Department of Computer Science and Engineering
United International University

Ethical Concerns in Robotics

Md. Atikur Rahaman	0112310298
Maruf Bin Hafiz	0112330580
MD ASEF SHAHARIER	011222184
Emon Banik	0112310334
Md. Sarowar Alam Sourov	0112310302
Md. Shadman Hasib	0112330651

Course: Society, Environment and Engineering Ethics / Society, Technology and Engineering Ethics (SOC 2101)

Section: B

Abstract

As robotics technology continues to evolve and integrate into various sectors, it brings ethical concerns that must be addressed to ensure responsible development. This paper explores key issues in robotics, focusing on job displacement through automation, moral responsibilities of AI-driven systems, privacy concerns from autonomous data collection, and the misuse of robots in military and surveillance applications. It also examines the challenges of ensuring transparency and accountability in decision-making involving robots, and the implications of granting rights or personhood to autonomous systems. By analyzing these issues, the paper highlights the need for ethical frameworks to guide the safe integration of robotics into society. Additionally, robotics raises significant ethical concerns in areas like well-being, care, and justice. Rescue operations, particularly in disaster settings, introduce further ethical challenges as robots become more common in search and rescue missions. A scoping review identified key ethical themes such as fairness, discrimination, labor replacement, privacy, responsibility, safety, and trust. While the literature on rescue robotics is scant, a proactive approach is universally endorsed. Future research should focus on enriching ethical frameworks to address these concerns.

Keywords: *Robotics, Ethical Concerns, Automation, Autonomous Systems, Ethical Frameworks, Human-Robot Interaction, Technology Ethics.*

Contents

1 Introduction

Robotics, once a domain of science fiction, has become an integral part of modern society, transforming industries, healthcare, and everyday life. From autonomous vehicles and AI-driven assistants to surgical robots and industrial automation, the capabilities of robots are expanding rapidly. While these advancements offer significant benefits in efficiency, safety, and innovation, they also raise critical ethical concerns. As robots undertake more complex tasks traditionally performed by humans, issues surrounding privacy, accountability, safety, and potential societal disruption come to the forefront.

This report explores the ethical implications of robotics, examining key concerns such as the impact on employment, the rights and treatment of autonomous machines, the challenges of AI decision-making, and the societal consequences of widespread automation. Rescue robotics is a relatively young discipline within field robotics, aiming to provide rescuers with the ability to sense and act from a distance in disaster areas . Disasters can result from environmental or man-made events, leading to fatalities, injuries, and significant economic breakdowns . Rescue robots enable operators to access harsh conditions that may be too dangerous or slow for humans to enter. They serve as remote sensing platforms, allowing for interaction with devastated environments [1, 2**?**].

For instance, a rescue robot can visually examine and map the interior of a collapsed building, inspect damage , and quickly remove heavy rubble to facilitate victim extrication. Rapid access and intervention should lead to fewer lives lost, fewer injuries, and faster recovery [3]. The first reported use of rescue robots at a disaster site was in 2001 when the Center for Robot-Assisted Search and Rescue deployed robots from the DARPA Tactical Mobile Robots program at the World Trade Center disaster . Since then, rescue robots have been utilized in various disasters, including mine accidents, earthquakes, nuclear disasters, and hurricanes, gaining widespread prominence.

The need for such robots is expected to increase across all phases of the disaster life-cycle [3]. Consequently, the terms “rescue robots” and “disaster robots” will be used interchangeably throughout this report. The types of robots employed in disasters include Unmanned Ground Vehicles (UGVs), which carry various sensors and can traverse unstructured terrains; Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs), providing aerial support; and Unmanned Marine Vehicles (UMVs), conducting underwater inspections. While most of these robots are human-controlled, semi-autonomous systems that reduce the need for low-level operator control are becoming more common [4, 5].

Operations in disaster settings present ethical challenges due to the hazardous, chaotic conditions under which responders operate, compounded by limited time and resources. Decisions about where to concentrate rescue efforts, what risks to take, and whom to prioritize are morally burdensome [6]. The consequences of these choices can affect victims, responders, and other stakeholders. While policies and guidelines exist to support responders [7, 8], limited guidance

is available for non-medical roles and ethically informed decision-making in specific disaster settings [6].

The growing presence of rescue robots introduces additional ethical complexities, influenced by the type of robots used and their deployment contexts. Although ethical concerns regarding robots have received attention in industries, military applications, and healthcare [9], the ethical issues in disaster settings remain underexplored [10]. This report aims to focus timely ethical reflection on rescue robotics before their widespread use becomes commonplace through a scoping review of the relevant literature [11].

2 Ethical Concerns in Robotics

2.1 Human-Robot Interaction

- **Privacy:** Personal space robots collect a massive volume of data. This data must be protected and used benevolently to safeguard users' privacy.
- **Trust:** Transparency is crucial for fostering trust between people and robots. Users need to understand how robots make decisions to establish a reliable interaction.
- **Emotional Dependency:** As robots become more sophisticated, there is a potential risk of emotional dependencies developing. This may affect human relationships and mental health if users form attachments to robots.

