Winners and Losers

spulick

This document explores the expected changes to the FA Grant General Purpose component entitlements.

The distribution of change across percentage categories only looks entitilements in the 2026-27 financial year.

Future entitlements have been discounted at when computing total expected gains and losses over the four year period.

## A 20% Per Capita Minimum Grant

### National

|  | Jurisdiction | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | Queensland | RTL | Torres Strait Island Regional Council | 9,314,682.5 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | Queensland | UCC | Brisbane City Council | -48,715,086.3 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Jurisdiction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New South Wales | 27 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 57 |
| Northern Territory | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 |
| Queensland | 17 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 48 |
| South Australia | 17 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 18 | 23 |
| Tasmania | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 3 |
| Victoria | 15 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 9 | 30 | 12 |
| Western Australia | 29 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 15 | 75 |

### New South Wales

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Lachlan Council | 4,583,780.3 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | Canterbury-Bankstown Council | -13,820,098.9 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| RAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 2 |
| URV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

### Victoria

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | URM | East Gippsland Shire Council | 4,877,561.3 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | Melbourne City Council (City of Melbourne) | -6,546,242.8 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 5 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 9 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| UFV | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| URV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

### Queensland

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Torres Strait Island Regional Council | 9,314,682.5 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | Brisbane City Council | -48,715,086.3 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| RTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| URV | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### South Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UFL | City of Playford | 3,922,090.9 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | City of Port Adelaide Enfield | -4,991,610.7 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 |
| RAM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| RAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| RSG | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 |

### Western Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Shire of Derby-West Kimberley | 3,174,280.7 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | City of Stirling | -9,066,967.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| RAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 49 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| RSG | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| RTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

### Tasmania

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Southern Midlands Council | 874,341.2 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | URM | Launceston City Council | -2,356,611.6 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| RAM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| RAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

### Northern Territory

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | East Arnhem Regional Council | 1,905,803.8 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | City of Darwin | -3,147,190.5 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| RTM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| RTS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

## A 10% Per Capita Minimum Grant

### National

|  | Jurisdiction | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | Queensland | RTL | Torres Strait Island Regional Council | 18,743,760.5 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | Queensland | UCC | Brisbane City Council | -97,430,172.6 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Jurisdiction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New South Wales | 27 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 17 | 57 |
| Northern Territory | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 |
| Queensland | 17 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 48 |
| South Australia | 17 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 23 |
| Tasmania | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 3 |
| Victoria | 15 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 31 | 11 |
| Western Australia | 29 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 75 |

### New South Wales

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Lachlan Council | 9,296,766.7 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | Canterbury-Bankstown Council | -27,640,197.8 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| RAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 |
| URV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### Victoria

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | URM | East Gippsland Shire Council | 9,886,594.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | Melbourne City Council (City of Melbourne) | -13,092,485.6 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 4 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 9 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| UFV | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| URV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### Queensland

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Torres Strait Island Regional Council | 18,743,760.5 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | Brisbane City Council | -97,430,172.6 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| RTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| URV | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### South Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UFL | City of Playford | 7,681,331.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | City of Port Adelaide Enfield | -9,983,221.4 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 |
| RAM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| RAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| RSG | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 |

### Western Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Shire of Derby-West Kimberley | 6,350,349.4 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | City of Stirling | -18,133,934.1 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| RAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 49 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| RSG | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| RTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

### Tasmania

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Southern Midlands Council | 1,729,901.4 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | URM | Launceston City Council | -4,713,223.3 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| RAM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| RAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

### Northern Territory

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | East Arnhem Regional Council | 3,816,470.2 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | City of Darwin | -6,294,381.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| RTM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| RTS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

## A World With No Per Capita Minimum Grant

### National

|  | Jurisdiction | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | Queensland | RTL | Torres Strait Island Regional Council | 28,433,738.3 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | Queensland | UCC | Brisbane City Council | -146,145,258.9 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% | Increased more than 40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Jurisdiction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New South Wales | 30 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 62 | 8 |
| Northern Territory | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Queensland | 19 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 37 |
| South Australia | 19 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 34 | 0 |
| Tasmania | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 0 |
| Victoria | 18 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 35 | 0 |
| Western Australia | 32 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 49 | 39 |

### New South Wales

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Lachlan Council | 13,877,476.5 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | Canterbury-Bankstown Council | -41,460,296.7 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% | Increased more than 40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 1 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 |
| RAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| URV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### Victoria

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | URM | East Gippsland Shire Council | 14,774,699.8 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | Melbourne City Council (City of Melbourne) | -19,638,728.4 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 10 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| UFV | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| URL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| URV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### Queensland

