

Smart Contract Audit

FOR

BOBINU

DATED: 5 June 23'



AUDIT SUMMARY

Project name - BOBINU

Date: 5 June, 2023

Scope of Audit- Audit Ace was consulted to conduct the smart contract audit of the solidity source codes.

Audit Status: Passed

Issues Found

Status	Critical	High	Medium	Low	Suggestion
Open	0	0	0	0	0
Acknowledged	0	0	0	0	0
Resolved	0	0	0	0	0



USED TOOLS

Tools:

1- Manual Review:

A line by line code review has been performed by audit ace team.

2- BSC Test Network: All tests were conducted on the BSC Test network, and each test has a corresponding transaction attached to it. These tests can be found in the "Functional Tests" section of the report.

3-Slither:

The code has undergone static analysis using Slither.

Testnet version:

The tests were performed using the contract deployed on the BSC Testnet, which can be found at the following address:

https://testnet.bscscan.com/token/0xb93a179167a1d03446cd061fdC5e85aEA18D1337



Token Information

Token Name: BOB INU

Token Symbol: BOBINU

Decimals: 9

Token Supply: 1,000,000,000,000

Token Address:

0x84E83650De3C4f564ebBd3259fC756a4f41b47f4

Checksum:

0ac8b43689586ec2f0b310755151bdcd87dba981

Owner:

0xA55eBD2633336A8d19230c0e695bD9A41cbe1A7A (at time of writing the audit)

Deployer:

0xA55eBD2633336A8d19230c0e695bD9A41cbe1A7A



TOKEN OVERVIEW

Fees:

Buy Fees: 0%

Sell Fees: 0%

Transfer Fees: 0%

Fees Privilege: No fees

Ownership: Not Owned

Minting: None

Max Tx Amount/ Max Wallet Amount: No

Blacklist: No

Other Privileges: - Initial distribution of the token



AUDIT METHODOLOGY

The auditing process will follow a routine as special considerations by Auditace:

- Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Auditace to make sure the contract logic meets the intentions of the client without exposing the user's funds to risk.
- Manual review of the entire codebase by our experts, which is the process of reading source code line-byline in an attempt to identify potential vulnerabilities.
- Specification comparison is the process of checking whether the code does what the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Auditace describe.
- Test coverage analysis determines whether the test cases are covering the code and how much code isexercised when we run the test cases.
- Symbolic execution is analysing a program to determine what inputs cause each part of a program to execute.
- Reviewing the codebase to improve maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices.



VULNERABILITY CHECKLIST





CLASSIFICATION OF RISK

Severity

- Critical
- High-Risk
- Medium-Risk
- Low-Risk
- Gas Optimization/Suggestion

Description

These vulnerabilities could be exploited easily and can lead to asset loss, data loss, asset, or data manipulation. They should be fixed right away.

A vulnerability that affects the desired outcome when using a contract, or provides the opportunity to use a contract in an unintended way.

A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract in a specific scenario.

A vulnerability that does not have a significant impact on possible scenarios for the use of the contract and is probably subjective.


A vulnerability that has an informational character but is not affecting any of the code.

Findings

Severity	Found
◆ Critical	0
◆ High-Risk	0
◆ Medium-Risk	0
♦ Low-Risk	0
Gas Optimization /Suggestions	0



INHERITANCE TREE





POINTS TO NOTE

- Fees are 0 (static)
- Owner is not able to blacklist an arbitrary address.
- Owner is not able to disable trades
- Owner is not able to limit buy/sell/transfer/wallet amounts
- Owner is not able to mint new tokens



CONTRACT ASSESMENT

```
Contract |
             Type
       **Function Name** | **Visibility** | **Mutability** | **Modifiers** |
| **IERC20** | Interface | |||
 L | totalSupply | External | NO | |
 L|balanceOf|External | | NO | |
 L | transfer | External | | NO | |
L | allowance | External | | NO | |
 | approve | External | | | NO | |
 <mark>| *</mark>*Context** | Implementation | |||
 L | msgSender | Internal 🔒 | | |
 L | msgData | Internal 🔒 | | |
**Ownable** | Implementation | Context |||
 └ | <Constructor> | Public | | ● | NO | |
 L | owner | Public | | NO | |
 └ | renounceOwnership | Public ! | ● | onlyOwner |
 └ | setOwner | Private 🔐 | ● | |
**SafeMath** | Library | |||
L | tryAdd | Internal 🔒 | |
L | trySub | Internal
 L | tryMul | Internal 🔒 | | |
 └ | tryDiv | Internal 🔒 | | |
 L | tryMod | Internal 🔒 | | |
 L | add | Internal | | | |
L | mul | Internal | | | |
└ | div | Internal 🔒 | ||
 L | mod | Internal 🔒 | | |
 **BaseToken** | Implementation | |||
| **StandardToken** | Implementation | IERC20, Ownable, BaseToken |||
L | <Constructor> | Public | | SD | NO | |
 L | name | Public | | | NO | |
```



