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This document summarizes the expected changes to the Financial Assistance Grant General Purpose component entitlements.

The analysis is based on grant entitlements estimated by the data generation process defined at <https://spulick.github.io/fa_grants>. At a high level, the process involves:

1. Estimating the funds allocated to each state and territory for the General Purpose component in each year from 2025-26 to 2028-29.
   * This is done each year on a per-capita basis using the projected total funding for the General Purpose component (as per the 2025-26 Federal Budget) and the projected population of each state and territory in that year. Population projections are sourced from the Center for Population.
2. Estimating the quantum of funding allocated to each council in a state or territory based on that jurisdiction’s grant commission’s distribution formula.
   * Due to limited data availability and transparency around the exact distribution formulae used by each Grant Commission, a synthetic metric of need is used to estimate entitlements. In short, this metric is the gap between a council’s revenue raising capacity and its expenditure needs - however it is estimated.
   * This funding gap is assumed to follow a random walk over time, i.e., the funding gap is in year T is the funding gap in year T-1 plus or minus a random shock.
   * Councils are then allocated funding based on the minimum grant entitlement (as was passed into the model) and the funding gap metric based on the relevant state’s distribution process. It is important to note that jurisdictions impose year-on-year caps on changes to council entitlements to provide some semblance of stability. These caps (as they were in 2024-25) have been imposed in the model wherever possible.
3. Simulating this process 1000 times to generate a distribution of possible outcomes for each council in each year.

Four scenarios are considered here:

1. A baseline scenario where a council’s minimum grant entitlements are 30% of the amount estimated on a per-capita basis.
2. A 20% per-capita minimum grant entitlement.
3. A 10% per-capita minimum grant entitlement.
4. No minimum grant entitlement.

When estimating the present value of expected gains and losses over the four year period, future entitlements have been discounted using in line with the 2025-26 Federal Budget.

Councils are classified into 22 groups based on their population size and the population density of their area - the Australian Classification of Local Governments (ACLG)

It is important to note that the analysis here is based on a number of assumptions and simplifications. The results should be interpreted with caution and not be taken as definitive predictions of future grant entitlements.

# A 20% Per Capita Minimum Grant

## National

|  | Jurisdiction | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | Queensland | RTL | Torres Strait Island Regional Council | 9,348,832.5 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | Queensland | UCC | Brisbane City Council | -49,269,604.8 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Jurisdiction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New South Wales | 27 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 58 |
| Northern Territory | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 |
| Queensland | 17 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 48 |
| South Australia | 17 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 18 | 23 |
| Tasmania | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 5 |
| Victoria | 15 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 30 | 13 |
| Western Australia | 29 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 15 | 75 |

## New South Wales

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Lachlan Council | 4,644,639.2 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | Canterbury-Bankstown Council | -13,976,297.4 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| RAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 2 |
| URV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

## Victoria

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | URM | East Gippsland Shire Council | 5,015,731.9 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | Melbourne City Council (City of Melbourne) | -6,663,865.9 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 6 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 9 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| UFV | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| URV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

## Queensland

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Torres Strait Island Regional Council | 9,348,832.5 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | Brisbane City Council | -49,269,604.8 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| RTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| URV | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## South Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UFL | City of Playford | 3,811,701.7 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | City of Port Adelaide Enfield | -5,048,686.2 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 |
| RAM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| RAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| RSG | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 |

## Western Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Shire of Derby-West Kimberley | 3,195,071.8 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | City of Stirling | -9,140,445.7 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| RAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 49 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| RSG | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| RTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |

## Tasmania

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | URS | Central Coast Council | 948,178.4 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UFM | Clarence City Council | -2,669,712.2 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| RAM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| RAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |

## Northern Territory

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | East Arnhem Regional Council | 1,902,799.5 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | City of Darwin | -3,089,747.2 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| RTM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| RTS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

# A 10% Per Capita Minimum Grant

## National

|  | Jurisdiction | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | Queensland | RTL | Torres Strait Island Regional Council | 18,624,312.5 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | Queensland | UCC | Brisbane City Council | -98,539,209.4 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Jurisdiction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New South Wales | 27 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 17 | 58 |
| Northern Territory | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 |
| Queensland | 17 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 48 |
| South Australia | 17 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 23 |
| Tasmania | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| Victoria | 15 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 30 | 13 |
| Western Australia | 29 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 75 |