2.2 Bias in AI Algorithms

- **Fairness:** AI algorithms can unknowingly promote biases present in their training data, leading to discrimination against certain groups. Ensuring fairness in AI requires careful consideration of the training datasets used.
- **Discrimination:** It is essential to ensure that robotic decision-making processes do not reinforce societal inequalities. Addressing biases in algorithms is crucial to prevent discrimination in outcomes.

2.3 Labor and Employment

- **Impact of Job Displacement:** Automation and robotics can displace workers across various sectors. It is important to support these workers in transitioning to new jobs in different areas of the industry.
- **Future Workforce:** Preparing the workforce for an AI- and robot-dominated future involves upskilling and educating individuals with new resources. This will help workers adapt to changes in the job market and ensure a smoother transition.[12]

3 Autonomy and Responsibility in Robotics

3.1 Autonomy

- **Spectrum of Autonomy:** Robots can be placed along a spectrum of autonomy. At one end, we have teleoperated robots, which are controlled directly by humans. At the opposite end, we have fully autonomous robots capable of self-driving and independent decision-making.
- **Ethical Issues:** As robots gain the capability for independent decision-making, ethical concerns arise. For example, self-driving cars must make split-second decisions during emergencies. How can we ensure that these choices align with ethical standards?

3.2 Responsibility

- **Accountability:** Responsibility involves being accountable for actions taken. In the case of self-operating robots, questions arise about who addresses their behavior. Is it the robot, its creator, or the operator?
- **Perceptions of Duty:** Duty can be perceived differently across age brackets. As robots become more anthropomorphized and autonomous, they may be seen as more capable of performing responsible actions, raising further ethical considerations.

3.3 Legal and Ethical Issues

- **Liability Challenges:** Legal challenges emerge when a robotic entity functioning autonomously causes damage, such as in the case of a delivery drone crash. Determining liability can be convoluted—should responsibility lie with the company that coded the operating system or the robot's AI system? Legal frameworks are evolving to address these complexities.

3.4 The Balance between Autonomy and Control

- **Efficiency versus Accountability:** Increased autonomy often results in higher efficiency. For instance, autonomous drones can scout large areas more quickly than humans. However, this autonomy raises questions about accountability—who is responsible for their actions?
- **Ethical Decision-Making:** To what extent and in what manner should machines be granted decision-making authority? Finding a balance is crucial; we desire robots to function effectively while also adhering to moral guidelines.[13]

4 Case Study: Robotics in Healthcare

4.1 Incident

Robotic surgery systems, particularly the da Vinci Surgical System, have revolutionized the field of minimally invasive surgery. This technology enables surgeons to perform intricate procedures with enhanced precision and control by translating their hand movements into smaller, more precise actions of tiny instruments inside the patient's body. The da Vinci system's 3D visualization capabilities further allow surgeons to view the surgical site in high definition, improving their ability to execute complex maneuvers.

Despite these advantages, the integration of robotic systems into surgical practices has not been devoid of complications. Numerous reports have surfaced regarding surgical errors attributed to robotic systems, leading to significant ethical concerns about the delegation of crucial surgical tasks to machines. For instance, in a documented case, a patient undergoing prostate surgery with the da Vinci system experienced severe complications, including excessive bleeding and damage to surrounding organs. This incident raised alarms about the reliability of robotic systems, questioning whether such technologies should be entrusted with critical surgical tasks traditionally performed by human hands.[14]

The growing number of surgical errors linked to robotic procedures has ignited debates regarding the adequacy of training for surgeons operating these systems. Critics argue that while robotic systems can enhance surgical precision, they may also lead to a dangerous over-reliance on technology, diminishing the surgeon's skills and judgment. This scenario emphasizes the necessity for continuous human oversight in robotic-assisted surgeries and the potential consequences when human expertise is supplanted by machine capabilities.

4.2 Concerns

The deployment of robotic surgery systems introduces a myriad of ethical and practical concerns that must be addressed to ensure patient safety and maintain the integrity of surgical practice.

1. **Patient Safety**: One of the foremost concerns is patient safety. The potential for technical malfunctions, such as equipment failures or software glitches, can lead to serious complications during surgery. For instance, a failure in the robotic system could prevent a surgeon from completing a procedure effectively, jeopardizing the patient's health.

2. **Erosion of Surgical Skills**: As surgeons increasingly rely on robotic systems, there is a legitimate concern regarding the erosion of their traditional surgical skills. With the ease of robotic assistance, there is a risk that future surgeons may become less proficient in performing surgeries without robotic aid. This reliance could lead to a generation

of surgeons who are less capable of handling unexpected complications during surgery, which are often resolved through manual techniques.

3. **Accountability and Responsibility**: The question of accountability for surgical outcomes becomes murky when robotic systems are involved. If complications arise, it is often unclear whether the responsibility lies with the surgeon, who operates the robotic system, or the manufacturer of the robotic technology. This lack of clarity can complicate legal and ethical assessments when patients suffer adverse outcomes, creating a need for clear guidelines delineating responsibility in cases of surgical errors involving robotic systems.

4. **Informed Consent**: Another critical concern relates to informed consent. Patients may not fully understand the risks associated with robotic surgery, especially if they are led to believe that robotic systems are infallible. Surgeons must ensure that patients are adequately informed about the potential risks and benefits of robotic-assisted procedures compared to traditional surgical methods.