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Torres Strait Island Regional Council | 28,433,738.3 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | Brisbane City Council | -146,145,258.9 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 20-40% | Increased more than 40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| RTL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 |
| RTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URL | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| URV | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### South Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UFL | City of Playford | 11,808,007.8 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | City of Port Adelaide Enfield | -14,974,832.2 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| RAM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| RAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| RSG | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UFM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |

### Western Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Shire of Derby-West Kimberley | 9,588,494.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UDV | City of Stirling | -27,200,901.1 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased 10-20% | Decreased 5-10% | Increased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% | Increased more than 40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| RAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| RAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 26 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| RSG | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| RTM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| RTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| RTX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDL | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDS | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UDV | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFV | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

### Tasmania

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Southern Midlands Council | 2,615,445.2 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | URM | Launceston City Council | -7,069,834.9 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Decreased 20-40% | Decreased less than 5% | Increased 5-10% | Increased 10-20% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| RAM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| RAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| RAV | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| URM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| URS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |

### Northern Territory

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | East Arnhem Regional Council | 5,710,540.5 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | UCC | City of Darwin | -9,441,571.5 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased more than 40% | Increased 20-40% |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |  |
| RTL | 0 | 8 |
| RTM | 1 | 1 |
| RTS | 1 | 0 |
| RTX | 0 | 1 |
| UCC | 1 | 0 |
| UFS | 1 | 0 |
| URM | 1 | 0 |
| URS | 2 | 0 |

## And for sanity reasons, status quo

### National

|  | Jurisdiction | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | New South Wales | RAL | Berrigan Shire Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | New South Wales | RAL | Berrigan Shire Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| New South Wales | 130 |
| Northern Territory | 17 |
| Queensland | 77 |
| South Australia | 67 |
| Tasmania | 29 |
| Victoria | 79 |
| Western Australia | 135 |

### New South Wales

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Berrigan Shire Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Berrigan Shire Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RAL | 22 |
| RAM | 13 |
| RAS | 1 |
| RAV | 19 |
| RTL | 1 |
| RTM | 1 |
| RTX | 3 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UDL | 4 |
| UDM | 5 |
| UDS | 1 |
| UDV | 13 |
| UFL | 2 |
| UFM | 2 |
| UFV | 5 |
| URL | 8 |
| URM | 17 |
| URS | 9 |
| URV | 3 |

### Victoria

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Buloke Shire Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Buloke Shire Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RAL | 7 |
| RAM | 1 |
| RAV | 16 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UDL | 7 |
| UDV | 15 |
| UFL | 1 |
| UFM | 3 |
| UFS | 2 |
| UFV | 6 |
| URL | 3 |
| URM | 11 |
| URS | 5 |
| URV | 1 |

### Queensland

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAM | Balonne Shire Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAM | Balonne Shire Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RAM | 1 |
| RAV | 8 |
| RTL | 8 |
| RTM | 15 |
| RTS | 8 |
| RTX | 7 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UFM | 2 |
| UFS | 1 |
| URL | 4 |
| URM | 8 |
| URS | 5 |
| URV | 9 |

### South Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Adelaide Plains Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Adelaide Plains Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RAL | 10 |
| RAM | 10 |
| RAS | 10 |
| RAV | 7 |
| RSG | 1 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UDL | 3 |
| UDM | 7 |
| UDS | 2 |
| UDV | 2 |
| UFL | 1 |
| UFM | 1 |
| UFS | 2 |
| UFV | 1 |
| URM | 1 |
| URS | 8 |

### Western Australia

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Shire of Carnarvon | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Shire of Carnarvon | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RAL | 9 |
| RAM | 10 |
| RAS | 51 |
| RAV | 3 |
| RSG | 1 |
| RTL | 8 |
| RTM | 5 |
| RTS | 5 |
| RTX | 5 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UDL | 3 |
| UDM | 5 |
| UDS | 10 |
| UDV | 3 |
| UFL | 1 |
| UFM | 4 |
| UFS | 1 |
| UFV | 3 |
| URM | 5 |
| URS | 2 |

### Tasmania

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Break O'day Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RAL | Break O'day Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RAL | 6 |
| RAM | 4 |
| RAS | 2 |
| RAV | 7 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UFM | 3 |
| UFS | 2 |
| URM | 1 |
| URS | 3 |

### Northern Territory

|  | ACLG | LGA | Expected Change in GPG ($) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Largest total gains in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Barkly Regional Council | 0.0 |
| Largest total losses in the 2025-26 to 2028-29 period | RTL | Barkly Regional Council | 0.0 |

**Distribution of change in GPG entitlement in 2026-27**

| Expected Change in GPG (%) Bin | Decreased less than 5% |
| --- | --- |
| ACLG |  |
| RTL | 8 |
| RTM | 2 |
| RTS | 1 |
| RTX | 1 |
| UCC | 1 |
| UFS | 1 |
| URM | 1 |
| URS | 2 |