## New South Wales

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Lachlan Council | 9,219,129.4 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | Canterbury-Bankstown Council | -27,952,594.7 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 |  |  |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |  |  |
| RAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 |  |  |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |  |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| UDL | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| UDM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| UDS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| UDV | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| UFL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| UFM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| UFV | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| URL | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| URM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 0 |  |  |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 |  |  |
| URV | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Victoria

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | URM | East Gippsland Shire Council | 9,969,597.5 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | Melbourne City Council (City of Melbourne) | -13,327,731.8 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 6 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| UFV | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| URV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Queensland

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Torres Strait Island Regional Council | 18,624,312.5 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | Brisbane City Council | -98,539,209.4 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| RTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| URV | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## South Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UFL | City of Playford | 7,746,237.3 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | City of Port Adelaide Enfield | -10,097,372.4 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 |
| RAM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| RAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| RSG | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 |

## Western Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Shire of Derby-West Kimberley | 6,379,983.1 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | City of Stirling | -18,280,891.5 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| RAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 49 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| RSG | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| RTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |

## Tasmania

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | URS | Central Coast Council | 1,896,808.7 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UFM | Clarence City Council | -5,339,424.5 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| RAM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| RAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

## Northern Territory

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | East Arnhem Regional Council | 3,792,169.9 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | City of Darwin | -6,179,494.5 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| RTM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| RTS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

# A World With No Per Capita Minimum Grant

## National

|  | Jurisdiction | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | Queensland | RTL | Torres Strait Island Regional Council | 28,077,370.4 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | Queensland | UCC | Brisbane City Council | -147,808,814.2 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% | Increased more than 40% |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Jurisdiction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New South Wales | 30 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 63 | 8 |  |
| Northern Territory | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  |
| Queensland | 19 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 37 |  |
| South Australia | 19 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 36 | 0 |  |
| Tasmania | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 0 |  |
| Victoria | 18 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 35 | 0 |
| Western Australia | 32 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 48 | 40 |  |

## New South Wales

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Lachlan Council | 13,835,322.6 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | Canterbury-Bankstown Council | -41,928,892.1 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% | Increased more than 40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 1 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 |
| RAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| URV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Victoria

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | URM | East Gippsland Shire Council | 14,951,799.6 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | Melbourne City Council (City of Melbourne) | -19,991,597.7 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |  |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |  |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| UDL | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| UDV | 10 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| UFL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| UFM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| UFV | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  |
| URM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 |  |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |
| URV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

## Queensland

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Torres Strait Island Regional Council | 28,077,370.4 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | Brisbane City Council | -147,808,814.2 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 20-40% | Increased more than 40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 |
| RTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| URV | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## South Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UFL | City of Playford | 11,473,341.8 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | City of Port Adelaide Enfield | -15,146,058.6 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| RAM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| RAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| RSG | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |

## Western Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Shire of Derby-West Kimberley | 9,631,404.5 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | City of Stirling | -27,421,337.2 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% | Increased more than 40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| RAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 27 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| RSG | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| RTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

## Tasmania

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | URS | Central Coast Council | 2,849,623.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UFM | Clarence City Council | -8,009,136.7 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| RAM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| RAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| URM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## Northern Territory

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | East Arnhem Regional Council | 5,679,818.7 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | City of Darwin | -9,269,241.7 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |
| RTL | 0 | 8 |
| RTM | 1 | 1 |
| RTS | 1 | 0 |
| RTX | 0 | 1 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 |
| UFS | 1 | 0 |
| URM | 1 | 0 |
| URS | 2 | 0 |

# And for sanity reasons, status quo

## National

|  | Jurisdiction | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | New South Wales | RAL | Berrigan Shire Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | New South Wales | RAL | Berrigan Shire Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| New South Wales | 131 |
| Northern Territory | 17 |
| Queensland | 77 |
| South Australia | 68 |
| Tasmania | 29 |
| Victoria | 79 |
| Western Australia | 136 |

## New South Wales

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Berrigan Shire Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Berrigan Shire Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RAL | 22 |
| RAM | 13 |
| RAS | 1 |
| RAV | 19 |
| RTL | 1 |
| RTM | 1 |
| RTX | 3 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UDL | 4 |
| UDM | 5 |
| UDS | 1 |
| UDV | 14 |
| UFL | 2 |
| UFM | 2 |
| UFV | 5 |
| URL | 8 |
| URM | 17 |
| URS | 9 |
| URV | 3 |

