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## A note on the Australian Classification of Local Governments (ACLG)

The Australian Classification of Local Governments (ACLG) was a system maintained and published by the Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development, Communications, Sport and the Arts (DITRDCSA). The Department is aiming to phase out the ACLG system in favour of the ABS Australian Statistical Geographical System Remoteness classifcation.

| **Step 1** | **Step 2** | **Step 3** |  | **Category** | **Alpha** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **URBAN (U)** |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Capital City (CC) | Not applicable |  | 1 | UCC |
| **Pop. > 20,000** | Metropolitan Developed (D) - Part of an urban centre > 1,000,000 and pop. density > 600/sq km. | Small (S) | up to 30,000 | 2 | UDS |
|  |  | Medium (M) | 30,001-70,000 | 3 | UDM |
|  |  | Large (L) | 70,001-120,000 | 4 | UDL |
|  |  | Very large (VL) | > 120,000 | 5 | UDV |
| **Pop. Density > 30 persons per sq km** | Regional Town/City (R) - Part of an urban centre with population <1,000,000 and predominantly urban in nature | Small | up to 30,000 | 6 | URS |
|  |  | Medium | 30,001-70,000 | 7 | URM |
|  |  | Large | 70,001-120,000 | 8 | URL |
|  |  | Very large | >120,001 | 9 | URV |
| **>90% of LGA population is urban** | Fringe (F) - A developing LGA on the margin of a developed or regional urban centre. | Small | up to 30,000 | 10 | UFS |
|  |  | Medium | 30,001-70,000 | 11 | UFM |
|  |  | Large | 70,001-120,000 | 12 | UFL |
|  |  | Very large | >120,001 | 13 | UFV |
| **RURAL (R)** |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Significant Growth (SG) - Average annual Population growth > 3%, population > 5,000 and not remote. | Not applicable |  | 14 | RSG |
|  | Agricultural (A) | Small | Up to 2,000 | 15 | RAS |
|  |  | Medium | 2,001-5,000 | 16 | RAM |
|  |  | Large | 5,001,-10,000 | 17 | RAL |
|  |  | Very large | 10,001 to 20,000 | 18 | RAV |
|  | Remote (T) - Situated in a remote locality. | Extra small | Up to 400 | 19 | RTX |
|  |  | Small | 401-1,000 | 20 | RTS |
|  |  | Medium | 1,001–3,000 | 21 | RTM |
|  |  | Large | 3,001 to 20,000 | 22 | RTL |

# A 20% Per Capita Minimum Grant

## National

|  | Jurisdiction | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | Queensland | RTL | Torres Strait Island Regional Council | 9,348,832.5 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | Queensland | UCC | Brisbane City Council | -49,269,604.8 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Jurisdiction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New South Wales | 27 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 58 |
| Northern Territory | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 |
| Queensland | 17 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 48 |
| South Australia | 17 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 18 | 23 |
| Tasmania | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 5 |
| Victoria | 15 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 30 | 13 |
| Western Australia | 29 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 15 | 75 |

## New South Wales

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Lachlan Council | 4,644,639.2 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | Canterbury-Bankstown Council | -13,976,297.4 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| RAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 2 |
| URV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

## Victoria

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | URM | East Gippsland Shire Council | 5,015,731.9 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | Melbourne City Council (City of Melbourne) | -6,663,865.9 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 6 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 9 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| UFV | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| URV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

## Queensland

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Torres Strait Island Regional Council | 9,348,832.5 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | Brisbane City Council | -49,269,604.8 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| RTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| URV | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## South Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UFL | City of Playford | 3,811,701.7 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | City of Port Adelaide Enfield | -5,048,686.2 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 |
| RAM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| RAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| RSG | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 |

## Western Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Shire of Derby-West Kimberley | 3,195,071.8 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | City of Stirling | -9,140,445.7 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| RAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 49 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| RSG | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| RTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |

## Tasmania

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | URS | Central Coast Council | 948,178.4 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UFM | Clarence City Council | -2,669,712.2 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| RAM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| RAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |

## Northern Territory

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | East Arnhem Regional Council | 1,902,799.5 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | City of Darwin | -3,089,747.2 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| RTM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| RTS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

# A 10% Per Capita Minimum Grant

## National

|  | Jurisdiction | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | Queensland | RTL | Torres Strait Island Regional Council | 18,624,312.5 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | Queensland | UCC | Brisbane City Council | -98,539,209.4 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Jurisdiction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New South Wales | 27 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 17 | 58 |
| Northern Territory | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 |
| Queensland | 17 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 48 |
| South Australia | 17 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 23 |
| Tasmania | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| Victoria | 15 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 30 | 13 |
| Western Australia | 29 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 75 |

## New South Wales

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Lachlan Council | 9,219,129.4 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | Canterbury-Bankstown Council | -27,952,594.7 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 |  |  |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |  |  |
| RAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 |  |  |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |  |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| UDL | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| UDM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| UDS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| UDV | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| UFL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| UFM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| UFV | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| URL | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| URM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 0 |  |  |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 |  |  |
| URV | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Victoria

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | URM | East Gippsland Shire Council | 9,969,597.5 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | Melbourne City Council (City of Melbourne) | -13,327,731.8 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 6 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| UFV | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| URV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Queensland

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Torres Strait Island Regional Council | 18,624,312.5 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | Brisbane City Council | -98,539,209.4 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| RTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| URV | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## South Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UFL | City of Playford | 7,746,237.3 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | City of Port Adelaide Enfield | -10,097,372.4 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 |
| RAM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| RAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| RSG | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 |

