

lib/basic/eval-problem.ath

```

1  # This problem was fixed in r1764. Both evall calls now work,
2  # with or without commenting out the open and close of module PLUS.
3
4  # Showing a problem with eval. (We call evall because a try call in
5  # eval obscures the error.) The problem occurs when an axiom is
6  # nested more than one module level deep and applies recursively.
7
8  datatype N := zero | (S N)
9
10 module N {
11
12   declare Plus: [N N] -> N [+]
13
14   #module PLUS {
15
16     assert right-zero    := (forall ?n . ?n + zero = ?n)
17     assert right-nonzero := (forall ?m ?n . ?n + (S ?m) = (S (?n + ?m)))
18
19     (evall ((S zero) + zero))
20
21     (evall ((S zero) + (S zero)))
22
23   } # PLUS
24 } # N
25
26 # Both evall calls work, but the second one fails if you uncomment the
27 # open and close of module PLUS, with the error
28
29 # /Users/musser/se-proposals/proofs/lib/basic/eval-problem.ath:3:22:
30 # Error: Could not find a value for N.Plus'.
31
32 # Note that the line number in the error, 3, is incorrect.

```