

Lecture 7:


Convolutional Networks

Lecture Format

What is your preferred lecture format?

134 responses


- Strongly prefer remote lectures
- Slightly prefer remote lectures
- Indifferent between in-person and remote lecture
- Slightly prefer in-person lectures
- Strongly prefer in-person lectures



Lecture Format

If we were to return to in-person lectures, how would you plan to watch lectures?

134 responses



Lecture Format

- We will remain remote for at least another 2-3 weeks
- Idea: book a conference room for “watch parties?”
Or just use lecture hall
- COVID in MI have (hopefully!) peaked? If they continue to drop we will consider in-person OH in the next 1-2 weeks
- May revisit after Spring Break
- Feel free to raise hand to ask questions in Zoom!
- Midterm will be remote (but still working on exact format)

Reminder: A2

Due last Friday


A3

Will be released tonight, covering:

- Backpropagation with modular API
- Different update rules (Momentum, RMSProp, Adam, etc)
- Batch Normalization
- Dropout
- Convolutional Networks

Last Time: Backpropagation


Represent complex expressions as **computational graphs**




Forward pass computes outputs

Backward pass computes gradients


During the backward pass, each node in the graph receives **upstream gradients** and multiplies them by **local gradients** to compute **downstream gradients**




$$f(x, W) = Wx$$




$$f = W_2 \max(0, W_1 x)$$




Problem: So far our classifiers don't respect the spatial structure of images!



$$f(x, W) = Wx$$




$$f = W_2 \max(0, W_1 x)$$



Problem: So far our classifiers don't respect the spatial structure of images!


Solution: Define new computational nodes that operate on images!

Stretch pixels into column




Components of a Fully-Connected Network

Fully-Connected Layers




Activation Function




Components of a Convolutional Network


Fully-Connected Layers




Activation Function



Convolution Layers



Pooling Layers




Normalization


$$\hat{x}_{i,j} = \frac{x_{i,j} - \mu_j}{\sqrt{\sigma_j^2 + \varepsilon}}$$

Components of a Convolutional Network


Fully-Connected Layers




Activation Function



Convolution Layers



Pooling Layers




Normalization

$$\hat{x}_{i,j} = \frac{x_{i,j} - \mu_j}{\sqrt{\sigma_j^2 + \varepsilon}}$$


Fully-Connected Layer

32x32x3 image -> stretch to 3072 x 1




Fully-Connected Layer

32x32x3 image -> stretch to 3072 x 1




Convolution Layer

3x32x32 image: preserve spatial structure



Convolution Layer

3x32x32 image




3x5x5 filter



Convolve the filter with the image
i.e. “slide over the image spatially,
computing dot products”

Convolution Layer

3x32x32 image



Filters always extend the full depth of the input volume


3x5x5 filter



Convolve the filter with the image
i.e. “slide over the image spatially,
computing dot products”

Convolution Layer

3x32x32 image




1 number:

the result of taking a dot product between the filter and a small 3x5x5 chunk of the image
(i.e. $3 \times 5 \times 5 = 75$ -dimensional dot product + bias)

$$w^T x + b$$

Convolution Layer


3x32x32 image



3x5x5 filter


convolve (slide) over
all spatial locations

1x28x28
activation map




Convolution Layer

3x32x32 image



3x5x5 filter




Consider repeating with
a second (green) filter:




convolve (slide) over
all spatial locations

two 1x28x28
activation map





Convolution Layer

3x32x32 image




Consider 6 filters,
each 3x5x5

6x3x5x5
filters




6 activation maps,
each 1x28x28



Stack activations to get a
6x28x28 output image!

Convolution Layer

3x32x32 image




Also 6-dim bias vector:




Convolution Layer

6x3x5x5 filters




6 activation maps,
each 1x28x28



Stack activations to get a
6x28x28 output image!

Convolution Layer

3x32x32 image




Also 6-dim bias vector:




Convolution
Layer

6x3x5x5
filters



28x28 grid, at each
point a 6-dim vector




Stack activations to get a
6x28x28 output image!

Convolution Layer

2x3x32x32

Batch of images




Also 6-dim bias vector:




Convolution
Layer

6x3x5x5
filters




2x6x28x28
Batch of outputs



Convolution Layer

$N \times C_{in} \times H \times W$
Batch of images




Also C_{out} -dim bias vector:




Convolution
Layer


$C_{out} \times C_{in} \times K_w \times K_h$
filters



$N \times C_{out} \times H' \times W'$
Batch of outputs




Stacking Convolutions




Stacking Convolutions

Q: What happens if we stack two convolution layers?



