Pruning rate $\frac{WM_{content}}{\text{Testing Acc.}} \frac{WM_{unrelated}}{\text{Matermark Acc.}} \frac{WM_{noise}}{\text{Watermark Acc.}}$

00 4397

99.19%

99.24%

98.82%

97.79%

93.55%

Testing Acc.

78.06%

78.08%

78.05%

77.78%

77.75%

77.44%

76.71%

74.57%

62.15%

Robustness for model pruning: accuracy of clean testing data and accuracy of watermarks (CIFAR10)

 $\overline{W}M_{unrelated}$

1000

100%

100%

100%

100%

99.95%

Watermark Acc.

99.93%

99.93%

99.93%

99.93%

99.93%

99.86%

99.86%

99.8%

99.47%

 $\overline{WM}_{content}$

00 4407

99.29%

99.27%

99.18%

98.92%

97.03%

Testing Acc.

78.37%

78.42%

78.2%

78.24%

78.16%

77.87%

76.7%

74.59%

64.9%

1007

50%

60%

70%

80%

90%

Pruning rate

10%

20%

30%

40%

50%

60%

70%

80%

90%

Robustness for model pruning: accuracy of clean testing data and accuracy of watermarks (MNIST)

1000

100%

100%

100%

100%

99.9%

Watermark Acc.

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

96.39%

10.93%

00 497

99.41%

99.3%

99.22%

99.04%

95.19%

Testing Acc.

78.45%

78.5%

78.33%

78.31%

78.02%

77.87%

77.01%

73.09%

59.29%

1000

100%

99.9%

99.9%

99.9%

99.55%

Watermark Acc.

99.86%

99.86%

99.93%

99.93%

99.8%

99.6%

98.46%

92.8%

65.13%

 \overline{WM}_{noise}

1070	22.44/0	10076	22.43/0	100%	22.470	100%	1
20%	99.45%	100%	99.45%	100%	99.41%	100%	
30%	99.43%	100%	99.41%	100%	99.41%	100%	
40%	99.4%	100%	99.31%	100%	99.42%	100%	