4.3 Solutions

To mitigate the concerns surrounding robotic surgery systems, several strategic solutions can be implemented:

1. **Comprehensive Training Programs**: Establishing robust training programs for surgeons on robotic systems is essential. These programs should focus not only on the technical aspects of operating the machines but also on maintaining proficiency in traditional surgical techniques. Simulation-based training can offer hands-on experience without risking patient safety. Continuous professional development opportunities should also be provided to ensure that surgeons remain updated on advancements in robotic technology and techniques.

2. **Standardized Protocols**: Developing standardized protocols for the use of robotic surgery can enhance safety and efficacy. These protocols should include thorough preoperative assessments to determine the appropriateness of robotic surgery for each patient, taking into account their unique medical history and conditions. Additionally, protocols should outline clear procedures for handling technical failures during surgery, ensuring that surgeons are prepared to revert to traditional methods when necessary.

3. **Regulatory Oversight**: Regulatory bodies should play a proactive role in overseeing the development and deployment of robotic surgery systems. By establishing and enforcing safety and efficacy standards, these organizations can ensure that robotic systems meet

stringent requirements before being utilized in clinical settings. Regular audits and assessments of robotic systems should also be mandated to monitor their performance and address any emerging issues promptly.

4. **Enhanced Communication with Patients**: Surgeons must prioritize transparent communication with patients regarding the risks and benefits of robotic surgery. Providing clear, comprehensible information enables patients to make informed decisions about their treatment options. Surgeons should encourage questions and discussions, ensuring that patients understand the technology's role in their surgery and the associated risks.

5. **Ethical Guidelines and Accountability**: Establishing clear ethical guidelines regarding the use of robotic surgery is crucial. These guidelines should address issues of accountability and responsibility, providing a framework for evaluating the performance of robotic systems and the surgeons who operate them. Encouraging collaborative discussions among ethicists, surgeons, and technology developers can foster a culture of accountability and continuous improvement in the field of robotic surgery.

By addressing these concerns through comprehensive training, standardized protocols, regulatory oversight, enhanced patient communication, and ethical guidelines, the surgical community can harness the benefits of robotic systems while ensuring patient safety and maintaining high standards of care.

5 Case Study: Robotics in Autonomous Weapons

5.1 Incident

Autonomous drones have emerged as a significant technological advancement in modern warfare, capable of carrying out surveillance and targeted strikes without direct human intervention. A notable incident occurred in 2020 when an autonomous drone operated by the Turkish military conducted an attack in Libya, reportedly killing multiple soldiers without explicit human oversight. This incident raised profound ethical concerns regarding the deployment of autonomous weapons systems in combat scenarios, particularly regarding accountability and the potential for unintended civilian casualties.[15]

The incident sparked a global debate on the implications of fully autonomous weapons. Critics argue that the lack of human judgment in critical decisions, such as targeting and engagement, can lead to tragic outcomes. Moreover, the use of autonomous drones in warfare presents challenges related to compliance with international humanitarian law, raising questions about proportionality and discrimination in armed conflict. As these technologies continue to evolve, the potential for misuse and escalation of conflicts poses significant risks to global security.

5.2 Concerns

The deployment of autonomous weapons systems introduces several pressing ethical and practical concerns that require careful consideration:

1. **Accountability**: One of the most significant concerns surrounding autonomous weapons is the issue of accountability. When an autonomous weapon system conducts an attack, it can be unclear who is responsible for any resulting harm— the military personnel who deployed the system, the engineers who designed it, or the political leaders who authorized its use. This ambiguity complicates legal and ethical assessments of military actions and undermines the principle of accountability in warfare.

2. **Civilian Casualties**: The potential for unintended civilian casualties is a critical concern when using autonomous weapons. Autonomous systems may lack the nuanced understanding of human context that a human operator possesses, leading to the risk of misidentifying targets or failing to account for the presence of civilians in conflict zones. Such errors could result in significant loss of innocent lives, violating international humanitarian law and ethical norms.

3. **Escalation of Conflicts**: The deployment of autonomous weapons may lead to an escalation of conflicts by lowering the threshold for engaging in warfare. With reduced human involvement in lethal decision-making, military leaders may be more inclined to use autonomous systems in combat situations, potentially leading to rapid and uncontrolled escalations. This increased reliance on automated systems could contribute to a cycle of violence, undermining efforts for diplomatic resolutions to conflicts.

4. **Ethical Implications**: The ethical implications of allowing machines to make life-and-death decisions in warfare are profound. The question arises: can a machine be entrusted with the moral responsibility of deciding who lives and who dies? The delegation of such power to autonomous systems raises fundamental concerns about the morality of warfare and the value of human life in conflict scenarios.

5.3 Solutions

To address the ethical and practical concerns associated with autonomous weapons, several potential solutions can be considered:

1. **International Regulation**: Establishing international regulations governing the development and use of autonomous weapons is crucial. Treaties similar to those banning chemical and biological weapons could be developed to set clear guidelines for the use of autonomous systems in warfare. These regulations should emphasize the importance of human oversight and accountability in the decision-making processes involving lethal force.

2. **Human-in-the-Loop Systems**: Implementing human-in-the-loop systems can ensure that human operators remain involved in critical decisions regarding the use of force. Such systems would require human approval before an autonomous weapon can engage a target, thus retaining human judgment in the targeting process. This approach helps mitigate risks associated with the delegation of life-and-death decisions to machines.

