Experiment- 05

Student Name: Atul Kumar Gour
UID: 23BCS11181
Branch: BE- CSE
Section/Group: Kargil 2- A
Semester: Fifth
Date of Performance: 22/09/2025
Subject Name: ADBMS
Subject Code: 23CSP-333

Medium Level Problem

Normal View vs. Materialized View

1. **Create a large dataset:**
- **Create a table names transaction_data (id , value) with 1 million records.**
- **take id 1 and 2, and for each id, generate 1 million records in value column**
- **Use Generate_series () and random() to populate the data.**

2. **Create a normal view and materialized view to for sales_summary, which includes total_quantity_sold, total_sales, and total_orders with aggregation**

3. **Compare the performance and execution time of both.**

Solution:

```
CREATE TABLE transaction_data (     id INT,
   value NUMERIC
);

Insert 1 million records for id = 1
INSERT INTO transaction_data (id, value)
SELECT 1, (random() * 100)::numeric
FROM generate_series(1, 1000000);

Insert 1 million records for id = 2
INSERT INTO transaction_data (id, value)

SELECT 2, (random() * 100)::numeric
FROM generate_series(1, 1000000);
```

WITH NORMAL VIEW

```
CREATE OR REPLACE VIEW sales_summary_view AS  SELECT
    id,
    COUNT(*) AS total_orders,
    SUM(value) AS total_sales,
    AVG(value) AS avg_transaction
FROM transaction_data
GROUP BY id;
```

```
EXPLAIN ANALYZE
SELECT * FROM sales_summary_view;
```

	QUERY PLAN text
1	Finalize GroupAggregate (cost=25226.29..25279.46 rows=200 width=76) (actual time=364.318..375.012 rows=2 loops=1)
2	Group Key: transaction_data.id
3	-> Gather Merge (cost=25226.29..25272.96 rows=400 width=44) (actual time=364.304..374.995 rows=6 loops=1)
4	Workers Planned: 2
5	Workers Launched: 2
6	-> Sort (cost=24226.26..24226.76 rows=200 width=44) (actual time=289.350..289.351 rows=2 loops=3)
7	Sort Key: transaction_data.id
8	Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB
9	Worker 0: Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB
10	Worker 1: Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB
11	-> Partial HashAggregate (cost=24216.12..24218.62 rows=200 width=44) (actual time=289.302..289.304 rows=2 loops=3)
12	Group Key: transaction_data.id
13	Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 40kB
14	Worker 0: Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 40kB
15	Worker 1: Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 40kB
16	-> Parallel Seq Scan on transaction_data (cost=0.00..19226.21 rows=665321 width=36) (actual time=0.023..80.878 rows=66...
17	Planning Time: 0.276 ms
18	Execution Time: 375.102 ms

WITH MATERIALIZED VIEW

```
CREATE MATERIALIZED VIEW sales_summary_mv AS  SELECT
    id,
    COUNT(*) AS total_orders,
    SUM(value) AS total_sales,
    AVG(value) AS avg_transaction
FROM transaction_data
```

```
GROUP BY id;

EXPLAIN ANALYZE
SELECT * FROM sales_summary_mv;
```

	QUERY PLAN text
1	Seq Scan on sales_summary_mv (cost=0.00..17.80 rows=780 width=76) (actual time=0.014..0.016 rows=2 loops=...
2	Planning Time: 0.858 ms
3	Execution Time: 0.031 ms

Hard Level Problem

Question : Securing Data Access with Views and Role-Based Permissions

The company TechMart Solutions stores all sales transactions in a central database. A new reporting team has been formed to analyze sales but they should not have direct access to the base tables for security reasons.

The database administrator has decided to:

1. **Create restricted views to display only summarized, non-sensitive data.**
2. **Assign access to these views to specific users using DCL commands (GRANT, REVOKE).**

```
CREATE VIEW vW_ORDER_SUMMARY AS
SELECT
    O.order_id,
    O.order_date,
    P.product_name,
    C.full_name,
    (P.unit_price * O.quantity) - ((P.unit_price * O.quantity) * O.discount_percent / 100)
AS final_cost
FROM customer_master AS C
```

```
JOIN sales_orders AS O
    ON O.customer_id = C.customer_id
JOIN product_catalog AS P
    ON P.product_id = O.product_id;

SELECT * FROM vW_ORDER_SUMMARY;



CREATE ROLE CLIENT_USER
LOGIN
PASSWORD 'client_password';

GRANT SELECT ON vW_ORDER_SUMMARY TO CLIENT_USER;

REVOKE SELECT ON vW_ORDER_SUMMARY FROM CLIENT_USER;
```