

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Tutorial group: \_\_\_\_\_

Matriculation number: 

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

20 September 2020 **MH1812 Test 1** 60 minutes

**QUESTION 1.** (30 marks)

Use mathematical induction to show that

$$1^2 - 2^2 + 3^2 - \dots + (-1)^{n-1}n^2 = (-1)^{n-1} \cdot \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

whenever  $n$  is a positive integer.

[Proof:]

Base case: when  $n = 1$ , the left-hand side is  $1^2 = 1$  and the right-hand side is  $(-1)^0 \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 2}{2} = 1$ . The equality holds.

Inductive step: Suppose that the equality holds for  $n = k$ , and we shall show that it holds for  $n = k + 1$ , that is, we shall show that

$$1^2 - 2^2 + 3^2 - \dots + (-1)^{k-1}k^2 + (-1)^k(k+1)^2 = (-1)^k \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}. \quad (1)$$

By induction hypothesis, the LHS of (1) equals

$$(-1)^{k-1} \cdot \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + (-1)^k(k+1)^2 = (-1)^k(k+1) \cdot \left(-\frac{k}{2} + k + 1\right) = (-1)^k(k+1) \frac{k+2}{2},$$

which is exactly the RHS of (1). This completes the proof of the inductive step.

Therefore, by mathematical induction, the original equality holds for all integers  $n \geq 1$ .

[Grading rules:] The base case is worth 5 points. In the inductive step, stating the equality holds for  $n = k$  **and** stating the corresponding equation is worth 10 points. Alternatively, a correct application of the induction hypothesis is worth 10 points. The rest of the derivation is worth 15 points.

For graders only	Question	1	2(a)	2(b)	3(a)	3(b)	Bonus	Total
	Marks							

**QUESTION 2.** (30 marks)

(a) (10 points) What is  $2020^{1812} \bmod 30$ ?

[Solution:] First, we have  $2020 \bmod 30 = 10$ . Observe that  $10^2 \bmod 30 = 10$ , we know that  $10^n \bmod 30 = 10$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore  $2020^{1812} \bmod 30 = 10^{1812} \bmod 30 = 10$ .

[Grading rules:] Getting  $2020 \bmod 30 = 10$  is worth 4 points and reducing  $2020^{1812} \bmod 30$  to  $10^{1812} \bmod 30$  is worth another 1 point. The rest is worth 5 points. Note that a direct claim  $10^{1812} \bmod 30 = 10$  without any reasoning is not an acceptable argument.

(b) Let  $\mathbb{R}$  denote the set of reals. For  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $P(x, y)$  denote the predicate “ $x^2 - x + 2020y \geq 0$ ”. What are the truth values of these statements?

(i) (10 points)  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists y \in \mathbb{R}, P(x, y)$ .

(ii) (10 points)  $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, P(x, y)$ .

[Solution:]

(i) The statement is true. One can just choose  $y = -(x^2 - x)/2020$ .

(ii) The statement is false. For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $y = -(x^2 - x)/2020 - 1$ , then  $P(x, y)$  is false.

[Grading rules:] For each subquestion, stating correctly its truth value is worth 3 points and the reason is worth another 7 points.

Use mathematical induction to show that

$$1^2 - 2^2 + 3^2 - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} n^2 = (-1)^{n-1} \cdot \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

whenever  $n$  is a positive integer.

Prove : let  $f(x) = (-1)^{n-1} \cdot \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$

$\therefore$

Base case,  $f(1) : (-1)^0 \cdot \frac{1(1+1)}{2} = 1$   
 $1^2 = 1$  "

Inductive step: let  $f(x)$  be true.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+1) &= (-1)^{x-1} \cdot \frac{x(x+1)}{2} + (-1)^x (x+1)^2 \\ &= (-1)^x (-1)^{x-1} \cdot \frac{x(x+1)}{2} + (-1)^x (x+1)(x+1) \\ &= (-1)^x (x+1) \left[ (-1)^{-1} \cdot \frac{x}{2} + (x+1) \right] \\ &= (-1)^x (x+1) \left[ x+1 - \frac{x}{2} \right] \\ &= (-1)^x (x+1) \left[ \frac{x}{2} + 1 \right] \\ &= (-1)^x (x+1) \frac{(x+2)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

