

# ANIMA

## Constrained Voucher and BRSKI extensions to COAP-EST

Michael Richardson

[mcr+ietf@sandelman.ca](mailto:mcr+ietf@sandelman.ca)

Peter van der Stok

[<consultancy@vanderstok.org>](mailto:<consultancy@vanderstok.org>)

Panos Kampanakis

[<pkampana@cisco.com>](mailto:<pkampana@cisco.com>)

# Constrained Vouchers

- Serialized to CBOR using SIDs
- Signed with CMS (just like ietf-anima-voucher)
- Signed with COSE (new)
- BRSKI extensions to EST need to applied to EST-COAPS – draft-{ietf,vanderstok}-ace-coap-est

# Constrained Voucher VS Constrained BRSKI

- BRSKI extends RFC7030 (EST)
- ietf-ace-est-coaps translates RFC7030 to CoAP.
- ietf-anima-constrained-voucher maps RFC8366 (voucher) to CBOR.
- Should ietf-anima-constrained-\*VOUCHER\* provide BRSKI equivalent text?
- BRSKI extensions (/requestvoucher) is not in scope for ietf-ace-est-coaps
- Current text is in ietf-6tisch-zerotouch-join, but it seems that people want more general solution
- What should we do? Not clearly in scope for ANIMA.

Side-meeting on enrollment,  
Tuesday, November 6 18:00  
Apartment 3 (9th Floor)

# Open Issues

- Had some some issues with SID allocation which are unresolved in CORE/YOT. Unclear if they are still unresolved, but we are assigning a unique SID for voucher requests vs voucher (responses)!
  - We need to make sure our SID values do not change.
- Early allocation asked for, needed for Interop test scheduled for end of November.
- Text is pretty stable and pretty much complete.