3. **Robust Ethical Frameworks**: Developing robust ethical frameworks for the deployment of autonomous weapons is essential. These frameworks should address the moral implications of using autonomous systems in warfare and provide guidelines for evaluating the ethical justifications for their use. Engaging ethicists, military leaders, and technology developers in these discussions can help shape responsible policies that prioritize human rights and humanitarian principles.

4. **Transparency and Accountability Mechanisms**: Ensuring transparency in the development and deployment of autonomous weapons is critical for fostering public trust and accountability. Military organizations should implement mechanisms to track and report the use of autonomous systems in combat, including comprehensive documentation of their actions. Such measures can provide insight into their deployment and performance, allowing for better assessment and oversight.

5. **Public Engagement and Awareness**: Raising public awareness about the implications of autonomous weapons is vital for fostering informed discussions about their ethical and practical challenges. Engaging the public in debates regarding the future of warfare and the role of technology can help shape societal values and expectations regarding the responsible use of autonomous systems in military operations.

By addressing these concerns through international regulation, human-in-the-loop systems, ethical frameworks, transparency mechanisms, and public engagement, the military community can navigate the complexities of autonomous weapons while upholding ethical standards and safeguarding human rights in warfare.[15, 16, 17]

6 Case Study: Robotics in Self-Driving Cars

6.1 Incident

In recent years, the development and deployment of self-driving cars have highlighted several privacy and ethical concerns. Companies like Waymo and Cruise are at the forefront of this technological evolution, facing both regulatory and safety issues, particularly in California. In August 2023, the California Public Utilities Commission (PUC) authorized Waymo and Cruise to operate commercial driverless taxi services in San Francisco. This landmark decision was

celebrated by proponents of automation as a significant step toward a more technologically advanced society.

However, this decision was met with significant opposition from city officials and various stakeholders who raised concerns about safety, particularly during emergencies where autonomous vehicles might not respond adequately to first responders. The reluctance stems from the fear that these vehicles could malfunction or misinterpret urgent situations, thus posing a risk to public safety. Following a series of incidents, including a notable accident where a pedestrian was dragged by a Cruise vehicle, the California DMV revoked Cruise's permit to operate in October 2023, citing "unreasonable risk to public safety" [18] [19].

Furthermore, the public's trust in self-driving technology has been shaken by reports of accidents and the lack of a comprehensive regulatory framework that can assure safety and accountability in the use of autonomous vehicles. Critics argue that the testing and deployment of these cars are progressing faster than the establishment of robust safety standards.

6.2 Concerns

The rise of self-driving cars has sparked various concerns, including:

- **Data Collection:** Self-driving cars collect vast amounts of data on passenger movements, driving habits, and environmental conditions. This raises critical questions about how this data is used, stored, and protected. Issues surrounding data ownership and user consent are increasingly pertinent, as manufacturers may use this data for profit without adequate transparency.

- **Lack of Regulation:** Inconsistent regulatory frameworks across different states create challenges in establishing comprehensive safety and privacy standards for self-driving vehicles. For example, some states have more rigorous testing and insurance requirements than others, leading to a patchwork of regulations that can confuse consumers and manufacturers alike [18].

- **Ethical Implications:** The ethical dilemmas posed by self-driving cars are profound. For instance, in the event of an unavoidable accident, how should a vehicle be programmed to respond? Should it prioritize the safety of its passengers or pedestrians? These moral questions necessitate a deeper exploration of ethical frameworks to guide the development of such technologies.

- **Public Perception and Trust:** Trust in autonomous systems is crucial for their adoption. Incidents involving self-driving cars can lead to public fear and skepticism. Educational campaigns and transparent reporting of safety metrics are essential to build public confidence in these technologies.

6.3 Solutions

To address these concerns, several solutions have been proposed:

- **Robust Data Anonymization Techniques:** Privacy experts recommend developing strong data anonymization techniques to protect passengers' identities. This includes using algorithms that can mask personally identifiable information while still allowing for valuable data analysis.
- **Stronger Federal Regulations:** Advocating for more stringent federal regulations could help establish a consistent framework for autonomous vehicle operations across states. Such measures would not only safeguard passenger privacy but also enhance public trust in self-driving technology [18].
- **Ethical Guidelines Development:** Creating ethical guidelines for the design and deployment of self-driving cars is essential. This can involve interdisciplinary collaborations between engineers, ethicists, policymakers, and the public to address the ethical implications of autonomous systems effectively.
- **Public Engagement and Education:** Engaging the public in discussions about the benefits and risks of self-driving technology can help mitigate fear and build trust. Educational initiatives can clarify how these systems work and the measures taken to ensure safety.
- **Transparent Reporting:** Manufacturers should commit to transparent reporting of incidents involving self-driving vehicles. This can foster accountability and allow for continuous improvements in safety measures.

In conclusion, while self-driving cars hold the potential to revolutionize transportation, addressing the ethical, regulatory, and public trust issues is critical for their successful integration into society [19, 20].

