COMPUTATIONAL PHYSICS

Introduction to C++

Statements and flow control

Functions, overloading

Namespaces

Arrays

Pointers, dynamic memory

Statements and flow control

In C++ we are never restricted to simple statements e.g.

```
int A=23; string s="hurray!"
```

 In numerus occasions we want to execute multiple commands pending some flow logic e.g.

```
if (condition) statement1;
```

```
if (condition) {
  statement1;
  statement2; }
```

- Note that the compound {...} statement does NOT end in ";"
- Identation and line breaks are irrelevant... if (condition) {statement1; statement2;}
- What about "if-then-else"?

```
if (condition) {
   statement1;}
else {
   statement2;}
```

```
if (condition1)
  statement1;
else if (condition2)
  statement2;
else
  statement3;
```

Iteration statements

We also have iteration statements...

while loop

```
while (condition) {
  statement1;
  statement2; }
```

do-while loop

```
do {
    statement1;
    statement2;
} while (condition);
N.B. statement is
    evaluated at least
    once
```

for loop

```
for (initialization; condition; increment) {
   statement1;
   statement2; }
```

Example 1

```
for (int i=0; i<10; i++) {
  statement1;
  statement2; }</pre>
```

Example 2

```
for (int i=10; i>1; i--) {
  statement1;
  statement2; }
```

Example 3

```
for (int i=1, j=6; i<j; i++, j--) {
   statement1;
   statement2; }</pre>
```

Jump and selection statements

Who never wished to drop out of a loop...

break

```
for (i=1;i<11;i++) {
  cout << i << ", ";
  if (i==7) {
    cout << "I hate 7! I'm leaving...";
    break;} //drop out from loop...
}</pre>
```

continue

```
for (i=1;i<11;i++) {
  if (i==7) {
    cout << "I hate this number !, ";
    continue;} //jump to next iterator !
  cout << i << ", ";
}</pre>
```

switch

 Or thought about choosing different options ...?

```
switch (variable) {
  case value1:
    statement1; break;
  case value2:
    statement2; break;
  default:
    statement3;
}
```

Functions

- In C++ we can define functions other than main()....
- The general syntax is

```
type function_name(arg1, arg2,...) {statement1, statement2,...}
```

but it *hides* some neat even if obvious *features*:

- A function must be declared before being used...
- The function arguments must be given a type...
- A function need not have an explicit return type or statement...yet still return data!
- A maximum of one expression can be included in a return statement.
- The function arguments can be given default values and can be set to constant.
- Variables defined inside the scope of the function are only local.
- Let's see how this comes into play...

Functions – declaration and specification

A function must be declared before being used, arguments given a type.

```
int multiply(int a, int b) {
  int result; result=a*b; return result;
}
int main() {
  int x=23; int y=45;
  cout << multiply(x,y) << endl;
}</pre>
```

AND

- Variable *result* is only valid within the function's scope {...}!
- Impractical with too many functions → PROTOTYPING

```
int multiply(int, int); // function prototype

int main() {
  int x=23; int y=45;
  cout << multiply(x,y) << endl;
}
int multiply(int a, int b) {
  int result; result=a*b; return result;
}</pre>
```

- Function prototype appears before main() and ends with ";"
- Argument's name are irrelevant.
- Function is defined after main().
- Layout will become way simpler once we introduce header files

Functions – void and passing arguments

A function without an explicit return statement

```
void salute(string a) {
  cout << "Good morning" << a;
}
int main() {
  string x="John";
  salute(x);
}</pre>
```

OR

```
void sad() {
  cout << "I am a function !";
}
int main() {
  sad(); // sad; would compile fail !
}</pre>
```

- What about returning values from a function without an explicit return?
 - Is that even possible ???....YES!
 - It is enough that one of the input arguments is actually an output one!
- Passing arguments to a function can be done by value or by reference so let's see how it's done...

Functions – passing by value and reference

Passing by value, the argument's value is copied → changes are local!

```
int test(int a) {
  a++; return a;
}
int main() {
  int x=23;
  cout << test(x) << ", " << x << endl;
}

Why: Memory addresses are different!
}</pre>
```

 Passing by reference, the actual argument variables are given → changes are global since same memory address is used!

```
int test(int &a) {
    a++; return a;
}
int main() {
    int x=23;
    cout << test(x) << ", " << x << endl;
}</pre>
```



Why: Memory addresses are the same!

