



Smart Contract Audit

FOR

Alien Doge Coin

DATED : 04 Dec 23'



AUDIT SUMMARY

Project name – Alien Doge Coin

Date: 04 Dec, 2023

Scope of Audit- Audit Ace was consulted to conduct the smart contract audit of the solidity source codes.

Audit Status: **Passed**

Issues Found

Status	Critical	High	Medium	Low	Suggestion
Open	0	0	0	3	0
Acknowledged	0	0	0	0	0
Resolved	0	0	0	0	0

USED TOOLS

Tools:

1- Manual Review:

A line by line code review has been performed by audit ace team.

2- BSC Test Network: All tests were conducted on the BSC Test network, and each test has a corresponding transaction attached to it. These tests can be found in the "Functional Tests" section of the report.

3- Slither :

The code has undergone static analysis using Slither.

Testnet version:

The tests were performed using the contract deployed on the BSC Testnet, which can be found at the following address:

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/address/0xc055d2a25e142695a39d229f758ec862e103d9fa#code>



Token Information

Token Address:

0x3E9C92B5Fec80220C12eBD9a73c99a2e428fCD61

Name: Alien Doge Coin

Symbol: ADOGE

Decimals: 18

Network: Binance smart chain

Token Type: BEP-20

Owner:

0x136fD152bF619202B2a047b8e8370FdA70ac6b53

Deployer:

0x136fD152bF619202B2a047b8e8370FdA70ac6b53

Token Supply: 100,000,000

Checksum: 27265763766ad32e37ad6b85aad793e8

Testnet version:

The tests were performed using the contract deployed on the Binance smart chain Testnet, which can be found at the following address:

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/address/0xc055d2a25e142695a39d229f758ec862e103d9fa#code>



AUDIT METHODOLOGY

The auditing process will follow a routine as special considerations by Auditace:

- Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Auditace to make sure the contract logic meets the intentions of the client without exposing the user's funds to risk.
 - Manual review of the entire codebase by our experts, which is the process of reading source code line-by-line in an attempt to identify potential vulnerabilities.
 - Specification comparison is the process of checking whether the code does what the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Auditace describe.
 - Test coverage analysis determines whether the test cases are covering the code and how much code is exercised when we run the test cases.
 - Symbolic execution is analysing a program to determine what inputs cause each part of a program to execute.
 - Reviewing the codebase to improve maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices.
-

VULNERABILITY CHECKLIST

- | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| ✓ Return values of low-level calls | ✓ Gasless Send |
| ✓ Private modifier | ✓ Using block.timestamp |
| ✓ Multiple Sends | ✓ Re-entrancy |
| ✓ Using Suicide | ✓ Tautology or contradiction |
| ✓ Gas Limitand Loops | ✓ Timestamp Dependence |
| ✓ Address hardcoded | ✓ Revert/require functions |
| ✓ Exception Disorder | ✓ Use of tx.origin |
| ✓ Using inline assembly | ✓ Integer overflow/underflow |
| ✓ Divide before multiply | ✓ Dangerous strict equalities |
| ✓ Missing Zero Address Validation | ✓ Using SHA3 |
| ✓ Compiler version not fixed | ✓ Using throw |
-



CLASSIFICATION OF RISK

Severity

Description

◆ Critical	These vulnerabilities could be exploited easily and can lead to asset loss, data loss, asset, or data manipulation. They should be fixed right away.
◆ High-Risk	A vulnerability that affects the desired outcome when using a contract, or provides the opportunity to use a contract in an unintended way.
◆ Medium-Risk	A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract in a specific scenario.
◆ Low-Risk	A vulnerability that does not have a significant impact on possible scenarios for the use of the contract and is probably subjective.
◆ Gas Optimization /Suggestion	A vulnerability that has an informational character but is not affecting any of the code.

Findings

Severity

Found

◆ Critical	0
◆ High-Risk	0
◆ Medium-Risk	0
◆ Low-Risk	3
◆ Gas Optimization / Suggestions	0



POINTS TO NOTE

- The owner can renounce ownership.
 - The owner can transfer ownership.
 - The Owner cannot mint.
 - The owner cannot blacklist addresses.
 - The owner cannot set high fees.
-