## Western Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Shire of Derby-West Kimberley | 6,379,983.1 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | City of Stirling | -18,280,891.5 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| RAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 49 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| RSG | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| RTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |

## Tasmania

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | URS | Central Coast Council | 1,896,808.7 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UFM | Clarence City Council | -5,339,424.5 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| RAM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| RAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

## Northern Territory

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | East Arnhem Regional Council | 3,792,169.9 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | City of Darwin | -6,179,494.5 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| RTM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| RTS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

# A World With No Per Capita Minimum Grant

## National

|  | Jurisdiction | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | Queensland | RTL | Torres Strait Island Regional Council | 28,077,370.4 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | Queensland | UCC | Brisbane City Council | -147,808,814.2 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% | Increased more than 40% |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Jurisdiction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New South Wales | 30 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 63 | 8 |  |
| Northern Territory | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  |
| Queensland | 19 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 37 |  |
| South Australia | 19 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 36 | 0 |  |
| Tasmania | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 0 |  |
| Victoria | 18 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 35 | 0 |
| Western Australia | 32 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 48 | 40 |  |

## New South Wales

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Lachlan Council | 13,835,322.6 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | Canterbury-Bankstown Council | -41,928,892.1 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% | Increased more than 40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 1 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 |
| RAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| URV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Victoria

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | URM | East Gippsland Shire Council | 14,951,799.6 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | Melbourne City Council (City of Melbourne) | -19,991,597.7 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |  |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |  |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| UDL | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| UDV | 10 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| UFL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| UFM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| UFV | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  |
| URM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 |  |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |
| URV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

## Queensland

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Torres Strait Island Regional Council | 28,077,370.4 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | Brisbane City Council | -147,808,814.2 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 20-40% | Increased more than 40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 |
| RTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| URV | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## South Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UFL | City of Playford | 11,473,341.8 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | City of Port Adelaide Enfield | -15,146,058.6 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| RAM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| RAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| RSG | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |

## Western Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Shire of Derby-West Kimberley | 9,631,404.5 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | City of Stirling | -27,421,337.2 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% | Increased more than 40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| RAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 27 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| RSG | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| RTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

## Tasmania

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | URS | Central Coast Council | 2,849,623.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UFM | Clarence City Council | -8,009,136.7 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| RAM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| RAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| URM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## Northern Territory

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | East Arnhem Regional Council | 5,679,818.7 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | City of Darwin | -9,269,241.7 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |
| RTL | 0 | 8 |
| RTM | 1 | 1 |
| RTS | 1 | 0 |
| RTX | 0 | 1 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 |
| UFS | 1 | 0 |
| URM | 1 | 0 |
| URS | 2 | 0 |

# And for sanity reasons, status quo

## National

|  | Jurisdiction | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | New South Wales | RAL | Berrigan Shire Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | New South Wales | RAL | Berrigan Shire Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| New South Wales | 131 |
| Northern Territory | 17 |
| Queensland | 77 |
| South Australia | 68 |
| Tasmania | 29 |
| Victoria | 79 |
| Western Australia | 136 |

## New South Wales

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Berrigan Shire Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Berrigan Shire Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RAL | 22 |
| RAM | 13 |
| RAS | 1 |
| RAV | 19 |
| RTL | 1 |
| RTM | 1 |
| RTX | 3 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UDL | 4 |
| UDM | 5 |
| UDS | 1 |
| UDV | 14 |
| UFL | 2 |
| UFM | 2 |
| UFV | 5 |
| URL | 8 |
| URM | 17 |
| URS | 9 |
| URV | 3 |

## Victoria

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Buloke Shire Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Buloke Shire Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RAL | 7 |
| RAM | 1 |
| RAV | 16 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UDL | 7 |
| UDV | 15 |
| UFL | 1 |
| UFM | 3 |
| UFS | 2 |
| UFV | 6 |
| URL | 3 |
| URM | 11 |
| URS | 5 |
| URV | 1 |

## Queensland

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAM | Balonne Shire Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAM | Balonne Shire Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RAM | 1 |
| RAV | 8 |
| RTL | 8 |
| RTM | 15 |
| RTS | 8 |
| RTX | 7 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UFM | 2 |
| UFS | 1 |
| URL | 4 |
| URM | 8 |
| URS | 5 |
| URV | 9 |

## South Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Adelaide Plains Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Adelaide Plains Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RAL | 10 |
| RAM | 10 |
| RAS | 10 |
| RAV | 7 |
| RSG | 1 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UDL | 3 |
| UDM | 7 |
| UDS | 2 |
| UDV | 2 |
| UFL | 1 |
| UFM | 1 |
| UFS | 3 |
| UFV | 1 |
| URM | 1 |
| URS | 8 |

## Western Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Shire of Carnarvon | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Shire of Carnarvon | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RAL | 9 |
| RAM | 10 |
| RAS | 51 |
| RAV | 3 |
| RSG | 1 |
| RTL | 8 |
| RTM | 5 |
| RTS | 5 |
| RTX | 5 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UDL | 3 |
| UDM | 5 |
| UDS | 10 |
| UDV | 3 |
| UFL | 1 |
| UFM | 4 |
| UFS | 1 |
| UFV | 3 |
| URM | 5 |
| URS | 3 |

## Tasmania

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Break O'day Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Break O'day Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RAL | 6 |
| RAM | 4 |
| RAS | 2 |
| RAV | 7 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UFM | 3 |
| UFS | 2 |
| URM | 1 |
| URS | 3 |

## Northern Territory

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Barkly Regional Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Barkly Regional Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RTL | 8 |
| RTM | 2 |
| RTS | 1 |
| RTX | 1 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UFS | 1 |
| URM | 1 |
| URS | 2 |