CONTRACT ASSESMENT

```
L | symbol | Public | | NO | |
 L | decimals | Public | | NO | |
 L | totalSupply | Public | | | NO | |
 L | balanceOf | Public | | NO | |
 L | transfer | Public | | | NO | |
 L | allowance | Public | | NO | |
 L | approve | Public | | | NO | |
 L | transferFrom | Public | | | NO | |
 increaseAllowance | Public | NO | |
 L | transfer | Internal 🔒 | 🛑 | |
 📙 mint | Internal 🔒 | 🛑 | |
L | burn | Internal 🔒 | 🛑 | |
L | setupDecimals | Internal 🔒 | 🛑 | |
L | beforeTokenTransfer | Internal 🔒 | 🛑 | |
### Legend
| Symbol | Meaning |
|:-----
      | Function can modify state |
      | Function is payable |
```



STATIC ANALYSIS

```
StandardToken. approve(address, address, uint256) Joner (contracts/Token.sol#765) shadows:
- Ownable.Owner() (contracts/Token.sol#19-161) (function)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#local-variable-shadowing

Context._msgData() (contracts/Token.sol#118-120) is never used and should be removed
SafeWath.div(uint256, uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#349-351) is never used and should be removed
SafeWath.mod(uint256, uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#349-351) is never used and should be removed
SafeWath.mod(uint256, uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#313-340) is never used and should be removed
SafeWath.mod(uint256, uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#313-331) is never used and should be removed
SafeWath.mod(uint256, uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#313-331) is never used and should be removed
SafeWath.tryAdd(uint256, uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#313-331) is never used and should be removed
SafeWath.tryAdd(uint256, uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#312-330) is never used and should be removed
SafeWath.tryAdd(uint256, uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#312-330) is never used and should be removed
SafeWath.tryMod(uint256, uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#312-330) is never used and should be removed
SafeWath.tryMod(uint256, uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#312-330) is never used and should be removed
SafeWath.tryMod(uint256, uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#312-330) is never used and should be removed
SafeWath.tryMod(uint256, uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#312-330) is never used and should be removed
SafeWath.tryMod(uint256, uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#313-345) is never used and should be removed
SafeWath.tryMod(uint256, uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#313-345) is never used and should be removed
SafeWath.tryMod(uint256, uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#313-345) is never used and should be removed
SafeWath.tryMod(uint256, uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#313-345) is never used and should be removed
SafeWath.tryMod(uint256, uint256) (contracts/Token.sol#313-345) is never used and should be removed
SafeWath.tryMod(uint256, uin
```

Result => A static analysis of contract's source code has been performed using slither,

No major issues were found in the output



FUNCTIONAL TESTING

Router (PCS V2):

0xD99D1c33F9fC3444f8101754aBC46c52416550D1

1- Adding liquidity (passed):

https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x6aa09909db00289b2ea2119effd6451 a1a76499d95cfc52cfbc1e0c8d6e4603a

2- Buying (0% tax) (passed):

https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x9bb2d0f3a4b1b90c5dd3a5b47cc86f 27a7577a0162b30d2c5adfcb26b00cfed2

3- Selling (0% tax) (passed):

https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0xa66e4612fbdc0d4de12551642f1fae4bceb0ae19d9ce6f9b1ac294610693256a

4- Transferring 0% tax) (passed):

https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x1840ce8ebeb0746310cbab8fe80f6a8 94e9404dae9d28074bf625e538fbcd8df



DISCLAIMER

All the content provided in this document is for general information only and should not be used as financial advice or a reason to buy any investment. Team provides no guarantees against the sale of team tokens or the removal of liquidity by the project audited in this document. Always Do your own research and protect yourselves from being scammed. The Auditace team has audited this project for general information and only expresses their opinion based on similar projects and checks from popular diagnostic tools. Under no circumstances did Auditace receive a payment to manipulate those results or change the awarding badge that we will be adding in our website. Always Do your own research and protect yourselves from scams. This document should not be presented as a reason to buy or not buy any particular token. The Auditace team disclaims any liability for the resulting losses.



ABOUT AUDITACE

We specializes in providing thorough and reliable audits for Web3 projects. With a team of experienced professionals, we use cutting-edge technology and rigorous methodologies to evaluate the security and integrity of blockchain systems. We are committed to helping our clients ensure the safety and transparency of their digital assets and transactions.



https://auditace.tech/



https://t.me/Audit_Ace



https://twitter.com/auditace_



https://github.com/Audit-Ace