

Quasar x AI 2026 - Problem Statements

Quasar x AI 2026

ML Wing

1. Problem Statement: Self-Healing Web Application

Background:

Imagine a website that watches users struggle and fixes itself automatically. No waiting for developers — the app learns from problems and resolves them using intelligent automation and GenAI.

Challenge:

Build a web application that:

1. Tracks user struggles (e.g., clicks, errors, slow pages)
2. Classifies problems using simple rules
3. Automatically fixes itself using GenAI + file operations
4. Validates changes before applying them
5. Learns from history to avoid repeating mistakes

Part 1: User Behavior Tracking

Capture signals like:

- User frustrations: rage clicks, dead clicks, quick back button, repeated form submissions
- Technical events: JS errors, slow API responses, slow page loads
- User journey data: page sequence, scroll depth, time spent

Deliverable: A simple dashboard showing real-time events.

Part 2: Problem Classification

Use simple rules to classify issues:

UI Issues: confusing buttons, unclear clickable areas

Performance: slow page loads, slow API, memory issues

Functionality Bugs: form validation errors, JS errors, broken endpoints

Optional: Use basic clustering (K-Means) for anomaly detection.

Part 3: Auto-Code Modification

Automatically fix issues in the code:

Steps:

1. Identify the problematic code (based on user data)
2. Generate fix using GenAI (e.g., GPT-4)
3. Replace the problematic code in the source file
4. Run tests and validate before deploying
5. Rollback if tests fail

Safety rules:

- Backup files before modification
- Limit changes per file
- Don't modify critical code (auth/payment)

Part 4: Web Application Demo

Create a Task Management App with intentional issues:

- Slow-loading task list
- Confusing buttons
- Form validation problems
- Slow API
- Missing error handling

Dashboard should display:

- Real-time problems
- Detected problem categories
- Fix status (success/failure)
- History of changes

Stack Suggestions:

Frontend: React or Vue.js

Backend: Python FastAPI or Node.js Express

Database: PostgreSQL or MongoDB

Cache: Redis

GenAI: OpenAI GPT-4 or Anthropic Claude

Deliverables:

1. Live Demo: Task app + self-healing system + dashboard
2. Code Repository: Source code + instructions
3. Technical Document: Architecture + approach + results
4. Demo Video: Show problems and automatic fixes

Evaluation Criteria:

- Automation quality: detecting and fixing problems
- System intelligence: accuracy of classification and fix success rate
- Full-stack implementation: frontend tracking, backend API, dashboard
- Innovation & UX: usability, creativity

PS - 2

AI-POWERED NEWS INTELLIGENCE PLATFORM

PROBLEM:

Build an ML system to:

- ' Detect fake/misleading news
- ' Analyze sentiment & emotions
- ' Extract & verify factual claims
- ' Generate trustworthy summaries with citations

Goal: Automate news credibility assessment.

PIPELINE:

News Aggregation !' Fake News Detection !' Sentiment Analysis !'
Fact-Checking !' Summarization !' Trust Score Assignment

PART 1: FAKE NEWS DETECTION (30 pts)

Objective: Classify news as Real/Fake

Features: text length, punctuation, ALL CAPS ratio, sentiment, entities

Hint: Look for patterns common in sensational content

Models: TF-IDF + Logistic Regression / Random Forest (baseline), BERT/DistilBERT (advanced)

Datasets: LIAR, Fake News Detection

Metrics: Accuracy, Precision/Recall/F1, False Negative Rate

Output:

```
{  
  "label": "Real" / "Fake",  
  "confidence": 0.91,  
  "source_credibility": 82  
}
```

PART 2: SENTIMENT & EMOTION ANALYSIS (25 pts)