Stacking Convolutions


Q: What happens if we stack two convolution layers? (Recall $y=W_2W_1x$ is a linear classifier)
A: We get another convolution!




Stacking Convolutions

Q: What happens if we stack two convolution layers? (Recall $y=W_2W_1x$ is a linear classifier)


A: We get another convolution!



What do convolutional filters learn?



What do convolutional filters learn?



Input:

$N \times 3 \times 32 \times 32$


First hidden layer:

$N \times 6 \times 28 \times 28$

Linear classifier: One template per class



What do convolutional filters learn?



Input:

$N \times 3 \times 32 \times 32$


First hidden layer:

$N \times 6 \times 28 \times 28$

MLP: Bank of whole-image templates



What do convolutional filters learn?




Input:

$N \times 3 \times 32 \times 32$

First hidden layer:


$N \times 6 \times 28 \times 28$

First-layer conv filters: local image templates
(Often learns oriented edges, opposing colors)



AlexNet: 64 filters, each $3 \times 11 \times 11$

A closer look at spatial dimensions




Input:

$N \times 3 \times 32 \times 32$

First hidden layer:

$N \times 6 \times 28 \times 28$


A closer look at spatial dimensions



Input: 7x7

Filter: 3x3

A closer look at spatial dimensions




7

7

Input: 7x7

Filter: 3x3

A closer look at spatial dimensions




7

7

Input: 7x7

Filter: 3x3

A closer look at spatial dimensions




7

7

Input: 7x7

Filter: 3x3

A closer look at spatial dimensions




Input: 7x7

Filter: 3x3

Output: 5x5

A closer look at spatial dimensions



7

7

Input: 7x7

Filter: 3x3

Output: 5x5

In general:

Input: W

Filter: K

Output: $W - K + 1$

Problem: Feature
maps “shrink”
with each layer!

A closer look at spatial dimensions

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0								0
0								0
0								0
0								0
0								0
0								0
0								0
0								0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Input: 7x7

Filter: 3x3

Output: 5x5

In general: Problem: Feature
Input: W maps “shrink”
Filter: K with each layer!
Output: $W - K + 1$

Solution: **padding**
Add zeros around the input

A closer look at spatial dimensions

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0								0
0								0
0								0
0								0
0								0
0								0
0								0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Input: 7x7

Filter: 3x3

Output: 5x5

In general:

Input: W

Filter: K

Padding: P


Output: $W - K + 1 + 2P$

Very common:

Set $P = (K - 1) / 2$ to
make output have
same size as input!


Receptive Fields

For convolution with kernel size K , each element in the output depends on a $K \times K$ **receptive field** in the input



Receptive Fields


Each successive convolution adds $K - 1$ to the receptive field size
With L layers the receptive field size is $1 + L * (K - 1)$



Be careful – “receptive field in the input” vs “receptive field in the previous layer”
Hopefully clear from context!

Receptive Fields

Each successive convolution adds $K - 1$ to the receptive field size
With L layers the receptive field size is $1 + L * (K - 1)$




Input

Problem: For large images we need many layers
for each output to “see” the whole image

Output

Receptive Fields

Each successive convolution adds $K - 1$ to the receptive field size
With L layers the receptive field size is $1 + L * (K - 1)$




Input

Problem: For large images we need many layers for each output to “see” the whole image

Solution: Downsample inside the network

Output

Strided Convolution




Input: 7x7

Filter: 3x3

Stride: 2

Strided Convolution



Input: 7x7

Filter: 3x3

Stride: 2

Strided Convolution


Input: 7x7

Filter: 3x3

Stride: 2

Output: 3x3

Strided Convolution



Input: 7x7

Filter: 3x3

Stride: 2

Output: 3x3

In general:

Input: W

Filter: K

Padding: P


Stride: S

Output: $(W - K + 2P) / S + 1$

Convolution Example

Input volume: 3 x 32 x 32
10 5x5 filters with stride 1, pad 2

Output volume size: ?



Convolution Example


Input volume: 3 x 32 x 32

10 5x5 filters with stride 1, pad 2

Output volume size:

$(32 + 2 * 2 - 5) / 1 + 1 = 32$ spatially, so


10 x 32 x 32



Convolution Example

Input volume: $3 \times 32 \times 32$
10 5×5 filters with stride 1, pad 2

Output volume size: $10 \times 32 \times 32$
Number of learnable parameters: ?



