CS 6375
Support Vector Machines

Rishabh Iyer

University of Texas at Dallas

Slides adapted from Nick Rouzzi and Andrew Zisserman

Recap: Classification

Classification vs Regression

- Input: pairs of points $\left(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right), \ldots, (x^{(M)}, y^{(M)})$ with $x^{(m)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- $y^{(m)} \in [0, k-1]$
- If k = 2, we get Binary classification



Recap: Hypothesis Space

- Hypothesis space: set of allowable functions $f: X \rightarrow Y$
- Goal: find the "best" element of the hypothesis space
  - How do we measure the quality of f?

Recap: Supervised Learning Workflow



- **Training examples**: $(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), \ldots, (x^{(M)}, y^{(M)})$
- **Learning Problem**: Find the f that minimizes the expected loss

$$\min_f \sum_{i=1:m} L(f(x^i), y^i)$$

- **Testing:** Given a new point (x_t, y_t) drawn from P, the classifier is given x and predicts $\hat{y}_t = f(x_t)$
- **Evaluation:** Measure the error $Err(\hat{y}_t, y_t)$ – often same as L

Recap: Binary Classification

- Input $\left(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right), \ldots, \left(x^{(M)}, y^{(M)}\right)$ with $x^{(m)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y^{(m)} \in \{-1, +1\}$
- We can think of the observations as points in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with an associated sign (either +/- corresponding to 0/1)
- An example with $n = 2$



In this case, we say that the observations are linearly separable

0/1 Loss Vs Perceptron Loss

- Zero/One Loss which counts the number of mis-classifications:

$$zero/one\ loss = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{m}\left|y^{(m)} - sign(w^T x^{(m)} + b)\right|$$

- Perceptron Loss:

$$perceptron\ loss = \sum_{m}\max\{0, -y^{(m)}(w^T x^{(m)} + b)\}$$



Perceptron Drawbacks

- No convergence guarantees if the observations are not linearly separable
- Can overfit
  - There can be a number of perfect classifiers, but the perceptron algorithm doesn't have any mechanism for choosing between them



Support Vector Machines

- How can we decide between perfect classifiers?



Support Vector Machines

- How can we decide between perfect classifiers?



Support Vector Machines

- Define the margin to be the distance of the closest data point to the classifier



Support Vector Machines

- Support vector machines (SVMs)



- Choose the classifier with the largest margin
  - Has good practical and theoretical performance

Some Geometry



Some Geometry



Scale Invariance



Constraints



What is the Margin?



SVMs

- This analysis yields the following optimization problem

$$\max_{w,b} \frac{1}{\|w\|}$$

such that

$$y^{(i)}\left(w^T x^{(i)} + b\right) \geq 1, \text{for all } i$$

- Or, equivalently,

$$\min_{w,b} \|w\|^2$$

such that

$$y^{(i)}\left(w^T x^{(i)} + b\right) \geq 1, \text{for all } i$$

SVMs

$$\min_{w,b} \|w\|^2$$

such that

$$y^{(i)}\left(w^T x^{(i)} + b\right) \geq 1, \text{for all } i$$

- This is a standard quadratic programming problem
  - Falls into the class of convex optimization problems
  - Can be solved with many specialized optimization tools (e.g., quadprog() in MATLAB)

Support Vectors



- Where does the name come from?
  - The set of all data points such that $y^{(i)}(w^T x^{(i)} + b) = 1$ are called support vectors
  - The SVM classifier is completely determined by the support vectors (you could delete the rest of the data and get the same answer)

Putting Everything Together



SVMs

- What if the data isn't linearly separable?

- What if we want to do more than just binary classification (i.e., if $y \in \{1,2,3\}$)?

SVMs

- What if the data isn't linearly separable?
  - Higher order (polynomial features)
  - Relax the constraints (coming soon)
- What if we want to do more than just binary classification (i.e., if $y \in \{1,2,3\}$)?

Multiclass Classification



One-Versus-All SVMs



One-Versus-All SVMs



Regions correctly classified by exactly one classifier

One-Versus-All SVMs

- Compute a classifier for each label versus the remaining labels (i.e., and SVM with the selected label as plus and the remaining labels changed to minuses)
- Let $f^k(x) = w^{(k)^T}x + b^{(k)}$ be the classifier for the k^{th} label
- For a new datapoint x, classify it as

$$k' \in \text{argmax}_k f^k(x)$$

- Drawbacks:
  - If there are L possible labels, requires learning L classifiers over the entire data set

One-Versus-All SVMs



Regions in which points are classified by highest value of $w^T x + b$

One-Versus-One SVMs

- Alternative strategy is to construct a classifier for all possible pairs of labels
- Given a new data point, can classify it by majority vote (i.e., find the most common label among all of the possible classifiers)
- If there are L labels, requires computing $\binom{L}{2}$ different classifiers each of which uses only a fraction of the data
- Drawbacks: Can overfit if some pairs of labels do not have a significant amount of data (plus it can be computationally expensive)

One-Versus-One SVMs



Regions determined by majority vote over the classifiers