Objective: Multi-label emotion + entity-level sentiment

Emotions: Joy, Sadness, Anger, Fear, Surprise, Disgust, Trust

Hint: Consider words around key entities and emotional cues

Models: Word embeddings + BiLSTM/CNN, optional DistilBERT

Datasets: GoEmotions, Sentiment140

Metrics: Macro/Micro F1, Hamming Loss

Output:

```
{  
  "overall_sentiment": "Negative (62%)",  
  "emotions": {"concern":0.45,"skepticism":0.28},  
  "entities":[{"name":"Jerome Powell","sentiment":"negative"}]  
}
```

PART 3: FACT-CHECKING (30 pts)

Steps:

1. Claim Extraction: binary classifier (numbers, dates, entities)

Hint: Statements with verifiable numbers often matter most

2. Evidence Retrieval: TF-IDF or embedding similarity

Hint: Similar text in trusted sources usually confirms claims

3. Verification: NLI (SUPPORTED / REFUTED / NOT_ENOUGH_INFO)

Hint: Check if evidence agrees, contradicts, or is inconclusive

Datasets: FEVER, LIAR, COVID-19 Fake News

Metrics: Claim F1, Verification accuracy, Evidence recall

Output:

```
{
  "claims":[
    {"text":"GDP grew 5.2%","verification":"SUPPORTED","confidence":0.87},
    {"text":"All economists agree","verification":"REFUTED","confidence":0.92}
  ]
}
```

PART 4: CONTENT GENERATION (15 pts)

Summary: Extractive/Abstractive (TextRank, BART/T5)

Hint: Include only verified facts and highlight uncertain ones

Citations & Trust: /& /

Trust Score: combine fake news + fact-check + source credibility

Output Example:

[Trust Score: 85/100]

Summary: "Fed raised rates 0.75% [Source: Fed.gov]. Claims of unanimous support ."

IMPLEMENTATION ROADMAP

Week 1-2: Data prep & baseline models

Week 3-4: Advanced models (BERT, BiLSTM/DistilBERT)

Week 5: Fact-checking module

Week 6: Integration & dashboard (Flask/Streamlit)

EVAL METRICS

Fake News: Accuracy, F1, ROC-AUC, False Negatives

Sentiment: Multi-label F1, Hamming Loss

Fact-Checking: Claim F1, Verification accuracy, Evidence recall

Content: ROUGE, human readability/factuality

TECH STACK

ML/NLP: transformers, torch/tf, scikit-learn, xgboost

Text: spacy, nltk, sentence-transformers

Data: pandas, numpy

Web: beautifulsoup4, requests

Viz & Deployment: matplotlib, seaborn, plotly, flask, streamlit

BONUS FEATURES (Optional)

- %j Multi-source comparison
 - %j Bias detection (political lean)
 - %j Temporal sentiment tracking
 - %j Explainable AI (LIME/SHAP)
 - %j Multi-lingual support
-

GOOD LUCK!

PS -3

ADAPTIVE RANSOMWARE DETECTION SYSTEM

ML & Security Operations Challenge

CHALLENGE OVERVIEW

Build an AI-powered system that detects ransomware attacks in a network.

Focus on three signals: malicious URLs, malware files, and unusual network activity.

Combine these signals to detect full ransomware attack chains.

RANSOMWARE KILL CHAIN

Stage 1: Initial Access !' Malicious URL clicked, malware downloaded

Stage 2: Persistence & Lateral Movement !' Malware installs backdoor, scans network, attempts logins

Stage 3: Execution !' Ransomware encrypts files across the network

ML TASKS (100 POINTS TOTAL)

PART A: MALICIOUS URL DETECTION (30 points)

Task: Detect phishing/malicious URLs

Requirements:

- ' Classifier to detect malicious vs benign URLs
 - ' Hint: Use features like URL length, digit/special char count, presence of keywords; models like Random Forest or Logistic Regression work well
 - ' Evaluate using accuracy, precision, recall
- Dataset: [Malicious URLs Dataset](<https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/sid321axn/malicious-urls-dataset>)