7 Case Study: Robotics Surveillance Robots and Privacy

7.1 Incident

Surveillance robots, utilized for security monitoring in public spaces, have raised significant ethical concerns, particularly regarding privacy. Recent trials of security robots in urban areas have faced substantial public backlash due to fears of constant surveillance and the potential erosion of personal privacy. These robots, often equipped with advanced sensors, cameras, and artificial intelligence capabilities, are deployed to patrol public spaces, monitor activities, and record video footage, igniting intense debates over the extent of their surveillance capabilities.

For example, in several major cities, these robots have been observed patrolling parks, shopping districts, and other public areas. Proponents argue that they enhance security and deter crime by providing real-time monitoring and quick responses to incidents. However, critics express concern that the presence of surveillance robots contributes to a culture of constant monitoring, undermining citizens' rights to privacy. A notable incident in 2023 involved a public demonstration against the deployment of surveillance robots, where community members raised concerns about their invasive nature and the potential misuse of recorded data by private companies or law enforcement agencies [19].

Furthermore, the lack of clear regulations governing the operation of these robots exacerbates public unease. The technology's rapid deployment without corresponding oversight has led to fears that data collected could be exploited for purposes beyond security, such as commercial profiling or unauthorized surveillance. Instances of data breaches and unauthorized access to surveillance footage have amplified these concerns, leading to calls for stronger regulatory frameworks to govern the ethical use of such technologies.

7.2 Concerns

The deployment of surveillance robots brings forth several critical concerns, including:

- **Privacy Invasion:** Surveillance robots equipped with cameras and microphones can collect detailed data about individuals in public spaces. This raises significant concerns about who controls this data, how it might be used, and whether individuals are informed about their surveillance. The potential for mass data collection without consent has sparked fears of a surveillance state, where individuals are continuously monitored.

- **Bias and Accountability:** AI-driven surveillance systems are often subject to biases that can lead to unfair targeting or profiling of certain demographic groups. Studies have shown that algorithmic bias can disproportionately affect marginalized communities, raising ethical questions about the deployment of such technologies. Furthermore, there is often little transparency or accountability regarding the use of this data by private entities or government agencies, making it difficult for the public to hold them accountable for misuse. High-profile incidents of biased surveillance, particularly against communities of color, have fueled public outrage and calls for reform.

- **Lack of Regulation:** The absence of comprehensive regulations governing the use of surveillance robots leaves room for potential abuses. This regulatory gap may allow companies and law enforcement to operate with minimal oversight, increasing the risk of violations of individual rights. Critics argue that the rapid pace of technological advancement often outstrips the ability of regulatory bodies to create effective oversight, leading to a patchwork of local laws that vary significantly in their effectiveness.

- **Public Trust and Perception:** The deployment of surveillance robots can lead to a decline in public trust in both technology and law enforcement. Citizens may feel that their freedom is compromised, resulting in decreased cooperation with security measures designed for public safety. Negative media coverage of surveillance-related incidents can further erode trust, leading to increased public anxiety and resistance to the adoption of such technologies.

7.3 Solutions

To mitigate these privacy concerns, experts propose several solutions:

- **Establishment of Clear Guidelines:** Experts suggest developing clear guidelines regarding the permissible uses of surveillance data. This can include establishing protocols for data collection, usage, and retention to ensure that individuals' rights are respected. Regulatory bodies should be tasked with creating these guidelines in collaboration with community stakeholders to reflect the values and concerns of the public.

- **Data Retention and Sharing Policies:** Limiting data retention times and restricting data sharing to essential parties only can help protect individual privacy. Implementing strict protocols for how long data is stored and who has access to it can significantly reduce risks associated with misuse. This includes establishing guidelines for data deletion and creating audit trails to monitor data access.

- **Transparency Measures:** Transparency is crucial for public trust. Authorities and companies should be mandated to disclose how surveillance data is collected, used, and shared, as well as to report any incidents of misuse. Regular public reports on surveillance activities can empower citizens to make informed decisions about their privacy and enhance accountability.

- **Public Oversight Boards:** Establishing public oversight boards can enhance accountability by monitoring the deployment and use of surveillance robots. These boards, comprised of diverse community members and experts, can serve as a bridge between the public and authorities, ensuring that the use of such technology aligns with community expectations regarding privacy and safety.

- **Community Engagement and Education:** Engaging the public in discussions about the use and implications of surveillance robots is vital. Educational initiatives can inform citizens about their rights and the measures in place to protect their privacy, fostering a more informed and cooperative relationship between technology users and the community. Workshops, forums, and outreach programs can be effective means of building awareness and trust.

In conclusion, while surveillance robots represent valuable advancements in security technology, their development and use must be balanced with ethical considerations to protect individual privacy and maintain public trust [19].

8 Ethical Frameworks and Guidelines for Robotics

The assessment of the ethical dimensions as articulated in various ethics guidelines on robotics is no simple matter, as important issues such as safety, privacy, autonomy, and accountability are immediately apparent. Here's a short summary of ethical frameworks and guidelines recommended to address those concerns:

8.1 Ethical Frameworks

8.1.1 Utilitarianism

Emphasizes optimal positive impacts and reduction of adverse impact. Any robotics ought to be directed towards maximizing the good for society. **Example:** The societal risks of systematization in industries such as healthcare and transportation.