Convolution Example

Input volume: **3** x 32 x 32


10 **5x5** filters with stride 1, pad 2

Output volume size: 10 x 32 x 32

Number of learnable parameters: **760**

Parameters per filter: **3*****5*****5** + 1 (for bias) = **76**


10 filters, so total is **10** * **76** = **760**



Convolution Example


Input volume: $3 \times 32 \times 32$
10 5×5 filters with stride 1, pad 2

Output volume size: $10 \times 32 \times 32$
Number of learnable parameters: 760
Number of multiply-add operations: ?



Convolution Example

Input volume: **3** x 32 x 32
10 **5x5** filters with stride 1, pad 2




Output volume size: **10 x 32 x 32**

Number of learnable parameters: 760


Number of multiply-add operations: **768,000**

$10 * 32 * 32$ = 10,240 outputs; each output is the inner product of two **3x5x5** tensors (75 elems); total = $75 * 10240 = \mathbf{768K}$

Example: 1x1 Convolution



Example: 1x1 Convolution



Lin et al, "Network in Network", ICLR 2014

Convolution Summary

Input: $C_{in} \times H \times W$

Hyperparameters:

- **Kernel size:** $K_H \times K_W$
- **Number filters:** C_{out}
- **Padding:** P
- **Stride:** S

Weight matrix: $C_{out} \times C_{in} \times K_H \times K_W$

giving C_{out} filters of size $C_{in} \times K_H \times K_W$

Bias vector: C_{out}

Output size: $C_{out} \times H' \times W'$ where:

- $H' = (H - K + 2P) / S + 1$
- $W' = (W - K + 2P) / S + 1$

Convolution Summary

Input: $C_{in} \times H \times W$

Hyperparameters:

- **Kernel size:** $K_H \times K_W$
- **Number filters:** C_{out}
- **Padding:** P
- **Stride:** S

Weight matrix: $C_{out} \times C_{in} \times K_H \times K_W$
giving C_{out} filters of size $C_{in} \times K_H \times K_W$

Bias vector: C_{out}

Output size: $C_{out} \times H' \times W'$ where:

- $H' = (H - K + 2P) / S + 1$
- $W' = (W - K + 2P) / S + 1$

Common settings:

$K_H = K_W$ (Small square filters)

$P = (K - 1) / 2$ ("Same" padding)

$C_{in}, C_{out} = 32, 64, 128, 256$ (powers of 2)

$K = 3, P = 1, S = 1$ (3x3 conv)


$K = 5, P = 2, S = 1$ (5x5 conv)

$K = 1, P = 0, S = 1$ (1x1 conv)

$K = 3, P = 1, S = 2$ (Downsample by 2)


Other types of convolution

So far: 2D Convolution




Other types of convolution

So far: 2D Convolution




1D Convolution



Other types of convolution


So far: 2D Convolution



3D Convolution

Input: $C_{in} \times H \times W \times D$
Weights: $C_{out} \times C_{in} \times K \times K \times K$

C_{in} -dim vector
at each point
in the volume



PyTorch Convolution Layer

Conv2d

CLASS `torch.nn.Conv2d(in_channels, out_channels, kernel_size, stride=1, padding=0, dilation=1, groups=1, bias=True, padding_mode='zeros')`

[\[SOURCE\]](#)

Applies a 2D convolution over an input signal composed of several input planes.

In the simplest case, the output value of the layer with input size (N, C_{in}, H, W) and output $(N, C_{\text{out}}, H_{\text{out}}, W_{\text{out}})$ can be precisely described as:

$$\text{out}(N_i, C_{\text{out}_j}) = \text{bias}(C_{\text{out}_j}) + \sum_{k=0}^{C_{\text{in}}-1} \text{weight}(C_{\text{out}_j}, k) \star \text{input}(N_i, k)$$

PyTorch Convolution Layers

Conv2d

CLASS `torch.nn.Conv2d(in_channels, out_channels, kernel_size, stride=1, padding=0, dilation=1, groups=1, bias=True, padding_mode='zeros')`

[\[SOURCE\]](#)

Conv1d

CLASS `torch.nn.Conv1d(in_channels, out_channels, kernel_size, stride=1, padding=0, dilation=1, groups=1, bias=True, padding_mode='zeros')`

