

Smart Contract Audit

FOR

Cat Grok Inu

DATED: 17 Dec 23'



AUDIT SUMMARY

Project name - Cat Grok Inu

Date: 17 Dec, 2023

Scope of Audit- Audit Ace was consulted to conduct the smart contract audit of the solidity source codes.

Audit Status: Passed

Issues Found

Status	Critical	High	Medium	Low	Suggestion
Open	0	0	0	1	1
Acknowledged	0	0	0	0	0
Resolved	0	0	0	0	0



USED TOOLS

Tools:

1- Manual Review:

A line by line code review has been performed by audit ace team.

2- BSC Test Network: All tests were conducted on the BSC Test network, and each test has a corresponding transaction attached to it. These tests can be found in the "Functional Tests" section of the report.

3- Slither:

The code has undergone static analysis using Slither.

Testnet version:

The tests were performed using the contract deployed on the BSC Testnet, which can be found at the following address:

https://testnet.bscscan.com/address/0x5ff46498a4a9f 916fab5866ea0415b98a5988a22#code



Token Information

Token Address:

0xE82902d6F6c2AD5083dF8Ada46E223c3549aD47e

Name: Cat Grok Inu

Symbol: Cat Grok

Decimals: 18

Network: Binance Smart Chain

Token Type: BEP-20

Owner: 0x0Ba6EdAA629A0977e683eDa2d26F3c874dfB5cc7

Deployer: 0xF176fb6FbD9B7d5d1Cf8E2F7D1B03e1623574d42

Token Supply: 300,000,000

Checksum: aEde641126e217b2b455d49e77fc4197

Testnet:

https://testnet.bscscan.com/address/0x5ff46498a4a9f916fab

5866ea0415b98a5988a22#code



TOKEN OVERVIEW

Buy Fee: 5-25%

Sell Fee: 5-25%

Transfer Fee: 0-0%

Fee Privilege: Owner

Ownership: Owned

Minting: None

Max Tx: Yes

Blacklist: No



AUDIT METHODOLOGY

The auditing process will follow a routine as special considerations by Auditace:

- Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Auditace to make sure the contract logic meets the intentions of the client without exposing the user's funds to risk.
- Manual review of the entire codebase by our experts, which is the process of reading source code line-byline in an attempt to identify potential vulnerabilities.
- Specification comparison is the process of checking whether the code does what the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Auditace describe.
- Test coverage analysis determines whether the test cases are covering the code and how much code isexercised when we run the test cases.
- Symbolic execution is analysing a program to determine what inputs cause each part of a program to execute.
- Reviewing the codebase to improve maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices.



VULNERABILITY CHECKLIST





CLASSIFICATION OF RISK

Severity

- Critical
- High-Risk
- Medium-Risk
- Low-Risk
- Gas Optimization
 /Suggestion

Description

These vulnerabilities could be exploited easily and can lead to asset loss, data loss, asset, or data manipulation. They should be fixed right away.

A vulnerability that affects the desired outcome when using a contract, or provides the opportunity to use a contract in an unintended way.

A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract in a specific scenario.

A vulnerability that does not have a significant impact on possible scenarios for the use of the contract and is probably subjective.

A vulnerability that has an informational character but is not affecting any of the code.

Findings

Severity	Found
◆ Critical	0
♦ High-Risk	0
◆ Medium-Risk	0
◆ Low-Risk	1
Gas Optimization /Suggestions	1



INHERITANCE TREE





POINTS TO NOTE

- The owner can transfer ownership.
- The owner can renounce ownership.
- The owner can exclude/include wallets from fees.