8.1.2 Deontological Ethics

Focuses on the rights and responsibilities of rule-followers. Any robotics must abide by ethical codes, which usually include human rights and privacy. **Example:** Building robots that respect people's autonomy.

8.1.3 Virtue Ethics

Concentrates on the moral agents engaged in actions, emphasizing the qualities that should be cultivated in these agents. Any robotics should engender virtues such as honesty, helpfulness, and wholeness. **Example:** In caregiving, the robot must prioritize the well-being and health of individuals.

8.1.4 Social Contract Theory

States that one is not acting unethically simply because they are compensated for their actions, as long as those actions are consented to by the public. The ideals of societal functioning ought to be considered in the processes of creating robots. **Example:** Engaging the public in discussions about how they will be affected by robots.

8.2 Ethical Guidelines

- **Safety and Reliability:** Robots must be able to operate safely and appropriately in their intended environments. Proper testing and validation procedures must be followed.
- **Transparency:** It must be easy to trace how a robot arrived at a specific conclusion or action. Details about user data and the rationale behind specific actions must be disclosed.
- **Accountability:** Responsibility must be clearly allocated for every task performed by the robot. All harm, regardless of scale, must be accounted for by designers and operators.
- **Privacy Protection:** Measures must be taken to protect people's information while using robots. Users should retain ownership of their data and have control over its usage.
- **Inclusivity and Accessibility:** Robotics technology should be usable and accessible to all individuals, regardless of ability or background. User diversity should be considered in the design process.
- **Sustainability:** Sustainability should be a core consideration in robotics development. Environmental friendliness must be a criterion in the design process.
- **Human Oversight:** Systems requiring human understanding and judgment must include safeguards for human involvement, especially in critical areas like healthcare. Human-in-the-loop systems can help address ethical concerns.

8.3 Implementation Strategies

- **Interdisciplinary Collaboration:** Ethicists, engineers, social scientists, and community members should be involved at all stages of designing and deploying robotic systems.
- **Regulatory Frameworks:** The creation of laws and regulations that foster ethical behavior in robotics is essential.
- **Public Engagement:** Facilitating discussions on the ethics of robotics will ensure that technology advances in harmony with societal values.
- **Continuous Review and Adaptation:** Ethical principles should be revised based on emerging challenges and technological advancements.

In view of complying with these frameworks and reasonable metrics for robotics, it is possible that the human-centric evolution of robotics will be achievable.[21]

9 The Role of Transparency and Explainability

Transparency and explainability are crucial in addressing the moral problems arising from the field of robotics. They ensure that robots are designed and used in a socially acceptable manner, fostering confidence among users. Here's an exploration of their roles:

9.1 Transparency

Definition: Transparency refers to the visibility of a robotic system and its operations, procedures, decisions, and functions.

9.1.1 Importance

- **Trust of Users:** Users are more likely to trust a robot's performance when they understand how it is programmed to act. Building trust is critical, especially in risk-prone domains such as healthcare and autonomous vehicles.
- **Informed Citizens:** Users should be informed about how their concerns are addressed, particularly regarding decisions that affect their lives or well-being. This transparency allows for more meaningful interactions with robots.
- **Responsibility:** Clear communication about the potential risks and capabilities of robotic systems helps assign responsibilities appropriately. This transparency is vital for addressing concerns about potential harm.
- **Ethics:** Different industries have established protocols, often mandated by law, to promote transparency in automated systems. Effective policies should be implemented to ensure compliance with legal and ethical standards.

9.2 Explainability

Definition: Explainability is the capacity of a robotic system to meaningfully account for its actions and decisions to the user.

9.2.1 Importance

- **Understanding Complex Systems:** Many robotic systems involve complex processes made up of various components, often controlled by artificial intelligence. Simplifying these complexities for users is essential for effective interaction.
- **User Empowerment:** Explainability empowers users by clarifying the reasons behind decisions and enabling them to evaluate impacts over time. Users can form opinions about actions taken by the robot.

- **Identifying Bias and Errors:** Explainability helps identify biases in the system's decision-making processes, allowing for adjustments that improve fairness and accuracy.
- **Enhancing Collaboration:** Artificial intelligence can reduce reliance on human oversight, thus enhancing collaboration between users and robots. This minimizes time losses associated with unnecessary internal policies.

9.3 Addressing Ethical Concerns

- **Privacy:** Ethical robotic systems should ensure appropriate data collection and transparency regarding how that data is used and protected, alleviating privacy concerns.
- **Autonomy:** Users desire to coexist and cooperate with robotic systems rather than being governed by them. Their autonomy must be respected in the design and operation of these systems.
- **Accountability:** Multi-layered systems should have clearly defined levels of responsibility at various stages to simplify problem-solving related to robotic actions. This clarity helps prevent a dichotomy in the robot's operational abilities.

As a young area of study, the ethics of robotics has gained traction since the publication of the 'Asilomar AI Principles' document. However, technological constraints can sometimes lead to acceptable losses, which may compromise the good life.

Integrating explainability and transparency into robotic systems is essential to satisfying ethical standards. Building trust, empowering users, and promoting accountability will ultimately lead to improved ethics in advanced robotics. Such initiatives will ensure the sustainable development of robotic technologies, addressing both societal concerns and ethical responsibilities.