Functions – passing by value and reference

• *In practice*, we can easily have a void return function with the output as an argument passed by reference!

```
void multiply(int a, int b, int &c) {
    c=a*b;
}
int main() {
    int x=6; int y=7; int z;
    multiply(x,y,z);
    cout << x << " x " << y << " = " << z << endl;
}</pre>
```

- Some side notes:
 - The stream extraction with multiple "<<" is horrible → printf formatted output preferred.
 </p>
 - Caller routine is passing the variable's *value* and not the variable's *address*. There is a alternative way where one passes the variable's address as argument → *pointers*
 - If one adds a const qualifier to an argument passed by reference it cannot be changed.

Functions – inline functions

- Calling function is not trivial for the compiler: compiled function lives in different memory space and jumping back and forth is inevitable.
- C++ comes to the rescue with inline keyword: function is actually inserted by the compiler where used! Only feasible for small functions...

```
inline void multiply(int a, int b, int &c) {
    c=a*b;
}
int main() {
    int x=6; int y=7; int z;
    multiply(x,y,z);
    cout << x << " x " << y << " = " << z << endl;
}</pre>
```

N.B.: inline functions MUST be fully defined before the compiler "sees" their usage → PROTOTYPING is not enough (they must be included in header files)

Functions – default values

- A function can have default argument values in case only first or no arguments are given.
- Be careful with prototyped functions...

```
int multiply(int x=1, int y=1); // function prototype

int main() {
  int x=23; int y=45;
  cout << multiply(x,y) << endl; // prints 1035
  cout << multiply(4) << endl; // prints 4
  cout << multiply() << endl; // prints 1
}

int multiply(int a, int b) {
  int result; result=a*b; return result;
}</pre>
```

→ Do not set defaults on definition, only on prototype!

Functions – overloading

 Motivation is obvious: one same "operation" has meaning for different data types so...why not using the same function name e.g. SUM

```
int SUM(int a, int b) {
 int c; c=a+b; return c;}
double SUM(double a, double b) {
 double c; c=a+b; return c;}
                               // Luckily "someone" defined what
string SUM (string a, string b) {
 string result; result=a+b; return result;} // "+" means for strings...concatenation!
int main() {
 int a=23; int b=45;
 cout << SUM(a,b) << endl; // 68
 double c=2.5; double d=4.0;
                                          // Beware: overloading MUST mean different
 cout << SUM(c,d) << endl; // 6.5
                                          // input types. Different return types WILL NOT DO!
 string e="Polly"; string f="Dolly";
 cout << SUM(e,f) << endl;
```

Namespaces

- We have seen several times the statement using namespace std;
- A namespace groups entities into the namespace's scope only.
- Practical example to see how it works...

```
namespace human {
 int weight=70; string speak() {return "Hello";}
namespace dog {
 int weight=12; string speak() {return "Uauf";}
int main() {
 cout << human::speak() <<"\n"; cout << dog::weight << "\n";</pre>
 using namespace dog; //namespace entities always seen in "interior" scopes...careful!
 cout <<"Dogs do " << speak() <<"\n";
 cout << human::speak()<< ", humans avg weight is " << weight << " quilos !" << endl;
```

Which one comes out?

Arrays

- In numerous applications, we deal with multiple data values with the same characteristics e.g. position of projectile over time, phone number list, number sequence in Euromilhões. → ARRAYS
- All array's elements have the same type and an associated subscript
 (always starting at 0 unless with pointers to halfway the array).
- Consecutive array elements are stored contiguous in memory (handy...).
- Multidimensional arrays can also be defined. Storage in memory is in row-major order i.e. A₁₁, A₁₂, A₁₃, A₂₁, A₂₂, A₂₃, A₃₁, A₃₂, A₃₃.

