

Real Analysis

August Bergquist

October 14, 2022

1 Problem D.2.4

Lemma 1. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then for each $i \in \mathbf{n}$, $|x_i - y_i| \leq d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, where d is the euclidean metric on \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. This follows immediately from the fact (which I also proved) that the square root function is monotonic on the non-negative reals, and positive definiteness of a metric (and the fact that the euclidean metric is one). The details are in my second to last assignment. \square

Proposition 1. Suppose that we have a sequence $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that for each k , $\sigma(k) = (\sigma_1(k), \dots, \sigma_m(k))$ for $\sigma_i : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for all $i \in \mathbf{m}$. Then σ converges to $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ if and only if σ_i converges to x_i for each x .

Proof. First suppose for contrapositive that for some $j \in \mathbf{m}$, we have σ_j not converging to x_j in \mathbb{R} . Then there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ so that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there is at least one $n > N$ so that $|\sigma_j(n) - x_j| \geq \epsilon$. Now consider that very same ϵ , and let N be any natural number. Then for that N and ϵ , there must exist some $n > N$ so that $|\sigma_j(n) - x_j| \geq \epsilon$. But since by the first lemma we have $d(\sigma(n), \mathbf{x}) \geq |\sigma_j(n) - x_j| \geq \epsilon$. So for any positive ϵ , and for any natural number N , we can produce some natural number larger than it so that the distance between $\sigma(n)$ and \mathbf{x} is not less than that ϵ , and σ fails to converge to \mathbf{x} .

Now suppose that each of the σ_j converge for $j \in \mathbf{m}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since the square root function is monotonic, and since $\sqrt{0} = 0$ and $m > 0$, it follows that $\sqrt{m} > 0$. Hence $\epsilon/\sqrt{m} > 0$. From this, and the convergence of each of the σ_j , it follows that for each $j \in \mathbf{m}$ there exists some $N_j \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for each $n > N_j$, $|\sigma_j(n) - x_j| < \epsilon/\sqrt{m}$. Now let $N = \max N_1, \dots, N_m$, and let $n > N$. Since N is the maximum, it follows that for each $j \in \mathbf{m}$,

$$|\sigma_j(n) - x_j| < \epsilon/\sqrt{m}.$$

Now by definition of the euclidean metric and since $\sqrt{\cdot}$ is monotonic, for this same n , we have

$$d(\sigma(n), \mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{\sum_{j \in \mathbf{m}} |\sigma_j(n) - x_j|^2} < \sqrt{\sum_{j \in \mathbf{m}} \epsilon^2/m} = \sqrt{\epsilon^2} = \epsilon.$$

To reiterate, we have shown that for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some natural number N , such that for all $n > N$, the distance between $\sigma(n)$ and \mathbf{x} is less than ϵ . By definition of convergence, σ converges to \mathbf{x} .

Q.E.D. □

2 Problem 3.4.9

Proposition 2. Suppose that a_n converges to a in \mathbb{R} , and let $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then ka_n converges to ka in \mathbb{R} .

Proof. Notice that if $k = 0$, then each term in the sequence ka_n is zero, so the sequence is constant at zero. We have shown that constant sequences converge to their value, hence the sequence converges to $ka = 0$ in this case.

Now suppose that $k \neq 0$. By properties of absolute value (or positive definiteness when viewed as a distance function, where $|k| = |k - 0|$), it follows that $|k| > 0$, hence by a previous exercise $1/|k| > 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then $\epsilon/|k| > 0$. By definition of convergence, and since a_n converges to a , it follows that there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > N$, we have $|a_n - a| < \epsilon/|k|$, and let n be any such $n > N$. Then it follows that

$$|k||a_n - a| = |k(a_n - a)| = |ka_n - ka| < \epsilon$$

. So then, for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > N$, $|ka_n - ka| < \epsilon$. From this it follows by definition of convergence that ka_n converges to ka . □

Proposition 3. Suppose that b_n is a non-zero real sequence which converges to a non-zero real number b , and that a_n is a real valued sequence which converges to a . Then the sequence of quotients a_n/b_n converges to a/b .

Proof. We begin by finding a positive lower bound for terms of the sequence $|b_n|$, which we will use. Since $b \neq 0$, it follows that $|b| > 0$. Hence $|b|/2 > 0$.

Since (as we have shown in a previous exercise) the convergence of b_n to b implies the convergence of $|b_n|$ to $|b|$, it follows by definition of convergence that there must exist some natural number N , such that for all natural numbers n greater than it, $||b_n| - |b|| < |b|/2$. But recall from exercise () that this implies $|b_n| \in (|b|/2, 3|b|/2)$ for all $n > N$. By definition of an open interval, it follows that $0 < |b|/2 < |b_n|$. Moreover, the set of terms of $|b_n|$ of index not exceeding N is finite, hence it must have a minimum element; call it $|b_m|$ for some $m \leq N$. Moreover, since each term of b_n is non-zero, it follows that $|b_n| > 0$. Let L be the smaller of $|b_m|$ and $|b|/2$. So then, we have that all terms of $|b_n|$ are greater than or equal to L , and L is greater than 0. So we have a positive lower bound, L , for the terms of the sequence $|b_n|$.

Now let $\epsilon > 0$. Since L is positive, and since $1 + \frac{|a|}{|b|}$ is positive, it follows that $\epsilon' = \frac{\epsilon L}{1 + \frac{|a|}{|b|}} > 0$. By definition of convergence, it follows that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all natural $n > N'$, $|a_n - a| < \epsilon'$, as well as $N'' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > N''$, $|b_n - b| < \epsilon'$. So let $N = \max N', N''$, and let $n > N$ be arbitrary.

Now we examine $|\frac{a_n}{b_n} - \frac{a}{b}|$, and algebraize the hell out of it (using the established relationships between division, absolute value, and inequality):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{a_n}{b_n} - \frac{a}{b} \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{a_n b - a b_n}{b_n b} \right| \\
&= \frac{|a_n b - a b_n|}{|b_n| |b|} \\
&= \frac{|(a_n b - ab) + (ab - a b_n)|}{|b_n| |b|} \\
&\leq \frac{|a_n b - ab|}{|b_n| |b|} + \frac{|ab - a b_n|}{|b_n| |b|} \\
&= \frac{|b(a_n - a)|}{|b_n| |b|} + \frac{|a(b - b_n)|}{|b_n| |b|} \\
&= \frac{|b| |a_n - a|}{|b_n| |b|} + \frac{|a| |b - b_n|}{|b_n| |b|} \\
&< \frac{1}{L} (|a_n - a| + \frac{|a|}{|b|} |b_n - b|) \\
&< \frac{\epsilon'}{L} (1 + \frac{|a|}{|b|}) = \frac{\epsilon L}{1 + \frac{|a|}{|b|}} \frac{1 + \frac{|a|}{|b|}}{L} = \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

So $|\frac{a_n}{b_n} - \frac{a}{b}| < \epsilon$. Since n was arbitrary greater than N , it follows that this inequality holds for all such n greater than N . So then, for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a natural number N , such that for all $n > N$, $|\frac{a_n}{b_n} - \frac{a}{b}| < \epsilon$. By definition of a limit, it follows that $\frac{a_n}{b_n}$ converges to $\frac{a}{b}$. \square