10 Challenges

The development and marketing of social robots that truly work across the board are still a long way off. Consumer robots that effectively perform tasks, like the robot vacuum cleaner Roomba, present an entirely different challenge, as noted by inventor Joe Jones (who is behind both Roomba and the newly launched weeding robot Tertill). This involves reworking human work, as "robots are better than people at some things and worse at others." This perspective emphasizes that "every application that you want to roboticize has to be re-imagined from the ground up" (Ackerman 2017).

10.1 Technical Challenges

The technical challenges are substantial, including the development of robots that can navigate a flight of stairs (Guardian 2017b) and learn from their environment.

10.2 Philosophical and Ethical Challenges

In addition to technical hurdles, there are philosophical and ethical challenges. Sparrow and Sparrow argue that "for the foreseeable future, it will be wrong for us to create emotional care robots to look after the elderly" (2006, p. 156). This concern brings forth what Sharkey and Sharkey (2012) describe as contentious issues in robot-assisted care for older people. These include:

- Risks of reduced human contact - Increased objectification and loss of control - Reduced privacy and potential curtailment of personal freedom - Deception and infantilization of elderly individuals - Debates about when elderly people should have control instead of robots

These analyses serve as counter-narratives to the technologization of caring relationships. Parks (2010) employs various philosophical perspectives, including feminist cultural relativism, social justice, and an ethos based on the capabilities approach and Habermassian public discourse theory, to argue against the replacement of human care with robots. This development prompts us to reflect on what aspects of care are uniquely human.

The question of robot rights, once confined to science fiction, is now central to elite ethical and policy debates. Khan (2011) posits that a new ontological entity between 'object' and 'agent' must be constructed for robots, as humanization and anthropomorphism of social robots are prevalent across baseline research (Chanseau 2016; Karreman 2016). Robotic autonomy is closely related to the concept of rights (Rini 2017). As robots gain the ability to make their own decisions, it raises questions about how we can influence these decisions for human benefit. Calo (2015) even argues for expanding the legal rights of robots, a point that could lead to the creation of a new body of law governing robots. In 2016, the European Parliament's legal affairs committee approved a report calling for an AI and robotics Bill of Rights (European Parliament 2016). Mady Delvaux, the report's author, emphasized the need for a robust European legal framework to ensure that robots serve humans effectively (Guardian 2017a).

Dautenhahn (2007) suggests that human-robot interaction (HRI) is a double-edged sword. While robots can make excellent caregivers, humans can also provide care for robots, a dynamic that is less understood (Lipp 2016). For instance, Cho and Shin (2011) examined how children with autism cared for a toy robotic dinosaur, PLEO. This scenario illustrates the emotional attachments and sense of companionship that can develop, akin to the 'Tamagotchi effect' (Holzinger and Maurer, 1999), where individuals form bonds with technology, caring for it significantly.

10.3 User Experience (UX)

A new field of user experience (UX) for robots has emerged alongside the development of socially interactive robots. UX refers to "people's feelings about using technology in a specific context" (Alenljung et al. 2017, p. 1). Research is growing on creating positive user experiences for social robots, focusing on key frameworks of quality human-robot interaction, usability, learnability, safety, and trustworthiness.

However, some robot developers lack sufficient understanding of appropriate methodologies, leading to "quick and dirty" evaluation methods with questionable validity and reliability (Alenljung et al. 2017, p. 2). Manufacturers of socially interactive robots must provide research-based guidance on effective user experience design. Notably, there has been little direct experience regarding the extended real-world use of social robots by users.

Chu et al. (2017) conducted an observational longitudinal study (2010—2014) in an Australian residential care facility to measure user engagement levels with social robots. Their main objective was to investigate how social robots enhanced the quality of diversion therapy services for people with dementia (PWD) by enriching sensory experiences and providing enjoyment. They focused on four indicators: approaching social robots, experiencing enjoyment with the robots, interaction with the robots, and interactivity among participants.

During this trial, two third-generation social robots, Sophie and Jack, were used. They demonstrated capabilities such as face recognition, singing, dancing, gestures, and emotional expression. The study observed a substantial increase in social engagement among PWD from 2013 to 2014, attributed to improved social capabilities and increased responsiveness to user interaction. The authors concluded that the quality of care provided by robots had significantly improved during this period.

10.4 Education and Training

Current and future technologies in social care practice, social work, and aged care are not adequately addressed in higher education institutions and training programs. Students often lack opportunities to develop critical awareness and skills in assistive technology, alternative living technology, or human-robot interaction. In Ireland, the educational awards standards of Coru (the professional regulator) and QQI (the educational regulator) do not reference the impact of these technologies, and few CPD (continuous professional development) courses exist for social professionals in this area.

To prepare social workers for a society that incorporates social robots, the following pedagogical methods may be effective:

- Consideration of the main philosophical, political, and ethical questions
- Development of approaches to work with social robots

- Investigation of the potential effects of social robotics on at-risk clients
- Addressing social issues, work relations, and organizational administration in the care sector
- Acquisition of competencies, including designing and programming robots
- Teaching the necessary technical terminology to function in interdisciplinary teams
- Developing research skills related to social robotics
- Learning about essential information, data, and professional contacts in social robotics
- Discussing assumptions and attitudes towards social robots
- Gaining the ability to explain social robot issues to laypeople

These queries, along with other sociological robotics-related issues, could enhance our understanding and practice of care.