Syntax

```
int a[3]={1,2,3};
int a[]={1,2,3}; //same as before
int a[3]={}; //all elements are 0.
int a[3]; //unknown but memory is allocated !
int a[3]; a={1,2,3}; //COMPILE FAIL
int a[3]; a[0]=1; a[1]=2; a[2]=3; //fine !
```

```
int a[3][2]={{1,2},{4,1},{-3,20}};
int b[3][2];
for (int i=0;i<3;i++)
  for (int j=0;j<2;j++)
  b[i][j]=i*j;</pre>
```

Pointers – concept and declaration

- Variables are handy: given the identifier (name) and value, one need not care about where the data lies in memory space (address).
- What if, rather than a value, we store an address? If the value being stored at that address changes…we may "track change" it → POINTERS



One can define a pointer p that points to variable a, holding address of
 a. By dereferencing the pointer, we gain access to the value in a.

```
int a=112; // &a=0x7fff516d75e0
int * p=NULL; // p as pointer to integer
p = &a; // p=0x7fff516d75e0
cout << "a=" << *p; // * is dereference op.</pre>
```

```
a++; // a=113
cout << "a=" << *p; // *p is also updated!
*p=125;
cout << "a=" << a; // can you guess it ?
```

Pointers – connection with arrays

 Arrays are very closely related to pointers → removing the subscript the array is basically the address to it's first element!

If one defines a pointer p that points to array a, interesting things emerge:

```
int a[3]={1,2,3};
int * p=a; // a means address of a[0]
*p=10;
++p; *p=20;
p=a+2; *p=30;
...can you guess what a[] now has ?
```

```
int a[3]={1,2,3};
*a=10; // same as a[0]=10 !!!
*(a+1)=20; // same as a[1]=20 !!!
*(a+2)=30; // same as a[2]=30 !!!
...yes...we can dereference an array !
```

N.B.1 Assigning an array with subscript higher than size does NOT raise an exception → unknown behaviour !!! e.g. p=a+3; *p=666; would compile & run

N.B.2 You can use *(p+i) or p[i] but be **ALWAYS** sure of where **p** is pointing to...

Pointers – ...the "devilish" const qualifyer...

Basic idea: if we want some variable/object <u>not to be changed</u> → qualify it with a const qualifier!

```
int a=5; a=14; // no problem...
const int b=5;
int const c=5; c=14; // error: assignment of read-only variable 'c'
```

- Likewise for pointers but there is a catch: what is constant?
 - The address stored by the pointer?
 - The value the pointer is pointing to?

```
int x=10;

int * p1=&x; *p1=23; // p1 is a pointer to a int

int const * p2=&x; // p2 is a pointer to a const int...*p2=66 \rightarrow ERROR!

int * const p3=&x; // p3 is a const pointer to an int....p3=p1 \rightarrow ERROR! *p3=212 \rightarrow OK!

int const * const p4=&x; // p4 is a const pointer to a const int...*p4= or p4= \rightarrow ERROR!
```

N.B. Constant variables/pointers **MUST** be assigned immediately when declared!

Pointers – const, vars, references, pointers

- References: a quick "shortcut" to variables but to handle with care...
 - MUST be assigned immediately when declared!

```
int a=5;
a=14; // change a to 14
int &refa=a; // refa has same memory address of a!
int *ptra=&a; // prta points to a.
refa=57; // now both a and refa store 57! And *ptra=57 too!
```

```
int const c=5;
int &refc=c; //FAIL! The int is const
int const &refc=c; //OK
int const *ptrc=&c; //OK

int d=5;
int const &refd=d; //OK
int const *ptrd=&d; //OK
refd=57; *ptrd=57; //FAIL, ref/ptr to const int!
d=57; //OK, refd and ptrd both point to new value!
```

Pointers – strings and char sequences

- In C++, a string is a sequence of characters → natural to consider arrays of char → termination by "\0" char in both cases.
- Power of "string" lies on size/memory management...

```
string name="Jose";
name="Bernardo"; // OK!

char name[]="Jose";
name="Miguel"; //disallowed!!! ERROR
name[4]="!"; // no compile error but we mustn't!
```

And now with pointers...maybe we bypass the char[] limitation...

```
// 2 Compiler warning !!!
char * name="Jose";
name="Susana";

String literal is a const!

Yet compiler allows reassignement

// No warning...
const char * name="Jose";
string job1="Pilot";
string * job2="Pilot"; // ERROR
string * job2=&job1; // OK

* job2="Teacher"; // OK

* job2="Teacher"; // OK

* job2="Teacher"; // OK
```

Pointers – argument to functions

 In C++, one can pass arguments by value, by reference but also by pointer!

```
void multiply by ref(int a, int b, int &c) {
 c=a*b:
void multiply_by_ptr(int a, int b, int *c) {
 *c=(a*b);
int main() {
 int x=6; int y=7; int z;
 multiply_by_ref(x,y,z);
 cout << x << " x " << y << " = " << z << endl;
 multiply by ptr(x,y,\&z);
 cout << x << " x " << y << " = " << z << endl;
```

 Also, unlike "scalar" variables, arrays must be passed by reference or pointer (together with array size...)!