## Victoria

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Buloke Shire Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Buloke Shire Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RAL | 7 |
| RAM | 1 |
| RAV | 16 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UDL | 7 |
| UDV | 15 |
| UFL | 1 |
| UFM | 3 |
| UFS | 2 |
| UFV | 6 |
| URL | 3 |
| URM | 11 |
| URS | 5 |
| URV | 1 |

## Queensland

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAM | Balonne Shire Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAM | Balonne Shire Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RAM | 1 |
| RAV | 8 |
| RTL | 8 |
| RTM | 15 |
| RTS | 8 |
| RTX | 7 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UFM | 2 |
| UFS | 1 |
| URL | 4 |
| URM | 8 |
| URS | 5 |
| URV | 9 |

## South Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Adelaide Plains Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Adelaide Plains Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RAL | 10 |
| RAM | 10 |
| RAS | 10 |
| RAV | 7 |
| RSG | 1 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UDL | 3 |
| UDM | 7 |
| UDS | 2 |
| UDV | 2 |
| UFL | 1 |
| UFM | 1 |
| UFS | 3 |
| UFV | 1 |
| URM | 1 |
| URS | 8 |

## Western Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Shire of Carnarvon | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Shire of Carnarvon | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RAL | 9 |
| RAM | 10 |
| RAS | 51 |
| RAV | 3 |
| RSG | 1 |
| RTL | 8 |
| RTM | 5 |
| RTS | 5 |
| RTX | 5 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UDL | 3 |
| UDM | 5 |
| UDS | 10 |
| UDV | 3 |
| UFL | 1 |
| UFM | 4 |
| UFS | 1 |
| UFV | 3 |
| URM | 5 |
| URS | 3 |

## Tasmania

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Break O'day Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Break O'day Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RAL | 6 |
| RAM | 4 |
| RAS | 2 |
| RAV | 7 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UFM | 3 |
| UFS | 2 |
| URM | 1 |
| URS | 3 |

## Northern Territory

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Barkly Regional Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Barkly Regional Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RTL | 8 |
| RTM | 2 |
| RTS | 1 |
| RTX | 1 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UFS | 1 |
| URM | 1 |
| URS | 2 |

# A note on the Australian Classification of Local Governments (ACLG)

The Australian Classification of Local Governments (ACLG) was a system maintained and published by the Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development, Communications, Sport and the Arts (DITRDCSA). The Department is aiming to phase out the ACLG system in favour of the ABS Australian Statistical Geographical System Remoteness classifcation.

| **Step 1** | **Step 2** | **Step 3** |  | **Category** | **Alpha** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **URBAN (U)** |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Capital City (CC) | Not applicable |  | 1 | UCC |
| **Pop. > 20,000** | Metropolitan Developed (D) - Part of an urban centre > 1,000,000 and pop. density > 600/sq km. | Small (S) | up to 30,000 | 2 | UDS |
|  |  | Medium (M) | 30,001-70,000 | 3 | UDM |
|  |  | Large (L) | 70,001-120,000 | 4 | UDL |
|  |  | Very large (VL) | > 120,000 | 5 | UDV |
| **Pop. Density > 30 persons per sq km** | Regional Town/City (R) - Part of an urban centre with population <1,000,000 and predominantly urban in nature | Small | up to 30,000 | 6 | URS |
|  |  | Medium | 30,001-70,000 | 7 | URM |
|  |  | Large | 70,001-120,000 | 8 | URL |
|  |  | Very large | >120,001 | 9 | URV |
| **>90% of LGA population is urban** | Fringe (F) - A developing LGA on the margin of a developed or regional urban centre. | Small | up to 30,000 | 10 | UFS |
|  |  | Medium | 30,001-70,000 | 11 | UFM |
|  |  | Large | 70,001-120,000 | 12 | UFL |
|  |  | Very large | >120,001 | 13 | UFV |
| **RURAL (R)** |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Significant Growth (SG) - Average annual Population growth > 3%, population > 5,000 and not remote. | Not applicable |  | 14 | RSG |
|  | Agricultural (A) | Small | Up to 2,000 | 15 | RAS |
|  |  | Medium | 2,001-5,000 | 16 | RAM |
|  |  | Large | 5,001,-10,000 | 17 | RAL |
|  |  | Very large | 10,001 to 20,000 | 18 | RAV |
|  | Remote (T) - Situated in a remote locality. | Extra small | Up to 400 | 19 | RTX |
|  |  | Small | 401-1,000 | 20 | RTS |
|  |  | Medium | 1,001–3,000 | 21 | RTM |
|  |  | Large | 3,001 to 20,000 | 22 | RTL |