[\[SOURCE\]](#) 


Conv3d

CLASS `torch.nn.Conv3d(in_channels, out_channels, kernel_size, stride=1, padding=0, dilation=1, groups=1, bias=True, padding_mode='zeros')`


[\[SOURCE\]](#)

Components of a Convolutional Network


Fully-Connected Layers




Activation Function



Convolution Layers




Pooling Layers



Normalization


$$\hat{x}_{i,j} = \frac{x_{i,j} - \mu_j}{\sqrt{\sigma_j^2 + \varepsilon}}$$

Pooling Layers: Another way to downsample




Hyperparameters:
Kernel Size
Stride
Pooling function

Max Pooling



64 x 224 x 224



Max pooling with 2x2
kernel size and stride 2



6	8
3	4

Introduces **invariance** to
small spatial shifts
No learnable parameters!

Pooling Summary

Input: $C \times H \times W$

Hyperparameters:

- Kernel size: K
- Stride: S
- Pooling function (max, avg)

Output: $C \times H' \times W'$ where

- $H' = (H - K) / S + 1$
- $W' = (W - K) / S + 1$

Learnable parameters: None!


Common settings:

max, $K = 2$, $S = 2$


max, $K = 3$, $S = 2$ (AlexNet)

Components of a Convolutional Network


Fully-Connected Layers




Activation Function



Convolution Layers



Pooling Layers




Normalization

$$\hat{x}_{i,j} = \frac{x_{i,j} - \mu_j}{\sqrt{\sigma_j^2 + \varepsilon}}$$

Convolutional Networks

Classic architecture: [Conv, ReLU, Pool] x N, flatten, [FC, ReLU] x N, FC


Example: LeNet-5



Lecun et al, "Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition", 1998

Example: LeNet-5

Layer	Output Size	Weight Size
Input	1 x 28 x 28	



Lecun et al, "Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition", 1998

Example: LeNet-5


Layer	Output Size	Weight Size
Input	1 x 28 x 28	
Conv ($C_{out}=20$, $K=5$, $P=2$, $S=1$)	20 x 28 x 28	20 x 1 x 5 x 5
ReLU	20 x 28 x 28	



Lecun et al, "Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition", 1998

Example: LeNet-5


Layer	Output Size	Weight Size
Input	1 x 28 x 28	
Conv ($C_{out}=20$, $K=5$, $P=2$, $S=1$)	20 x 28 x 28	20 x 1 x 5 x 5
ReLU	20 x 28 x 28	
MaxPool($K=2$, $S=2$)	20 x 14 x 14	



Lecun et al, "Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition", 1998

Example: LeNet-5

Layer	Output Size	Weight Size
Input	1 x 28 x 28	
Conv ($C_{out}=20$, $K=5$, $P=2$, $S=1$)	20 x 28 x 28	20 x 1 x 5 x 5
ReLU	20 x 28 x 28	
MaxPool($K=2$, $S=2$)	20 x 14 x 14	
Conv ($C_{out}=50$, $K=5$, $P=2$, $S=1$)	50 x 14 x 14	50 x 20 x 5 x 5
ReLU	50 x 14 x 14	



Lecun et al, "Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition", 1998

Example: LeNet-5


Layer	Output Size	Weight Size
Input	1 x 28 x 28	
Conv ($C_{out}=20$, $K=5$, $P=2$, $S=1$)	20 x 28 x 28	20 x 1 x 5 x 5
ReLU	20 x 28 x 28	
MaxPool($K=2$, $S=2$)	20 x 14 x 14	
Conv ($C_{out}=50$, $K=5$, $P=2$, $S=1$)	50 x 14 x 14	50 x 20 x 5 x 5
ReLU	50 x 14 x 14	
MaxPool($K=2$, $S=2$)	50 x 7 x 7	



Lecun et al, "Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition", 1998

Example: LeNet-5


Layer	Output Size	Weight Size
Input	1 x 28 x 28	
Conv ($C_{out}=20$, $K=5$, $P=2$, $S=1$)	20 x 28 x 28	20 x 1 x 5 x 5
ReLU	20 x 28 x 28	
MaxPool($K=2$, $S=2$)	20 x 14 x 14	
Conv ($C_{out}=50$, $K=5$, $P=2$, $S=1$)	50 x 14 x 14	50 x 20 x 5 x 5
ReLU	50 x 14 x 14	
MaxPool($K=2$, $S=2$)	50 x 7 x 7	
Flatten	2450	