STATIC ANALYSIS

```
INFO:Detectors:
LakGrok.constructor().currentRouter (CatGrok.sol#551) is a local variable never initialized
Heference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#uninitialized-local-variables
                                          nce(address,address)._owner (CatGrok.sol#583) shadows:
ble._owner (CatGrok.sol#197) (state variable)
ve(address,address,uint256)._owner (CatGrok.sol#631) shadows:
ble._owner (CatGrok.sol#197) (state variable)
ps://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#local-variable-shadowing
                     ce: mtps://gitnub.com/crysit/sitner/mixi/betector—bocumentationslocal-variable-shadowing tectors:

mcy in CatGrok._transfer(address,address,wint256) (CatGrok.sol8637-675):

External calls:

- swapAndLiquify() (CatGrok.sol8657)

- (succ) = recipient.call{value: amount}() (CatGrok.sol8758)

- unismapy/2Mouter.swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(tokenAmount,0,path,address(this),block.timestamp) (CatGrok.sol8688-694)

External calls sending eth:

- swapAndLiquify() (CatGrok.sol8657)

- (succ) = recipient.call{value: amount}() (CatGrok.sol8758)

State variables written after the call{s}:

- marketingTokensCollected += fee (CatGrok.sol8671)

- totalMarketingTokensCollected += fee (CatGrok.sol8672)

ncy in CatGrok.swapAndLiquify() (CatGrok.sol8676-682):

External calls:

- swapTokensForEth(totalTokens) (CatGrok.sol8678)

- unismapy/2Mouter.swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(tokenAmount,0,path,address(this),block.timestamp) (CatGrok.sol8688-694)
                     - swapTokensFortth(totalTokens) (CatGrok.sol#678)

- uniswapVRSouter.swapExactTokensFortTiSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(tokenAmount,0,pat

- transferToAddressETH(marketingWallet, ethBalance) (CatGrok.sol#680)

- External calls sending eth:

- transferToAddressETH(marketingWallet, ethBalance) (CatGrok.sol#680)

- (succ) = recipient.call(value: amount)() (CatGrok.sol#680)

- (succ) = recipient.call(value: amount)() (CatGrok.sol#680)

State variables written after the call(s):

- marketingToKensCollected = 0 (CatGrok.sol#681)

ce: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-2
tectors:
                     Anfo.bececcors.
Address._revert(bytes,string) (CatGrok.sol#182-194) uses assembly
— INLINE ASM (CatGrok.sol#187-190)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#assembly-usage
 CatGrok.excludeFromFee(address) (CatGrok.sol#709-716) compares to a boolean constant:
-require(bool,string)(_isExcludedFromFee[account] != true,The wallet is already excluded!) (CatGrok.sol#710-713)
CatGrok.includeInFee(address) (CatGrok.sol#717-724) compares to a boolean constant:
-require(bool,string)(_isExcludedFromFee[account] != false,The wallet is already included!) (CatGrok.sol#718-721)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#boolean-equality
   NFO:Detectors:

ddress._revert(bytes,string) (CatGrok.sol#182-194) is never used and should be removed

ddress.functionCall(address,bytes) (CatGrok.sol#33-64) is never used and should be removed

ddress.functionCall(widdress,bytes,string) (CatGrok.sol#65-71) is never used and should be removed

ddress.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256) (CatGrok.sol#72-84) is never used and should be removed

ddress.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256,string) (CatGrok.sol#85-105) is never used and should be removed

ddress.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes) (CatGrok.sol#31-141) is never used and should be removed

ddress.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes) (CatGrok.sol#182-155) is never used and should be removed

ddress.functionStaticCall(address,bytes) (CatGrok.sol#106-116) is never used and should be removed

ddress.isContract(address) (CatGrok.sol#39-41) is never used and should be removed

ddress.sendValue(address,uint256) (CatGrok.sol#42-52) is never used and should be removed

dddress.verifyCallResult(bool,bytes,string) (CatGrok.sol#371-181) is never used and should be removed

dddress.verifyCallResultFromTarget(address,bool,bytes,string) (CatGrok.sol#3156-170) is never used and should be removed
   CatGrok.swapETHForTokens(uint256) (CatGrok.sol#755-768) is never used and should be removed 
Context._msgData() (CatGrok.sol#10-12) is never used and should be removed
INFO:Detectors:
 solc-0.8.19 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity
INFO:Detectors:
   Function IUniswapV2Pair.PERMIT_TYPEHASH() (CatGrok.sol#277) is not in mixedCase
Function IUniswapV2Pair.MINIMUM_LIQUIDITY() (CatGrok.sol#303) is not in mixedCase
```