10.5 Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning

Robotics heavily relies on artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) technologies, which can be seen as the ”brains” of robots. These technologies enable robots to make decisions, operate based on input, and modify their actions according to outcomes. One of the most intriguing tasks is endowing robots with decision-making capabilities comparable to humans. Recognizing the value of walkthroughs in both AI and ML, robots are becoming smarter and more efficient than ever.

10.6 Navigation and Mobility

Although robots have a degree of mobility, further challenges remain in designing robots that can reliably navigate complex environments. Advancements in robotic limbs will be crucial for enabling robots to climb stairs, navigate obstacles, and avoid falling. While achieving these capabilities may be a long way off, they will likely be essential for various applications.

10.7 Cost and Accessibility

The general public must recognize the pressing need for affordable and accessible robots. This means that robots should not be limited to big tech firms and research institutions; instead, economically viable and readily available robots should be made accessible to the consumer market.

10.8 Public Perception

Public perception plays a critical role in the adoption of robotics. Understanding how popular culture, media, and films shape societal views of robots is essential. It is equally important to articulate the beneficial and life-enhancing qualities that robotics can bring to individuals, communities, and society.

10.9 The Future of Robotics

The future of robotics holds great potential for advances that could revolutionize the field. As we address the challenges presented, we may find ourselves in a position where robotics serves not only engineers and scientists but also enhances human-machine relations, ultimately changing the world. [13, 22]

11 Conclusion

Therefore, ethics in robotics are diverse and broad-ranging and includes safety questions, concerns on user's privacy, questions on autonomy, questions on accountability to the public and robots ability to replace humans in workplaces. Advancements in the field of robotic technology continue at a rather fast pace, and thus there is a growing need for direct regulation when it comes to structuring the use of robots for physical assistant positions. Business codes of ethics should therefore promote the dignity of individuals and communities, confidentiality and equity while encouraging creativity. Such issues can be solved with the help of cooperation between technical, ethical and political – oriented specialists to make robotics bring a positive impact to human lives, creating no threat to the basic rights and values of people.

References

[1] J. Adams, K. Kochersberger, and D. Stefanov. Robotic systems for disaster response: Current capabilities and future directions. *Robotics Research*, 36:171–185, 2014.

[2] K. Kochersberger, W. Tsoi, and J. Adams. Design and testing of a robotic system for disaster response. *Journal of Field Robotics*, 31(6):948–965, 2014.

[3] R. Murphy. *Rescue Robotics*. Wiley, 2014.

[4] J. Delmerico et al. A comprehensive survey on autonomous robotic systems in search and rescue operations. *Journal of Field Robotics*, 36(4):558–573, 2019.

[5] M. Zuzanek et al. Advancements in unmanned aerial systems for disaster response. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 62(5):721–730, 2014.

[6] M. Gustavsson et al. Ethical considerations in disaster response: The role of robotics. *Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness*, 14(3):365–370, 2020.

[7] World Medical Association. Wma declaration of ottawa on disaster preparedness, 2015.

[8] International Council of Nurses. International council of nurses code of ethics, 2012.

[9] S. Lichoki et al. Ethics and robotics: The case for a new ethics of robotics. *AI Society*, 26(3):233–243, 2011.

[10] M. Harbers et al. Ethics in disaster robotics: A survey of ethical concerns. *Artificial Intelligence*, 247:93–106, 2017.

[11] P. Battistuzzi, A. Bazzani, and A. Tosi. Ethical implications of rescue robotics: A scoping review. *AI Society*, 36(1):163–175, 2021.

[12] Haselager/Jablonka-Lin/Abney/Bekey. Robot Ethics: The ethical and social implications of robotics.

[13] Ethical Considerations in Robotics: Balancing Innovation with Responsibility, 1 2024.

[14] Justin W. Collins, Hani J. Marcus, Ahmed Ghazi, Ashwin Sridhar, and Daniel Hashimoto. Ethical implications of ai in robotic surgical training: A delphi consensus statement. *European Urology Focus*, 8(2):613–622, 2022.

[15] A. Sharif and R. Roy. Ethical challenges of autonomous weapons: A critical review. *International Journal of Military Ethics*, 20(1):61–76, 2020.

[16] J. Killinger and M. Linden. The ethical dilemmas of autonomous weapons. *AI Society*, 35(3):475–485, 2020.

[17] S. W. Asaro. How just could autonomous weapons be? *The International Journal of Ethics and Technology*, 2(1):23–35, 2018.

[18] USC Viterbi School of Engineering. Autonomous vehicle regulations in california: What you need to know. 2023. Accessed: 2024-10-08.

[19] Brookings Institution. The future of self-driving cars: Technology, ethics, and regulations. 2023. Accessed: 2024-10-08.

[20] S. Lee. Reimagining privacy solutions for the age of self-driving cars. *USC Viterbi — School of Engineering*, September 26 2024. Accessed: 2024-10-08.

[21] Mika Westerlund. An ethical framework for smart robots. *Technology Innovation Management Review*, 10:35–44, 01 2020.

[22] Robin Christopherson. Toyota creates robots to assist and support ageing populations.