Lecun et al, "Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition", 1998

Example: LeNet-5


Layer	Output Size	Weight Size
Input	1 x 28 x 28	
Conv ($C_{out}=20$, $K=5$, $P=2$, $S=1$)	20 x 28 x 28	20 x 1 x 5 x 5
ReLU	20 x 28 x 28	
MaxPool($K=2$, $S=2$)	20 x 14 x 14	
Conv ($C_{out}=50$, $K=5$, $P=2$, $S=1$)	50 x 14 x 14	50 x 20 x 5 x 5
ReLU	50 x 14 x 14	
MaxPool($K=2$, $S=2$)	50 x 7 x 7	
Flatten	2450	
Linear (2450 -> 500)	500	2450 x 500
ReLU	500	



Lecun et al, "Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition", 1998

Example: LeNet-5


Layer	Output Size	Weight Size
Input	1 x 28 x 28	
Conv ($C_{out}=20$, $K=5$, $P=2$, $S=1$)	20 x 28 x 28	20 x 1 x 5 x 5
ReLU	20 x 28 x 28	
MaxPool($K=2$, $S=2$)	20 x 14 x 14	
Conv ($C_{out}=50$, $K=5$, $P=2$, $S=1$)	50 x 14 x 14	50 x 20 x 5 x 5
ReLU	50 x 14 x 14	
MaxPool($K=2$, $S=2$)	50 x 7 x 7	
Flatten	2450	
Linear (2450 -> 500)	500	2450 x 500
ReLU	500	
Linear (500 -> 10)	10	500 x 10



Lecun et al, "Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition", 1998

Example: LeNet-5

Layer	Output Size	Weight Size
Input	1 x 28 x 28	
Conv ($C_{out}=20$, $K=5$, $P=2$, $S=1$)	20 x 28 x 28	20 x 1 x 5 x 5
ReLU	20 x 28 x 28	
MaxPool($K=2$, $S=2$)	20 x 14 x 14	
Conv ($C_{out}=50$, $K=5$, $P=2$, $S=1$)	50 x 14 x 14	50 x 20 x 5 x 5
ReLU	50 x 14 x 14	
MaxPool($K=2$, $S=2$)	50 x 7 x 7	
Flatten	2450	
Linear (2450 -> 500)	500	2450 x 500
ReLU	500	
Linear (500 -> 10)	10	500 x 10




As we go through the network:

Spatial size **decreases**
(using pooling or strided conv)

Number of channels **increases**
(total “volume” is preserved!)

Example: LeNet-5

Layer	Output Size	Weight Size
Input	1 x 28 x 28	
Conv ($C_{\text{out}}=20$, $K=5$, $P=2$, $S=1$)	20 x 28 x 28	20 x 1 x 5 x 5
ReLU	20 x 28 x 28	
MaxPool($K=2$, $S=2$)	20 x 14 x 14	
Conv ($C_{\text{out}}=50$, $K=5$, $P=2$, $S=1$)	50 x 14 x 14	50 x 20 x 5 x 5
ReLU	50 x 14 x 14	
MaxPool($K=2$, $S=2$)	50 x 7 x 7	
Flatten	2450	
Linear (2450 -> 500)	500	2450 x 500
ReLU	500	
Linear (500 -> 10)	10	500 x 10



As we go through the network:

Spatial size **decreases**
(using pooling or strided conv)

Number of channels **increases**
(total “volume” is preserved!)


Some modern architectures
break this trend -- stay tuned!

Lecun et al, “Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition”, 1998


Problem: Deep Networks very hard to train!

Components of a Convolutional Network


Fully-Connected Layers




Activation Function



Convolution Layers



Pooling Layers



Normalization

$$\hat{x}_{i,j} = \frac{x_{i,j} - \mu_j}{\sqrt{\sigma_j^2 + \varepsilon}}$$

Batch Normalization

Idea: “Normalize” the outputs of a layer so they have zero mean and unit variance

Why? Helps reduce “internal covariate shift”, improves optimization


We can normalize a batch of activations like this:

$$\hat{x} = \frac{x - E[x]}{\sqrt{Var[x]}}$$

This is a **differentiable function**, so we can use it as an operator in our networks and backprop through it!

