Report - Question 2

Atishay Jain & Megh Gohil

August 22, 2022

1 Sums of Independent Poisson Random Variables

1.1 Empirically:

APPROACH:

Given X \sim Poisson(λ_x) and Y \sim Poisson(λ_y) as independent Theoretically,

$$P_Z(k) = \sum_{i=0}^k P_X(x = i, y = (k - i))$$
(1)

Since the random variable X and Y are independent the above equation boils down to

$$P_Z(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} P_X(i) * P_Y(k-i)$$
 (2)

So to calculate $P_Z(k)$ empirically,

First we generated 10^6 instances of X and Y both, then we calculated the number of instances of $k(0 \le k \le 25)$ such that k = x + y and appended it in the list and finally calculated the probability by diving the no of instances by 10^{12}

1.2 Theoretically:

Theoretically, $P_Z(k) = \sum_{i=0}^k P_X(i) * P_Y(k-i)$

$$P_X(k) = \frac{e^{\lambda} * \lambda^k}{k!} \tag{3}$$

$$P_Z(k) = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{e^{-\lambda} * \lambda^i}{i!} * \frac{e^{-\lambda} * \lambda^{k-1}}{(k-i)!}$$
 (4)

$$P_Z(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{e^{-\lambda_x} * \lambda_x^i}{i!} * \frac{e^{-\lambda_y} * \lambda_y^{k-1}}{(k-i)!}$$
 (5)

$$P_Z(k) = e^{-(\lambda_x + \lambda_y)} \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{\lambda_x^i}{i!} * \frac{\lambda_y^{k-1}}{(k-i)!}$$
 (6)

Multiplying numerator and denominator with k!

$$P_Z(k) = \frac{e^{-(\lambda_x + \lambda_y)}}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^k k! * \frac{\lambda_x^i}{i!} * \frac{\lambda_y^{k-1}}{(k-i)!}$$
 (7)

$$P_Z(k) = \frac{e^{-(\lambda_x + \lambda_y)}}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} * \lambda_x^i * \lambda_y^{k-1}$$
(8)

$$P_Z(k) = \frac{e^{-(\lambda_x + \lambda_y)} * (\lambda_x + \lambda_y)^k}{k!}$$
(9)

Hence $Z \sim Poisson(\lambda_x + \lambda_y)$

1.3 Comparison between Emperical and Theoretical values

Below are the values that we got on running the code for the emperical values and the values using the theoretical formula for P(Z = k). The values are very close to each other with the error getting close to zero as k increases.

	P(Z = k) Emperically	Theoretically
k = 0	8.998274e-04	9.118820e-04
k = 1	6.350820e-03	6.383174e-03
k = 2	2.231392e-02	2.234111e-02
k = 3	5.210853e-02	5.212925e-02
k = 4	9.117857e-02	9.122619e-02
k = 5	1.275964e-01	1.277167e-01
k = 6	1.488259e-01	1.490028e-01
k = 7	1.488468e-01	1.490028e-01
k = 8	1.303070e-01	1.303774e-01
k = 9	1.014468e-01	1.014047e-01
k = 10	7.111355e-02	7.098327e-02
k = 11	4.533687e-02	4.517117e-02
k = 12	2.649562e-02	2.634985e-02
k = 13	1.428795e-02	1.418838e-02
k = 14	7.148382e-03	7.094190e-03
k = 15	3.333340e-03	3.310622e-03
k = 16	1.454655e-03	1.448397e-03
k = 17	5.963290e-04	5.963988e-04
k = 18	2.306188e-04	2.319329e-04
k = 19	8.444582e-05	8.544896e-05
k = 20	2.943828e-05	2.990713e-05
k = 21	9.780688e-06	9.969045e-06
k = 22	3.110342e-06	3.171969e-06
k = 23	9.397610e-07	9.653818e-07
k = 24	2.705730e-07	2.815697e-07
k = 25	7.318500e-08	7.883952e-08

Figure 1: Comparison for values of $\hat{P}(Z = k)$ and P(Z = k)

2 Poisson Thinning Process

2.1 Empirically

APPROACH:

Theoretically,

$$P(Z|Y=j) = P_binomial(Z;j,p)$$
(10)

which means

$$P_Z(k) = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} P(Y=i, Z=k) = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} P(Z=k|Y=i)P(Y=i)$$
(11)

So to calculate the $P_Z(k)$ empirically, we created 10^5 instances of Y and then for each instance y we calculated the total number of success in y trials.

Then we counted the frequency of $k(0 \le k \le 25)$ in the obtained dataset and then divided it by 10^5 to get the probability corresponding to each k.

2.2 Theoretically

the equation (11) can be written as

$$P_Z(k) = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda} * \lambda^i}{i!} * \binom{i}{k} p^k (1-p)^{i-k}$$
(12)

$$P_Z(k) = p^k * e^{-\lambda} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!} * \frac{i!}{k!(i-k)!} * (1-p)^{i-k}$$
(13)

multiplying and dividing numerator and denominator by λ^k

$$P_Z(k) = \frac{(p\lambda)^k * e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} * \frac{i!}{k!(i-k)!} * (\lambda(1-p))^{i-k}$$
(14)

$$P_Z(k) = \frac{(p\lambda)^k * e^{-\lambda}}{k!} e^{\lambda(1-p)}$$
(15)

$$P_Z(k) = \frac{(p\lambda)^k * e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda(1-p)}}{k!}$$
(16)

$$P_Z(k) = \frac{(\lambda p)^k * e^{-\lambda p}}{k!} \tag{17}$$

So the Z \sim Poisson (λp) Comparing P_Z with $\hat{P_Z}$

2.3 Comparison between Emperical and Theoretical values

Below are the values that we got on running the code for the emperical values and the values using the theoretical formula for P(Z=k) for Poisson Thinning Process on Y which gave Z. The values are very close to each other with the error getting close to zero as k increases. Also, the Poisson thinning random generator generated values very very close to zero after k=13 as the distribution tends to zero after it. So, the emperical value is zero, and the theoretical values are also very close to zero as well.

```
P (Z = k) Emperically Theoretically
k = 0
                    0.04136
                              4.076220e-02
k = 1
                     0.13090
                               1.304391e-01
k = 2
                     0.20613
                               2.087025e-01
k = 3
                     0.22396
                               2.226160e-01
                     0.17856
                               1.780928e-01
k = 5
                     0.11217
                               1.139794e-01
k = 6
                     0.06117
                               6.078900e-02
k = 7
                     0.02881
                               2.778926e-02
k = 8
                    0.01099
                               1.111570e-02
k = 9
                     0.00420
                              3.952250e-03
k = 10
                     0.00113
                              1.264720e-03
k = 11
                     0.00053
                               3.679186e-04
k = 12
                     0.00008
                               9.811162e-05
k = 13
                               2.415055e-05
                     0.00001
k = 14
                     0.00000
                               5.520126e-06
k = 15
                     0.00000
                               1.177627e-06
k = 16
                     0.00000
                               2.355254e-07
k = 17
                     0.00000
                               4.433419e-08
k = 18
                     0.00000
                               7.881634e-09
k = 19
                     0.00000
                               1.327433e-09
k = 20
                     0.00000
                               2.123893e-10
k = 21
                     0.00000
                               3.236408e-11
k = 22
                     0.00000
                               4.707503e-12
k = 23
                     0.00000
                               6.549569e-13
k = 24
                     0.00000
                               8.732759e-14
k = 25
                     0.00000
                              1.117793e-14
```

Figure 2: Comparison for values of $\hat{P}(Z=k)$ and P(Z=k)