Ex 4.1

W = Within class Variance - How much each observation varies from its class mean.

 $W = \sum_{K} \sum_{g \in K} (x_i - \hat{\mu}_K)^T (x_i - \hat{\mu}_K)_{(N-K)}$

B = Between class variance - Han much does such distenvery $From the overall mean, <math>\hat{\mu} = \sum_{K} \hat{T}_{K} \hat{\mu}_{K}$

 $B = \sum_{K} \tilde{T}_{K} (\hat{\mu}_{K} - \hat{\mu})^{T} (\hat{\mu}_{K} - \hat{\mu})$

Now K centroids in P dimensional input space lie in an affine Subspace of dimension & K-1. If P is much larger than K, this is a considerable drop in dimension.

Also notice, to find the neurest centroid to a Point we need only to Project it to this affine substance and find the nearest centroid from that Point.

This is the inherent dimensionality reduction in LDA. To determine which dimension subspace ($\angle K-1$) was optimal for LDA, fisher defined that to mean the linear combination $Z = \alpha^T X$ such that between class variance is maximised relative to within class variance.

=> 18 Z= at X is some linear combination of X then,

Varg(Z) = Varg(aTX) = at Varg(X)a = at Ba

and Vary (Z)= ... = aTWa

Thus the Problem amounts to Max at Wa (4.15) or equivalently max aTBa subject to aTWa = I e.g a constrained maximisation Problem Solvable by Lagrange Multipliers. => Max at Ba subject to at Wa-1=0 L(a) = a Ba - 2(a Wa - 1) Val(a) = Va(a+Ba) - NVa(a+Wa) = 0 => Va(aTBa) = 2 Va(aTWa) = 2Ba = 27Wa => Ba = 2Wa => W-1Ba = 2a which is just a standard eigenvalue Applem. The eigenvector (a) corresponding to the largest eigenvalue (2) will maximise the expression: a Ba = a (2Wa) = 2

(