Batch Normalization

Input: $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$



$$\mu_j = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_{i,j}$$

Per-channel
mean, shape is D

$$\sigma_j^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_{i,j} - \mu_j)^2$$


Per-channel
std, shape is D

$$\hat{x}_{i,j} = \frac{x_{i,j} - \mu_j}{\sqrt{\sigma_j^2 + \varepsilon}}$$

Normalized x ,
Shape is $N \times D$

Batch Normalization

Input: $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$



$$\mu_j = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_{i,j}$$

Per-channel
mean, shape is D

$$\sigma_j^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_{i,j} - \mu_j)^2$$

Per-channel
std, shape is D

$$\hat{x}_{i,j} = \frac{x_{i,j} - \mu_j}{\sqrt{\sigma_j^2 + \varepsilon}}$$

Normalized x,
Shape is N x D

**Problem: What if zero-mean, unit
variance is too hard of a constraint?**

Ioffe and Szegedy, "Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift", ICML 2015

Batch Normalization

Input: $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$

Learnable scale and shift parameters:

$$\gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^D$$

Learning $\gamma = \sigma$, $\beta = \mu$
will recover the identity
function (in expectation)

$$\mu_j = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_{i,j}$$

Per-channel
mean, shape is D

$$\sigma_j^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_{i,j} - \mu_j)^2$$

Per-channel
std, shape is D

$$\hat{x}_{i,j} = \frac{x_{i,j} - \mu_j}{\sqrt{\sigma_j^2 + \varepsilon}}$$

Normalized x,
Shape is N x D

$$y_{i,j} = \gamma_j \hat{x}_{i,j} + \beta_j$$

Output,
Shape is N x D

Batch Normalization

Problem: Estimates depend on minibatch; can't do this at test-time!

Input: $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$

Learnable scale and shift parameters:

$$\gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^D$$

Learning $\gamma = \sigma$, $\beta = \mu$
will recover the identity
function (in expectation)

$$\mu_j = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_{i,j}$$

Per-channel
mean, shape is D

$$\sigma_j^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_{i,j} - \mu_j)^2$$

Per-channel
std, shape is D

$$\hat{x}_{i,j} = \frac{x_{i,j} - \mu_j}{\sqrt{\sigma_j^2 + \varepsilon}}$$

Normalized x,
Shape is N x D

$$y_{i,j} = \gamma_j \hat{x}_{i,j} + \beta_j$$

Output,
Shape is N x D

Batch Normalization: Test-Time

Input: $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$

$\mu_j =$ (Running) average of
values seen during
training

Per-channel
mean, shape is D

**Learnable scale and
shift parameters:**

$\gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^D$

Learning $\gamma = \sigma, \beta = \mu$
will recover the identity
function (in expectation)

$\sigma_j^2 =$ (Running) average of
values seen during training

Per-channel
std, shape is D

$$\hat{x}_{i,j} = \frac{x_{i,j} - \mu_j}{\sqrt{\sigma_j^2 + \varepsilon}}$$

Normalized x,
Shape is N x D

$$y_{i,j} = \gamma_j \hat{x}_{i,j} + \beta_j$$

Output,
Shape is N x D

Batch Normalization: Test-Time

Input: $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$

$\mu_j =$ (Running) average of
values seen during
training

Per-channel
mean, shape is D

**Learnable scale and
shift parameters:**

$$\gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^D$$

Learning $\gamma = \sigma$, $\beta = \mu$
will recover the identity
function (in expectation)

$$\mu_j^{test} = 0$$

For each training iteration:

$$\mu_j = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_{i,j}$$

$$\mu_j^{test} = 0.99 \mu_j^{test} + 0.01 \mu_j$$

(Similar for σ)

Batch Normalization: Test-Time

Input: $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$

$\mu_j =$ (Running) average of values seen during training

Per-channel mean, shape is D

Learnable scale and shift parameters:

$\gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^D$

$\sigma_j^2 =$ (Running) average of values seen during training

Per-channel std, shape is D

Learning $\gamma = \sigma, \beta = \mu$ will recover the identity function (in expectation)

$$\hat{x}_{i,j} = \frac{x_{i,j} - \mu_j}{\sqrt{\sigma_j^2 + \varepsilon}}$$

Normalized x,
Shape is N x D

$$y_{i,j} = \gamma_j \hat{x}_{i,j} + \beta_j$$

Output,
Shape is N x D

Batch Normalization: Test-Time

Input: $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$

$\mu_j =$ (Running) average of values seen during training

Per-channel mean, shape is D

Learnable scale and shift parameters:

