

b0d81f232b617924c346806297e1d0ea1fa49cdcb8a42be8a282cc4a32c6429f

File: MasterChef.sol | Language:solidity | Size:13759 bytes | Date:2022-06-15T16:11:39.701Z

Critical High Medium Low Note

1 0 1 0 7

Issues

Severity	Issue	Analyzer	Code Lines
Critical	SWC-107	Achilles	107 - 138
Medium	SWC-102	Achilles	3
Note	SWC-116	Achilles	124, 124, 190, 191, 220, 224, 230

Code

```
1. SWC-107 / lines: 107 - 138 Critical Achilles
                                                                                                                                                   1
       A security vulnerability has been detected.
106
           /// @param _withUpdate Whether call "massUpdatePools" operation.
107
           function add(
108
               uint256 _allocPoint,
109
               IERC20 _lpToken,
110
               IRewarder _rewarder,
111
               bool _withUpdate
112
           ) external onlyOwner {
               require(!isPool[_lpToken], "add: LP already added");
113
               // Sanity check to ensure _lpToken is an ERC20 token
114
               _lpToken.balanceOf(address(this));
115
               // Sanity check if we add a rewarder
116
117
               if (address(_rewarder) != address(0)) {
118
                   _rewarder.onAuraReward(address(0), 0);
119
120
               if (_withUpdate) {
121
                   massUpdatePools();
122
123
               \verb|uint256| | lastRewardTimestamp = block.timestamp > startTimestamp ? block.timestamp : startTimestamp; \\
124
125
               totalAllocPoint = totalAllocPoint.add(_allocPoint);
126
127
               poolInfo.push(
                   PoolInfo({
128
129
                       lpToken: _lpToken,
130
                       allocPoint: _allocPoint,
131
                       lastRewardTimestamp: lastRewardTimestamp,
132
                       accAuraPerShare: 0,
133
                       rewarder: _rewarder
134
                   })
135
               );
136
               isPool[_lpToken] = true;
137
               emit Add(poolInfo.length.sub(1), _allocPoint, _lpToken, _rewarder);
138
139
```

In detail

One of the major dangers of calling external contracts is that they can take over the control flow. In the reentrancy attack (a.k.a. recursive call attack), a malicious contract calls back into the calling contract before the first invocation of the function is finished. This may cause the different invocations of the function to interact in

undesirable ways.

```
2. SWC-102 / lines: 3 Medium Achilles

A security vulnerability has been detected.

pragma solidity 0.6.12;
pragma experimental ABIEncoderV2;
```

In detail

Using an outdated compiler version can be problematic especially if there are publicly disclosed bugs and issues that affect the current compiler version.

degree. Moreover, malicious miners can alter the timestamp of their blocks, especially if they can gain advantages by doing so. However, miners can't set timestamp smaller than the previous one (otherwise the block will be rejected), nor can they set the timestamp too far ahead in the future. Taking all of the above into consideration, developers can't rely on the preciseness of the provided timestamp.

```
4. SWC-116 / lines: 124 Note Achilles

A security vulnerability has been detected.

123

124     uint256 lastRewardTimestamp = block.timestamp > startTimestamp ? block.timestamp : startTimestamp;
125     totalAllocPoint = totalAllocPoint.add(_allocPoint);
```

In detail

Contracts often need access to the current timestamp to trigger time-dependent events. As Ethereum is decentralized, nodes can synchronize time only to some degree. Moreover, malicious miners can alter the timestamp of their blocks, especially if they can gain advantages by doing so. However, miners can't set timestamp smaller than the previous one (otherwise the block will be rejected), nor can they set the timestamp too far ahead in the future. Taking all of the above into consideration, developers can't rely on the preciseness of the provided timestamp.

```
5. SWC-116 / lines: 190 Note Achilles

A security vulnerability has been detected.

189 uint256 lpSupply = pool.lpToken.balanceOf(address(this));
190 if (block.timestamp > pool.lastRewardTimestamp && lpSupply != 0) {
191 uint256 timeElapsed = block.timestamp.sub(pool.lastRewardTimestamp);
```

In detail

Contracts often need access to the current timestamp to trigger time-dependent events. As Ethereum is decentralized, nodes can synchronize time only to some degree. Moreover, malicious miners can alter the timestamp of their blocks, especially if they can gain advantages by doing so. However, miners can't set timestamp smaller than the previous one (otherwise the block will be rejected), nor can they set the timestamp too far ahead in the future. Taking all of the above into consideration, developers can't rely on the preciseness of the provided timestamp.

```
6. SWC-116 / lines: 191 Note Achilles

A security vulnerability has been detected.

190 if (block.timestamp > pool.lastRewardTimestamp && lpSupply != 0) {

191     uint256 timeElapsed = block.timestamp.sub(pool.lastRewardTimestamp);

193     vint256 aureDaycord = timeFlaced myl(curpDaySoc) myl(cool.allasDaint) div(testallasDaint);
```

ulficzo aurakewaru – timeciapsed.mul(aurarersec).mul(pool.aliocroint).div(totalAliocroint);

In detail

Contracts often need access to the current timestamp to trigger time-dependent events. As Ethereum is decentralized, nodes can synchronize time only to some degree. Moreover, malicious miners can alter the timestamp of their blocks, especially if they can gain advantages by doing so. However, miners can't set timestamp smaller than the previous one (otherwise the block will be rejected), nor can they set the timestamp too far ahead in the future. Taking all of the above into consideration, developers can't rely on the preciseness of the provided timestamp.

```
7. SWC-116 / lines: 220 Note Achilles

A security vulnerability has been detected.

219 PoolInfo memory pool = poolInfo[_pid];
220 if (block.timestamp > pool.lastRewardTimestamp) {
221  uint256 lpSupply = pool.lpToken.balanceOf(address(this));
```

In detail

Contracts often need access to the current timestamp to trigger time-dependent events. As Ethereum is decentralized, nodes can synchronize time only to some degree. Moreover, malicious miners can alter the timestamp of their blocks, especially if they can gain advantages by doing so. However, miners can't set timestamp smaller than the previous one (otherwise the block will be rejected), nor can they set the timestamp too far ahead in the future. Taking all of the above into consideration, developers can't rely on the preciseness of the provided timestamp.

In detail

Contracts often need access to the current timestamp to trigger time-dependent events. As Ethereum is decentralized, nodes can synchronize time only to some degree. Moreover, malicious miners can alter the timestamp of their blocks, especially if they can gain advantages by doing so. However, miners can't set timestamp smaller than the previous one (otherwise the block will be rejected), nor can they set the timestamp too far ahead in the future. Taking all of the above into consideration, developers can't rely on the preciseness of the provided timestamp.

```
9. SWC-116 / lines: 230 Note Achilles

A security vulnerability has been detected.

229 }
230 pool.lastRewardTimestamp = block.timestamp;
231 poolInfo[_pid] = pool;
```

In detail

Contracts often need access to the current timestamp to trigger time-dependent events. As Ethereum is decentralized, nodes can synchronize time only to some degree. Moreover, malicious miners can alter the timestamp of their blocks, especially if they can gain advantages by doing so. However, miners can't set timestamp smaller than the previous one (otherwise the block will be rejected), nor can they set the timestamp too far ahead in the future. Taking all of the above into consideration, developers can't rely on the preciseness of the provided timestamp.