PART B: MALWARE FILE CLASSIFICATION (40 points)

Task: Detect ransomware files

Requirements:

- ' Use static file features (PE header info, imports/exports, file size, entropy)
 - ' Hint: Train a classifier using Random Forest, XGBoost, or a small neural network; handle class imbalance using weights or oversampling
 - ' Evaluate using accuracy and confusion matrix
- Dataset: [EMBER 2018 Malware Dataset](<https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/vivekanandabharupati/ember2018>)

PART C: NETWORK ANOMALY DETECTION (20 points)

Task: Identify lateral movement or suspicious logins

Requirements:

- ' Highlight unusual connections or repeated failed login attempts
- ' Hint: Use flow features (source IP, destination port, connection count); anomaly detection like Isolation Forest or One-Class SVM can flag deviations

' Evaluate using precision/recall

Dataset: [CICIDS 2017 Network Flow Dataset](https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/bertvankeulen/cicids-2017)

PART D: ATTACK SEQUENCE CORRELATION (10 points)

Task: Combine alerts from Parts A, B, C to detect ransomware attacks

Requirements:

- ' Predict if a ransomware attack is ongoing based on alert sequence
- ' Hint: Simple rule-based correlation works; for more advanced, encode alert sequence and train a small LSTM/GRU to predict multi-stage attacks

Example:

Input: [Malicious URL detected, malware execution, repeated SSH failures]

Output: Ransomware attack detected

EVALUATION METRICS

- Accuracy & Precision/Recall per stage
- Ability to detect multi-stage attacks
- Reduction of false negatives
- Optional: Confusion matrix visualization

SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

1. CODE

- ' Jupyter notebooks or Python scripts
- ' Data preprocessing, feature extraction
- ' Model training & evaluation
- ' Requirements.txt

2. REPORT

- ' Approach explanation
- ' Dataset description
- ' Model results & challenges

3. DEMO

- ' Show detection on test examples
- ' Show alert correlation (URL != malware != network)

DATASETS

1. EMBER 2018 - Malware Detection

<https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/vivekanandabharupati/ember2018>

2. CICIDS 2017 - Network Flow Data

<https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/bertvankeulen/cicids-2017>

3. Malicious URLs Dataset

<https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/sid321axn/malicious-urls-dataset>

GOOD LUCK!

AUTONOMOUS AI LEGAL ADVISOR & COURTROOM ARGUMENTATION SYSTEM

(Indian Justice System)

PROBLEM STATEMENT:

Build an AI Legal Advisor that analyzes disputes and generates courtroom-ready legal arguments for the Indian Justice System using Knowledge Graph + Hybrid Search.

CORE CAPABILITIES:

1. MULTIMODAL INPUT

- Text/Audio input (legal issues)
- Document ingestion (PDFs, scanned papers)

Libraries: Whisper, pydub, PyPDF2, pdfplumber, Tesseract, PaddleOCR

2. KNOWLEDGE GRAPH ARCHITECTURE

Graph Schema:

NODES: Constitutional Articles, Statutes, Case Precedents, Legal Arguments, Document Entities, Procedures

EDGES: CITES, OVERRULES, INTERPRETS, SUPPORTS, CONTRADICTS, REQUIRES

Libraries: Neo4j, py2neo, NetworkX, igraph

3. HYBRID SEARCH (60% Accuracy + 40% Latency)

Tier 1 - Local Graph Search (<100ms)

! 2-3 hop neighborhood traversal

Tier 2 - Global Graph Search (<1000ms)

! PageRank + Community Detection

Tier 3 - Vector Search (<500ms)

! Semantic similarity matching

Libraries: Neo4j Cypher, NetworkX.pagerank(), FAISS, Qdrant, sentence-transformers, ChromaDB

