project id}']")).to be visible()
   project card = page.locator(f"[data-project-id='{project id}']")
   expect(project card.locator(".project-
name")).to have text(test project data["name"])
```

```
mobile context = page.context.browser.new context(
      viewport={"width": 390, "height": 844},
  mobile page = mobile context.new page()
  mobile page.goto(f'{tenant config["base"]}/m/projects')
expect(mobile page.locator(f"text={test project data['name']}")).to be visible(
  mobile context.close()
  page.context.clear cookies()
  page.goto(f'{tenant config["base"]}/logout')
  other tenant = "company1" if tenant == "company2" else "company2"
  page.goto(f'{TENANT CONFIG[other tenant]["base"]}/login')
  page.fill("#email", f"user@{other tenant}.com")
  page.fill("#password", "password123")
  page.click("#login-btn")
  page.goto(f'{TENANT CONFIG[other tenant]["base"]}/projects/{project id}')
   expect(page.locator("text=403")).to be visible()
@pytest.mark.slow
def test project creation with network resilience (page, api auth,
  page.route("**/api/v1/projects", lambda route: route.fulfill(status=200,
  page.context.set default timeout(60000) # Extended timeout
  project_id = create project via api(
      TENANT CONFIG["company1"]["id"],
```

```
# Verify UI handles slow API responses gracefully
page.goto("https://app.workflowpro.com/projects")
expect(page.locator(".loading-spinner")).to_be_visible()
expect(page.locator(f"[data-project-
id='{project_id}']")).to_be_visible(timeout=30000)
```

3.2 Cross-Platform Strategy

BrowserStack Integration:

Javascript

3.3 Test Data Management Strategy

Isolation Approach:

- Unique test data generation using UUIDs
- Tenant-specific data seeding

- Automated cleanup after test completion
- Database transaction rollback for integration tests

Data Factory Pattern:

Technical Assumptions & Considerations

4.1 Authentication Assumptions

- API token authentication is available for test environments
- Test user accounts exist for each tenant with appropriate permissions
- 2FA can be disabled or bypassed in test environments

4.2 Environment Assumptions

- Staging environment mirrors production configuration
- Test data isolation is enforced at the database level
- BrowserStack account provides sufficient concurrent sessions

4.3 Performance Considerations

- API response times under 2 seconds for project creation
- UI loading times under 5 seconds for dashboard elements
- Mobile responsive design supports viewport widths 320px-1920px

4.4 Security Assumptions

- Test environments use HTTPS exclusively
- Cross-tenant data access returns 403/404 responses
- Session management includes proper token expiration