Pointers – pointers to functions

In C++, it is sometimes flexible to have pointers to functions!

```
float method1 (int a, int b){ ...some algebra here...
return result; }
float method2 (int a, int b){ ...some algebra here...
return result; }
float ghost op (int x, int y, int (*funct)(int,int)) { //funct is ptr receiving 2 int and outing an int
 int g;
 g = (*funct)(x,y);
 return g;
int main () {
 float n;
 float (*method)(int,int); //a pointer to a function of 2 int that returns a float
 method = &method1;
 n = ghost op (2, 4, method);
 method= &method2;
 n = ghost op (2, 4, method);
```

Pointers – pointer to pointer...

• Basic idea: if a pointer can point to an array...can a pointer to a pointer cast a 2D array ?!....well...much like an "array of arrays" ?!

```
int f[3][2]=\{\{1,2\},\{4,1\},\{-3,20\}\};
```

f is a 2D array....ok...though not yet there...

```
int f[3];
f[0]=a1; //where int a1[]={3,5,6};
```

ERROR...f[0] should hold an int and not an array!

```
int (*f)[3]; //a pointer to array of 3 int
```

Nope...(*f)[i] is still an int....

```
int * f[3]; //array of 3 pointers to int f[0]=a1; //yes! f[0] points to a1 f[1]=a2; // and f[1] points to a2 f[2]=a3; // and f[2] points to a3
```

Already some improvement...

int ** f; //a pointer to a pointer to int
But now what ?

Dynamic allocation to the rescue!

Pointers – dynamic memory

- Before pushing for "2D arrays", let's see what dynamic memory allocation is and how it's used...
- Fundamentally 2 different memory spaces: Stack and Heap
 - Stack memory is allocated automatically, in contiguous blocks but limited and fixed size.
 - Heap memory is user allocated, random order (can fragment) and sized at runtime!
- What this means in practice

```
int * d; //pointer to int
d = new int[3]; //actually.....point it to array of 3 int (-:
for (int i=0;i<3;i++) {
   d[i]=i*2; // we cannot assign d=arrayX → delete[] fails
   cout << "d[" << i << "]=" << d[i] << endl;
}
cout << endl;
delete[] d;</pre>
```

→ Allocate memory

→ Deallocate memory

Syntax to free memory: delete[] for array and delete for value

Pointers – dynamic memory

Back to the "2D arrays"...we need to allocate twice (2 levels)!

```
int arr1[]={1,2};...; int arr3[]={14,21};
int ** e; //pointer to a pointer to int
e = new int * [3]; //actually....point it an array of 3 pointers to int (-:
e[0]=new int [2]; //well, actually e[0] points to array of 2 int!
for (int i=0; i<2; i++)
 e[0][j]=arr1[j];
e[2]=new int [2]; //well, actually e[2] points to array of 2 int!
for (int j=0; j<2; j++)
 e[2][j]=arr3[j];
//print the data if you wish...
for (int i=0; i<3; i++) {
 delete[] e[i]:
delete[] e;
```

N.B. What *can/cannot* be done when *const* qualifier steps in becomes "*elaborate*"....

Pointers – exception handling

- If RAM is limited...memory allocation can fail. How to check it?
- Simple: avoid the inevitable *Exception* and check for Null pointer...

```
#include <stdio.h> //for printf
#include <stdlib.h> //for exit(1) call
#include <new> //for nothrow
using namespace std;
int main() {
     float* gv = new (nothrow) float [500000000000000];
     if (gv != NULL) { // check for null pointer
       printf("Wow....enough memory!\n");}
     else {
       printf("Failed to allocate pointer but ends gracefully !!!\n");
      exit(1);
```