STATIC ANALYSIS

```
INFO:Detectors:
Punction IUnismapy2Pair.DORAIM_SEPARATOR() (CatGrok.sol8276) is not in mixedCase
Punction IUnismapy2Pair.PERMIT_TYPEMASH() (CatGrok.sol8277) is not in mixedCase
Punction IUnismapy2Pair.PERMIT_TYPEMASH() (CatGrok.sol829) is not in mixedCase
Parameter CatGrok.sol820, owner (CatGrok.sol829) is not in mixedCase
Parameter CatGrok.sol820, owner (CatGrok.sol8231) is not in mixedCase
Parameter CatGrok.sol820, amixed(sol0), enabled (CatGrok.sol8278) is not in mixedCase
Parameter CatGrok.rocoverTokensFromGontract(address, uint256, iont0.sol8277) is not in mixedCase
Parameter CatGrok.rocoverTokensFromGontract(address, uint256), tokenAddress (CatGrok.sol8277) is not in mixedCase
Parameter CatGrok.rocoverTokensFromGontract(address, uint256), amount (CatGrok.sol82778) is not in mixedCase
Variable CatGrok.METH (CatGrok.sol8201) is not in mixedCase
Variable CatGrok.METH (CatGrok.sol8201) is not in mixedCase
Variable CatGrok.METH (CatGrok.sol8201) is not in mixedCase
Variable IUnismapV2Router81.addLiquidity(address, address, uint256, uint
```

Result => A static analysis of contract's source code has been performed using slither,

No major issues were found in the output



FUNCTIONAL TESTING

1- Approve (passed):

https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x793f60db331394332800c4 26be2c21d8174e0b463aa476ea2fe8a82f15a5477b

2- Increase Allowance (passed):

https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0xa9e2ae73da120cd7baa5afa 604aa280bc2e9c43caea0821a7b06b69a928a4d20

3- Decrease Allowance (passed):

https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x20c8b7949174e96e10c9716 37ab0c4ee9850d378ea2586059fdf7af9f77a5cea

4- Recover Eth from the contract (passed):

https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x1bfd8cb94b992975a813da9 3ceceab7b4f527923bef5239fcc4190ef197ce459

5- Set swap And liquify Enabled (passed):

https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0xc64eb31a46437bef9b7562d 6d5fa3523c281adc36a072e9df1c1ea7f512cf757



MANUAL TESTING

Centralization - Missing Zero Address

Severity: Low

Subject: Zero Check

Status: Open

Overview:

functions can take a zero address as a parameter (0x00000...). If a function parameter of address type is not properly validated by checking for zero addresses, there could be serious consequences for the contract's functionality. function excludeFromFee(address account) external

```
onlyOwner {
    require(
    _isExcludedFromFee[account] != true,
    "The wallet is already excluded!"
    );
    _isExcludedFromFee[account] = true;
    emit ExcludeStatus(account, true);
}
```



MANUAL TESTING

Optimization

Severity: Optimization

Subject: Remove unused code.

Status: Open

Overview:

Unused variables are allowed in Solidity, and they do. not pose a direct security issue. It is the best practice, though to avoid them.

```
event AuditLog(string, address);
event UpdateStakingWallet(address);
event UpdateBuyFee(uint256);
event UpdateSellFee(uint256);
event UpdateTransferFee(uint256);
event TransferStatus(bool);
event UpdateDistribution(uint256, uint256);
event TradingStarted(bool);
```



DISCLAIMER

All the content provided in this document is for general information only and should not be used as financial advice or a reason to buy any investment. Team provides no guarantees against the sale of team tokens or the removal of liquidity by the project audited in this document. Always Do your own research and protect yourselves from being scammed. The Auditace team has audited this project for general information and only expresses their opinion based on similar projects and checks from popular diagnostic tools. Under no circumstances did Auditace receive a payment to manipulate those results or change the awarding badge that we will be adding in our website. Always Do your own research and protect yourselves from scams. This document should not be presented as a reason to buy or not buy any particular token. The Auditace team disclaims any liability for the resulting losses.



ABOUT AUDITACE

We specializes in providing thorough and reliable audits for Web3 projects. With a team of experienced professionals, we use cutting-edge technology and rigorous methodologies to evaluate the security and integrity of blockchain systems. We are committed to helping our clients ensure the safety and transparency of their digital assets and transactions.



https://auditace.tech/



https://t.me/Audit_Ace



https://twitter.com/auditace_



https://github.com/Audit-Ace