# EARTHQUAKE PREDICTION MODEL USING PYTHON

<u>PHASE-2</u>: INNOVATION

# TEAM MEMBER 961321104016 – M.NANTHINI

Project title: Earthquake prediction

## OBIECTIVE:

The objective of the phase advanced techniques such as hyperparameter tuning and feature engineering to improve the prediction model's performance

# Hyperparameter tuning

A Machine Learning model is defined as a mathematical model with a number of parameters that need to be learned from the data. By training a model with existing data, we are able to fit the model parameters.

However, there is another kind of parameter, known as *Hyperparameters*, that cannot be directly learned from the regular training process. They are usually fixed before the actual training process begins. These parameters express important properties of the model such as its complexity or how fast it should learn.

Some examples of model hyperparameters include:

- 1. The penalty in Logistic Regression Classifier i.e. L1 or L2 regularization
- 2. The learning rate for training a neural network.
- 3. The C and sigma hyperparameters for support vector machines.
- 4. The k in k-nearest neighbors.

The aim of this article is to explore various strategies to tune hyperparameters for Machine learning models.

Models can have many hyperparameters and finding the best combination of parameters can be treated as a search problem. The two best strategies for Hyperparameter tuning are:

- GridSearchCV
- RandomizedSearchCV

## GridSearchCV

In GridSearchCV approach, the machine learning model is evaluated for a range of

hyperparameter values. This approach is called GridSearchCV, because it searches for the best set of hyperparameters from a grid of hyperparameters values.

For example, if we want to set two hyperparameters C and Alpha of the Logistic Regression Classifier model, with different sets of values. The grid search technique will construct many versions of the model with all possible combinations of hyperparameters and will return the best one.

As in the image, for C = [0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5] and Alpha = [0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4]. For a combination of C=0.3 and Alpha=0.2, the performance score comes out to be 0.726(Highest), therefore it is selected.

	0.5	0.701	0.703	0.697	0.696
С	0.4	0.699	0.702	0.698	0.702
	0.3	0.721	0.726	0.713	0.703
	0.2	0.706	0.705	0.704	0.701
	0.1	0.698	0.692	0.688	0.675
		0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4

Alpha

# Python3

```
# Necessary imports
from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV

# Creating the hyperparameter grid
c_space = np.logspace(-5, 8, 15)
param_grid = {'C': c_space}

# Instantiating logistic regression classifier
logreg = LogisticRegression()

# Instantiating the GridSearchCV object
logreg_cv = GridSearchCV(logreg, param_grid, cv = 5)
```

```
logreg_cv.fit(X, y)

# Print the tuned parameters and score
print("Tuned Logistic Regression Parameters: {}".format(logreg_cv.best_params_))
print("Best score is {}".format(logreg_cv.best_score_))
```

### **Output:**

Tuned Logistic Regression Parameters: {'C': 3.7275937203149381} Best score is 0.770833333333334

**Drawback**: GridSearchCV will go through all the intermediate combinations of hyperparameters which makes grid search computationally very expensive.

#### RandomizedSearchCV

RandomizedSearchCV solves the drawbacks of GridSearchCV, as it goes through only a fixed number of hyperparameter settings. It moves within the grid in a random fashion to find the best set of hyperparameters. This approach reduces unnecessary computation.

The following code illustrates how to use RandomizedSearchCV

## Python3

```
"criterion": ["gini", "entropy"]}

# Instantiating Decision Tree classifier

tree = DecisionTreeClassifier()

# Instantiating RandomizedSearchCV object

tree_cv = RandomizedSearchCV(tree, param_dist, cv = 5)

tree_cv.fit(X, y)

# Print the tuned parameters and score

print("Tuned Decision Tree Parameters: {}".format(tree_cv.best_params_))

print("Best score is {}".format(tree_cv.best_score_))
```

## **Output:**

Tuned Decision Tree Parameters: {'min\_samples\_leaf': 5, 'max\_depth': 3, 'max\_features': 5, 'criterion': 'gini'} Best score is 0.7265625