(a) (10 points) What is  $2020^{1812} \bmod 30$ ?

$$\begin{aligned} 2020^{1812} \bmod 30 &= (10)^{1812} \bmod 30 \\ &= (10^2)^{906} \bmod 30 \\ &= (10) \bmod 30 = 10 \end{aligned}$$

(b) Let  $\mathbb{R}$  denote the set of reals. For  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $P(x, y)$  denote the predicate " $x^2 - x + 2020y \geq 0$ ". What are the truth values of these statements?

(i) (10 points)  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists y \in \mathbb{R}, P(x, y)$ .

(ii) (10 points)  $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, P(x, y)$ .

i) for any  $x$ ,

$$y = -\frac{(x^2 - x)}{2020} \text{ . Valid}$$

ii)  $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, P(x, y)$

for  $x = a$ ,

$$y = -\frac{(a^2 - a)}{2020} - 1 \text{ , is F}$$

QUESTION 3.

(40 marks)

BONUS QUESTION.

(10 marks)

(a) (20 points) Show that  $q \wedge \neg(p \rightarrow q)$  is a contradiction.

[Proof:]

$$\begin{aligned} q \wedge \neg(p \rightarrow q) &\equiv q \wedge \neg(\neg p \vee q) \\ &\equiv q \wedge (p \wedge \neg q) \\ &\equiv (q \wedge \neg q) \wedge p \\ &\equiv F \wedge p \\ &\equiv F \end{aligned}$$

[Grading rules:] Each equality above is worth 4 points. For a truth table of 2 variables and 4 rows, each row is worth 5 points and no partial credits are given for an incorrect row.

(b) (20 points) Determine whether the following argument is valid<sup>1</sup>.

$$\begin{aligned} &(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (r \vee s); \\ &\neg r; \\ &p \rightarrow q; \\ &p; \\ &\therefore s. \end{aligned}$$

[Solution:] The argument is valid, as shown by the following inference table.

Step	Formula	Reason
(1)	$p \rightarrow q$	Premise
(2)	$p$	Premise
(3)	$q$	(1)+(2), modus ponens
(4)	$p \wedge q$	(2)+(3), conjunctive addition
(5)	$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (r \vee s)$	Premise
(6)	$r \vee s$	(4)+(5), modus ponens
(7)	$\neg r$	Premise
(8)	$s$	(6)+(7), disjunctive syllogism

[Grading rules:] One needs to apply the inference rules four times. Each application is worth 5 points. A correct argument based on the truth table is also acceptable (for which one may assume that  $p$  is true and  $r$  is false and have only two variables  $q$  and  $s$  in the table).

<sup>1</sup>The inference rules you may use are: modus ponens, modus tollens, conjunctive simplification, conjunctive addition, disjunctive addition, disjunctive syllogism, rule of contradiction and disjunction elimination.

(a) (20 points) Show that  $q \wedge \neg(p \rightarrow q)$  is a contradiction.

$$\begin{aligned} & q \wedge \neg(\neg p \vee q) \\ \equiv & q \wedge (p \wedge \neg q) \\ \equiv & (q \wedge \neg q) \wedge p \\ \equiv & F \wedge p \\ \equiv & F \end{aligned}$$

(b) (20 points) Determine whether the following argument is valid<sup>1</sup>.

$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (r \vee s);$   
 $\neg r;$   
 $p \rightarrow q;$   
 $p;$   
 $\therefore s.$

$p \rightarrow q$  is T  
 $p$  is T  
 $q$  is T  
 $(p \wedge q)$  is T  
 $(r \vee s)$  is T  
 $\neg r$  is T  
 $r$  is F  
 $s$  is T