

# Real Analysis

August Bergquist

March 9, 2023

## 1 Converse Part of the Cauchy Criterion Theorem

**Theorem 1.** Suppose  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Riemann integrable. Then it satisfies the Cauchy Criterion.

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $\epsilon/2 > 0$ . By integrability, it follows that there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $\mathcal{R}(f, P)$  is a Riemann sum for a partition  $P$  of mesh less than  $\delta$ , we have

$$\left| \mathcal{R}(f, P) - \int_a^b f \right| < \epsilon/2.$$

Now consider any such partition  $P$  with  $\|P\| < \delta$ , and consider any two Riemann sums  $\mathcal{R}_1(f, P)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2(f, P)$ . By construction of  $\delta$  and  $P$ , it follows that

$$\left| \mathcal{R}_{1,2}(f, P) - \int_a^b f \right| < \delta.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathcal{R}_1(f, P) - \int_a^b f + (I - \mathcal{R}_2(f, P)) \right| \leq \left| \mathcal{R}_1(f, P) - \int_a^b f \right| + \left| \mathcal{R}_2(f, P) - \int_a^b f \right| < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon. \\ & \hspace{15em} (1) \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $f$  on  $[a, b]$  satisfies the Cauchy Criterion.

□

## 2 Upper and Lower Sum Criterion

**Proposition 1.** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded function (boundedness is needed to make sense of upper and lower sums). Then for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $P$  is a partition of  $[a, b]$  with  $\|P\| < \delta$ , the inequality

$$|\mathcal{U}(f, P) - \mathcal{L}(f, P)| < \epsilon$$

holds, if and only if  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

*Proof.* First suppose that  $f$  is Riemann integrable. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $\epsilon/2 > 0$ . By the converse to the Cauchy Criterion Theorem, there exists some  $\delta$  such that for all  $P$  with  $\|P\| < \delta$ , and for any Riemann sums  $\mathcal{R}_1(f, P)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2(f, P)$ , we have

$$|\mathbb{R}_2 U(f, P) - \mathbb{R}_1 L(f, P)| < \epsilon/2.$$

Chose any such  $P$ . Then the above inequality holds for any Riemann sums of the above form. By Lemma 11.4.7 of the textbook, we have

$$|\mathcal{U}(f, P) - \mathcal{L}(f, P)| \leq \epsilon/2 < \epsilon.$$

The partition  $P$  was arbitrary with mesh size less than  $\delta$ , hence for any partition, the above inequality would hold. This proves the converse.

Now suppose that for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $P$  is a partition of  $[a, b]$  with  $\|P\| < \delta$ , the inequality

$$|\mathcal{U}(f, P) - \mathcal{L}(f, P)| = \mathcal{U}(f, P) - \mathcal{L}(f, P) < \epsilon.$$

For  $\epsilon$  fixed, we have one such  $\delta$ . Suppose we have some partition  $P$  with mesh size less than  $\delta$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}_1(f, P)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2(f, P)$  be Riemann sums for this partition. Since the inequality with the upper and lower sums hold

for this particular  $\delta$ , and this particular  $\epsilon$ , and this particular partition, we have  $\mathcal{L}(f, P) \leq \mathcal{R}_1(f, P), \mathcal{R}_2(f, P) \leq \mathcal{U}(f, P)$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $\mathcal{R}_1(f, P) \geq \mathcal{R}_2(f, P)$ , whence  $|\mathcal{R}_1(f, P) - \mathcal{R}_2(f, P)| = \mathcal{R}_1(f, P) - \mathcal{R}_2(f, P)$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}(f, P) \geq \mathcal{R}_1(f, P)$  and  $\mathcal{L} \leq \mathcal{R}_2(f, P)$ , we have

$$||\mathcal{R}_1(f, P) - \mathcal{R}_2(f, P)| = \mathcal{R}_1(f, P) - \mathcal{R}_2(f, P) \leq \mathcal{U}(f, P) - \mathcal{L}(f, P) < \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary, and  $P$  was as well, and so were the Riemann sums, it follows that  $f$  satisfies the Cauchy Criterion on  $[a, b]$ . From this and the Cauchy Criterion Theorem, it follows that  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ .  $\square$