$\gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^D$

$\sigma_j^2 =$ (Running) average of values seen during training

Per-channel std, shape is D

$$\hat{x}_{i,j} = \frac{x_{i,j} - \mu_j}{\sqrt{\sigma_j^2 + \varepsilon}}$$

Normalized x,
Shape is N x D

During testing batchnorm becomes a linear operator!
Can be fused with the previous fully-connected or conv layer

$$y_{i,j} = \gamma_j \hat{x}_{i,j} + \beta_j$$

Output,
Shape is N x D

Batch Normalization for ConvNets

Batch Normalization for
fully-connected networks

$$x : N \times D$$

Normalize



$$\mu, \sigma : 1 \times D$$

$$\gamma, \beta : 1 \times D$$

$$y = \frac{(x - \mu)}{\sigma} \gamma + \beta$$

Batch Normalization for
convolutional networks
(Spatial Batchnorm, BatchNorm2D)

$$x : N \times C \times H \times W$$

Normalize




$$\mu, \sigma : 1 \times C \times 1 \times 1$$

$$\gamma, \beta : 1 \times C \times 1 \times 1$$

$$y = \frac{(x - \mu)}{\sigma} \gamma + \beta$$


Batch Normalization



Usually inserted after Fully Connected or Convolutional layers, and before nonlinearity.


$$\hat{x} = \frac{x - E[x]}{\sqrt{Var[x]}}$$

Batch Normalization




- Makes deep networks **much** easier to train!
- Allows higher learning rates, faster convergence
- Networks become more robust to initialization
- Acts as regularization during training
- Zero overhead at test-time: can be fused with conv!

ImageNet
accuracy



Ioffe and Szegedy, "Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift", ICML 2015

Batch Normalization



- Makes deep networks **much** easier to train!
- Allows higher learning rates, faster convergence
- Networks become more robust to initialization
- Acts as regularization during training
- Zero overhead at test-time: can be fused with conv!
- Not well-understood theoretically (yet)
- Behaves differently during training and testing: this is a very common source of bugs!

Layer Normalization

Batch Normalization for
fully-connected networks

$$x : N \times D$$

Normalize

$$\mu, \sigma : 1 \times D$$

$$\gamma, \beta : 1 \times D$$

$$y = \frac{(x - \mu)}{\sigma} \gamma + \beta$$

Layer Normalization for fully-
connected networks
Same behavior at train and test!
Used in RNNs, Transformers

$$x : N \times D$$

Normalize

$$\mu, \sigma : N \times 1$$

$$\gamma, \beta : 1 \times D$$

$$y = \frac{(x - \mu)}{\sigma} \gamma + \beta$$

Instance Normalization

Batch Normalization for
convolutional networks

$$x : N \times C \times H \times W$$

Normalize

$$\mu, \sigma : 1 \times C \times 1 \times 1$$

$$\gamma, \beta : 1 \times C \times 1 \times 1$$

$$y = \frac{(x - \mu)}{\sigma} \gamma + \beta$$

Instance Normalization for
convolutional networks

$$x : N \times C \times H \times W$$


Normalize

$$\mu, \sigma : N \times C \times 1 \times 1$$

$$\gamma, \beta : 1 \times C \times 1 \times 1$$


$$y = \frac{(x - \mu)}{\sigma} \gamma + \beta$$

Comparison of Normalization Layers



Wu and He, "Group Normalization", ECCV 2018


Group Normalization




Wu and He, "Group Normalization", ECCV 2018

Components of a Convolutional Network


Convolution Layers




Pooling Layers



Fully-Connected Layers



Activation Function




Normalization


$$\hat{x}_{i,j} = \frac{x_{i,j} - \mu_j}{\sqrt{\sigma_j^2 + \varepsilon}}$$

Components of a Convolutional Network


Convolution Layers




Pooling Layers



Fully-Connected Layers



Activation Function




Normalization


$$\hat{x}_{i,j} = \frac{x_{i,j} - \mu_j}{\sqrt{\sigma_j^2 + \varepsilon}}$$

Summary: Components of a Convolutional Network


Convolution Layers




Pooling Layers



Fully-Connected Layers



Activation Function




Normalization

$$\hat{x}_{i,j} = \frac{x_{i,j} - \mu_j}{\sqrt{\sigma_j^2 + \varepsilon}}$$

Summary: Components of a Convolutional Network

Problem: What is the right way to combine all these components?



Next time: CNN Architectures