4. REAL-TIME JUDICIAL SCRAPING

- Scrape SC/HC/District Court archives
- Embed judgments in vector DB

Libraries: BeautifulSoup4, Scrapy, Selenium, schedule

5. DOCUMENT INTELLIGENCE (Land/Inheritance/Divorce)

- OCR extraction & validation
- Identify gaps, contradictions, inconsistencies
- Map document relationships in graph

Libraries: Tesseract, PaddleOCR, spaCy, regex, pandas

6. COURTROOM ARGUMENT GENERATION

Must ARGUE, Not Summarize:

' "There may be property issues"

' "Under Section 54, Transfer of Property Act, 1882:

1. Mutation entry INVALID (lacks Tehsildar signature)
2. Counter-Argument: Adverse possession claim

3. Rebuttal: Tax receipts prove continued ownership

Prayer: Declaration of ownership"

Generate:

- Claims with statute citations
- Counter-arguments
- Rebuttals with precedents
- Plaintiff/Respondent perspectives

Libraries: LangChain, llama-index, Anthropic Claude API, OpenAI GPT-4

7. MULTILINGUAL SUPPORT

- All constitutional languages of India

Libraries: IndicTrans2, mBART, AI4Bharat models, Google Translate API

8. EXPLAINABILITY

- Every argument mapped to: Statute + Case Law + Constitutional Article
- Transparent reasoning chains

Libraries: LangChain callbacks, custom citation extraction (regex)

ETHICAL DISCLAIMER:

"This system provides AI-assisted legal reasoning and argument drafts.

Final legal advocacy rests with qualified legal professionals under

Advocates Act, 1961. Not a substitute for lawyers or judges."

PS - 5

STOCK MARKET ANALYZER

PROBLEM STATEMENT:

Design an AI-driven Stock Market Analyzer that ingests market and alternative data, produces predictive signals, backtests strategies with realistic costs, and provides explainable insights for trading and research.

BACKGROUND:

Financial markets produce high-frequency, noisy time-series data and a wide range of alternative signals.

Traders and researchers need tools that combine robust data pipelines, reliable models, and clear risk controls to generate actionable, explainable signals.

CHALLENGE:

Build a platform that:

- Collects and normalizes market data (OHLCV, order book, fundamentals) and alternative signals (news sentiment, social metrics)
- Engineers time-series features and trains predictive models for short-term returns or directional moves
- Backtests strategies with slippage, transaction costs, and realistic execution constraints

- Provides model explainability and monitoring for drift

PART 1: DATA & SIGNALS

Collect and version data sources: historical OHLCV, corporate fundamentals, news/sentiment, and social indicators. Deliver a signal catalog including momentum, volatility, mean-reversion, and sentiment indices.

PART 2: FEATURE ENGINEERING & MODELING

Generate features (technical indicators, rolling stats, lagged returns) and train models using time-aware validation (expanding window). Models may include XGBoost, LSTM/Transformer, or ensemble approaches. Include feature selection and regularization to prevent overfitting.

PART 3: BACKTESTING & RISK MANAGEMENT

Implement a backtest engine that models slippage, execution, and fees. Evaluate strategies using returns, Sharpe, drawdown, and turnover. Incorporate risk controls: position sizing, stop-loss, max drawdown limits, and scenario stress tests.

PART 4: DEPLOYMENT, EXPLAINABILITY & MONITORING

Provide SHAP/feature-importance explanations for model outputs and a dashboard showing signals, performance, and alerts. Monitor model drift and signal degradation and trigger retraining or human review when necessary.

DELIVERABLES:

- 1) Prototype: a functioning pipeline with data ingestion, signal generation, and backtesting on historical data.
- 2) Code Repository: notebooks/scripts, requirements, and reproducible steps.
- 3) Dashboard & Report: visualizations for signals, strategy performance, and an explanation of model decisions and risk measures.

EVALUATION CRITERIA:

- Out-of-sample robustness across market regimes
- Risk-adjusted performance (Sharpe, drawdown) and realistic execution modeling
- Explainability and reproducibility of results