STATIC ANALYSIS

```
INFO:Detectors:
StandardToken.allowance(address,address).owner (StandardToken.sol#557) shadows:
  ~ Ownable.owner() (StandardToken.sol#150-152) (function)
StandardToken._approve(address,address,uint256).owner (StandardToken.sol#758) shadows:
  ~ Ownable.owner() (StandardToken.sol#150-152) (function)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#local-variable-shadowing
INFO:Detectors:
StandardToken.constructor(string,string,uint8,uint256,address,uint256).serviceFeeReceiver_ (StandardToken.sol#471) lacks a zero-check on :
  ~ address(serviceFeeReceiver_).transfer(serviceFee_) (StandardToken.sol#481)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#missing-zero-address-validation
INFO:Detectors:
Context._msgData() (StandardToken.sol#110-112) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.div(uint256,uint256) (StandardToken.sol#324-326) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.div(uint256,uint256,string) (StandardToken.sol#380-389) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256) (StandardToken.sol#340-342) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256,string) (StandardToken.sol#406-415) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mul(uint256,uint256) (StandardToken.sol#310-312) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.sub(uint256,uint256) (StandardToken.sol#296-298) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.tryAdd(uint256,uint256) (StandardToken.sol#211-217) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.tryDiv(uint256,uint256) (StandardToken.sol#253-258) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.tryMod(uint256,uint256) (StandardToken.sol#265-270) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.tryMul(uint256,uint256) (StandardToken.sol#236-246) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.trySub(uint256,uint256) (StandardToken.sol#224-229) is never used and should be removed
StandardToken._burn(address,uint256) (StandardToken.sol#731-742) is never used and should be removed
StandardToken._setupDecimals(uint8) (StandardToken.sol#776-778) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code
INFO:Detectors:
Pragma version=0.8.4 (StandardToken.sol#446) allows old versions
solc-0.8.4 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity
INFO:Detectors:
Variable StandardToken._totalSupply (StandardToken.sol#464) is too similar to StandardToken.constructor(string,string,uint8,uint256,address,uint256).totalSupply_ (StandardToken.sol#478)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#variable-names-too-similar
INFO:Slither:StandardToken.sol analyzed (6 contracts with 93 detectors), 20 result(s) found
```

Result => A static analysis of contract's source code has been performed using slither,

No major issues were found in the output



FUNCTIONAL TESTING

1- Approve (**passed**):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x55e8d5c3e4bbc0ad6433973f436a1210aa92c09a5f5ec187f9f99fb906dbe8c1>

2- Increase Allowance (**passed**):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x1abbfac57c3752248ade0f0b4a2dd254a0bbacbc1e314ad55f8d26eb5343dea4>

3- Decrease Allowance (**passed**):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x47d0732d393329225e73a25bcd676ba7b1a1deb9d2a4ef4681c99b0660ab4132>

4- Transfer (**passed**):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0xb045394bc053fe8369b0e223607d77c996612614bc30ccfaf9c0c75dcd515d9a>

MANUAL TESTING

Centralization – Missing Zero Address

Severity: Low

Status: Open

Overview:

functions can take a zero address as a parameter (0x00000...). If a function parameter of address type is not properly validated by checking for zero addresses, there could be serious consequences for the contract's functionality.

```
constructor(  
    string memory name_,  
    string memory symbol_,  
    uint8 decimals_,  
    uint256 totalSupply_,  
    address serviceFeeReceiver_,  
    uint256 serviceFee_  
) payable {  
    _name = name_;  
    _symbol = symbol_;  
    _decimals = decimals_;  
    _mint(owner(), totalSupply_);  
  
    emit TokenCreated(owner(), address(this), TokenType.standard,  
VERSION);  
  
    payable(serviceFeeReceiver_).transfer(serviceFee_);  
}
```

Suggestion:

It is suggested that the address should not be zero or dead.



MANUAL TESTING

Centralization – Remove the safe math library.

Severity: Low

Status: Open

Line Number: 205-416

Overview:

The Safe Math library is no longer needed for Solidity version 0.8 and above. This is because Solidity 0.8 includes checked arithmetic operations by default. All of Safe Math's methods are now inherited into Solidity programming.

MANUAL TESTING

Centralization – Local Variable Shadowing

Severity: Low

Status: Open

Function: `_approve` and `allowance`

Overview:

```
function _approve(
    address owner,
    address spender,
    uint256 amount
) internal virtual {
    require(owner != address(0), "ERC20: approve from the zero address");
    require(spender != address(0), "ERC20: approve to the zero address");

    _allowances[owner][spender] = amount;
    emit Approval(owner, spender, amount);
}

function allowance(address owner, address spender)
    public
    view
    virtual
    override
    returns (uint256)
{
    return _allowances[owner][spender];
}
```

Suggestion:

Rename the local variable that shadows another component.



DISCLAIMER

All the content provided in this document is for general information only and should not be used as financial advice or a reason to buy any investment. Team provides no guarantees against the sale of team tokens or the removal of liquidity by the project audited in this document. Always Do your own research and protect yourselves from being scammed. The Auditace team has audited this project for general information and only expresses their opinion based on similar projects and checks from popular diagnostic tools. Under no circumstances did Auditace receive a payment to manipulate those results or change the awarding badge that we will be adding in our website. Always Do your own research and protect yourselves from scams. This document should not be presented as a reason to buy or not buy any particular token. The Auditace team disclaims any liability for the resulting losses.



ABOUT AUDITACE

We specialize in providing thorough and reliable audits for Web3 projects. With a team of experienced professionals, we use cutting-edge technology and rigorous methodologies to evaluate the security and integrity of blockchain systems. We are committed to helping our clients ensure the safety and transparency of their digital assets and transactions.



<https://auditace.tech/>



https://t.me/Audit_Ace



https://twitter.com/auditace_



<https://github.com/Audit-Ace>
